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## Non-Technical Summary

Conflict between the recreational and commercial sectors has long been a fisheries management problem. This conflict has been escalating in recent years as both sectors attempt to maximise catches. Consequently, there is increasing pressure being applied to fisheries managers to make appropriate allocation decisions regarding fishing opportunities for the various commercial and recreational user-groups. Accurate estimates of the magnitude of the recreational catch and fishing effort are required before any equitable allocation of resources among the commercial and recreational sectors can be made. Further, the acceptance of any management strategies by the general public and the various user-groups also requires that the calculations that underpin allocation decisions can be demonstrated to be sound and justified.

We have combined on-site surveys at large access sites with data obtained from a recreational boat movement logbook study to estimate the number of daytime recreational trailer boat fishing trips, and the number of daytime recreational cruiser and gameboat fishing trips, for all large sites that provide access for these types of boats to the marine waters of NSW. This same combination of on-site methods has also been used to estimate the day-time harvest of recreational trailer boat anglers, for all large sites that provide trailer boat access to the marine waters of NSW. We estimated that in excess of 217,500 trailer boat trips were made from large access sites throughout the state during the first survey year (September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive) and more than 214,800 trips occurred during the second survey year (September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive). We estimated that in excess of 24,500 cruiser and gameboat trips were made from large access sites throughout the state during the first survey year (September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive) and more than 25,000 trips occurred during the second survey year (September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive).

We also conducted over 10,600 interviews with trailer boat angling parties during the two year period of the survey and found the retained catch of this marine recreational fishery to be extremely diverse. We recorded 210 taxa in the harvest statewide.

Despite this diverse harvest, relatively few species accounted for the bulk of the
recreational harvest, by weight and by number of fish. The top ten species always accounted for more than $68 \%$ by number, and $59 \%$ by weight, of the recreational trailer boat harvest. This pattern of harvesting was consistent among regions and between survey years. The main species, by weight, during the first survey year were eastern blue-spotted flathead (229.3 tonnes), snapper (184.2 tonnes), silver trevally ( 103.5 tonnes), blue morwong ( 90.9 tonnes), yellowfin tuna ( 73.1 tonnes), skipjack tuna ( 56.8 tonnes), kingfish ( 53.0 tonnes), silver sweep ( 47.5 tonnes), slimy mackerel ( 40.1 tonnes), and albacore ( 38.7 tonnes). The main species, by weight, during the second survey year were eastern blue-spotted flathead (207.5 tonnes), snapper (187.6 tonnes), silver trevally ( 112.3 tonnes), yellowfin tuna ( 59.1 tonnes), blue morwong (54.9 tonnes), silver sweep (43.2 tonnes), skipjack tuna (39.0 tonnes), kingfish (35.8 tonnes), albacore ( 35.1 tonnes), and sergeant baker ( 32.0 tonnes). Overall, recreational trailer boat harvests in excess of one tonne statewide were recorded for 55 taxa during the first survey year, and 54 taxa during the second survey year. Latitudinal differences in recreational harvest were evident for some species. For example, during both survey years the harvest of snapper was greatest in the northern region, intermediate in the central region and lowest in the southern region of the state.

We compared the estimates of daytime recreational harvest taken by trailer boat anglers in coastal waters to the declared commercial landings taken from NSW coastal waters. The recreational harvest was greater, or about the same as, the commercial catch for some species, such as eastern blue-spotted flathead, dolphin fish, cobia, blackspot pigfish, maori wrasse, dusky flathead and red scorpioncod. Conversely, the commercial catch was greater than the recreational daytime harvest for many other species such as snapper, mulloway, john dory, kingfish, blue morwong, nannygai , red gurnard, and silver trevally. We have documented great latitudinal changes in the harvesting patterns of many important species by both the recreational and commercial fisheries, which results in regional changes of harvest allocation between the sectors for these species. Examples include eastern blue-spotted flathead, snapper, tiger flathead, and silver trevally.

We estimated that more than 11,100 and 10,900 daytime charter boat trips occurred statewide during the first and second survey years respectively. We estimated that 3,085 charter boat trips were made from the Sydney area during the first survey year and that a further 2,555 trips occurred during the second survey year. A successful charter boat logbook was designed to allow the easy recording of effort and catch on an individual trip basis. This logbook was tested in the Sydney area during the second survey year. A total of 72 taxa were recorded from the retained catch of Sydney charter boat anglers during this period. The Sydney charter boat fishery was characterised by a great diversity of taxa but relatively few species accounted for the bulk of the recreational harvest, by weight and by number of fish. The top ten ranked species, by weight, blue morwong (19.9 tonnes), yellowfin tuna ( 14.8 tonnes), silver trevally ( 8.6 tonnes), striped marlin ( 7.5 tonnes), kingfish ( 7.4 tonnes), blue marlin ( 7.2 tonnes), tiger flathead ( 5.4 tonnes), silver sweep ( 4.4 tonnes), nannygai (4.2 tonnes), and blue-eye trevalla ( 3.3 tonnes) accounted for over $83 \%$ of the harvest taken by anglers from charter boats in the Sydney area. Overall, harvests in excess of one tonne were recorded for 16 taxa during the year that the logbook was tested.

There was little overlap between the trailer boat and charter boat fisheries. However, the charter boat fishery did target heavily on some SEF quota species such as tiger flathead, nannygai, silver trevally and blue-eye trevalla. There is potential for future conflict between the commercial deepwater dropline fishers and the charter boat fleet because of the increasing recreational effort directed at deepwater species such as blue-eye trevalla. The lack of formal registration in the charter boat industry will make it difficult to monitor the future activities of charter boats. It is recommended that a register of charter boats be established and that a mandatory reporting system to record catch and effort data be considered for this industry.

It is important to note that the estimates of recreational harvest we have presented are underestimates of the total recreational harvest. Our estimates do not consider nighttime angling, the harvests of anglers that use large cruisers and gameboats, the harvests of the charter fleets outside Sydney, or the harvests of trailer boat anglers that use medium and small sites to provide them with access to the coastal waters off

NSW. Even so, the estimates of recreational harvest we have obtained are substantial and for many shared species represent a significant portion of the total catch (recreational and commercial combined). It is now clear that the recreational angling sector, as a collective group, does harvest significant quantities of many species from the coastal waters off NSW.

The recreational sector like its commercial counterpart, is a user-group with the potential to impact on many shared fisheries resources. Consequently, the recreational sector should be given more consideration and input into management plans that affect shared fisheries resources. Also, it is imperative that the recreational sector be considered when determining the size of annual quotas for many SEF species. Future recreational research programs that monitor effort and harvest are necessary to improve fisheries management. These programs will contribute to our understanding of sustainable limits of harvest for our coastal fisheries resources.

## Background

The task of assessing whether recreational fishing has an impact on fish stocks is enormously difficult because there are several large user-groups within the recreational sector (Fig. 1). Each of these user-groups requires separate consideration to assess its potential impact on fish stocks. The many hundreds of access sites for boats and the unrestricted access for shore-based anglers to most of the NSW coastline create logistical difficulties for conducting field-based surveys over large geographical scales. Initially, NSW Fisheries were to sub-contract the survey work to consultants, however, after extensive discussions, none were prepared to accept our design constraints. Thus, we have had to determine the specific survey methods required for this study, and also had to solve the logistical difficulties of recruiting, organising and supervising field staff at many sites throughout the state.

This study focuses on estimating the size of the recreational harvest taken by boatbased anglers in the marine waters off the NSW coast. We have identified three distinct boat categories (trailer boats, cruisers and gameboats, and charter boats) and have briefly described the main factors that influence the harvesting success of recreational anglers that use these types of vessels (Table 1). These factors are also indicative of the potential impacts that anglers using different types of boats can have on fisheries resources (Table 1). We investigated the feasibility of estimating the recreational harvest and effort, on a statewide scale, for each of these boat categories. This was done by way of pilot studies. On the basis of the pilot study findings we restricted the scope of this work to only include:
(1) A statewide assessment of the number of recreational fishing trips for different boat categories, such as trailer boats, cruisers and gameboats, and charter boats at large access sites. This survey spanned two years.
(2) A statewide assessment of the daytime recreational fishing effort, harvest and harvest rates of anglers using trailer boats at large access sites. This survey spanned two years.
(3) An assessment of the recreational harvest, fishing effort and harvest rates of anglers using charter boats in the Sydney area. This survey spanned one year.


Figure 1. User-groups within the recreational sector. The shaded boxes show the boat-based angling components that were studied during the project.

Table 1. A description of important factors that can affect the harvesting success of anglers that use trailer boats, cruisers and gameboats, and charter boats.
\(\left.\begin{array}{|c||ccc|}\hline \& TRAILER BOATS \& \begin{array}{c}CRUISERS AND <br>

GAMEBOATS\end{array} \& CHARTER BOATS\end{array}\right]\)| MORE |
| :---: |
| ACCESS POINTS TO FISHERY |

We found it was impractical to conduct a marina-based survey to estimate the harvest of recreational anglers that fished from cruisers and gameboats. Firstly, many marina operators would not co-operate and allow our staff access to the marina even though they had originally agreed to support the project. Secondly, the pilot study, done at a limited number of marinas, found that a large number of boat movements occurred but very few were offshore recreational fishing trips. This resulted in a low number of successful interviews and was proven to be an inefficient use of limited resources. We advised the FRDC board and received approval to discontinue this component of the project.

This project was submitted initially to the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation (FRDC) as a two year program (Project No. 92/80) and was 1 of 2 projects designed to determine the inter-relationships among the commercial fisheries of South Eastern Australia (by-catch project), and between the commercial and recreational fisheries exploiting a common resource (this project). The original application was not funded by FRDC, however the Corporation did make representations to the Australian Fisheries Management Authority (AFMA) in support of AFMA funding. AFMA agreed to support the project and provided funding for 1993/94. Unfortunately, AFMA withdrew funding for this project in 1994/95 as a consequence of a Commonwealth Government decision to reduce FRRF funding. The continuation and completion of this project was funded by NSW Fisheries and by FRDC (Project No. 94/053) .


#### Abstract

Need Conflict between the recreational and commercial sectors of the fishing industry will continue to escalate in coming years as both sectors attempt to maximise catches. Consequently, there is increasing pressure being applied to fisheries managers to make equitable allocation decisions when dividing the resource among the various user-groups, particularly among the various commercial fisheries and the large amateur angling groups.


Prior to this survey work, there were no reliable estimates available of the size of the recreational harvest for any offshore fish species, even those keenly sought by both the commercial and recreational sectors, e.g. snapper, mulloway, teraglin, silver trevally, blue and jackass morwongs, nannygai, tiger and sand flathead, kingfish, tunas and baitfish such as slimy mackerel and yellowtail. Similarly, there were no estimates of the amount of recreational fishing effort being directed at offshore species. Without these estimates of recreational harvest and effort it was impossible to make equitable allocation decisions for any of the large user-groups.

It is clear that we needed precise estimates of the size of the recreational harvest and the amount of recreational fishing effort before any equitable allocation of resources among the commercial and recreational sectors could be made. Further, the acceptance of these types of management strategies by the general public and among the various user-groups also requires the collection of precise and accurate recreational harvest and effort data so that the calculations that underpin allocation decisions can be demonstrated and justified.

At the start of this project, the limited anecdotal and scientific information available on offshore recreational fishing indicated that the size of the recreational harvest of many popular fish species was large. Preliminary data from our initial pilot studies had also confirmed the contention that the harvest of the recreational sector was large, particularly for snapper and various flathead species. However, too few data were available to allow defensible estimates to be made of the relative size of the recreational harvest for any offshore fish species, including South East Fishery (SEF)
quota species such as orange roughy, gemfish, blue grenadier, ocean perch, school whiting, pink ling, mirror dory, blue eye trevalla and warehou.

Our discussions with recreational angling clubs had indicated that the amount of offshore recreational fishing effort has steadily increased over the past ten years. Should recreational fishing effort continue to increase over time it is likely that the future harvests of offshore fish species by the recreational sector may also increase greatly. This trend may lead to further conflict between recreational and commercial user-groups.

Management of offshore commercial fisheries is further complicated because many species are abundant in both State and Commonwealth waters. For example, many important SEF quota species such as nannygai, tiger flathead and jackass morwong migrate between inshore coastal areas (State managed) which provide nursery grounds and deeper offshore areas (Commonwealth managed) which are used by the greater part of the spawning biomass. Thus, it was clear that estimates of the recreational fishing effort and harvest in NSW waters were also urgently needed for better management of the SEF fishery. Prior to the start of this project, the absence of accurate and precise recreational harvest and effort data made it difficult to set defensible Total Allowable Catches for any offshore fish species or to equitably allocate shares of the resources among the various commercial and recreational usergroups.

## Objectives

1. On a statewide scale, we will estimate the total fishing effort, harvest, and harvest rates of recreational anglers that fish in the offshore waters of NSW.
2. On a statewide scale, we will relate the estimates of total harvest obtained the recreational fishing population to the allocation of resources between recreational and commercial users.

## A Statewide Survey of Recreational Fishing Effort for Trailer Boats, Cruisers and Gameboats and the Recreational Harvest of Trailer Boat Anglers for all Large Access Sites to the Marine Waters of New South Wales

## Introduction

Recreational fishing is one of the most popular leisure activities in Australia. A national survey of participation in recreational fishing, completed in 1984, reported that an estimated 4.5 million people had fished recreationally at least once during the previous year and that these anglers had made collectively about 48 million fishing trips in that year (PA Management Consultants 1984). These survey figures confirmed the large size of the recreational fishing sector within Australia and suggested that amateur angling has the potential to have a considerable impact on fisheries resources.

This study focuses mainly on quantifying the amount of recreational fishing effort and the size of the harvest taken by trailer boat anglers in the marine waters of NSW. In addition, we provide estimates of the recreational fishing effort of anglers that use cruisers and gameboats in the marine waters of NSW. The many hundreds of access sites for boats along the coast of NSW make this large-scale survey work logistically difficult. We have used field based survey methods to sample the recreational fishing effort and harvest.

The recreational trailer boat fleet that operates in the marine waters off the NSW coastline contains a variety of small boats, usually ranging from 4-7 meters in length. The recreational anglers that use trailer boats to access the marine fishery are involved in a great variety of fishing activities. Trailer boat anglers participate in all types of recreational fishing, ranging from fishing for prized food species (e.g. snapper, flathead, mulloway) to actively searching the shelf waters for large gamefish (e.g. marlins and tunas). When planning this study we had little information about the size of this multi-species recreational fishery. The available anecdotal evidence suggested that the size of the recreational harvest made by trailer boat anglers in marine waters
was large. However, the impact of the recreational sector on the resource was unknown.

The specific study objectives that are outlined below are consistent with the overall objectives of this project.

## Objectives

1. On a statewide scale, estimate the total daytime fishing effort, daytime harvest, and daytime harvest rates of recreational trailer boat anglers, for all large sites that provide trailer boat access to the marine waters of NSW.
2. On a statewide scale, estimate the total daytime fishing effort of recreational anglers that use cruisers and gameboats, for all large sites that provide these boats access to the marine waters of NSW.
3. On a statewide scale, relate the estimates of total daytime harvest taken by the recreational trailer boat fishing population to the allocation of resources between commercial and recreational users.

## Methods

## General

Accurate and precise information which describes and quantifies the activities and harvests of recreational anglers is needed to understand changing trends in the recreational harvest over time. The on-site survey methods (surveys conducted at the fishing sites) that we selected to collect this valuable recreational fishing data are well proven for their scientific rigour, but are relatively more expensive per sample unit than off-site surveys (surveys conducted away from fishing sites). On-site methods are still preferred to off-site methods because they allow more information to be verified by trained field staff (Pollock et al. 1994). On-site surveys do not depend on selfreported data and the information that is collected on-site suffers less from the vagaries of the anglers' memory, knowledge, and truthfulness (Pollock et al. 1994). In comparison, off-site methods such as phone surveys and diary surveys, which may be
relatively cheaper per sample unit, are much more biased than on-site methods because they are subject to higher levels of serious response errors (e.g., recall bias, prestige bias, rounding bias, intentional deception, question misinterpretation, species misidentification, and incorrect measurements of fish lengths and weights). Nonresponse errors are also higher in off-site surveys (Pollock et al. 1994). Clearly, accurate and unbiased statistics for the diverse multi-species recreational fisheries found in the marine waters of NSW are best collected by on-site survey methods. We have combined on-site surveys at large access sites with data obtained from a recreational boat movement logbook study to estimate the number of daytime recreational trailer boat fishing trips, and the number of daytime recreational cruiser and gameboat fishing trips, for all large sites that provide access for these types of boats to the marine waters of NSW. This same combination of on-site methods has also been used to estimate the day-time harvest of recreational trailer boat anglers, for all large sites that provide trailer boat access to the marine waters of NSW.

We follow the terminology of Pollock et al. (1994) to describe the survey designs and analytical methods used to calculate harvest rates, and the expansions of total fishing effort and total harvest that were done to obtain seasonal and annual estimates. Catch is by strict definition the number or weight of fish caught (kept and released), whilst harvest is that part of the catch that is retained, usually measured as the number or weight of fish kept.

## Quality Control

We have incorporated important quality control procedures into all phases of the survey. A survey can be useless if the data collected are of poor quality (Pollock et al. 1994). A brief description of the quality control procedures that were implemented during this survey are provided below.

## Survey Preparation Phase

## Design and pre-testing of survey sheets and procedures

The pilot studies we did were extremely useful for testing and improving the format of the data collection sheets. A standardised interview procedure that was used by all staff during the main survey was developed during the pilot study period.

## Field identification kit for fish

We developed a detailed field identification kit for fish during the pilot study period. This identification kit was used to standardise the level of taxonomic precision among workers at different sites. In this way, we were certain that any differences among sites that we detected were real and not just a reflection of the different fish identification skills between individuals working at the different sites. The use of the fish identification kit also facilitated the conduct of interviews and as such was an important part of the interview procedure.

## Recruitment and training of field staff

We screened all field staff by means of a rigorous interview to ensure they had good communication, clerical and fish identification skills. All field staff were given explanations of the aims and importance of the study, instructions on standard interview procedures and on the use of the fish identification kit. Testing for correct interview techniques and data recording was done by conducting simulated interviews which contained a complex range of hypothetical situations likely to be encountered in the field. A practical interview component was also included in the training. Field staff were taken to their designated work station (local ramp) during a weekend day and were continually assessed and instructed throughout the day whilst they interviewed anglers.

## Press releases

Prior to the start of the main survey we issued a press release to the local media at each of the selected survey sites throughout the State. The press release announced the imminent start of the survey and stated the objectives of the study. This press release was important because it allayed the unfounded suspicions of many anglers and began
the process of building a good working relationship between the field staff and the general angling community.

## Survey Operation Phase

## Supervision of field staff

Random checks of field staff were carried out during the survey period. We found that this was a cost-effective way of maintaining discipline and thus ensuring data quality. The problems of supervising field staff throughout the State from our Sydney base were minimised by maintaining regular contact with them by telephone.

## Preliminary scrutiny of data sheets

We organised a regular fortnightly mailing of photocopied data sheets from all survey sites throughout the State. Preliminary checks of the data sheets were made as they were received and we identified unusual data such as very large catches, fish having very small or very large sizes, the occurrence of uncommon species. The field staff were then contacted and asked to confirm or explain these unusual data. We also obtained detailed explanations of many important comments that had been recorded on the data sheets by the field staff.

## Maintaining the co-operation of anglers

We maintained the interest of anglers by providing them with quarterly updates which described the seasonal catch composition and the relative numbers of each species recorded during survey days at their specific location. This simple measure generated a great deal of rapport between the anglers and the field staff and served greatly to maximise the co-operation of anglers and minimise the effects of survey fatigue.

## Data Entry, Checking and Manipulation Phase

## Data entry and data checking procedures

We used a "double entry" system to check for errors in the data entry process. All errors detected during the double entry process were corrected. Random checks of data subsets were then carried out to validate the effectiveness of the double entry
system. Prior to any analyses the data were subjected to a range of data outlier checks to investigate any unusual data and detect errors which had been missed during our preliminary data checks.

## Data manipulation procedures

We edited the raw data and calculated new variables such as the harvest per unit effort (derived from combining harvest and effort variables) and the estimated weights of fishes (derived from length/weight keys - Appendix 2). These new variables would be used to make expansions of total harvest for the recreational trailer boat fishery. We verified the correctness of the computations used to derive these new variables by undertaking random checks on some subsets of these data.

## Sampling Frames

In this section we explain the choice of the Spatial and Temporal sampling frames for the statewide survey, the levels of stratification within these frames, and how we dealt with the complex issue of post-stratifying survey days according to weather. Thus, this survey work is based on the principles of stratified random sampling. Pollock et al. (1994) have summarised the advantages of stratification as:
(a) Stratification improves the overall precision of population estimates. An increase in precision (i.e. a reduction in variance) will occur when a relatively heterogeneous population is divided into non-overlapping strata of known size, that are relatively more homogeneous than the whole population.
(b) Stratification makes the administration of the survey work easier because strata can be used to partition large, difficult to sample frames into multiple, smaller units that can each be sampled more easily.
(c) Stratification provides greater information yield. The creation of strata allows us to calculate population estimates for each separate stratum level, thereby providing important information at a smaller scale, as well as providing the overall estimates of population parameters for the entire population by combining the separate stratum totals and their associated variances.

## Spatial Frame

The spatial frame of this survey included all large sites from which recreational anglers can access the marine waters along the NSW coast. An access site is defined as any site which provides direct access to the recreational fishery in the marine waters off the NSW coast. Direct access to the coastal fishery can be obtained from access sites such as harbours, ports, bays, rivers/estuarine systems which usually contain multiple access points within them. For example, the Broken Bay access site has 33 boat ramps, many marinas and a multitude of private moorings and jetties. An angling party may use any of these many access points within the Broken Bay system as the origin of their angling trip, but they must pass through the mouth of Broken Bay to directly access the coastal fishery. Also, there are some access sites that consist of a single access point which allows the launching and retrieval of trailer boats directly into and out of the ocean. These types of access sites can be boat ramps on a headland or recognised launching areas across an ocean beach.

We compiled a list of all sites within NSW which could provide access for trailer boats, cruisers and gameboats to the marine waters along the NSW coast (Appendix 1). We then classified all of these access sites for offshore fishing along the NSW coast into three categories (i.e. Large, Medium, Small) according to their relative usage rates by recreational anglers, which were assessed by combining information collected from many sources, such as fishing clubs, fisheries inspectors, local shopkeepers, personal inspections of access sites, fishing magazines and published boat ramp guides (Appendix 1).

It was necessary to restrict the scope of the survey because of limited resources. This meant that we sampled trailer boat harvest only at large access sites. Consequently, all the regional expansions of fishing effort and harvest are made for large access sites only, and do not include any consideration of the effort and harvest made from small and medium size access sites within NSW. Separate lists which classified access sites into size categories on the basis of relative usage patterns were made for trailer boats and for cruisers and gameboats (Appendix 1). The size category classifications given to access sites for these two boat type categories was different (Appendix 1).

## Regional stratification

Regional strata were created because it was expected that there would be strong latitudinal differences in the relative abundances and catchability of fish species among sites. The commercial catch statistics held by NSW Fisheries showed that the relative sizes of landed catches for many species varies latitudinally and that these trends were consistent among years. A similar conclusion was also reached when examining the available limited data from the recreational sector.

We used bio-physical boundaries generated by the effects of the East Australian Current (EAC), where possible, as the basis of the regional divisions of the coast (Fig. 2). The EAC is the dominant oceanographic feature affecting circulation along the NSW coast. This current originates in the Coral Sea and carries a mixture of tropical and subtropical waters southwards into the more temperate waters along the NSW coast. The EAC has a large effect on sea temperatures along the coast and thus has a great influence on the distribution and catchability of fishes along the coast. Ortiz and Burchmore (1992) identified three distinct bio-physical regions along the NSW coast which were strongly correlated to the prevailing coastal circulation patterns created by the EAC. The regional boundaries we have selected greatly reflect their findings. The northernmost region, which we term the North Coast region, extends from the New South Wales/Queensland border ( $28^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ S) to Sugarloaf Point $\left(32^{\circ} 26^{\prime}\right.$ S). This northern boundary is identical to the boundary identified by Ortiz and Burchmore (1992). The middle region, which we term the Central Coast region, extends southwards from Sugarloaf Point to an area just north of the mouth of the Shoalhaven River ( $34^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ ). The southernmost region, which we term the South Coast region, includes the mouth of the Shoalhaven River and extends southwards to Cape Howe at the New South Wales/Victoria border $\left(37^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{S}\right)$. The boundary between the Central and Southern regions has been conveniently defined at a position some 25 km further north than the bio-physical boundary identified by Ortiz and Burchmore (1992). This convenient boundary allows us to group the recreational anglers originating from Jervis Bay, Currarong and the Shoalhaven River within the same region. This grouping of access


Figure 2. Access sites at which recreational fisheries data were collected during this study.
sites within the South Coast region is logical because most of these anglers use the same fishing grounds (e.g. The Banks) even though they have different ports of origin.

## Survey sites within regions

We surveyed recreational anglers at four access sites within the North Coast region. The survey sites were Kingscliff, Evans Head, Coffs Harbour, and Crowdy Head (Fig. 2). Six access sites were surveyed within the Central Coast region. The survey sites were Bellambi (near Wollongong), and the remaining access sites, Broken Bay, Long Reef, Port Jackson, Botany Bay, and Port Hacking were all within the Sydney metropolitan area (Fig. 2). Three access sites were surveyed within the South Coast region. The survey sites were Ulladulla, Bermagui and Eden (Fig. 2). The spread of sites throughout the state provides a good latitudinal range whilst providing coverage of the large Sydney metropolitan recreational fishery and holiday locations within the North and South Coast regions.

## Temporal Frame

The temporal frame of this survey spanned a two year period, commencing in September 1993 and concluding at the end of August 1995. Previous angler surveys had shown that fishing effort and harvest varied greatly among years, seasons and day-types (Henry 1984, West and Gordon 1994). Thus, we stratified the two year temporal frame by survey years (Survey Year 1 - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive; and Survey Year 2 - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive), seasons within survey years (Spring, Summer, Autumn and Winter), and day-types within seasons (Weekdays and Weekend days). Public holidays were classified as weekend days. Days were regarded as the primary sampling unit for all stratum levels.

By definition, a survey day started at 09:00 hours and ended 15 minutes after sunset. We chose to restrict the coverage within a 24 hour day to this daylight period because data from a pilot study done at the Bellambi ramp (Wollongong) during January, February and March 1993 showed that only $7.5 \%$ of fishing trips were completed during the night (20:00 to 06:00 hours) and that only $3.3 \%$ of fishing trips were
completed between 06:00 and 09:00 hours. Thus, it was not cost-effective to survey at night nor was it cost-effective to survey in the morning before 09:00 hours. It is important to note that most fishing trips beginning at dawn when harvest rates are believed to be relatively high were completed after 09:00 hours and were included in our survey estimates. Also, this definition of the survey day unit allows any variance associated with changes in the length of the survey days, due to seasonal changes in daylength and the occurrence of daylight saving (Eastern Summer Time), to be incorporated into the seasonal estimates of effort and harvest.

We chose to spread the sampling effort among sites throughout the state, rather than sample more days at fewer sites, because the main aim of this study was to get statewide estimates of recreational effort and harvest for trailer boat anglers. At each selected access site, we collected survey data which quantified the recreational effort and harvest of trailer boat anglers on six replicate survey days per day-type stratum within each season. Data from a pilot study conducted at the Bellambi ramp (Wollongong) during January, February and March 1993 showed that this level of replication at each site was adequate for estimating the harvests of the main species taken by recreational anglers. This level of daily replication provided a sample of 24 weekend days and 24 weekdays for each survey year at each site. This represents annual sampling fractions of about $21 \%$ for the weekend day-type stratum and about $10 \%$ for the weekday stratum.

## Weather Frame

The initial weather frame of this survey included all days when good weather was predicted, regardless of actual weather. The initial weather frame was restricted in this way because we did not want to use our limited resources to disproportionately sample days which coincided with bad weather. This could happen by chance, during seasons such as winter when prolonged periods of bad weather can occur.

A decision was taken to postpone sampling when bad weather was predicted before 06.00 hours on the morning of the intended sampling day. We used the current
regional weather predictions for coastal waters that were issued by the Australian Bureau of Meteorology to classify "Good - predicted" and "Bad - predicted" weather for each survey site. The criteria for "Bad - predicted" weather were a current (at 06.00 hours), strong wind or gale warning (expected wind strength $>25$ knots -about $12.9 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ ) and/or a predicted sea swell greater or equal to three meters. Survey days were initially selected at random within each stratum. Field staff used current weather predictions issued by the Bureau of Meteorology on the morning of rostered survey days (available on a recorded telephone service) to decide whether the survey work should be postponed to another day or if sampling should proceed as scheduled. All postponed survey days were simply re-allocated within the same day-type within season stratum by using a second randomised survey roster.

This re-allocation procedure was intended to give better precision and accuracy for expanded estimates of harvest and effort made during the "Good - predicted" weather days when it was expected that most people would go fishing and the bulk of the harvest would be taken. A conservative approach which excluded "Bad - predicted" weather days from the sampling frame and treated them as zero harvest and effort days was initially proposed. We believed this re-allocation procedure would be an acceptable compromise between increasing the accuracy and precision of harvest and effort estimates for those good weather periods during which most of the coastal fishing occurs, but at the cost of not estimating the harvest and effort that occurred on bad weather days, thereby creating a small underestimate in the expansions of total harvest and effort.

We encountered a problem when using current weather forecasts to decide if sampling should proceed or be postponed. We found there was little concordance between predicted weather and actual weather. The predictions that were routinely issued by the Australian Bureau of Meteorology tended to be cautious and conservative. That is, there were many occasions when strong wind warnings were issued but bad weather did not occur on that day. Instead, the front bringing the expected bad weather arrived later than suggested by the Bureau. Also, on some occasions the weather predictions issued by the Bureau were plainly wrong. The Bureau had predicted good weather but
appalling weather conditions had prevailed. The result of this relatively low correlation between predicted and actual weather meant that we were often forced to postpone sampling even though conditions for coastal angling were good. Also, we often worked when good weather was predicted but bad weather conditions had made coastal fishing very dangerous, thereby deterring all anglers on the day.

We solved this problem by using a post-stratification technique to construct three weather strata by combining weather predictions and actual recorded weather. We had already classified each day of the survey period, for each survey site, as either "Good predicted" or "Bad - predicted" fishing days by using daily weather predictions issued prior to 06.00 hours (Eastern Standard Time - EST) by the Australian Bureau of Meteorology. You will recall that the criteria for "Bad - predicted" weather days were: a current (at 06.00 hours - EST) strong wind or gale warning (expected wind strength $>25$ knots - about $12.9 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ ), and/or a predicted sea swell greater or equal to three meters. Thus, all other days not meeting these "Bad - predicted" criteria were classified as "Good - predicted" fishing days. We obtained actual weather observations for all coastal weather stations along the coast of NSW from the Bureau of Meteorology. A subset of these weather data were used in conjunction with a subset of fishing effort data taken from an auxiliary dataset (the boat movement logbook data) to investigate the weather conditions that were correlated with low fishing effort.

As a result of this preliminary examination of a small part of these entire datasets we set new criteria, somewhat subjectively, to define "Good - actual" fishing days and "Bad - actual" fishing days. The criteria for "Bad - actual" fishing days were: a gale warning (expected wind strength $>34$ knots - about $17.5 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ ), and/or a recorded wind speed greater than $7.0 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ (about 13.6 knots ) at 08:00 hours (EST), and/or a recorded sea swell greater than 3 meters. Thus, all other days not meeting these "Bad actual" weather criteria were classified as "Good - actual" fishing days. The inclusion of the gale warning prediction to help define "Bad - actual" weather days was useful because we had found a strong correlation between gale warnings and extremely low levels of coastal fishing effort.

This post-stratification procedure enabled us to classify every single day of the two year survey period, for each large access site, into one of the following three weather strata: (1) "Good - predicted" weather days coupled with both "Good - actual" and "Bad - actual" weather days; (2) "Bad - predicted" weather days coupled with "Good actual" weather days; and (3) "Bad - predicted" weather days coupled with "Bad actual" weather days. The first stratum which included all "Good - predicted" weather days coupled with both "Good - actual" and "Bad - actual" weather days, is equivalent to the initial weather frame of this survey.

This post-stratification procedure can be improved by using a more sophisticated data modelling approach to investigate the relationship between recreational fishing effort and weather variables. Detailed modelling of effort counts and weather data would allow better definitions of "Good - actual" and "Bad - actual" fishing days. This approach would also be valuable for predicting site specific and regional patterns in recreational fishing effort by using recorded weather data. It is clear that more work is needed to refine this post-stratification procedure.

## Collecting Fishing Effort and Harvest Data

Two independent datasets were collected and used to estimate recreational fishing effort and harvest. These datasets were: (1) boat count and angler interview data taken by field staff during survey days at each survey site; and (2) daily boat movement logbook data collected by members of many volunteer sea-rescue bases throughout NSW. The first dataset provided information about fishing effort, harvest and harvest rates. The second dataset only provided information about fishing effort. Each of these datasets has different biases and imprecisions and both were used to obtain regional and statewide estimates of recreational harvest for trailer boat anglers.

## Boat counts and interviews conducted by field staff

All boat counts were done to coincide with angler interviews at boat ramps. At each site, we interviewed anglers at the boat ramp which had the most offshore recreational fishing traffic. This was done to maximise the number of interviews obtained and thus
achieve a better coverage of harvest at each survey site on the rostered sampling days. We have assumed that the harvests and harvest rates of anglers using the main ramp were not different to those of anglers that had used other access points within the survey site. Although we did not test this assumption, we have no reason to expect that anglers that had used other minor access points within the survey site would have behaved differently to their angling colleagues that had used the main ramp. Most anglers going to sea from the same access site would tend to frequent the same coastal fishing grounds and also target the same species, regardless of which access point within that site had been used to enter and leave the fishery.

All recreational fishing boats were recorded as they returned from sea and classified according to their boat type category (see Fig. 1) during each rostered survey day. These boat counts were easily collected at some sites that have only one access point, such as Bellambi, Kingscliff, and Crowdy Heads, because field staff could observe all boating traffic whilst obtaining angler interviews. Similarly, boat counts could be made easily at some sites with multiple access points, such as Bermagui and Ulladulla, because all boating traffic had to either use the main boat ramp at which field staff were collecting angler interviews or pass within sight of that ramp to return to other access points further upstream.

The Sydney metropolitan sites were the most difficult access sites at which recreational fishing effort was monitored. Each of the four large access sites within Sydney (Broken Bay, Port Jackson, Botany Bay, and Port Hacking) have large numbers of boat ramps, marinas, private moorings and jetties which can be used by recreational anglers to access the coastal fishery. Accurate counts of recreational fishing boats were made at each of these four large access sites in the Sydney area by placing observers on a headland vantage point overlooking the mouth of each access site. All recreational boating traffic returning from sea was classified according to boat type category (see Fig. 1) and these boat counts were done to coincide with angler interviews at boat ramps.

## Daily boat movement logbooks

The members of many volunteer sea-rescue bases throughout the state agreed to assist us, when possible, by keeping logbook records which quantified the movements of recreational fishing boats. The aim of this logbook program was to collect comparative data about recreational fishing effort at many sites along the coast. Recreational boat counts were based on visual sightings by members of the cooperating sea rescue bases and logbook records were kept on an hourly and daily basis. Each recreational boat that was sighted was classified according to its boat type category and activity. The logbook form catered for trailer boats, cruisers and gameboats, charter boats, and when possible separated SCUBA diving and/or spearfishing trips from the boat counts made for the rest of the recreational fleet. The logbook form also separated boats according to their direction of travel, that is, separate records were kept for boats heading seaward and for boats returning from the ocean. This logbook data was a valuable complement to the ramp based estimates of fishing effort because it provided increased spatial coverage of large access sites throughout the state and also because it provided increased temporal coverage (more daily estimates) at many sites.

## Estimating the Recreational Fishing Effort of Anglers That Use Trailer Boats,

## Cruisers and Gameboats, and the Harvest of Trailer Boat Anglers

The raw data used for estimating fishing effort were daily counts of recreational fishing trips for trailer boat anglers, and for anglers using cruisers and gameboats. These data were derived from two independent sources: (a) boat counts taken by field staff on rostered survey days, and (b) daily boat movement logbooks filled out by members of volunteer sea rescue organisations. The daily counts of recreational fishing trips were expanded to provide stratum totals using two methods, which were: (1) the direct expansion from the data to estimate the unknown fraction; and (2) the imputation of missing data to estimate the fishing effort for some strata at some access sites.

The raw data used for estimating harvest were daily estimates of harvest by taxon. Harvest estimates are presented in two ways, in terms of abundance (numbers of fish) and in terms of weight (kilograms of fish). Field staff were instructed, where possible, to measure all identified fish (to the nearest cm ) that were seen during interviews with angling parties. It was not always possible to obtain measurements of fish, usually because anglers were in a hurry to leave the ramp. Thus, during many interviews field staff were able to only collect fish measurements for a sub-sample of the entire harvest, or were only able to record counts of identified fish.

We did not measure the weight of fish during interviews. Instead, we converted the length measurements into weights by using length/weight keys. This was done for all taxa for which we had suitable length/weight conversion keys (Appendix 2). Weights were estimated directly from the length/weight keys for those fish that had been measured during interviews. The remaining unmeasured component of the harvest (i.e. those fish seen during interviews but only counted, and those fish which our expansions of data had estimated) was converted to weight according to the following two criteria. We used a seasonal mean weight for a site to estimate the seasonal mass of the unmeasured component of harvest for any taxon that had measurements for twenty or more individuals collected during a season at that site. When less than twenty individuals had been measured during a season at a site we used an annual mean weight for that site to estimate the seasonal mass of the unmeasured component of the harvest.

We did not attempt to make expanded estimates of harvest for any taxa that were considered to have been "rare" at a site. We defined "rare" at a site as being any taxon that had been recorded from only one interview, regardless of the number of individuals harvested in that single trip, at that site during a survey year. This definition of rarity means that a taxon could be "rare" at some sites and still be regarded as common at other sites during the same survey year. Also, a taxon could be "rare" at a site during a survey year and be regarded as common at that same site during another survey year. All taxa which did not meet the criteria for rarity were
classified as common taxa. Expanded estimates of harvest were made for all common taxa at a site.

The daily estimates of harvest for common taxa were expanded to provide stratum totals by a variety of methods, which included: (1) the direct expansion from the data to estimate the unknown fraction; (2) the multiplication of effort data with mean daily harvest rates to derive estimates of harvest at some access sites; (3) the scaling of available harvest estimates for some strata at some access sites using weighted ratios of effort, and (4) the imputation of missing data to estimate the harvest for some strata at some access sites.

We calculated population estimates of fishing effort and harvest for each separate stratum level, thereby providing important information at a small scale. Thus, for every survey site we separately calculated fishing effort and harvest estimates for each non-overlapping combination of day-type (2 levels) by weather stratum (3 levels) within each season. That is, for fishing effort and harvest within each season we made six separate estimates of fishing effort, and six separate estimates of harvest for each taxon, for the following day-type by weather combinations: (1) Weekdays by "Good predicted" weather days coupled with both "Good - actual" and "Bad - actual" weather days; (2) Weekdays by "Bad - predicted" weather days coupled with "Good actual" weather days; (3) Weekdays by "Bad - predicted" weather days coupled with "Bad - actual" weather days, (4) Weekend days by "Good - predicted" weather days coupled with both "Good - actual" and "Bad - actual" weather days; (5) Weekend days by "Bad - predicted" weather days coupled with "Good - actual" weather days; and (6) Weekend days by "Bad - predicted" weather days coupled with "Bad - actual" weather days.

Estimates of effort and harvest at higher levels such as seasonal and annual site totals, and regional and statewide totals for large access sites were obtained by summing the separate stratum totals. Similarly, estimates of variance at higher levels were obtained by summing the separate stratum variances. The general equations used to calculate
the stratum estimates of effort and harvest were taken from Pollock et al. (1994) and Mood et al. (1974).

## Harvest Comparisons between the Recreational Trailer Boat Fishery and the

 Oceanic Commercial FisheriesRecreational harvest estimates were obtained by the methods described in the previous sections. The recreational harvest statistics (estimated weights) were available only for common taxa for which we had suitable length/weight conversion keys (Appendix 2). In contrast, commercial fishers are required by state legislation to provide accurate catch statistics on a monthly basis. These statistics are held by NSW Fisheries. We have used the declared commercial statistics for ocean landings at ports along the coast of NSW to make comparisons with the recreational trailer boat fishery.

These comparisons were made by using the monthly commercial returns to construct regional tables of harvest for the same seasonal periods that had been used to survey the recreational trailer boat fishery. Then it was possible to calculate harvest ratios which simply describe the relative sizes of the harvests (recreational/commercial). When the harvest ratio is greater than one it indicates that the estimated recreational trailer boat harvest is greater than the declared commercial landings taken from ocean waters. Conversely, when the ratio is less than one it indicates that the declared commercial landings taken from ocean waters have exceeded the size of the estimated recreational trailer boat harvest. When the ratio is equal to one the estimated recreational trailer boat harvest is of equal size to the declared commercial landings taken from ocean waters. We have restricted the presentation and discussion of these results to regional and statewide comparisons for each of the two survey years.

## Detailed Results

Over the two year survey period we conducted 10,631 interviews with recreational angling parties that had fished from trailer boats in the coastal waters of NSW (Table 2). We collected 5536 of these interviews during the first survey year, and a further 5095 interviews were done in the second survey year. The great majority of anglers were co-operative and keen to provide information about their recreational fishing trips. This co-operation is reflected by the relatively low rate of refused interviews during both survey years (Table 2). We only had refusal rates of $3.28 \%$ in survey year 1 and $2.35 \%$ in survey year 2.

## Recreational Fishing Effort

## Statewide - trailer boat trips

We estimated that 217,550 trailer boat trips were made from large access sites throughout the state during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive, and that a further 214,821 trips occurred during the second survey year September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive (Table 3). On a statewide scale, the same seasonal patterns of recreational fishing effort were found between survey years (Table 3). The highest levels of effort occurred during Summer ( $31.6 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $31.3 \%$ of annual effort in year 2 ) and Autumn ( $30.5 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $30.3 \%$ of annual effort in year 2), whilst lower levels of effort were recorded during Spring ( $19.8 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $18.6 \%$ of annual effort in year 2) and Winter ( $18.1 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $19.8 \%$ of annual effort in year 2).

The regional spread of fishing effort across the state also showed a consistent pattern between years (Table 3). The Central Coast region had the highest regional levels of trailer boat effort ( $47.7 \%$ in year 1 and $50.2 \%$ in year 2 ) in both survey years. This pattern is not surprising because the Central Coast region contains the three largest cities in NSW and hence has a larger resident angling population than the other regions. The South Coast region had the second highest regional levels of effort ( $31.8 \%$ in year 1 and $29.4 \%$ in year 2 ) in both survey years, and the North Coast

Table 2. The number of successfully completed interviews, the number of interview refusals and the proportion of interview refusals for each survey site and survey year during the period - September 1993 to August 1995 inclusive.

|  | SURVEY YEAR 1 |  | SURVEY YEAR 2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 3. Statewide and regional estimates of recreational fishing effort (number of boat trips) for Trailer Boats for each day-type and seasonal stratum within each survey year during the two year period - September 1993 to August 1995 inclusive.

CATEGORY: TRALLER BOATS

| REGION | DAY-TYPE | SURVEY YEAR 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SPRING 93 |  | SUMMER 93/94 |  | AUTUMN 94 |  | WINTER 94 |  | YEAR 1 TOTAL |  |
|  |  | Estimated No. Trips | s.e. | Estimated No. Trips | s.e. | Estimated <br> No. Trips | s.e. | Estimated No. Trips | s.e. | Estimated No. Trips | s.e. |
| NORTH COAST | Weekday | 4536 | 258 | 6673 | 354 | 5743 | 320 | 5290 | 232 | 22242 | 590 |
|  | Weekend | 4715 | 304 | 6083 | 196 | 5931 | 347 | 5592 | 289 | 22321 | 579 |
|  | Total | 9251 | 399 | 12756 | 405 | 11674 | 472 | 10882 | 371 | 44563 | 826 |
| CENTRAL COAST | Weekday | 10243 | 644 | 12369 | 717 | 8773 | 774 | 6661 | 557 | 38046 | 1356 |
|  | Weekend | 16976 | 947 | 19440 | 844 | 15602 | 611 | 13716 | 796 | 65734 | 1617 |
|  | Total | 27219 | 1145 | 31809 | 1108 | 24375 | 986 | 20377 | 972 | 103780 | 2110 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SOUTH } \\ & \text { COAST } \end{aligned}$ | Weekday | 3735 | 309 | 15183 | 2592 | 16176 | 1306 | 3966 | 351 | 39060 | 2940 |
|  | Weekend | 2892 | 176 | 9099 | 816 | 14121 | 1097 | 4035 | 388 | 30147 | 1432 |
|  | Total | 6627 | 356 | 24282 | 2718 | 30297 | 1706 | 8001 | 523 | 69207 | 3270 |
| STATEWIDE | Weekday | 18514 | 759 | 34225 | 2713 | 30692 | 1552 | 15917 | 698 | 99348 | 3291 |
|  | Weekend | 24583 | 1010 | 34622 | 1190 | 35654 | 1302 | 23343 | 932 | 118202 | 2236 |
|  | Total | 43097 | 1263 | 68847 | 2963 | 66346 | 2026 | 39260 | 1164 | 217550 | 3979 |
| REGION |  | SURVEY YEAR 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | SPRING 94 |  | SUMMER 94/95 |  | AUTUMN 95 |  | WINTER 95 |  | YEAR 2 TOTAL |  |
|  | DAY-TYPE | Estimated <br> No. Trips | s.e. | Estimated <br> No. Trips | s.e. | Estimated <br> No. Trips | s.e. | Estimated No. Trips | s.e. | Estimated No. Trips | s.e. |
|  | Weekday | 4714 | 285 | 6778 | 539 | 5673 | 473 | 5493 | 232 | 22658 | 805 |
| COAST | Weekend | 5073 | 252 | 4381 | 344 | 5756 | 199 | 5868 | 237 | 21078 | 527 |
|  | Total | 9787 | 380 | 11159 | 640 | 11429 | 513 | 11361 | 332 | 43736 | 963 |
|  | Weekday | 9613 | 569 | 14291 | 1213 | 11649 | 852 | 7341 | 372 | 42894 | 1631 |
| CENTRALCOAST | Weekend | 14519 | 1236 | 20967 | 1433 | 14132 | 938 | 15333 | 543 | 64951 | 2181 |
|  | Total | 24132 | 1360 | 35258 | 1878 | 25781 | 1267 | 22674 | 658 | 107845 | 2723 |
|  | Weekday | 2970 | 117 | 12300 | 953 | 16182 | 911 | 4110 | 153 | 35562 | 1333 |
| COAST | Weekend | 3156 | 215 | 8565 | 431 | 11613 | 708 | 4344 | 362 | 27678 | 930 |
|  | Total | 6126 | 245 | 20865 | 1046 | 27795 | 1154 | 8454 | 393 | 63240 | 1625 |
| STATEWIDE | Weekday | 17297 | 647 | 33369 | 1634 | 33504 | 1334 | 16944 | 464 | 101114 | 2255 |
|  | Weekend | 22748 | 1279 | 33913 | 1535 | 31501 | 1193 | 25545 | 694 | 113707 | 2429 |
|  | Total | 40045 | 1434 | 67282 | 2242 | 65005 | 1789 | 42489 | 835 | 214821 | 3314 |

region had the lowest regional effort levels ( $20.5 \%$ in year 1 and $20.4 \%$ in year 2 ) in both survey years (Table 3).

## North Coast region - trailer boat trips

We estimated that 44,563 trailer boat trips were made from large access sites throughout the North Coast region during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive, and that a further 43,736 trips occurred during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive (Table 3). A seasonal pattern of fishing effort was found during the first survey year. The highest level of effort occurred during Summer ( $28.6 \%$ of annual effort), with lower amounts of effort recorded during Autumn ( $26.2 \%$ of annual effort), Winter ( $24.4 \%$ of annual effort), and Spring ( $20.8 \%$ of annual effort). In contrast, there was no discernible seasonal pattern of fishing effort during the second survey year. Similar levels of fishing effort were found during the Summer ( $25.5 \%$ of annual effort), Autumn ( $26.1 \%$ of annual effort), and Winter ( $26.0 \%$ of annual effort) seasons. The Spring season ( $22.4 \%$ of annual effort) in the second survey year had the lowest level of trailer boat fishing effort (Table 3).

The relative amount of fishing effort associated with each of the day-type strata varied within seasons. The weekday and weekend day strata contributed similar amounts of total fishing effort during the Spring, Autumn and Winter seasons in both survey years However, it was the weekday stratum which contributed a greater part of the total seasonal effort during the Summer in both survey year 1 ( $52.3 \%$ of Summer effort) and survey year 2 ( $60.7 \%$ of Summer effort - Table 3). This Summer pattern of recreational effort probably reflects a seasonal influx of holiday-makers that have the flexibility to go angling at any time during the week.

## Central Coast region - trailer boat trips

We estimated that 103,780 trailer boat trips were made from large access sites throughout the Central Coast region during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive, and that a further 107,845 trips occurred during the second
survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive (Table 3). In the Central Coast region, the same seasonal pattern of recreational fishing effort was found between survey years (Table 3). The highest level of trailer boat fishing effort always occurred during Summer ( $30.7 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $32.7 \%$ of annual effort in year 2), intermediate levels of effort were recorded during the Spring ( $26.2 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $22.4 \%$ of annual effort in year 2) and Autumn ( $23.5 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $23.9 \%$ of annual effort in year 2) seasons, and the lowest levels of effort were always associated with the Winter (19.6\% of annual effort in year 1 and $21.0 \%$ of annual effort in year 2 ) season.

The recreational fishing effort that occurred within the Central Coast region was concentrated mainly during the weekend days. The weekend day stratum was found to have contributed a much greater part of the seasonal fishing effort. This was consistent for all seasons during both survey years within this region (Table 3). The Central Coast region contains the three largest cities in NSW. Thus, it is likely that this interesting pattern of concentrated weekend day fishing effort occurs because the fishing activities of many metropolitan anglers are greatly restricted by their weekday work commitments.

## South Coast region - trailer boat trips

We estimated that 69,207 trailer boat trips were made from large access sites throughout the South Coast region during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive, and that a further 63,240 trips occurred during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive (Table 3). In the South Coast region, the same seasonal patterns of recreational fishing effort were found between survey years (Table 3). The highest levels of effort always occurred during Autumn ( $43.7 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $43.9 \%$ of annual effort in year 2) and Summer (35.1\% of annual effort in year 1 and $33.0 \%$ of annual effort in year 2), with relatively lower levels of effort always recorded during Winter ( $11.6 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $13.4 \%$ of annual effort in year 2) and Spring ( $9.6 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $9.7 \%$ of annual effort in year 2 ).

The peak levels of fishing effort recorded during the Autumn season correspond well to the expected timing of the annual migration of yellowfin tuna through South Coast waters. The yellowfin tuna season is eagerly anticipated by recreational anglers throughout NSW, the ACT, and Victoria. The high levels of effort that were recorded during the Summer season probably reflected the combined effects of good seasonal weather for fishing and the activities of local anglers and a large number of holidaymakers within the region. In contrast, the relatively lower levels of effort recorded during the Winter and Spring seasons may be attributed mainly to the fishing activities of local anglers. The fact that the weekday stratum contributes a much greater part of the total seasonal effort during the Autumn ( $53.4 \%$ of seasonal effort in year 1 and $58.2 \%$ of seasonal effort in year 2 ) and Summer ( $62.5 \%$ of seasonal effort in year 1 and $59.0 \%$ of seasonal effort in year 2 ) in both survey years supports the hypothesis that most of the effort during these seasons is due to visiting anglers that have the flexibility to go fishing at any time during the week.

## Statewide - cruiser and gameboat trips

We estimated that 24,502 cruiser and gameboat trips were made from large access sites throughout the state during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive, and that a further 25,059 trips occurred during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive (Table 4). On a statewide scale, the same seasonal patterns of recreational fishing effort were found between survey years (Table 4). The highest levels of effort occurred during Summer ( $36.4 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $37.4 \%$ of annual effort in year 2) and Autumn ( $26.3 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $25.1 \%$ of annual effort in year 2), whilst lower levels of effort were recorded during Spring ( $20.9 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $19.3 \%$ of annual effort in year 2 ) and Winter ( $16.4 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $18.2 \%$ of annual effort in year 2).

The regional spread of fishing effort across the state also showed a consistent pattern between years (Table 4). The Central Coast region had the highest regional levels of

Table 4. Statewide and regional estimates of recreational fishing effort (number of boat trips) for Cruisers and Gamefishing Boats for each day-type and seasonal stratum within each survey year during the two year period - September 1993 to August 1995 inclusive.

CATEGORY: CRUISERS \& GAMEFISHING BOATS

cruiser and gameboat effort ( $73.9 \%$ in year 1 and $78.2 \%$ in year 2 ) in both survey years. This pattern is not surprising because the Central Coast region contains the three largest cities in NSW and hence has a larger resident angling population than the other regions. The South Coast region had the second highest regional levels of effort ( $17.2 \%$ in year 1 and $15.3 \%$ in year 2) in both survey years, and the North Coast region had the lowest regional effort levels ( $8.9 \%$ in year 1 and $6.5 \%$ in year 2 ) in both survey years (Table 4).

## North Coast region - cruiser and gameboat trips

We estimated that 2,192 cruiser and gameboat trips were made from large access sites throughout the North Coast region during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive, and that a further 1,640 trips occurred during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive (Table 4).

In the North Coast region, the same seasonal pattern of recreational fishing effort was found between survey years (Table 4). The highest level of cruiser and gameboat fishing effort always occurred during Summer (43.4\% of annual effort in year 1 and $35.7 \%$ of annual effort in year 2), with lower amounts of effort recorded during Autumn ( $17.0 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $23.4 \%$ of annual effort in year 2), Winter ( $18.8 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $24.6 \%$ of annual effort in year 2 ), and Spring ( $20.8 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $16.3 \%$ of annual effort in year 2 - Table 4). The relative amount of fishing effort associated with each of the day-type strata showed no obvious pattern within seasons.

## Central Coast region - cruiser and gameboat trips

We estimated that 18,086 cruiser and gameboat trips were made from large access sites throughout the Central Coast region during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive, and that a further 19,587 trips occurred during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive (Table 4). In the Central Coast region, the same seasonal pattern of recreational fishing effort was found between survey years (Table 4). The highest level of cruiser and gameboat fishing effort always occurred during Summer ( $34.0 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and
$37.8 \%$ of annual effort in year 2), intermediate levels of effort were recorded during the Spring ( $23.4 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $20.8 \%$ of annual effort in year 2 ) and Autumn ( $24.2 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $22.4 \%$ of annual effort in year 2) seasons, and the lowest levels of effort were always associated with the Winter ( $18.4 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $19.0 \%$ of annual effort in year 2 ) season.

The recreational fishing effort that occurred within the Central Coast region was concentrated mainly during the weekend days. The weekend day stratum was found to have contributed a much greater part of the seasonal fishing effort. This was consistent for all seasons during both survey years within this region (Table 4). The Central Coast region contains the three largest cities in NSW. Thus, it is likely that this interesting pattern of concentrated weekend day fishing effort occurs because the fishing activities of many metropolitan anglers are greatly restricted by their weekday work commitments.

## South Coast region - cruiser and gameboat trips

We estimated that 4,224 cruiser and gameboat trips were made from large access sites throughout the South Coast region during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive, and that a further 3,832 trips occurred during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive (Table 4). In the South Coast region, the same seasonal patterns of recreational fishing effort were found between survey years (Table 3). The highest levels of effort always occurred during the Summer ( $43.2 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $35.5 \%$ of annual effort in year 2 ), and Autumn ( $40.1 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $40.1 \%$ of annual effort in year 2) seasons, with relatively lower levels of effort always recorded during Spring ( $10.3 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $12.9 \%$ of annual effort in year 2 ) and Winter ( $6.4 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $11.5 \%$ of annual effort in year 2).

The peak levels of fishing effort recorded during the Summer and Autumn seasons correspond well to the expected timing of the annual migrations of billfish and yellowfin tuna through South Coast waters. The high levels of effort that were
recorded during the Summer and Autumn seasons probably reflected the combined effects of good seasonal weather for offshore fishing and the activities of local anglers and a large number of holiday-makers within the region. In contrast, the relatively lower levels of effort recorded during the Winter and Spring seasons may be attributed mainly to the fishing activities of local anglers. The relative amount of fishing effort associated with each of the day-type strata showed no obvious pattern within seasons (Table 4).

## Recreational Harvest

## Statewide - trailer boat angling

Overall, we recorded 210 taxa in the retained catch of recreational anglers fishing from trailer boats during the two years of the survey (Table 5). Trailer boat anglers kept 179 taxa during the first survey year and 166 taxa were harvested during the second survey year (Table 5). The ten most commonly harvested taxa, by number, during the first year of the survey (Table 6) were eastern blue-spotted flathead (23.4\%), snapper (11.5\%), slimy mackerel (10.7\%), silver trevally (5.2\%), yellowtail and jack mackerel (4.8\%), silver sweep ( $4.3 \%$ ), nannygai (3.7\%), blue morwong (3.6\%), maori wrasse ( $2.9 \%$ ), and sergeant baker ( $2.4 \%$ ). These ten taxa, by number, accounted for $72.5 \%$ of the statewide trailer boat harvest during the first survey year September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive (Table 6).

The ten most commonly harvested taxa, by weight, during the first year of the survey (Table 7) were eastern blue-spotted flathead (229.3 tonnes - 15.0\%), snapper (184.2 tonnes $-12.0 \%$ ), silver trevally ( 103.5 tonnes $-6.8 \%$ ), blue morwong ( 90.9 tonnes $5.9 \%$ ), yellowfin tuna ( 73.1 tonnes $-4.8 \%$ ), skipjack ( 56.8 tonnes $-3.7 \%$ ), kingfish ( 53.0 tonnes $-3.5 \%$ ), silver sweep ( 47.5 tonnes - $3.1 \%$ ), slimy mackerel ( 40.1 tonnes $2.6 \%$ ), and albacore ( 38.7 tonnes $-2.5 \%$ ). These ten taxa, by weight, accounted for $59.9 \%$ of the statewide trailer boat harvest during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive (Table 7).

Table 5．An exponential representation of the estimated recreational harvest，based on numbers of fish，for all taxa kept by trailer boat anglers at all selected survey sites（arranged in order from north to south）for each survey year during the two year period－September 1993 to August 1995 inclusive．

|  | 1000－9999，1000 |  | SURVEY YEAR 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HICHER CIASSIFICATION | COMMON NAME | TAXON |  |  |  |  |  | 总 | 苞 |  | 面 |  |  |  |  | 齐 | 苞 | 允 | S | 畾 |
| SQUALIDAE | DOGFISHES | Squalus spp． |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HETERODONTIDAE | PORT JACKSON SHARKS | Heterodontus spp． |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PARASCYLLIDAE | RUSTY CATSHARK | Parascyllium ferrugineum |  |  | － |  |  | － | － |  | － |  |  | $\cdot$ |  |  | － | － | － | － |
| brachaelurdmaE | BLIND SHARK | Brachaehurus waddi |  | － |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  | － | － |  |  | － |  |  |  |
| ORECTOLOBIDAE | WOBBEGONG SHARKS | Orectolobus spp． |  | － | － | － |  | － |  |  |  |  | － | － | － |  |  |  |  |  |
| SCYLIORHINIDAE | DRAUGHTBOARD SHARK | Cephaloscyllium laticeps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LAMNIDAE | WHITE POINTER SHARK | Carcharodon carcharius |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LAMNIDAE | SHORTFIN MAKO SHARK | Isurus oxyrinchus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bullet$ |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  | － |
| TRIAKIDAE | SCHOOL SHARK | Galeorhinus galeus |  | $\bullet$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |
| TRIAKIDAE | GUMMY SHARK | Mustelus antarcticus |  |  | － |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CARCHARHINIDAE | BLACK－TIPPED REEFSHARK | Carcharhinus melanopterus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  | $\bullet$ |
| CARCHARHINIDAE | WHALER SHARKS | Carcharhinus spp． |  | － |  |  | － | － |  |  | － |  | － | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CARCHARHINIDAE | TIGER SHARK | Galeocerdo cwvier |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CARCHARHINIDAE | BLUE SHARK | Prionace glauca |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPHYRNIDAE | HAMMERHEAD SHARKS | Sphyrna spp． |  | － | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  | － |
| SQUATINIDAE | ANGEL SHARK | Squatina australis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  | － | － |  |  |  |  |
| RHINOBATIDAE | SHOVELNOSE RAYS | Aptychotrema spp．\＆Rhynchobatus spp． |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | － |  | － |  |  |  |  |
| RHINOBATIDAE | BANJO RAY | Trygonorhina fasciata |  | － | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RAJDAE | SKATES | Raja spp． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UROLOPHIDAE \＆DASYATIDIDAE | STINGAREES \＆BLACK STINGRAYS | Urolophus spp．\＆Dasyatis spp． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ANGUILLIFORMES | EELS | All species combined |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLUPEIDAE | PILCHARD | Sardinops neopilchardus |  |  | $\bullet$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\bullet$ | － | － | － | － |  |  |  | － | － |
| AULOPODIDAE | SERGEANT BAKER | Aulopus purpurissatus | － |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SYNODONTIDAE | LIZARDFISHES | All species combined |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ARIDAE | FORK－TAILED CATFISH | Arius graeffei |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLOTOSIDAE | ESTUARY CATFISH | Cnidoglanis macrocephalus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |
| MORIDAE | BEARDED CODS | All species combined |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ． | ． |
| OPHIDIIPAE | ROCK LING | Genypterus tigerinus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HEMIRAMPHIDAE | GARFISHES | Hyporhamphus spp． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Table 5．An exponential representation of the estimated recreational harvest，based on numbers of fish，for all taxa kept by trailer boat anglers at all selected survey sites（arranged in order from north to south）for each survey year during the two year period－September 1993 to August 1995 inclusive．

| KEY：$\cdot 1-9,10-99$, 100－999，1000－9999，10000＋ |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| higher Classification | COMMON NAME | TAXON | 旨 |  |  |  | 訔 | 易 | 苞 | 或 |  | 易 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { è } \\ & \text { en } \\ & \text { n } \\ & \text { n } \\ & \text { ein } \end{aligned}$ | 管 | 曷 | 需 | 易 |  | E | 鲎 |
| CEPHALOPODA | ARROW SQUID |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － | － |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  | － |  |
| CEPHALOPODA | octopus | Octopus spp． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  | $\bullet$ |  |  |  | － |
| CEPHALOPODA | GIANT CUTILEFISH | Sepia apama <br> Sepioteuthis australis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |
| CEPHALOPODA | SOUTHERN CALAMARI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| crustacea | TWO－SPOT SAND CRABS | Ovalipes spp． <br> Ranina ranina |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crustacea | SPANNER CRAB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CRUSTACEA | MUD CRAB | Ranina ranina <br> ScyIIa serrata |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| total taxa |  |  |  | 58 | 79 | 51 | 95 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 75 | 52 | 65 | 83 | 58 | 84 | 75 | 59 | 68 | 58 |

Table 6. Statewide estimates of recreational harvest (numbers of fish) with associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution for all common taxa taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites during the two year survey period - September 1993 to August 1995 inclusive.

| COMMON NAME | TAXON | SURVEY YEAR 1 |  |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% |
| PORT JACKSON SHARKS* | Heterodontus spp. | 98 | 34 | 110 | 0.004 | - | - | - |  |
| RUSTY CATSHARK* | Parascyllium ferrugineum | 44 | 28 | 119 | 0.002 | - | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ |  |  |
| BLIND SHARK* | Brachaelurus waddi | 381 | 74 | 89 | 0.016 | 79 | 29 | 105 | 0.004 |
| WOBBEGONG SHARKS* | Orectolobus spp. | 1044 | 209 | 70 | 0.044 | 536 | 71 | 79 | 0.029 |
| SHORTFIN MAKO SHARK* | Isurus oxyrinchus | 1082 | 243 | 69 | 0.045 | 790 | 139 | 69 | 0.042 |
| SCHOOL SHARK | Galeorhinus galeus | 382 | 78 | 88 | 0.016 | 183 | 56 | 97 | 0.010 |
| GUMMY SHARK | Mustelus antarcticus | 1470 | 293 | 61 | 0.062 | 914 | 136 | 65 | 0.049 |
| WHALER SHARKS | Carcharhinus spp. | 1158 | 192 | 66 | 0.048 | 994 | 150 | 63 | 0.053 |
| HAMMERHEAD SHARKS* | Sphyrna spp. | 432 | 187 | 87 | 0.018 | 221 | 74 | 94 | 0.012 |
| ANGEL SHARK* | Squatina australis | - | - | - | - ${ }^{-}$ | 35 | 28 | 115 | 0.002 |
| SHOVELNOSE RAYS* | Aptychotrema spp. \& Rhynchobatus spp. | 796 | 190 | 75 | 0.033 | 1524 | 347 | 58 | 0.081 |
| BANJO RAY* | Trygonorhina fasciata | 531 | 117 | 82 | 0.022 | 513 | 175 | 80 | 0.027 |
| STINGAREES \& BLACK STINGRAYS* | Urolophus spp. \& Dasyatis spp. | 131 | 65 | 106 | 0.005 | - | - |  |  |
| EELS* | All species combined | 97 | 90 | 111 | 0.004 | - | - |  |  |
| SERGEANT BAKER | Aulopus purpurissatus | 56409 | 2501 | 10 | 2.361 | 47451 | 2770 | 10 | 2.524 |
| LIZARDFISHES | All species combined | 289 | 190 | 92 | 0.012 | - | - |  |  |
| BEARDED CODS* | All species combined | 2210 | 420 | 52 | 0.093 | 795 | 167 | 68 | 0.042 |
| ROCK LING | Genypterus tigerinus | 63 | 37 | 115 | 0.003 | 20 | 14 | 116 | 0.001 |
| GARFISHES* | Hyporhamphus spp. | 308 | 228 | 91 | 0.013 | ${ }^{-}$ | $8{ }^{-}$ | 5 |  |
| LONGTOMS* | All species combined | 137 | 50 | 104 | 0.006 | 2250 | 829 | 52 | 0.120 |
| NANNYGAI | Centroberyx affinis | 87190 | 5719 | 7 | 3.650 | 64776 | 5022 | 7 | 3.446 |
| SILVER DORY | Cyttus australis | 107 | 63 | 108 | 0.004 | - | - |  |  |
| MIRROR DORY | Zenopsis nebulosis | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - ${ }^{-}$ | 343 | 76 | 88 | 0.018 |
| JOHN DORY | Zeus faber | 976 | 157 | 73 | 0.041 | 2008 | 390 | 55 | 0.107 |
| OCEAN PERCH | Helicolenus percoides | 4602 | 906 | 42 | 0.193 | 3642 | 803 | 39 | 0.194 |
| COMMON GURNARD PERCH* | Neosebastes scorpaenoides | 543 | 169 | 80 | 0.023 | 253 | 87 | 92 | 0.013 |
| RED SCORPIONCOD | Scorpaena cardinalis | 43050 | 2517 | 15 | 1.802 | 35184 | 2176 | 15 | 1.872 |
| RED GURNARD | Chelidonichthys kumu | 11079 | 966 | 29 | 0.464 | 9108 | 793 | 29 | 0.485 |
| LATCHET | Pterygotrigla polyommata | 1116 | 289 | 68 | 0.047 | 545 | 117 | 78 | 0.029 |

KEY: * Associated estimates of weight are not provided for this taxon in Table 7 because a suitable length/weight conversion key was not available.

Table 6. Statewide estimates of recreational harvest (numbers of fish) with associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution for all common taxa taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites during the two year survey period - September 1993 to August 1995 inclusive.

| COMMON NAME | TAXON | SURVEY YEAR 1 |  |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% |
| TIGER FLATHEAD | Neoplatycephalus richardsoni | 48340 | 9341 | 13 | 2.023 | 41098 | 4677 | 12 | 2.186 |
| NORTHERN SAND FLATHEAD | Platycephalus arenarius | 125 | 96 | 107 | 0.005 | 59 | 20 | 110 | 0.003 |
| SOUTHERN SAND FLATHEAD | Platycephalus bassensis | - | - | - | - ${ }^{-}$ | 638 | 284 | 74 | 0.034 |
| EASTERN BLUE-SPOTTED FLATHEAD | Platycephalus caeruleopunctatus | 558781 | 30506 | 1 | 23.390 | 477653 | 27606 | 1 | 25.409 |
| DUSKY FLATHEAD | Platycephalus fuscus | 8265 | 859 | 31 | 0.346 | 9191 | 1113 | 28 | 0.489 |
| LONG-SPINED FLATHEAD | Platycephalus longispinis | 2126 | 425 | 53 | 0.089 | 1073 | 328 | 61 | 0.057 |
| MARBLED FLATHEAD | Platycephalus marmoratus | 14007 | 1306 | 26 | 0.586 | 12227 | 1076 | 25 | 0.650 |
| ORANGE-FRECKLED FLATHEAD* | Ratabulus diversidens | 222 | 68 | 95 | 0.009 | 396 | 93 | 85 | 0.021 |
| ORANGE PERCH* | Anthias pulchellus | 56 | 40 | 117 | 0.002 | 68 | 33 | 107 | 0.004 |
| BUTTERFLY PERCH* | Caesioperca lepidoptera | 67 | 54 | 114 | 0.003 | 88 | 49 | 104 | 0.005 |
| LONG-FINNED PERCH* | Caprodon longimanus | 1411 | 562 | 64 | 0.059 | 1885 | 862 | 56 | 0.100 |
| YELLOW-BANDED SEAPERCH* | Ellerkeldia annulata | - | - | - | - | 512 | 214 | 81 | 0.027 |
| HALF-BANDED SEAPERCH* | Ellerkeldia mccullochi | 1987 | 663 | 56 | 0.083 | 1052 | 393 | 62 | 0.056 |
| BLACK-BANDED SEAPERCH* | Hypoplectrodes nigrorubrum | 157 | 53 | 101 | 0.007 | - | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ |
| WIRRAH | Acanthistius ocellatus | 4375 | 610 | 43 | 0.183 | 2630 | 404 | 47 | 0.140 |
| MAORI COD | Epinephelus undulatostriatus | 727 | 95 | 76 | 0.030 | 902 | 104 | 66 | 0.048 |
| PEARL PERCH | Glaucosoma scapulare | 5858 | 1393 | 37 | 0.245 | 2933 | 526 | 43 | 0.156 |
| SIX-LINED TRUMPETER* | Pelates quadrilineatus | - | - | - | - | 690 | 211 | 71 | 0.037 |
| LONG-FINNED SEAPIKE | Dinolestes lewini | 45767 | 3591 | 14 | 1.916 | 42562 | 4555 | 11 | 2.264 |
| SAND WHITING* | Sillago ciliata | 37 | 26 | 120 | 0.002 | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | $0 \cdot$ |
| SCHOOL WHITING | Sillago flindersi | 5907 | 1092 | 35 | 0.247 | 7345 | 1309 | 32 | 0.391 |
| TAILOR | Pomatomus saltatrix | 52837 | 8239 | 11 | 2.212 | 36965 | 6403 | 13 | 1.966 |
| COBIA | Rachycentron canadum | 2839 | 326 | 50 | 0.119 | 1435 | 213 | 59 | 0.076 |
| AMBERJACK | Seriola dumerili | 181 | 44 | 99 | 0.008 | 79 | 18 | 105 | 0.004 |
| SAMSON FISH | Seriola hippos | 6011 | 624 | 34 | 0.252 | 3331 | 290 | 41 | 0.177 |
| KNNGFISH | Seriola lalandi | 22462 | 2578 | 19 | 0.940 | 12842 | 1384 | 24 | 0.683 |
| GIANT TREVALLY* | Caranx ignobilis | 69 | 18 | 113 | 0.003 | - | - | - | - |
| SILVER TREVALLY | Pseudocaranx dentex | 125384 | 9377 | 4 | 5.249 | 159322 | 13745 | 3 | 8.475 |
| YELLOWTAIL \& JACK MACKEREL | Trachurus novaezelandiae \& T. declivis | 115141 | 14159 | 5 | 4.820 | 85133 | 7221 | 6 | 4.529 |

Table 6. Statewide estimates of recreational harvest (numbers of fish) with associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution for all common taxa taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites during the two year survey period - September 1993 to August 1995 inclusive.

| COMMON NAME | TAXON | SURVEY YEAR 1 |  |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% |
| BASSET-HULLS TREVALLY* | Uraspis uraspis | 169 | 86 | 100 | 0.007 | - | - | - |  |
| DOLPHIN FISH | Coryphaena hippurus | 8739 | 2681 | 30 | 0.366 | 9775 | 2880 | 27 | 0.520 |
| SALMON | Arripis trutta | 7782 | 1145 | 32 | 0.326 | 2778 | 580 | 46 | 0.148 |
| YELLOWFIN BREAM | Acanthopagrus australis | 33612 | 2991 | 16 | 1.407 | 23308 | 2030 | 16 | 1.240 |
| SNAPPER | Pagrus auratus | 273700 | 10309 | 2 | 11.457 | 210293 | 8471 | 2 | 11.187 |
| TARWHINE | Rhabdosargus sarba | 12815 | 1854 | 27 | 0.536 | 15454 | 3091 | 20 | 0.822 |
| COLLARED SEA BREAM* | Gymnocranius audleyi |  |  |  |  | 49 | 17 | 111 | 0.003 |
| GRASS EMPORER* | Lethrinus laticaudis |  | - |  |  | 46 | 15 | 113 96 | 0.002 |
| SWEETLIP EMPORER* | Lethrinus miniatus | 196 | 45 | 97 | 0.008 | 202 | 83 157 | 64 | 0.051 |
| SPANGLED EMPORER* | Lethrinus nebulosus | 196 | 45 1191 | 97 40 | 0.008 0.212 | 953 2878 | 617 | 64 44 | 0.051 0.153 |
| MULLOWAY | Argyrosomus hololepidotus | 5060 23891 | 1191 | 18 | 0.212 1.000 | 11222 | 1092 | 26 | 0.597 |
| TERAGLIN | Atractoscion aequidens | 23891 | 3101 | 18 | 1.00 | 1222 91 | 102 | 103 | 0.005 |
| GREEN JOBFISH* | Aprion virescens |  |  |  | - | 38 | 16 | 114 | 0.002 |
| FIVE-LINED SEAPERCH* | Lutjanus quinquelineatus | 1862 | 186 | 57 | 0.078 | 2569 | 349 | 48 | 0.137 |
| MOSES PERCH* | Lutjanus russelli | 1862 51 | 186 18 | 57 118 | 0.002 | - | . | - |  |
| RED EMPORER* | Lutjanus sebae | 51 663 | 263 | 78 | 0.028 | 815 | 209 | 67 | 0.043 |
| SOUTHERN FUSILIER* | Paracaesio xanthurus Plectorhinchus flavomaculatus | 663 464 | 263 94 | 78 85 | 0.019 | 582 | 79 | 77 | 0.031 |
| NETTED SWEETLIPS* | Plectorhinchus flavomaculatus | 464 1719 |  | 58 | 0.072 | 2428 | 443 | 51 | 0.129 |
| BLACKSPOT GOATFISH | Parupeneus signatus | 1719 982 | 188 234 | 58 72 | 0.041 | 607 | 195 | 76 | 0.032 |
| BLUE-STRIPED GOATFISH | Upeneichthys lineatus | 982 | 234 | 7 | 0.041 | 329 | 88 | 89 | 0.018 |
| SILVER BATFISH* | Monodactylus argenteus Schuettea scalaripinnis |  |  |  | - | 132 | 58 | 102 | 0.007 |
| LADDER-FINNED POMFRET* | Schuettea scalaripinnis Girella elevata | 1309 | 285 | 65 | 0.055 | 168 | 61 | 98 | 0.009 |
| ROCK BLACKFISH* | Girella elevata Scorpis lineolatus | 102619 | 8432 | 6 | 4.296 | 90631 | 9140 | 5 | 4.821 |
| SILVER SWEEP | Scorpis lineolatus Atypichthys strigatus | 2301 | 434 | 51 | 0.096 | 2866 | 807 | 45 | 0.152 |
| MADO* ${ }^{\text {WHITE EAR* }}$ | Atypichthys strigatus Parma microlepis | 193 | 114 | 98 | 0.008 | 632 | 296 | 75 | 0.034 |
| WHITE EAR* ${ }^{\text {GIRDLED PARMA* }}$ | Parma microlepis Parma unifasciata | 567 | 331 | 79 | 0.024 | - | - | - |  |
| GIRDLED PARMA* | Chironemus marmoratus | 1462 | 333 | 62 | 0.061 | 488 | 187 | 83 | 0.026 |
| KELPFISH* ${ }^{\text {RED MORWONG }}$ | Cheilodactylus fuscus | 454 | 141 | 86 | 0.019 | 417 | 205 | 84 | 0.022 |

Table 6. Statewide estimates of recreational harvest (numbers of fish) with associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution for all common taxa taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites during the two year survey period - September 1993 to August 1995 inclusive.

| COMMON NAME | TAXON | SURVEY YEAR 1 |  |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% |
| BLUE MORWONG | Nemadactylus douglasii | 86448 | 4752 | 8 | 3.619 | 56216 | 3698 | 9 | 2.990 |
| JACKASS MORWONG | Nemadactylus macropterus | 11115 | 1941 | 28 | 0.465 | 6208 | 1741 | 34 | 0.330 |
| BASTARD TRUMPETER* | Latridopsis forsteri | 19 | 19 | 121 | 0.001 |  |  |  |  |
| STRIPED TRUMPETER* | Latris lineata | 218 | 85 | 96 | 0.009 | - |  |  |  |
| SNOOK* | Sphyraena novaehollandiae | 1013 | 576 | 71 | 0.042 | ${ }^{-}$ | ${ }_{867}$ | 40 |  |
| STRIPED SEAPIKE | Sphyraena obtusata | 1445 | 953 | 63 | 0.060 | 3352 | 867 | 40 |  |
| BLUE GROPER | Achoerodus viridis | 5459 | 559 | 39 | 0.229 | 4010 | 561 | 36 | 0.213 |
| GOLD-SPOT PIGFISH | Bodianus perditio | 154 | 51 | 102 | 0.006 | 308 | 51 | 90 | 0.016 0.027 |
| EASTERN FOXFISH | Bodianus sp. | - | - | $\bigcirc$ | -792- | 13496 | 1049 | 22 | 0.027 0.718 |
| BLACK-SPOT PIGFISH | Bodianus vulpinus | 18930 | 1440 | 22 | 0.792 | 13496 | 1049 | 35 | 0.718 0.255 |
| VENUS TUSKFISH* | Choerodon venustus | 2873 | 508 | 49 | 0.120 | 4794 | 912 | 99 | 0.255 0.009 |
| COMB FISH | Coris picta | - | 1167 |  | 0.601 | 13340 | 1520 | 23 | 0.009 0.710 |
| CRIMSON-BANDED WRASSE | Notolabrus gymnogenis | 14364 | 1167 172 | 77 | 0.601 0.028 | 13340 65 | 15 55 | 109 | 0.003 |
| BLUE-THROATED WRASSE | Notolabrus tetricus | 670 | 172 5822 | 77 9 | 0.028 2.910 | 57361 | 5055 | 8 | 3.051 |
| MAORI WRASSE | Ophthalmolepis lineolata | 69511 | 5822 | 9 | 2.910 | 57361 | 5055 | 8 | 3.051 |
| SENATOR WRASSE* | Pictilabrus laticlavius | 154 | 91 | 102 | 0.006 |  |  |  |  |
| MOON WRASSE | Thalassoma lunare | 286 | 80 | 93 | 0.012 | 2186 | 686 | 53 | 0.116 |
| BARRACOUTA | Thyrsites atun | 16068 538 | 2067 96 | 23 81 | 0.673 | 2186 696 | 686 90 | 70 | 0.037 |
| NARROW-BARRED SPANISH MACKEREL | Scomberomorus commerson | 538 3139 | 96 769 | 81 47 | 0.131 | 652 | 101 | 73 | 0.035 |
| SPOTTED MACKEREL | Scomberomorus munroi | 3139 | 769 | 4 | 0.131 | 49 | 20 | 111 | 0.003 |
| QUEENSLAND SCHOOL MACKEREL | Scomberomorus queenslandicus | 5890 | 790 | 36 | 0.247 | 2045 | 491 | 54 | 0.109 |
| FRIGATE MACKEREL | Auxis thazard | 5890 256154 | 55177 | 36 3 | 10.722 | 111559 | 20300 | 4 | 5.934 |
| SLIMY MACKEREL | Scomber australasicus |  | 517 |  |  | 157 | 80 | 100 | 0.008 |
| LEAPING BONITO | Cybiosarda elegans | 2974 | 432 | 48 | 0.124 | 2519 | 358 | 50 | 0.134 |
| MACKEREL TUNA | Euthynnus affinis Katsuwonus pelamis | 26784 | 5918 | 17 | 1.121 | 15425 | 4450 | 21 | 0.821 |
| SKIPJACK | Katsuwonus pelamis Sarda australis | 20445 | 2701 | 21 | 0.856 | 18683 | 4506 | 17 | 0.994 |
| AUSTRALIAN BONITO | Sarda australis Sarda orientalis | 89 | 49 | 112 | 0.004 | 67 | 26 | 108 | 0.004 |
| ORIENTAL BONITO | Sarda orientalis Thunnus alalunga | 5595 | 1289 | - 38 | 0.234 | 7375 | 4615 | 31 | 0.392 |

KEY: * Associated estimates of weight are not provided for this taxon in Table 7 because a suitable length/weight conversion key was not available.

Table 6. Statewide estimates of recreational harvest (numbers of fish) with associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution for all common taxa taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites during the two year survey period - September 1993 to August 1995 inclusive.

| COMMON NAME | TAXON | SURVEY YEAR 1 |  |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% |
| YELLOWFIN TUNA | Thunnus albacares | 3432 | 746 | 46 | 0.144 | 6293 | 1395 | 33 | 0.335 |
| STRIPED MARLIN | Tetrapturus audax | 281 | 124 | 94 | 0.012 | 287 | 90 | 91 | 0.015 |
| LARGE-TOOTHED FLOUNDER | Pseudorhombus arsius | 3550 | 705 | 45 | 0.149 | 3992 | 1106 | 37 | 0.212 |
| SMALL-TOOTHED FLOUNDER | Pseudorhombus jenynsii | 4653 | 653 | 41 | 0.195 | 3816 | 674 | 38 | 0.203 |
| BRIDLED TRIGGERFISH* | Suflamen fraenatus |  | 558 | ${ }_{7}{ }^{-}$ | 0 | 148 | 47 | 101 | 0.008 |
| BLACK REEF LEATHERJACKET | Eubalichthys bucephalus | 930 | 258 | 74 | 0.039 | 670 | 240 | 72 | 0.036 |
| MOSAIC LEATHERJACKET | Eubalichthys mosaicus | 135 | 48 | 105 | 0.006 | $\stackrel{-}{5}$ | 6 | 95 | 0.011 |
| YELLOW-STRIPED LEATHERJACKET* | Meuschenia flavolineata | 1696 | 474 | 59 | 0.071 | 215 | 106 | 95 | 0.011 |
| SIX-SPINED LEATHERJACKET | Meuschenia freycineti | 15633 | 1252 | 24 | 0.654 | 17423 | 3472 | 19 | 0.927 |
| HORSESHOE LEATHERJACKET | Meuschenia hippocrepis | 62 | 52 | 116 | 0.003 | ${ }^{-}$ |  | - | 173 |
| YELLOW-FINNED LEATHERJACKET | Meuschenia trachylepis | 2090 | 332 | 54 | 0.087 | 3252 | 573 | 42 | 0.173 |
| CHINAMAN LEATHERJACKET | Nelusetta ayraudi | 21010 | 5545 | 20 | 0.879 | 18275 | 3976 | 18 | 0.972 |
| VELVET LEATHERJACKET* | Parika scaber | 516 | 252 | 83 | 0.022 | 252 | 71 | 93 | 0.013 |
| TOOTHBRUSH LEATHERJACKET | Penicipelta vittiger | 480 | 235 | 84 | 0.020 | 1539 | 300 |  |  |
| ROUGH LEATHERJACKET | Scobinichthys granulatus. | 1613 | 343 | 60 | 0.068 | 1539 | 300 | 57 | 0.082 |
| LEATHERJACKETS OTHER | Unidentified Monacanthid species | 323 | 189 | 90 | 0.014 | 389 | 126 | 86 | 0.021 |
| COMMON SQUID | Loligo spp. | 6582 | 2300 | 33 | 0.276 | 8698 | 2540 | 30 | 0.463 |
| ARROW SQUID | Nototodarus gouldi | 2020 | 1025 | 55 | 0.085 | 1075 | 734 | 60 | 0.057 |
| OCTOPUS* | Octopus spp. | 1124 | 240 | 67 | 0.047 | 371 | 154 | 87 | 0.020 |
| GIANT CUTTLEFISH | Sepia apama | 3607 | 462 | 44 | 0.151 | 2544 | 443 | 49 |  |
| SOUTHERN CALAMARI | Sepioteuthis australis | 49301 | 6535 | 12 | 2.064 | 35372 | 5926 | 14 | 1.882 |
| SPANNER CRAB* | Ranina ranina | 102 | 39 | 109 | 0.004 | - | - | - |  |

Table 7. Statewide estimates of recreational harvest ( kg ) with associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution for all common taxa taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites during the two year survey period - September 1993 to August 1995 inclusive.

| COMMON NAME | TAXON | SURVEY YEAR 1 |  |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% |
| SCHOOL SHARK | Galeorhinus galeus | 1076 | 205 | 55 | 0.070 | 576 | 213 | 62 | 0.047 |
| GUMMY SHARK | Mustelus antarcticus | 2976 | 595 | 47 | 0.195 | 1715 | 389 | 47 | 0.139 |
| WHALER SHARKS | Carcharhinus spp. | 13765 | 4884 | 30 | 0.900 | 1687 | 241 | 48 | 0.136 |
| SERGEANT BAKER | Aulopus purpurissatus | 37301 | 1601 | 11 | 2.438 | 32012 | 1842 | 10 | 2.588 |
| LIZARDFISHES | All species combined | 233 | 129 | 69 | 0.015 | - | - |  |  |
| ROCK LING | Genypterus tigerinus | 9 | 5 | 79 | 0.001 | 5 | 4 | 79 | <0.001 |
| NANNYGAI | Centroberyx affinis | 24760 | 1555 | 16 | 1.619 | 18428 | 1491 | 16 | 1.490 |
| SILVER DORY | Cyttus australis | 17 | 9 | 78 | 0.001 | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ |  |
| MIRROR DORY | Zenopsis nebulosis | - | - |  | - | 219 | 43 | 67 | 0.018 |
| JOHN DORY | Zeus faber | 857 | 135 | 59 | 0.056 | 1630 | 275 | 50 | 0.132 |
| OCEAN PERCH | Helicolenus percoides | 1567 | 301 | 52 | 0.102 | 928 | 203 | 55 | 0.075 |
| RED SCORPIONCOD | Scorpaena cardinalis | 20641 | 1068 | 22 | 1.349 | 16072 | 947 | 21 | 1.299 |
| RED GURNARD | Chelidonichthys kumu | 7204 | 557 | 41 | 0.471 | 5282 | 457 | 37 | 0.427 |
| LATCHET | Pterygotrigla polyommata | 921 | 242 | 57 | 0.060 | 314 | 70 | 63 | 0.025 |
| TIGER FLATHEAD | Neoplatycephalus richardsoni | 21191 | 4665 | 21 | 1.385 | 18506 | 2313 | 15 | 1.496 |
| NORTHERN SAND FLATHEAD | Platycephalus arenarius | 32 | 28 | 77 | 0.002 | 23 | 9 | 78 | 0.002 |
| SOUTHERN SAND FLATHEAD | Platycephalus bassensis | - | - |  |  | 220 | 99 | 66 | 0.018 |
| EASTERN BLUE-SPOTTED FLATHEAD | Platycephalus caeruleopunctatus | 229267 | 12821 | 1 | 14.987 | 207527 | 16955 | 1 | 16.775 |
| DUSKY FLATHEAD | Platycephalus fuscus | 7762 | 784 | 39 | 0.507 | 7509 | 816 | 30 | 0.607 |
| LONG-SPINED FLATHEAD | Platycephalus longispinis | 360 | 70 | 66 | 0.024 | 211 | 69 | 68 | 0.017 |
| MARBLED FLATHEAD | Platycephalus marmoratus | 8757 | 850 | 38 | 0.572 | 7409 | 702 | 31 | 0.599 |
| WIRRAH | Acanthistius ocellatus | 2156 | 274 | 49 | 0.141 | 1622 | 280 | 51 | 0.131 |
| MAORI COD | Epinephelus undulatostriatus | 682 | 89 | 60 | 0.045 | 856 | 93 | 56 | 0.069 |
| PEARL PERCH | Glaucosoma scapulare | 9179 | 2630 | 36 | 0.600 | 3623 | 571 | 44 | 0.293 |
| LONG-FINNED SEAPIKE | Dinolestes lewini | 15117 | 1185 | 26 | 0.988 | 13493 | 1516 | 24 | 1.091 |
| SCHOOL WHITING | Sillago flindersi | 951 | 132 | 56 | 0.062 | 747 | 113 | 59 | 0.060 |
| TAILOR | Pomatomus saltatrix | 35046 | 4460 | 12 | 2.291 | 25485 | 3922 | 12 | 2.060 |
| COBIA | Rachycentron canadum | 13933 | 1821 | 29 | 0.911 | 7618 | 1068 | 29 | 0.616 |
| AMBERJACK | Seriola dumerili | 438 | 121 | 65 | 0.029 | 274 | 65 | 64 | 0.022 |
| SAMSON FISH | Seriola hippos | 7759 | 807 | 40 | 0.507 | 5102 | 577 | 38 | 0.412 |

Table 7. Statewide estimates of recreational harvest ( kg ) with associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution for all common taxa taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites during the two year survey period - September 1993 to August 1995 inclusive.

| COMMON NAME | TAXON | SURVEY YEAR 1 |  |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% |
| KINGFISH | Seriola lalandi | 52979 | 5598 | 7 | 3.463 | 35839 | 3335 | 8 | 2.897 |
| SILVER TREVALLY | Pseudocaranx dentex | 103549 | 9033 | 3 | 6.769 | 112296 | 11014 | 3 | 9.077 |
| YELLOWTAIL \& JACK MACKEREL | Trachurus novaezelandiae \& T. declivis | 24367 | 2783 | 17 | 1.593 | 17817 | 1412 | 19 | 1.440 |
| DOLPHIN FISH | Coryphaena hippurus | 11791 | 3133 | 33 | 0.771 | 12752 | 4282 | 26 | 1.031 |
| SALMON | Arripis trutta | 14725 | 2557 | 28 | 0.963 | 3963 | 860 | 42 | 0.320 |
| YELLOWFIN BREAM | Acanthopagrus australis | 21985 | 1928 | 20 | 1.437 | 14301 | 1273 | 23 | 1.156 |
| SNAPPER | Pagrus auratus | 184210 | 6863 | 2 | 12.042 | 187648 | 8801 | 2 | 15.168 |
| TARWHINE | Rhabdosargus sarba | 6535 | 1023 | 43 | 0.427 | 6006 | 916 | 36 | 0.485 |
| MULLOWAY | Argyrosomus hololepidotus | 27217 | 6068 | 15 | 1.779 | 16315 | 3142 | 20 | 1.319 |
| TERAGLIN | Atractoscion aequidens | 20068 | 2466 | 23 | 1.312 | 12547 | 1224 | 27 | 1.014 |
| BLACKSPOT GOATFISH | Parupeneus signatus | 913 | 98 | 58 | 0.060 | 1716 | 319 | 46 | 0.139 |
| BLUE-STRIPED GOATFISH | Upeneichthys lineatus | 340 | 98 | 67 | 0.022 | 199 | 60 | 70 | 0.016 |
| SILVER SWEEP | Scorpis lineolatus | 47548 | 3777 | 8 | 3.108 | 43168 | 4196 | 6 | 3.489 |
| RED MORWONG | Cheilodactylus fuscus | 616 | 199 | 62 | 0.040 | 603 | 296 | 60 | 0.049 |
| BLUE MORWONG | Nemadactylus douglasii | 90871 | 4965 | 4 | 5.940 | 54932 | 3848 | 5 | 4.440 |
| JACKASS MORWONG | Nemadactylus macropterus | 8944 | 1505 | 37 | 0.585 | 5092 | 1434 | 40 | 0.412 |
| STRIPED SEAPIKE | Sphyraena obtusata | 445 | 302 | 64 | 0.029 | 754 | 238 | 58 | 0.061 |
| BLUE GROPER | Achoerodus viridis | 15969 | 1732 | 25 | 1.044 | 12943 | 2000 | 25 | 1.046 |
| GOLD-SPOT PIGFISH | Bodianus perditio | 104 | 35 | 73 | 0.007 | 226 | 38 | 65 | 0.018 |
| EASTERN FOXFISH | Bodianus sp. | - | - | - | - | 157 | 75 | 72 | 0.013 |
| BLACK-SPOT PIGFISH | Bodianus vulpinus | 10938 | 773 | 34 | 0.715 | 6261 | 492 | 33 | 0.506 |
| COMB FISH | Coris picta | - | - | - | - | 31 | 16 | 77 | 0.003 |
| CRIMSON-BANDED WRASSE | Notolabrus gymnogenis | 6199 | 415 | 44 | 0.405 | 5102 | 575 | 38 | 0.412 |
| BLUE-THROATED WRASSE | Notolabrus tetricus | 677 | 184 | 61 | 0.044 | 81 | 69 | 74 | 0.007 |
| MAORI WRASSE | Ophthalmolepis lineolata | 23970 | 1860 | 18 | 1.567 | 18342 | 1452 | 17 | 1.483 |
| MOON WRASSE | Thalassoma lunare | 110 | 35 | 72 | 0.007 | - | - | - |  |
| BARRACOUTA | Thyrsites atun | 15108 | 1930 | 27 | 0.988 | 1427 | 441 | 52 | 0.115 |
| NARROW-BARRED SPANISH MACKEREL | Scomberomorus commerson | 4797 | 882 | 45 | 0.314 | 6719 | 981 | 32 | 0.543 |
| SPOTTED MACKEREL | Scomberomorus munroi | 12133 | 2775 | 31 | 0.793 | 3679 | 787 | 43 | 0.297 |
| QUEENSLAND SCHOOL MACKEREL | Scomberomorus queenslandicus | - | - | - | - | 38 | 16 | 76 | 0.003 |

Table 7. Statewide estimates of recreational harvest ( kg ) with associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution for all common taxa taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites during the two year survey period - September 1993 to August 1995 inclusive.

| COMMON NAME | TAXON | SURVEY YEAR 1 |  |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% |
| FRIGATE MACKEREL | Auxis thazard | 3751 | 526 | 46 | 0.245 | 1644 | 402 | 49 | 0.133 |
| SLIMY MACKEREL | Scomber australasicus | 40069 | 9427 | 9 | 2.619 | 18165 | 3483 | 18 | 1.468 |
| LEAPING BONITO | Cybiosarda elegans | - |  | - | - | 81 | 41 | 74 | 0.007 |
| MACKEREL TUNA | Euthynnus affinis | 11986 | 1776 | 32 | 0.784 | 6097 | 635 | 34 | 0.493 |
| SKIPJACK | Katsuwonus pelamis | 56783 | 13326 | 6 | 3.712 | 39024 | 11286 | 7 | 3.154 |
| AUSTRALIAN BONITO | Sarda australis | 34289 | 4544 | 13 | 2.241 | 28220 | 8426 | 11 | 2.281 |
| ORIENTAL BONITO | Sarda orientalis | 93 | 52 | 74 | 0.006 | 102 | 39 | 73 | 0.008 |
| ALBACORE | Thunnus alalunga | 38696 | 9461 | 10 | 2.530 | 35148 | 24921 | 9 | 2.841 |
| YELLOWFIN TUNA | Thunnus albacares | 73098 | 16568 | 5 | 4.778 | 59135 | 13738 | 4 | 4.780 |
| STRIPED MARLIN | Tetrapturus audax | 31848 | 12755 | 14 | 2.082 | 25237 | 8043 | 13 | 2.040 |
| LARGE-TOOTHED FLOUNDER | Pseudorhombus arsius | 1639 | 246 | 51 | 0.107 | 1426 | 379 | 53 | 0.115 |
| SMALL-TOOTHED FLOUNDER | Pseudorhombus jenynsii | 2210 | 262 | 48 | 0.144 | 1753 | 269 | 45 | 0.142 |
| BLACK REEF LEATHERJACKET | Eubalichthys bucephalus | 317 | 99 | 68 | 0.021 | 207 | 73 | 69 | 0.017 |
| MOSAIC LEATHERJACKET | Eubalichthys mosaicus | 41 | 15 | 76 | 0.003 | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - |  |
| SIX-SPINED LEATHERJACKET | Meuschenia freycineti | 10339 | 797 | 35 | 0.676 | 10359 | 2035 | 28 | 0.837 |
| HORSESHOE LEATHERJACKET | Meuschenia hippocrepis | 65 | 48 | 75 | 0.004 | - | - |  |  |
| YELLOW-FINNED LEATHERJACKET | Meuschenia trachylepis | 1153 | 166 | 54 | 0.075 | 1231 | 221 | 54 | 0.100 |
| CHINAMAN LEATHERJACKET | Nelusetta ayraudi | 6706 | 1558 | 42 | 0.438 | 6028 | 1284 | 35 | 0.487 |
| TOOTHBRUSH LEATHERJACKET | Penicipelta vittiger | 222 | 77 | 70 | 0.015 | $5{ }^{-}$ | - |  |  |
| ROUGH LEATHERJACKET | Scobinichthys granulatus | 498 | 109 | 63 | 0.033 | 593 | 116 | 61 | 0.048 |
| LEATHERJACKETS OTHER | Unidentified Monacanthid species | 118 | 63 | 71 | 0.008 | 191 | 68 | 71 | 0.015 |
| COMMON SQUID | Loligo spp. | 2072 | 685 | 50 | 0.135 | 4666 | 1230 | 41 | 0.377 |
| ARROW SQUID | Nototodarus gouldi | 1258 | 573 | 53 | 0.082 | 813 | 572 | 57 | 0.066 |
| GIANT CUTTLEFISH | Sepia apama | 22429 | 3248 | 19 | 1.466 | 15272 | 3647 | 22 | 1.234 |
| SOUTHERN CALAMARI | Sepioteuthis australis | 18995 | 2274 | 24 | 1.242 | 21680 | 3627 | 14 | 1.752 |

The ten most commonly harvested taxa, by number, during the second year of the survey (Table 6) were eastern blue-spotted flathead (25.4\%), snapper (11.2\%), silver trevally ( $8.5 \%$ ), slimy mackerel ( $5.9 \%$ ), silver sweep ( $4.8 \%$ ), yellowtail and jack mackerel (4.5\%), nannygai (3.4\%), maori wrasse (3.1\%), blue morwong (3.0\%), and sergeant baker ( $2.5 \%$ ). These ten taxa, by number, accounted for $72.3 \%$ of the statewide trailer boat harvest during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive (Table 6).

The ten most commonly harvested taxa, by weight, during the second year of the survey (Table 7) were eastern blue-spotted flathead (207.5 tonnes - 16.8\%), snapper ( 187.6 tonnes $-15.2 \%$ ), silver trevally ( 112.3 tonnes - $9.1 \%$ ), yellowfin tuna ( 59.1 tonnes - 4.8\%), blue morwong ( 54.9 tonnes $-4.4 \%$ ), silver sweep ( 43.2 tonnes $3.5 \%$ ), skipjack ( 39.0 tonnes - $3.2 \%$ ), kingfish ( 35.8 tonnes $-2.9 \%$ ), albacore ( 35.1 tonnes $-2.8 \%$ ), and sergeant baker ( 32.0 tonnes $-2.6 \%$ ). These ten taxa, by weight, accounted for $65.3 \%$ of the statewide trailer boat harvest during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive (Table 7).

## North Coast region - trailer boat angling

Overall, we recorded 143 taxa in the retained catch of recreational anglers fishing from trailer boats during the two years of the survey (Table 5). Trailer boat anglers kept 112 taxa during the first survey year and 117 taxa were harvested during the second survey year (Table 5). The ten most commonly harvested taxa, by number, during the first year of the survey (Table 8) were eastern blue-spotted flathead (32.3\%), snapper (26.3\%), nannygai (6.9\%), teraglin (4.5\%), red scorpioncod (2.8\%), silver trevally ( $2.2 \%$ ), tailor ( $2.1 \%$ ), sergeant baker ( $1.7 \%$ ), blue morwong ( $1.6 \%$ ), and yellowfin bream ( $1.6 \%$ ). These ten taxa, by number, accounted for $82.0 \%$ of the annual trailer boat harvest from the North Coast Region during the first survey year September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive (Table 8).

The ten most commonly harvested taxa, by weight, during the first year of the survey (Table 9) were snapper ( 93.8 tonnes - $25.2 \%$ ), eastern blue-spotted flathead (69.9

Table 8. Regional estimates of recreational harvest (numbers of fish) with associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution for all common taxa taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive.

| COMMON NAME | TAXON | NORTH COAST REGION |  |  |  | CENTRAL COAST REGION |  |  |  | SOUTH COAST REGION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% |
| PORT JACKSON SHARKS* | Heterodontus spp. | - | - | - | - | 98 | 34 | 75 | 0.009 | 44 | 28 | 76 | 0.006 |
| RUSTY CATSHARK* | Parascyllium ferrugineum | ${ }^{-}$ |  | - | ${ }^{-}$ |  | - | - | 0.026 | 44 |  | 76 | 0.006 |
| BLIND SHARK* | Brachaelurus waddi | 108 | 35 | 60 | 0.020 | 273 | 65 | 61 | 0.026 | - | - | - |  |
| WOBBEGONG SHARKS* | Orectolobus spp. | 1044 | 209 | 34 | 0.197 | 168 | 49 | 66 | 0.016 | 914 | 238 | 37 | 0.116 |
| SHORTFIN MAKO SHARK* | Isurus oxyrinchus | 97 | 71 | 46 | 0.056 | 168 | 32 | 76 | 0.008 | - | . | - |  |
| SCHOOL SHARK | Galeorhinus galeus | 297 | 71 | 46 | 0.056 | 85 | 32 | 76 | 0.008 | 1294 | 290 | 33 | 0.164 |
| GUMMY SHARK | Mustelus antarcticus | 176 | 45 123 | 56 41 | 0.033 0.098 | 145 | 58 | 68 | 0.014 | 12945 | 136 | 46 | 0.063 |
| WHALER SHARKS | Carcharhinus spp. | 518 | 123 | 41 | 0.098 | 145 | 58 | 68 | 0.014 | 432 | 187 | 51 | 0.055 |
| HAMMERHEAD SHARKS* | Sphyrna spp. | 244 | 94 | 49 | 0.046 | 499 | 162 | 57 | 0.047 | 53 | 34 | 75 | 0.007 |
| SHOVELNOSE RAYS* | Aptychotrema spp. \& Rhynchobatus spp. | 244 179 | 94 55 | 55 | 0.034 | 123 | 76 | 73 | 0.011 | 229 | 71 | 58 | 0.029 |
| BANJO RAY* | Trygonorhina fasciata | 179 | 55 | 55 | 0.034 | 131 | 65 | 72 | 0.012 | - | - | - |  |
| STINGAREES \& BLACK STINGRAYS* | Urolophus spp. \& Dasyatis spp. |  | - | - | - | 131 | 6 | - | - | 97 | 90 | 69 | 0.012 |
| EELS* | All species combined | 8914 | 617 | 8 | 1.685 | 39688 | 2313 | 11 | 3.710 | 7807 | 723 | 13 | 0.988 |
| SERGEANT BAKER | Aulopus purpurissatus | 8914 | 617 | 8 | 1.685 | 39688 | 2313 | 1 | 3.7 | 289 | 190 | 56 | 0.037 |
| LIZARDFISHES | All species combined |  | - |  | - | 1478 | 288 | 44 | 0.138 | 732 | 305 | 39 | 0.093 |
| BEARDED CODS* | All species combined | 63 | 37 | 65 | 0.012 | 147 | 288 | 4 | . | - | - | - |  |
| ROCK LING | Genypterus tigerinus | 63 | 37 | 65 | 0.012 | - | - | . | - | 308 | 228 | 54 | 0.039 |
| GARFISHES* | Hyporhamphus spp. |  | 50 | 58 | 0.026 | - | . | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| LONGTOMS* | All species combined | 137 36363 | 3424 | 58 3 | 0.026 6.872 | 25972 | 3925 | 13 | 2.428 | 24855 | 2362 | 7 | 3.146 |
| NANNYGAI | Centroberyx affinis | 36363 | 3424 | 3 | 6.872 | 259 | 3 | - | - | 107 | 63 | 67 | 0.014 |
| SILVER DORY | Cyttus australis | 280 | 48 | 48 | 0.053 | 589 | 140 | 54 | 0.055 | 107 | 54 | 67 | 0.014 |
| JOHN DORY | Zeus faber | 280 | 48 | 48 | 0.053 |  | 140 | 5 | 0.05 | 4602 | 906 | 19 | 0.583 |
| OCEAN PERCH | Helicolenus percoides |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | 543 | 169 | 44 | 0.069 |
| COMMON GURNARD PERCH* | Neosebastes scorpaenoides |  |  | 5 | 2.773 | 21789 | 1971 | 15 | 2.037 | 6590 | 906 | 15 | 0.834 |
| RED SCORPIONCOD | Scorpaena cardinalis | 14671 | 1276 147 | 35 | 2.773 0.194 | 21789 3008 | 1918 | 36 | 0.281 | 7043 | 802 | 14 | 0.892 |
| RED GURNARD | Chelidonichthys kumu | 1028 | 147 | 35 | 0.194 | 134 | 52 | 71 | 0.013 | 982 | 284 | 36 | 0.124 |
| LATCHET | Pterygotrigla polyommata | 7528 | 898 | 11 | 1.423 | 4410 | 1000 | 29 | 0.412 | 36402 | 9244 | 4 | 4.608 |
| TIGER FLATHEAD | Neoplatycephalus richardsoni | 7528 | 898 | 11 | 1.423 | 4410 | 100 | 2 | 0.412 | 125 | 96 | 65 | 0.016 |
| NORTHERN SAND FLATHEAD | Platycephalus arenarius |  |  | 1 | 32.274 | 143259 | 13762 | 1 | 13.391 | 244749 | 24109 | 1 | 30.983 |
| EASTERN BLUE-SPOTTED FLATHEAD | Platycephalus caeruleopunctatus | 170773 2538 | 12648 374 | 27 | 32.274 0.480 | r 5141 | 733 | 26 | 0.481 | 586 | 248 | 42 | 0.074 |
| DUSKY FLATHEAD | Platycephalus fuscus | 2538 | 374 | 27 | 0.480 | 1333 | 253 | 46 | 0.125 | 793 | 342 | 38 | 0.100 |
| LONG-SPINED FLATHEAD | Platycephalus longispinis |  |  | 14 | 1.192 | 7277 | 1145 | 23 | 0.680 | 421 | 115 | 52 | 0.053 |
| MARBLED FLATHEAD | Platycephalus marmoratus | 6309 | 617 | 5 |  | 727 | 115 | 2 | - |  | - | - |  |
| ORANGE-FRECKLED FLATHEAD* | Ratabulus diversidens | 222 | 68 | 51 |  | - | - | - |  | 56 | 40 | 74 | 0.007 |
| ORANGE PERCH* | Anthias pulchellus |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | 67 | 54 | 71 | 0.008 |
| BUTTERFLY PERCH* | Caesioperca lepidoptera | - |  |  |  | 1228 | 553 | 48 | 0.115 | 183 | 99 | 61 | 0.023 |
| LONG-FINNED PERCH* | Caprodon longimanus | - |  |  | - | 1508 | 624 | 43 | 0.141 | 479 | 225 | 48 | 0.061 |
| HALF-BANDED SEAPERCH* | Ellerkeldia mecullochi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]Table 8. Regional estimates of recreational harvest (numbers of fish) with associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution for all common taxa taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive.

| COMMON NAME | TAXON | NORTH COAST REGION |  |  |  | CENTRAL COAST REGION |  |  |  | SOUTH COAST REGION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% |
|  | Hypoplectrodes nigrorubrum | - | - | - | - | 141 | 50 | 69 | 0.013 | 16 | 16 | 80 | 0.002 |
| BLACK-BANDED SEAPERCH* | Hypoplectrodes nigrorubrum Acanthistius ocellatus | 226 | 52 | 50 | 0.043 | 3492 | 583 | 31 | 0.326 | 657 | 173 | 41 | 0.083 |
| WIRRAH MAORI COD | Epinephelus undulatostriatus | 727 | 95 | 37 | 0.137 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| MAORI COR | Glaucosoma scapulare | 5858 | 1393 | 16 | 1.107 | - | - ${ }^{-}$ | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - | 730 | - |  |
| LONG-FINNED SEAPIKE | Dinolestes lewini |  |  | - | - | 41543 | 3516 | 9 | 3.883 | 4224 | 730 | 21 | 0.535 |
| SAND WHITING* | Sillago ciliata | - | - | - | - | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | 0 | 37 1390 | 26 412 | 77 32 | 0.005 0.176 |
| SCHOOL WHITING | Sillago findersi | 2823 | 449 | 24 | 0.534 | 1694 | 906 | 41 | 0.158 | 1390 | 712 | 32 | 0.176 0.408 |
| TAILOR | Pomatomus saltatrix | 10880 | 1609 | 7 | 2.056 | 38732 | 8044 | 12 | 3.620 | 3225 | 761 | 26 | 0.408 |
| COBIA | Rachycentron canadum | 2839 | 326 | 23 | 0.537 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| AMBERJACK | Seriola dumerili | 181 | 44 | 54 | 0.034 | 4030 | 570 | 30 | 0377 |  |  | - |  |
| SAMSON FISH | Seriola hippos | 1981 | 254 | 28 | 0.374 | 4030 | 570 | 30 | 0.377 | 3697 | 1037 | 23 | 0.468 |
| KINGFISH | Seriola lalandi | 5859 | 484 | 15 | 1.107 | 12906 | 2310 | 19 | 1.206 | 3697 | 1037 | 23 | 0.468 |
| GIANT TREVALLY* | Caranx ignobilis | 69 | 18 | 64 | 0.013 | 107786 | 9238 | 2 | 10.075 | 5887 | 1097 | 16 | 0.745 |
| SILVER TREVALLY | Pseudocaranx dentex | 11711 | 1173 | 6 17 | 2.213 0.951 | 107786 82443 | 9238 13214 | 4 | 7.706 | 27665 | 5011 | 6 | 3.502 |
| YELLOWTALL \& JACK MACKEREL | Trachurus novaezelandiae \& T. declivis | 5033 | 865 | 17 | 0.951 | 169 | 136 | 65 | 0.016 | - | - | - |  |
| BASSET-HULLS TREVALLY* | Uraspis uraspis | 3610 | 751 | 19 | 0.682 | 5129 | 2574 | 27 | 0.479 | - | - | - | - |
| DOLPHIN FISH | Coryphaena hippurus | 3610 | 751 | 19 | 0.682 | 3291 | 2574 882 | 33 | 0.308 | 4491 | 730 | 20 | 0.569 |
| SALMON | Arripis trutta | 8661 | 755 | 10 | 1.637 | 24475 | 2890 | 14 | 2.288 | 476 | 149 | 49 | 0.060 |
| YELLOWFIN BREAM | Acanthopagrus australis | 8661 139082 | 755 7164 | 10 2 | 1.637 | 106679 | 6920 | 3 | 9.971 | 27939 | 2656 | 5 | 3.537 |
| SNAPPER | Pagrus auratus Rhabdosargus sarba | 139082 4157 | 7164 368 | 18 | 26.284 0.786 | r 8658 | 1817 | 22 | 0.809 | - | - | - |  |
| TARWHINE | Rhabdosargus sarba Lethrinus nebulosus | 4157 196 | 368 45 | 52 | 0.786 0.037 | 865 | 181 | 2 | - | - | - | - |  |
| SPANGLED EMPORER* | Lethrinus nebulosus Argyrosomus hololepidotus | 1972 | 295 | 29 | 0.373 | 3088 | 1154 | 35 | 0.289 | - |  | - |  |
| MULLOWAY | Argyrosomus hololepidotus Atractoscion aequidens | 1972 23589 | 295 3097 | + 4 | 4.458 | 302 | 149 | 60 | 0.028 | - | - | - |  |
| TERAGLIN | Atractoscion aequidens | 23589 1862 | 3097 186 | 4 30 | 4.458 0.352 | - | - | . | - | - | - | - |  |
| MOSES PERCH* | Lutjanus russelli Lutjanus sebae | 1862 51 | 186 18 | 30 68 | 0.352 0.010 | - | - | - | - | . | - | - |  |
| RED EMPORER* | Lutjanus sebae | 51 663 | 18 263 | 68 39 | 0.010 0.125 | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |  |
| SOUTHERN FUSILIER* | Paracaesio xanthurus | 663 464 | 263 94 | 43 | 0.1258 0.088 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| NETTED SWEETLIPS* | Plectorhinchus flavomaculatus | 464 | 94 | 43 | 0.088 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| BLACKSPOT GOATFISH | Parupeneus signatus | 1719 | 188 | 31 | 0.325 | 862 | 229 | 52 | 0.081 | 120 | 48 | 66 | 0.015 |
| BLUE-STRIPED GOATFISH | Upeneichthys lineatus |  |  |  |  | 862 1167 | 229 | 49 | 0.109 | 142 | 66 | 63 | 0.018 |
| ROCK BLACKFISH* | Girella elevata | 7161 | 1545 | 12 | 1.353 | 77830 | 7729 | 49 5 | 7.275 | 17628 | 2996 | 10 | 2.232 |
| SILVER SWEEP | Scorpis lineolatus | 7161 | 1545 | 12 | 1.353 | 7229 | 431. | 38 | 0.208 | 72 | 48 | 70 | 0.009 |
| MADO* | Atypichthys strigatus |  |  |  |  | 193 | 114 | 63 | 0.018 | . | - | - |  |
| WHITE EAR* | Parma microlepis |  |  |  |  | 567 | 331 | 55 | 0.053 | - | - | - |  |
| GIRDLED PARMA* | Parma unifasciata |  |  | - |  | 1462 | 333 | 45 | 0.137 | - | - | - |  |
| KELPFISH* | Chironemus marmoratus | - |  |  |  | 1462 454 | 141 | 58 | 0.042 | . | - | - |  |
| RED MORWONG | Cheilodactylus fuscus | 8688 | 623 | 9 | 1.642 |  |  | 10 | 3.769 | 37441 | 3347 | 3 |  |
| BLUE MORWONG | Nemadactylus douglasii | 8688 | 623 | 9 | 1.642 | 40319 | 3316 | 10 | 3.769 |  |  |  |  |

Table 8. Regional estimates of recreational harvest (numbers of fish) with associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution for all common taxa taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive.

| COMMON NAME | TAXON | NORTH COAST REGION |  |  |  | CENTRAL COAST REGION |  |  |  | SOUTH COAST REGION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% |
| JACKASS MORWONG | Nemadactylus macropterus | - | - | - | - | 553 | 260 | 56 | 0.052 | 10562 | 1924 | 12 | 1.337 |
| BASTARD TRUMPETER* | Latridopsis forsteri | - | - | - |  |  | - |  | - | 19 | 19 | 79 60 | 0.002 0.028 |
| STRIPED TRUMPETER* | Latris lineata | - |  |  |  | 750 | 567 | 53 | 0.070 | 263 | 100 | 57 | 0.033 |
| SNOOK* | Sphyraena novaehollandiae | - |  | - |  | 177 | 101 | 64 | 0.017 | 1268 | 948 | 34 | 0.161 |
| STRIPED SEAPIKE | Sphyraena obtusata | 676 | 119 |  | 0.128 | 4628 | 537 | 64 28 | 0.433 | 155 | 988 | 62 | 0.020 |
| BLUE GROPER | Achoerodus viridis | 676 | 119 | 38 | 0.128 | 4628 | 537 | 28 | 0.433 | 155 | 98 | 62 | 0.02 |
| GOLD-SPOT PIGFISH | Bodianus perditio | 154 | 51 | 57 | 0.029 | 13638 | 1187 | 18 | 1.275 | 4967 | 812 | 18 | 0.629 |
| BLACK-SPOT PIGFISH | Bodianus vulpinus | 325 | 55 | 44 | 0.061 | 13638 | 1187 | 18 | 1.275 | 4967 | 812 | 18 | 0.629 |
| VENUS TUSKFISH* | Choerodon venustus | 2873 | 508 | 22 | 0.543 | 11513 | 1087 | 21 | 1.076 | 1965 | 412 | 30 | 0.249 |
| CRIMSON-BANDED WRASSE | Notolabrus gymnogenis | 886 | 101 | 36 | 0.167 | 11513 | 1087 | 21 | 1.076 | 670 | 172 | 40 | 0.085 |
| BLUE-THROATED WRASSE | Notolabrus tetricus | 2914 | 269 | 21 | 0.551 | 42026 | 4804 | 8 | 3.928 | 24571 | 3277 | 8 | 3.110 |
| MAORI WRASSE | Ophthalmolepis lineolata | 2914 | 269 | 21 | 0.551 | 42026 | 4804 91 | 67 | 0.014 | 24 | - | . |  |
| SENATOR WRASSE* | Pictilabrus laticlavius | 286 | 80 | 47 | 0.054 | 154 | 91 | 67 | 0.014 | - | - | - | - |
| MOON WRASSE | Thalassoma lunare | 286 | 80 | 47 | 0.054 | 1569 | 270 | 42 | 0.147 | 14499 | 2050 | 11 | 1.835 |
| BARRACOUTA | Thyrsites atun | 538 | 96 | 40 | 0.102 | 1569 | 270 | 4 | 0.14 | - |  | - |  |
| NARROW-BARRED SPANISH MACKEREL | Scomberomorus commerson | 538 3139 | 96 769 | 40 20 | 0.102 0.593 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| SPOTTED MACKEREL | Scomberomorus munroi | 3139 57 | 769 17 | 67 | 0.011 | 1912 | 412 | 39 | 0.179 | 3921 | 674 | 22 | 0.496 |
| FRIGATE MACKEREL | Auxis thazard | 57 6745 | 17 1033 | 67 13 | 0.011 1.275 | 44452 | 5187 | $\begin{array}{r}7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4.155 | 204957 | 54923 | 2 | 25.945 |
| SLIMY MACKEREL | Scomber australasicus | 6745 2762 | 1033 392 | 13 25 | 1.275 0.522 | 212 | 180 | 62 | 0.020 | - | - | - | - |
| MACKEREL TUNA | Euthynnus affinis | 2762 314 | 392 94 | 25 45 | 0.522 0.059 | 212 6000 | 2552 | 25 | 0.561 | 20470 | 5339 | 9 | 2.591 |
| SKIPJACK | Katsuwonus pelamis | 314 2621 | -94 269 | 26 | 0.495 | 15535 | 2571 | 17 | 1.452 | 2289 | 784 | 28 | 0.290 |
| AUSTRALLAN BONITO | Sarda australis | 2621 89 | 269 49 | 26 61 | 0.495 0.017 | 15.5 | 251 | - |  | - | - | - |  |
| ORIENTAL BONITO | Sarda orientalis | 89 | 49 | 61 | 0.017 | - | - | - |  | 5595 | 1289 | 17 | 0.708 |
| ALBACORE | Thunnus alalunga |  |  | 59 | 0.021 | - |  | - | - | 3320 | 745 | 24 | 0.420 |
| YELLOWFIN TUNA | Thunnus albacares | 112 62 | 48 24 | 66 | 0.021 0.012 | - | - | - | - | 219 | 122 | 59 | 0.028 |
| STRIPED MARLIN | Tetrapturus audax | 62 482 | 24 74 | 66 42 | 0.012 0.091 | 2937 | 700 | 37 | 0.275 | 131 | 46 | 64 | 0.017 |
| LARGE-TOOTHED FLOUNDER | Pseudorhombus arsius | 482 1167 | 74 188 | 42 32 | 0.091 0.221 | 3428 | 625 | 32 | 0.320 | 58 | 28 | 73 | 0.007 |
| SMALL-TOOTHED FLOUNDER | Pseudorhombus jemynsii | 1167 | 188 | 32 | 0.221 | 3428 352 | 158 | 59 | 0.033 | 578 | 204 | 43 | 0.073 |
| BLACK REEF LEATHERJACKET | Eubalichthys bucephalus | - | - | - | - | 135 | + 48 | 70 | 0.013 | 578 |  | - |  |
| MOSAIC LEATHERJACKET | Eubalichthys mosaicus | - |  | - |  | 1696 | 48 474 | 40 | 0.159 | - | - | - |  |
| YELLOW-STRIPED LEATHERJACKET* | Meuschenia flavolineata | 196 | 43 | 52 | 0.037 | 1696 12708 | 1138 | 20 | 1.188 | 2729 | 520 | 27 | 0.345 |
| SIX-SPINED LEATHERJACKET | Meuschenia freycineti | 196 | 43 | 52 | 0.037 | 12708 | 1138 | 20 | - | 62 | 52 | 72 | 0.008 |
| HORSESHOE LEATHERJACKET | Meuschenia hippocrepis | 76 | 19 | 63 | 0.014 | 1024 | 265 | 50 | 0.096 | 990 | 199 | 35 | 0.125 |
| YELLOW-FINNED LEATHERJACKET | Meuschenia trachylepis | 76 1134 | 19 233 | 63 33 | 0.014 0.214 | 18249 | 5532 | 16 | 1.706 | 1627 | 282 | 31 | 0.206 |
| CHINAMAN LEATHERJACKET | Nelusetta ayraudi | 1134 | 233 | 33 | 0.214 | 18249 | 5532 | 16 | . | 516 | 252 | 45 | 0.065 |
| VELVET LEATHERJACKET* | Parika scaber | - |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | 480 | 235 | 47 | 0.061 |
| TOOTHBRUSH LEATHERJACKET | Penicipelta vittiger | - |  | - |  | 1320 | 325 | 47 | 0.123 | 293 | 108 | 55 | 0.037 |
| ROUGH LEATHERJACKET | Scobinichthys granulatus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]Table 8. Regional estimates of recreational harvest (numbers of fish) with associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution for all common taxa taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive.

| COMMON NAME | TAXON | NORTH COAST REGION |  |  |  | CENTRAL COAST REGION |  |  |  | SOUTH COAST REGION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% |
| LEATHERJACKETS OTHER | Unidentified Monacanthid species | - | - | - |  | 6582 | 230 | 24 | 0 | 323 | 189 | 53 | 0.041 |
| COMMON SQUID | Loligo spp. |  |  | - | - | 6582 | 2300 | 24 | 0.615 | 2020 | 1025 | 29 | 0.256 |
| ARROW SQUID | Nototodarus gouldi |  |  | 62 | 0.015 | 1008 | 237 | 51 | 0.094 | 37 | 29 | 77 | 0.005 |
| OCTOPUS* | Octopus spp. | 79 | 21 | 62 | 0.015 | 3173 | 450 | 34 | 0.297 | 434 | 105 | 50 | 0.055 |
| GIANT CUTTLEFISH | Sepia apama |  |  |  |  | 46045 | 6396 | 6 | 4.304 | 3256 | 1341 | 25 | 0.412 |
| SOUTHERN CALAMARI | Sepioteuthis australis Ranina ranina |  |  |  |  | 102 | 39 | 74 | 0.010 |  | - |  |  |

Table 9. Regional estimates of recreational harvest $(\mathrm{kg})$ with associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution for all common taxa taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive.

| COMMON NAME | TAXON | NORTH COAST REGION |  |  |  | CENTRAL COAST REGION |  |  |  | SOUTH COAST REGION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% |
| SCHOOL SHARK | Galeorhinus galeus | 906 | 194 | 32 | 0.244 | 170 | 65 | 51 | 0.027 | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | 2 |
| GUMMY SHARK | Mustelus antarcticus | 207 | 74 | 46 | 0.056 | - | - |  | - | 2769 | 591 | 28 | 0.532 |
| WHALER SHARKS | Carcharhinus spp. | 995 | 229 | 30 | 0.268 | 419 | 159 | 46 | 0.066 | 12351 | 4876 | 11 | 2.372 |
| SERGEANT BAKER | Aulopus purpurissatus | 6234 | 436 | 15 | 1.678 | 25376 | 1433 | 8 | 3.981 | 5691 | 56 | 46 | 1.093 |
| LIZARDFISHES | All species combined | $\bar{\square}$ | 5 | - | $0 \cdot{ }^{-}$ |  |  |  | - | 233 | 129 | 46 |  |
| ROCK LING | Genypterus tigerinus | 9 | 5 | 54 | 0.002 | 684 | 1057 | 22 | 1.075 | 7739 | 726 | 16 | 1.486 |
| NANNYGAI | Centroberyx affinis | 10172 | 879 | 11 | 2.737 | 6849 | 1057 | 22 | 1.075 | 779 17 | 76 9 | 58 | 0.003 |
| SILVER DORY | Cyttus australis | 323 | 57 | 42 | 0.087 | 502 | 121 | 43 | 0.079 | 32 | 16 | 56 | 0.006 |
| JOHN DORY | Zeus faber | 323 | 57 | 42 | 0.087 | 502 | 121 | 43 | 0.079 | 1567 | 301 | 34 | 0.301 |
| OCEAN PERCH | Helicolenus percoides | 5280 | 406 | 17 | 1.421 | 11072 | 884 | 19 | 1.737 | 4289 | 441 | 23 | 0.824 |
| RED SCORPIONCOD | Scorpaena cardinalis | 5280 771 | 406 114 | 17 33 | 1.421 0.207 | 11072 1824 | 341 | 34 | 0.286 | 4609 | 425 | 21 | 0.885 |
| RED GURNARD | Chelidonichthys kumu | 771 | 114 | 33 | 0.207 | 1824 103 | 41 | 53 | 0.016 | 818 | 239 | 36 | 0.157 |
| LATCHET | Pterygotrigla polyommata | 3225 | 411 | 23 | 0.868 | 1827 | 429 | 33 | 0.287 | 16139 | 4627 | 9 | 3.099 |
| TIGER FLATHEAD | Neoplatycephalus richardsoni | 3225 | 411 | 23 | 0.868 | 1827 | 429 | 3 | 0.28 | 32 | 28 | 56 | 0.006 |
| NORTHERN SAND FLATHEAD | Platycephalus arenarius | 69910 |  |  | 18.813 | 68031 | 6793 | 3 | 10.673 | 91326 | 9593 | 1 | 17.538 |
| EASTERN BLUE-SPOTTED FLATHEAD | Platycephalus caeruleopunctatus | 69910 | 5120 394 | 2 | 18.813 0.753 | 68031 4565 | 6793 657 | 28 | 10.673 0.716 | 91326 400 | 168 | 45 | 0.077 |
| DUSKY FLATHEAD | Platycephalus fuscus | 2797 | 394 | 25 | 0.753 | 4565 | 65 | 49 | 0.038 | 120 | 53 | 50 | 0.023 |
| LONG-SPINED FLATHEAD | Platycephalus longispinis | 4183 |  |  |  | 4397 | 760 | 29 | 0.690 | 177 | 45 | 48 | 0.034 |
| MARBLED FLATHEAD | Platycephalus marmoratus | 4183 178 | 380 42 | 20 47 | 1.126 0.048 | 4397 1407 | 220 | 36 | 0.221 | 571 | 158 | 41 | 0.110 |
| WIRRAH | Acanthistius ocellatus | 178 | 42 | 47 | 0.048 | 1407 | 220 | 36 | 0.221 | S7 | 158 | 1 |  |
| MAORI COD | Epinephelus undulatostriatus | 682 | 89 | 36 | 0.184 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| PEARL PERCH | Glaucosoma scapulare | 9179 | 2630 | 13 | 2.470 | 13513 | 1159 |  | 2.120 | 1604 | 247 | 33 | 0.308 |
| LONG-FINNED SEAPIKE | Dinolestes lewini |  |  |  | 0.075 | 13513 | 1159 110 | 18 | 2.120 0.032 | 1604 466 | 247 52 | 42 | 0.089 |
| SCHOOL WHITING | Sillago flindersi | 279 11934 | 51 1663 | 43 | 0.075 3.211 | 206 19725 | 110 4102 | 509 | 3.095 | 3387 | 545 | 25 | 0.650 |
| TAILOR | Pomatomus saltatrix | 11934 | 1663 | 8 | 3.211 | 19725 | 4102 | 9 | 3.095 | 3387 | 545 | 25 | 0.650 |
| COBIA | Rachycentron canadum | 13933 | 1821 | 5 | 3.749 | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |  |
| AMBERJACK | Seriola dumerili | 438 | 121 | 39 | 0.118 | - |  |  | - ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |
| SAMSON FISH | Seriola hippos | 5063 | 683 | 18 | 1.362 | 2696 | 431 | 31 | 0.423 | 8105 | 2473 |  |  |
| KINGFISH | Seriola lalandi | 15359 | 1385 | 4 | 4.133 | 29515 | 4828 | 6 | $\begin{array}{r}4.631 \\ \hline 13\end{array}$ | 8105 | 2473 858 | 15 | 1.556 0.908 |
| SILVER TREVALLY | Pseudocaranx dentex | 11284 | 1973 | 9 | 3.037 | 87535 | 8773 | 12 | 13.733 2.418 | 4730 8248 | 858 1836 | 14 | 1.584 |
| YELLOWTAIL \& JACK MACKEREL | Trachurus novaezelandiae \& T. declivis | 709 | 104 | 35 | 0.191 | 15410 | 2089 | 12 | 2.418 | 8248 | 1836 | 14 |  |
| DOLPHIN FISH | Coryphaena hippurus | 5536 | 1156 | 16 | 1.490 | 6255 | 2912 | 25 | 0.981 | 6300 |  | 18 |  |
| SALMON | Arripis trutta | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | 8425 | 2311 | 20 | 1.322 | 6300 | 1094 | 18 | 0.243 |
| YELLOWFIN BREAM | Acanthopagrus australis | 4109 | 333 | 21 | 1.106 25 | 15051 | 1879 4471 | 13 | 2.361 11.060 | 2825 19932 | 2100 | 8 | 3.828 |
| SNAPPER | Pagrus auratus | 93784 | 4765 | 1 | 25.238 | 70494 | 4471 | 27 | 1.060 0.765 | - | 210 | 8 |  |
| TARWHINE | Rhabdosargus sarba | 1656 | 151 1654 | 26 | 0.446 3.299 | 4879 14956 | 1012 5838 | 14 | 0.765 2.346 | - | - | - |  |
| MULLOWAY | Argyrosomus hololepidotus | 12261 | 1654 | 6 | 3.299 5.305 | 14956 356 | 5838 177 | 14 47 | 2.346 0.056 | - | - | - |  |
| TERAGLIN | Atractoscion aequidens | 19712 | 2459 | 3 | 5.305 | 356 | 177 | 47 | 0.056 | - | - | - |  |

Table 9. Regional estimates of recreational harvest (kg) with associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution for all common taxa taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive.

| COMMON NAME | TAXON | NORTH COAST REGION |  |  |  | CENTRAL COAST REGION |  |  |  | SOUTH COAST REGION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% |
| BLACKSPOT GOATFISH | Parupeneus signatus | 913 | 98 | 31 | 0.246 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 009 |
| BLUE-STRIPED GOATFISH | Upeneichthys lineatus |  |  | 24 | 0.765 | 291 37168 | 96 3472 | 48 5 | 0.046 5.831 | 49 7536 | 20 1353 | 55 17 | 0.009 1.447 |
| SILVER SWEEP | Scorpis lineolatus | 2844 | 619 | 24 | 0.765 | 37168 616 | 3472 199 | 42 | 0.097 | 536 | 135 | - |  |
| RED MORWONG | Cheilodactylus fuscus | 10047 | 777 | 12 | 2.704 | 37715 | 2903 | 42 | 5.917 | 43109 | 3952 | 3 | 8.278 |
| BLUE MORWONG | Nemadactylus douglasii | 10047 | 777 | 12 | 2.704 | 681 | 330 | 41 | 0.107 | 8263 | 1468 | 13 | 1.587 |
| JACKASS MORWONG | Nemadactylus macropterus |  |  |  |  | 37 | 21 | 55 | 0.006 | 408 | 301 | 44 | 0.078 |
| STRIPED SEAPIKE | Sphyraena obtusata | 1625 | 261 | 27 | 0.437 | 13546 | 1586 | 17 | 2.125 | 798 | 644 | 37 | 0.153 |
| BLUE GROPER | Achoerodus viridis | 1625 104 | 261 35 | 27 50 | 0.437 0.028 | 13546 | 1586 | 17 | 2.12 | - | - | - |  |
| GOLD-SPOT PIGFISH | Bodianus perditio | 104 | 35 50 | 44 | 0.064 | 6376 | 529 | 24 | 1.000 | 4325 | 561 | 22 | 0.831 |
| BLACK-SPOT PIGFISH | Bodianus vulpinus | 237 338 | 41 | 41 | 0.064 0.091 | 4166 | 363 | 30 | 0.654 | 1695 | 196 | 32 | 0.326 |
| CRIMSON-BANDED WRASSE | Notolabrus grmnogenis | 338 | 41 | 41 | 0.091 | 4166 | 36 | 3 |  | 677 | 184 | 39 | 0.130 |
| BLUE-THROATED WRASSE | Notolabrus tetricus | 1056 | 95 | 29 | 0.284 | 14154 | 1413 | 16 | 2.221 | 8760 | 1205 | 12 | 1.682 |
| MAORI WRASSE | Ophthalmolepis lineolata | 1056 | 95 35 | 49 | 0.284 0.030 |  | 1413 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| MOON WRASSE | Thalassoma lunare | 110 | 35 | 49 | 0.030 | 988 | 167 | 38 | 0.155 | 14120 | 1923 | 10 | 2.712 |
| BARRACOUTA | Thyrsites atun | 4797 | 882 | 19 | 1.291 | 988 |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| NARROW-BARRED SPANISH MACKEREL | Scomberomorus commerson | 4797 12133 | 882 2775 | 19 7 | 1.291 3.265 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| SPOTTED MACKEREL | Scomberomorus munroi | 12133 | 2775 28 | 52 | 3.265 0.022 | 1377 | 325 | 37 | 0.216 | 2293 | 413 | 30 | 0.440 |
| FRIGATE MACKEREL | Auxis thazard | 81 736 | 28 139 | 34 | 0.198 | 6584 | 725 | 23 | 1.033 | 32749 | 9398 | 6 | 6.289 |
| SLIMY MACKEREL | Scomber australasicus | 736 11065 | 139 1595 | 10 | 2.978 | 921 | 781 | 40 | 0.144 | - | - | - |  |
| MACKEREL TUNA | Euthynnus affinis | 11065 1175 | 1595 347 | 128 | 2.978 0.316 | 14435 | 5324 | 15 | 2.265 | 41173 | 12211 | 4 | 7.907 |
| SKIPJACK | Katsuwonus pelamis | 1175 3281 | 399 | 22 | 0.316 0.883 | 27554 | 4356 | 7 | 4.323 | 3454 | 1228 | 24 | 0.663 |
| AUSTRALIAN BONTO | Sarda australis | 3281 | 399 | 51 | 0.025 |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |
| ORIENTAL BONITO | Sarda orientalis | 93 | 52 | 51 | 0.025 | - | - | - |  | 38696 | 9461 | 5 | 7.431 |
| ALBACORE | Thunnus alalunga |  |  | 40 | 0.113 | - |  | - |  | 72679 | 16568 | 2 | 13.957 |
| YELLOWFIN TUNA. | Thunnus albacares | 419 7761 | 181 2974 | 40 14 | 0.113 2.089 | - |  | - | . | 24087 | 12404 | 7 | 4.626 |
| STRIPED MARLIN | Tetrapturus audax | 7761 210 | 2974 33 | 14 45 | 2.089 0.057 | 969 | 241 | 39 | 0.152 | 460 | 41 | 43 | 0.088 |
| LARGE-TOOTHED FLOUNDER | Pseudorhombus arsius | 210 670 | 33 97 | 45 | 0.180 | 1458 | 242 | 35 | 0.229 | 82 | 21 | 52 | 0.016 |
| SMALL-TOOTHED FLOUNDER | Pseudorhombus jenynsii | 670 | 97 | 37 | 0.180 | 162 | 241 81 | 52 | 0.025 | 155 | 57 | 49 | 0.030 |
| BLACK REEF LEATHERJACKET | Eubalichthys bucephalus |  |  |  | - | 162 41 | 15 | 54 | 0.006 | - | - | - |  |
| MOSAIC LEATHERJACKET | Eubalichthys mosaicus |  | 39 | 48 | 0.045 | 7791 | 642 | 21 | 1.222 | 2379 | 471 | 29 | 0.457 |
| SIX-SPINED LEATHERJACKET | Meuschenia freycineti | 169 | 39 | 48 | 0.045 | 791 | 642 | 21 | 1.222 | 65 | 48 | 54 | 0.012 |
| HORSESHOE LEATHERJACKET | Meuschenia hippocrepis |  |  |  |  | 431 | 113 | 44 | 0.068 | 678 | 121 | 38 | 0.130 |
| YELLOW-FINNED LEATHERJACKET | Meuschenia trachylepis | 44 589 | 13 126 | 53 38 | 0.012 0.159 | 5480 | 1550 | 26 | 0.860 | 637 | 107 | 40 | 0.122 |
| CHINAMAN LEATHERJACKET | Nelusetta ayraudi | 589 | 126 | 38 | 0.159 | 5480 | 155 | 26 | 0.860 | 222 | 77 | 47 | 0.043 |
| TOOTHBRUSH LEATHERJACKET | Penicipelta vittiger |  |  |  |  | 427 | 105 | 45 | 0.067 | 71 | 27 | 53 | 0.014 |
| ROUGH LEATHERJACKET | Scobinichthys granulatus | - |  |  |  | 42 | 105 | - | 0.067 | 118 | 63 | 51 | 0.023 |
| LEATHERJACKETS OTHER | Unidentified Monacanthid species | - |  |  |  | 2072 | 685 | 32 | 0.325 | 118 | 6 | - |  |
| COMMON SQUID | Loligo spp. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9. Regional estimates of recreational harvest ( kg ) with associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution for all common taxa taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive.

| COMMON NAME | TAXON | NORTH COAST REGION |  |  |  | CENTRAL COAST REGION |  |  |  | SOUTH COAST REGION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% |
| ARROW SQUID | Nototodarıs gouldi | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1258 | 573 | 35 | 0.242 |
| GIANT CUTTLEFISH | Sepia apama | - | - | - | - | 19042 | 3145 | 10 | 2.987 | 3387 | 812 | 25 | 0.650 |
| SOUTHERN CALAMARI | Sepioteuthis australis | - | - | - | - | 17118 | 2201 | 11 | 2.686 | 1877 | 571 | 31 | 0.360 |

tonnes $-18.8 \%$ ), teraglin ( 19.7 tonnes - $5.3 \%$ ), kingfish ( 15.4 tonnes $-4.1 \%$ ), cobia ( 13.9 tonnes $-3.7 \%$ ), mulloway ( 12.3 tonnes $-3.3 \%$ ), spotted mackerel ( 12.1 tonnes $3.3 \%$ ), tailor ( 11.9 tonnes $-3.2 \%$ ), silver trevally ( 11.3 tonnes $-3.0 \%$ ), and mackerel tuna ( 11.1 tonnes $-3.0 \%$ ). These ten taxa, by weight, accounted for $72.9 \%$ of the annual trailer boat harvest from the North Coast Region during the first survey year September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive (Table 9).

The ten most commonly harvested taxa, by number, during the second year of the survey (Table 10) were eastern blue-spotted flathead (27.9\%), snapper ( $26.9 \%$ ), nannygai ( $5.0 \%$ ), silver trevally ( $3.9 \%$ ), tailor ( $3.7 \%$ ), red scorpioncod (3.0\%), slimy mackerel $(2.7 \%)$, teraglin ( $2.3 \%$ ), yellowfin bream ( $2.1 \%$ ), and blue morwong ( $1.9 \%$ ). These ten taxa, by number, accounted for $79.4 \%$ of the annual trailer boat harvest from the North Coast Region during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive (Table 10).

The ten most commonly harvested taxa, by weight; during the second year of the survey (Table 11) were snapper ( 107.7 tonnes - 32.3\%), eastern blue-spotted flathead ( 64.3 tonnes $-19.3 \%$ ), tailor ( 14.1 tonnes $-4.2 \%$ ), kingfish ( 13.5 tonnes $-4.1 \%$ ), silver trevally ( 13.4 tonnes $-4.0 \%$ ), teraglin ( 11.5 tonnes - $3.4 \%$ ), blue morwong ( 9.2 tonnes $-2.7 \%$ ), mulloway ( 8.2 tonnes $-2.4 \%$ ), cobia ( 7.6 tonnes $-2.3 \%$ ), and narrowbarred spanish mackerel ( 6.7 tonnes $-2.0 \%$ ). These ten taxa, by weight, accounted for $76.7 \%$ of the annual trailer boat harvest from the North Coast Region during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive (Table 11).

## Central Coast region - trailer boat angling

Overall, we recorded 128 taxa in the retained catch of recreational anglers fishing from trailer boats during the two years of the survey (Table 5). Trailer boat anglers kept 111 taxa during the first survey year and 101 taxa were harvested during the second survey year (Table 5). The ten most commonly harvested taxa, by number, during the first year of the survey (Table 8) were eastern blue-spotted flathead ( $13.4 \%$ ), silver trevally ( $10.1 \%$ ), snapper ( $10.0 \%$ ), yellowtail and jack mackerel

Table 10. Regional estimates of recreational harvest (numbers of fish) with associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution for all common taxa taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive.

| COMMON NAME | TAXON | NORTH COAST REGION |  |  |  | CENTRAL COAST REGION |  |  |  | SOUTH COAST REGION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% |
| BLIND SHARK* | Brachaelurus waddi | - | - | - | - | 79 | 29 | 74 | 0.008 | - | - | - |  |
| WOBBEGONG SHARKS* | Orectolobus spp. | 440 | 60 | 48 | 0.100 | 96 | 38 | 72 | 0.010 | $7{ }^{-}$ | - | - |  |
| SHORTFIN MAKO SHARK* | Isurus oxyrinchus |  | - | - | - | 88 | 32 | 73 | 0.009 | 702 | 136 | 34 | 0.159 |
| SCHOOL SHARK | Galeorhinus galeus | 69 | 19 | 69 | 0.016 | - | - | - | - ${ }^{-}$ | 114 | 52 | 57 | 0.026 |
| GUMMY SHARK | Mustelus antarcticus | 336 | 49 | 53 | 0.076 | 305 | 103 | 62 | 0.031 | 273 | 74 | 44 | 0.062 |
| WHALER SHARKS | Carcharhinus spp. | 962 | 147 | 36 | 0.218 | - | - | - |  | 32 | 32 | 65 | 0.007 |
| HAMMERHEAD SHARKS* | Sphyrna spp. | 41 | 17 | 76 | 0.009 | - | - | - |  | 180 35 | 72 | 49 | 0.041 |
| ANGEL SHARK* | Squatina australis | - | - | - |  | - | $3{ }^{-}$ | ${ }_{4}$ | $0 \cdot 9$ | 35 | 28 | 6 | 0.008 |
| SHOVELNOSE RAYS* | Aptychotrema spp. \& Rhynchobatus spp. | 545 | 121 | 45 | 0.123 | 979 | 326 | 44 | 0.098 0.024 | 163 | 100 | 53 | 0.037 |
| BANJO RAY* | Trygonorhina fasciata | 114 | 25 | 62 | 0.026 | 236 | 142 | 65 | 0.024 3.663 | 163 4585 | 100 | 17 | 0.037 1.039 |
| SERGEANT BAKER | Aulopus purpurissatus | 6338 | 412 | 12 | 1.436 | 36528 | 2699 | 8 | 3.663 | 4 | 80 |  |  |
| BEARDED CODS* | All species combined |  |  |  | - | 622 | 147 | 49 | 0.062 | 173 | 80 14 | 51 69 | 0.039 0.005 |
| ROCK LING | Genypterus tigerinus | ${ }^{-}$ | - | ${ }^{-}$ | 0. | - |  |  |  | 20 | 14 | 69 | 0.005 |
| LONGTOMS* | All species combined | 2250 | 829 | 26 | 0.510 | - ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - ${ }^{-}$ | 13112 | 2021 |  |  |
| NANNYGAI | Centroberyx affinis | 21888 | 3256 | 3 | 4.960 | 29776 | 3246 | 11 | 2.986 | 13112 | 2021 58 | 60 | 2.970 0.022 |
| MIRROR DORY | Zenopsis nebulosis | 246 | 50 | 57 | 0.056 | 14 | - | - | 0 | 97 | 58 | 60 | 0.022 |
| JOHN DORY | Zeus faber | 537 | 63 | 46 | 0.122 | 1471 | 385 | 40 | 0.148 | - | - | - | 0.825 |
| OCEAN PERCH | Helicolenus percoides | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | 3642 | 803 | 45 | 0.825 |
| COMMON GURNARD PERCH* | Neosebastes scorpaenoides |  |  | $\overline{6}$ | - ${ }^{-}$ | 17094 | 1865 | 14 | 1714 | 253 4763 | 87 788 | 45 16 | 0.057 1.079 |
| RED SCORPIONCOD | Scorpaena cardinalis | 13327 | 796 | 6 | 3.020 | 17094 | 1865 | 14 | 1.714 | 4763 | 788 448 | 16 | 1.079 0.987 |
| RED GURNARD | Chelidonichthys kumu | 1273 | 141 | 32 | 0.288 | 3478 | 638 | 29 | 0.349 | 4357 | 448 | 18 |  |
| LATCHET | Pterygotrigla polyommata | ${ }^{-}$ |  |  | - 30 | 254 | 62 | 63 | 0.025 | 291 24738 | 99 3960 | 42 | 0.066 5.604 |
| TIGER FLATHEAD | Neoplatycephalus richardsoni | 5735 | 1208 | 13 | 1.300 | 10625 | 2176 | 18 | 1.066 | 24738 | 3960 | 3 | 5.604 |
| NORTHERN SAND FLATHEAD | Platycephalus arenarius | 59 | 20 | 72 | 0.013 |  | - |  | - | 638 | ${ }^{-}$ | 35 |  |
| SOUTHERN SAND FLATHEAD | Platycephalus bassensis | - | - | - | - ${ }^{-}$ | - ${ }^{-}$ | - ${ }^{-}$ |  | 18335 | 1552 | 12716 | 35 | 0.145 |
| EASTERN BLUE-SPOTTED FLATHEAD | Platycephalus caeruleopunctatus | 123281 | 11729 | 1 | 27.935 | 182820 | 21514 | 1 | 18.335 | 171552 | 12716 | 1 | 38.864 |
| DUSKY FLATHEAD | Platycephalus fuscus | 1626 | 183 | 29 | 0.368 | 7539 | 1097 | 26 | 0.756 | 26 | 20 | 66 | 0.006 |
| LONG-SPINED FLATHEAD | Platycephalus longispinis | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | $0 \cdot$ | 352 | 173 | 60 | 0.035 | 721 | 279 79 | 33 | 0.163 |
| MARBLED FLATHEAD | Platycephalus marmoratus | 3990 | 341 | 17 | 0.904 | 7931 | 1018 | 24 | 0.795 | 306 | 79 | 41 | 0.069 |
| ORANGE-FRECKLED FLATHEAD* | Ratabulus diversidens | 396 | 93 | 52 | 0.090 | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - ${ }^{-}$ |  | - | - |  |
| ORANGE PERCH* | Anthias pulchellus | - | - | - | - | 68 | 33 | 76 | 0.007 | 88 | $\stackrel{-}{9}$ | 61 |  |
| BUTTERFLY PERCH* | Caesioperca lepidoptera | - | - | - | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | 88 |  |  |  |
| LONG-FINNED PERCH* | Caprodon longimanus | - | - | - | - | 1885 | 862 | 36 | 0.189 | - | - | - |  |
| YELLOW-BANDED SEAPERCH* | Ellerkeldia annulata | - | - | - | - | 512 | 214 | 52 | 0.051 0.095 | 104 | 53 | 59 | 0.024 |
| HALF-BANDED SEAPERCH* | Ellerkeldia mccullochi | - | 57 | 50 | 0.092 | 948 1697 | 389 327 | 45 38 | 0.095 0.170 | 104 526 | 231 | 38 | 0.119 |
| WIRRAH | Acanthistius ocellatus | 407 | 57 | 50 | 0.092 | 1697 | 327 | 38 | 0.170 | 526 | 231 | 38 | 0.119 |
| MAORI COD | Epinephelus undulatostriatus | 902 | 104 | 38 | 0.204 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| PEARL PERCH | Glaucosoma scapulare | 2933 | 526 | 20 | 0.665 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

KEY: * Associated estimates of weight are not provided for this taxon in Table 11 because a suitable length/weight conversion key was not available.

Table 10. Regional estimates of recreational harvest (numbers of fish) with associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution for all common taxa taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive.

| COMMON NAME | TAXON | NORTH COAST REGION |  |  |  | CENTRAL COAST REGION |  |  |  | SOUTH COAST REGION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% | No. Fish | s.e. | Rank | \% |
| SIX-LINED TRUMPETER* | Pelates quadrilineatus | - | - | - | - | 576 | 196 | 50 | 0.058 | 114 | 78 | 57 | 0.026 |
| LONG-FINNED SEAPIKE | Dinolestes lewini | - | - | - | - | 38708 | 4380 | 6 | 3.882 | 3854 | 1253 | 19 | 0.873 |
| SCHOOL WHITING | Sillago flindersi | 3789 | 777 | 18 | 0.859 | 1048 | 344 | 43 | 0.105 | 2508 | 995 | 22 | 0.568 |
| TAILOR | Pomatomus saltatrix | 16153 | 2403 | 5 | 3.660 | 17260 | 5803 | 13 | 1.731 | 3552 | 1245 | 21 | 0.805 |
| COBIA | Rachycentron canadum | 1435 | 213 | 31 | 0.325 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| AMBERJACK | Seriola dumerili | 79 | 18 | 67 | 0.018 | $\stackrel{-}{5}$ | - | 55 | - | - | - | - |  |
| SAMSON FISH | Seriola hippos | 2856 | 244 | 22 | 0.647 | 475 | 157 | 55 | 0.048 | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - |  |
| KINGFISH | Seriola lalandi | 2861 | 274 | 21 | 0.648 | 7775 | 1279 | 25 | 0.780 | 2206 | 451 | 24 | 0.500 |
| SILVER TREVALLY | Pseudocaranx dentex | 17377 | 2742 | 4 | 3.938 | 136486 | 13432 | 2 | 13.688 | 5459 | 992 | 15 | 1.237 |
| YELLOWTAIL \& JACK MACKEREL | Trachurus novaezelandiae \& T. declivis | 5358 | 763 | 15 | 1.214 | 70934 | 7027 | 5 | 7.114 | 8841 | 1479 | 10 | 2.003 |
| DOLPHIN FISH | Coryphaena hippurus | 2169 | 655 | 27 | 0.491 | 5536 | 2533 | 28 | 0.555 | 2070 | 1202 | 25 | 0.469 |
| SALMON | Arripis trutta | - | - | - | - | 893 | 231 | 46 | 0.090 | 1885 | 532 | 27 | 0.427 |
| YELLOWFIN BREAM | Acanthopagrus australis | 9354 | 771 | 9 | 2.120 | 13780 | 1877 | 17 | 1.382 | 174 | 65 | 50 | 0.039 |
| SNAPPER | Pagrus auratus | 118695 | 6177 | 2 | 26.896 | 75532 | 5492 | 3 | 7.575 | 16066 | 1856 | 5 | 3.640 |
| TARWHINE | Rhabdosargus sarba | 6678 | 554 | 11 | 1.513 | 8776 | 3041 | 21 | 0.880 | - | - | - | - |
| COLLARED SEA BREAM* | Gymnocranius audleyi | 49 | 17 | 73 | 0.011 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |
| GRASS EMPORER* | Lethrinus laticaudis | 46 | 15 | 75 | 0.010 | - | - | - | - | - | $7{ }^{-}$ | 56 |  |
| SWEETLIP EMPORER* | Lethrinus miniatus | 81 | 23 | 66 | 0.018 | - | - | - | - | 121 | 79 | 56 | 0.027 |
| SPANGLED EMPORER* | Lethrinus nebulosus | 953 | 157 | 37 | 0.216 | - | - | 37 | - |  |  |  |  |
| MULLOWAY | Argyrosomus hololepidotus | 1047 | 154 | 34 | 0.237 | 1831 | 598 | 37 | 0.184 | - | - | - |  |
| TERAGLIN | Atractoscion aequidens | 10364 | 995 | 8 | 2.348 | 858 | 448 | 47 | 0.086 | - | - |  |  |
| GREEN JOBFISH* | Aprion virescens | 91 | 32 | 63 | 0.021 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| FIVE-LINED SEAPERCH* | Lutjanus quinquelineatus | 38 | 16 | 77 | 0.009 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| MOSES PERCH* | Lutjanus russelli | 2569 | 349 | 23 | 0.582 | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| SOUTHERN FUSILIER* | Paracaesio xanthurus | 815 | 209 | 39 | 0.185 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| NETTED SWEETLIPS* | Plectorhinchus flavomaculatus | 582 | 79 | 44 | 0.132 | - | ${ }^{-}$ | 41 | 0.141 | - |  | - |  |
| BLACKSPOT GOATFISH | Parupeneus signatus | 1021 | 87 | 35 | 0.231 | 1407 | 435 | 41 | 0.141 | 139 | 130 | 55 |  |
| BLUE-STRIPED GOATFISH | Upeneichthys lineatus | - | - | - | - ${ }^{-}$ | 468 | 145 | 56 | 0.047 | 139 | 130 | 55 |  |
| SILVER BATFISH* | Monodactylus argenteus | 329 | 88 | 54 | 0.075 | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| LADDER-FINNED POMFRET* | Schuettea scalaripinnis | 132 | 58 | 61 | 0.030 | - | 61 | 68 | 0.7 | - |  | - |  |
| ROCK BLACKFISH* | Girella elevata | 5590 | 680 | 14 | 1267 | 168 72641 | 61 8773 | 68 4 | 0.017 7.285 | 12400 | 2470 | 8 | 2.809 |
| SILVER SWEEP | Scorpis lineolatus | 5590 | 680 | 14 | 1.267 |  | 8773 | 4 | 7.285 | 12400 |  |  |  |
| MADO* | Atypichthys strigatus | 403 | 155 | 51 | 0.091 | 2463 | 792 | 33 | 0.247 | - | - | - |  |
| WHITE EAR* | Parma microlepis | - | - | - | - ${ }^{-}$ | 632 | 296 | 48 | 0.063 | - | - | - |  |
| KELPFISH* | Chironemus marmoratus | 236 | 86 | 58 | 0.053 | 252 | 166 | 64 58 | 0.025 0.039 | 24 | 18 | 67 | 0.005 |
| RED MORWONG | Cheilodactylus fuscus | 8567 | 638 | 10 | 1.941 | 393 34719 | 204 3339 | 58 10 | 0.039 3.482 | 12930 | 1456 |  | 2.929 |
| BLUE MORWONG | Nemadactylus douglasii | 8567 | 638 | 10 | 1.941 | 34719 | 3339 | 10 | 3.482 | 12930 6208 | 1741 | 13 | 1.906 |
| JACKASS MORWONG | Nemadactylus macropterus |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6208 | 174. | 13 |  |

[^2]Table 10. Regional estimates of recreational harvest (numbers of fish) with associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution for all common taxa taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive.

| COMMON NAME | TAXON | NORTH COAST REGION |  |  |  | CENTRAL COAST REGION |  |  |  | SOUTH COAST REGION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% |
| STRIPED SEAPIKE | Sphyraena obtusata | 1539 | 376 | 30 | 0.349 | 1606 | 775 | 39 | 0.161 | 207 | 97 | 48 | 0.047 |
| BLUE GROPER | Achoerodus viridis | 412 | 83 | 49 | 0.093 | 3441 | 551 | 30 | 0.345 | 157 | 56 | 54 | 0.036 |
| GOLD-SPOT PIGFISH | Bodianus perditio | 308 | 51 | 55 | 0.070 | ${ }^{-}$ | - | $5{ }^{-}$ | - |  | - |  |  |
| EASTERN FOXFISH | Bodianus sp. | - | - | - | - | 504 | 249 | 53 | 0.051 | - | $\stackrel{\square}{ }$ | ${ }^{-}$ |  |
| BLACK-SPOT PIGFISH | Bodianus vulpinus | 710 | 196 | 41 | 0.161 | 10439 | 937 | 19 | 1.047 | 2347 | 429 | 23 | 0.532 |
| VENUS TUSKFISH* | Choerodon venustus | 4794 | 912 | 16 | 1.086 | - | - | - | - ${ }^{-}$ |  |  | - |  |
| COMB FISH | Coris picta | - | ${ }^{-}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | 162 | 91 1475 | 69 | 0.016 | 936 | 193 | 31 | 0.212 |
| CRIMSON-BANDED WRASSE | Notolabrus gymnogenis | 2269 | 312 | 25 | 0.514 | 10135 | 1475 | 20 | 1.016 | 936 65 | 1935 | 62 | 0.212 |
| BLUE-THROATED WRASSE | Notolabrus tetricus | 3085 | 250 |  | 0.699 | 38116 | 4413 | 7 | 3.823 | 16160 | 2453 | 4 | 3.661 |
| MAORI WRASSE | Ophthalmolepis lineolata | 3085 | 250 | 19 | 0.699 | 38116 120 | 44 | 71 | 0.012 | 2066 | 685 | 26 | 0.468 |
| BARRACOUTA | Thyrsites atun | 696 | 90 | 42 | 0.158 |  |  |  | - | - | - | . |  |
| NARROW-BARRED SPANISH MACKEREL | Scomberomorus commerson | 696 | 90 | 42 | 0.158 0.148 | - | - | - | - | - |  | . |  |
| SPOTTED MACKEREL | Scomberomorus munroi | 652 | 101 | 43 | 0.148 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| QUEENSLAND SCHOOL MACKEREL | Scomberomorus queenslandicus | 49 | 20 | 73 | 0.011 | 561 | 177 | 51 | 0056 | 1397 | 458 | 29 | 0.316 |
| FRIGATE MACKEREL | Auxis thazard | 87 11719 | $\begin{array}{r}27 \\ \hline 2948\end{array}$ | 64 | 0.020 2.655 | 561 29256 | 177 3837 | 12 | 0.056 2.934 | 70584 | 19715 | 2 | 15.990 |
| SLIMY MACKEREL | Scomber australasicus | 11719 | 2948 | 7 | 2.655 | 29256 157 | 3837 80 | 70 | 2.934 0.016 | 70584 | 1971 | 2 |  |
| LEAPING BONITO | Cybiosarda elegans | - | - | - |  | 157 |  | 54 | 0.049 | - |  |  |  |
| MACKEREL TUNA | Euthynnus affinis | 2032 | 181 | 28 | 0.460 | 487 | 309 1761 | 54 | 0.049 0.570 | 9658 | 4086 | 9 | 2.188 |
| SKIPJACK | Katsuwonus pelamis | 82 | 24 | 65 | 0.019 0.518 | 5685 8411 | 1763 | 27 23 | 0.844 | 7984 | 4232 | 11 | 1.809 |
| AUSTRALIAN BONITO | Sarda australis | 2288 | 192 | 24 | 0.518 | 8411 | 1537 | 23 | 0.844 | 988 |  |  |  |
| ORIENTAL BONITO | Sarda orientalis | 67 | 26 | 70 | 0.015 | - |  |  | - | 7375 | 4615 | 12 | 1.671 |
| ALBACORE | Thunnus alalunga | 69 | 70 | 56 | 0.061 | - | - | - | - | 6024 | 1393 | 14 | 1.365 |
| YELLOWFIN TUNA | Thunnus albacares | 269 | 70 | 56 | 0.061 | - | - | - | - | 287 | 90 | 43 | 0.065 |
| STRIPED MARLIN | Tetrapturus audax | 494 | 84 |  | 0.112 | 3187 | 1099 | 31 | 0.320 | 311 | 84 | 40 | 0.070 |
| LARGE-TOOTHED FLOUNDER | Pseudorhombuts arsius | 494 754 | 84 89 | 47 40 | 0.112 0.171 | 2896 | 1099 656 | 32 | 0.290 | 166 | 125 | 52 | 0.038 |
| SMALL-TOOTHED FLOUNDER | Pseudorhombus jenynsii | 754 148 | 89 47 | 60 | 0.171 0.034 | 2896 | 656 | 32 | - | - | - | . |  |
| BRIDLED TRIGGERFISH* | Sufflamen fraenatus | 148 | 47 | 60 | 0.034 | 444 | 189 | 57 | 0.045 | 226 | 147 | 46 | 0.051 |
| BLACK REEF LEATHERJACKET | Eubalichthys bucephalus |  |  |  | - | 215 | 106 | 67 | 0.022 | - | - | - |  |
| YELLOW-STRIPED LEATHERJACKET* | Meuschenia flavolineata | $\stackrel{-}{7}$ | - |  | 0.040 |  | 106 3456 | 16 | 1.557 | 1724 | 332 | 28 | 0.391 |
| SIX-SPINED LEATHERJACKET | Meuschenia freycineti | 177 | 38 | 59 |  | 15522 2298 | 3456 555 | 16 35 | 1.557 0.230 | 880 | 142 | 32 | 0.199 |
| YELLOW-FINNED LEATHERJACKET | Meuschenia trachylepis | 74 1102 | 18 142 | 68 33 | 0.017 0.250 | 2298 16600 | 555 3971 | 35 15 | 0.230 1.665 | 880 573 | 136 | 36 | 0.130 |
| CHINAMAN LEATHERJACKET | Nelusetta ayraudi | 1102 | 142 | 33 | 0.250 | 16600 218 | 3971 68 | 66 | 0.022 | 34 | 18 | 64 | 0.008 |
| VELVET LEATHERJACKET* | Parika scaber | - |  | - | - | 218 1124 | 267 | 42 | 0.113 | 415 | 136 | 39 | 0.094 |
| ROUGH LEATHERJACKET | Scobinichthys granulatus | 66 | 23 | 71 | 0.015 | 1124 323 | 124 | 61 | 0.032 |  |  | - |  |
| LEATHERJACKETS OTHER | Unidentified Monacanthid species | 66 | 23 | 71 | 0.015 | 323 8674 | 124 2540 | 61 22 | 0.032 0.870 | 24 | 16 | 67 | 0.005 |
| COMMON SQUID | Loligo spp. | - |  |  |  | 8674 77 | 2540 27 | 75 | 0.008 | 998 | 733 | 30 | 0.226 |
| ARROW SQUID | Nototodarus gouldi Octopus spp. | - | - | - | - | 371 | 154 | 59 | 0.037 | 988 | 7 | 3 |  |

[^3]Table 10. Regional estimates of recreational harvest (numbers of fish) with associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution for all common taxa taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive.

| COMMON NAME | TAXON | NORTH COAST REGION |  |  |  | CENTRAL COAST REGION |  |  |  | SOUTH COAST REGION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% | No. fish | s.e. | Rank | \% |
| GIANT CUTTLEFISH | Sepia apama | 33 | 14 | 78 | 0.007 | 2303 | 438 | 34 | 0.231 | 208 | 64 155 | 47 | 0.047 |
| SOUTHERN CALAMARI | Sepioteuthis australis |  |  |  |  | 34804 | 5924 | 9 | 3.490 | 568 | 155 | 37 |  |

[^4]Table 11. Regional estimates of recreational harvest ( kg ) with associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution for all common taxa taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive.

| COMMON NAME | TAXON | NORTH COAST REGION |  |  |  | CENTRAL COAST REGION |  |  |  | SOUTH COAST REGION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% |
| SCHOOL SHARK | Galeorhimus galeus | 118 | 34 | 50 | 0.035 | - | - | - | - | 458 | 210 | 35 | 0.137 |
| GUMMY SHARK | Mustelus antarcticus | 357 | 59 | 42 | 0.107 | 818 | 323 | 41 | 0.144 | 540 | 208 | 32 | 0.162 |
| WHALER SHARKS | Carcharhinus spp. | 1626 | 233 | 27 | 0.487 | - ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{-}$ |  | - | 61 | 61 | 52 | 0.018 |
| SERGEANT BAKER | Aulopus purpurissatus | 4973 | 342 | 15 | 1.490 | 23660 | 1771 | 6 | 4.155 | 3379 | 4 4 | 58 | 1.012 0.001 |
| ROCK LING | Genypterus tigerinus | - |  |  | - 77 | ${ }_{8538}{ }^{-}$ | 999 | 18 | 1.499 | 3977 | 668 | 15 | 1.191 |
| NANNYGAI | Centroberyx affinis | 5913 | 882 | 11 | 1.772 | 8538 | 999 | 18 | 1.499 | 12 | 668 | 56 | 0.004 |
| MIRROR DORY | Zenopsis nebulosis | 207 | 43 | 46 | 0.062 | 1045 | 266 | 38 | 0.183 | 12 | 7 | 56 | 0.004 |
| JOHN DORY | Zeus faber | 585 | 69 | 37 | 0.175 | 1045 | 266 | 38 | 0.183 | 928 | 203 | 30 | 0.278 |
| OCEAN PERCH | Helicolenus percoides | 5499 |  | 14 | 1.648 | 7974 | 799 | 21 | 1.400 | 2599 | 389 | 20 | 0.778 |
| RED SCORPIONCOD | Scorpaena cardinalis | 5499 944 | 326 97 | 14 31 | 1.648 0.283 | 1939 | 329 | 32 | 1.400 | 2399 | 301 | 21 | 0.718 |
| RED GURNARD | Chelidonichthys kumu | 944 | 97 | 31 | 0.283 | 154 | 38 | 51 | 0.027 | 160 | 58 | 42 | 0.048 |
| LATCHET | Pterygotrigla polyommata | 2579 | 545 | 23 | 0.773 | 5295 | 1231 | 24 | 0.930 | 10632 | 1881 | 10 | 3.184 |
| TIGER FLATHEAD | Neoplatycephalus richardsoni | 2579 | 545 | 23 | 0.773 0.007 | S295 | 1231 | 24 |  |  | - | - |  |
| NORTHERN SAND FLATHEAD | Platycephalus arenarius | 23 | 9 | 57 | 0.007 | - | - | - | - | 220 | 99 | 39 | 0.066 |
| SOUTHERN SAND FLATHEAD | Platycephalus bassensis |  |  | 2 | 19.265 | 82116 | 9466 | 2 | 14.419 | 61125 | 9453 | 1 | 18.305 |
| EASTERN BLUE-SPOTTED FLATHEAD | Platycephalus caeruleopunctatus | 64286 | 10417 182 | 28 | 19.265 0.466 | 82116 5937 | 795 | 22 | 1.043 | 18 | 15 | 55 | 0.005 |
| DUSKY FLATHEAD | Platycephalus fuscus | 1554 | 182 | 28 | 0.466 | 593 52 | 79 27 | 57 | 0.009 | 159 | 64 | 43 | 0.048 |
| LONG-SPINED FLATHEAD | Platycephalus longispinis | 2541 | 213 | 24 | 0.761 | 4710 | 667 | 27 | 0.827 | 158 | 43 | 44 | 0.047 |
| MARBLED FLATHEAD | Platycephalus marmoratus | 2541 198 | 213 29 | 24 47 | 0.761 | 1110 | 256 | 36 | 0.195 | 314 | 110 | 37 | 0.094 |
| WIRRAH | Acanthistius ocellatus | 198 | 29 | 47 | 0.059 | 110 | 256 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAORI COD | Epinephelus undulatostriatus | 856 | 93 571 | 33 | 0.257 1.086 | - | - |  | - |  | - | - |  |
| PEARL PERCH | Glaucosoma scapulare | 3623 | 571 | 19 | 1.086 | 11869 | 1409 | 12 | 2.084 | 1624 | 560 | 24 | 0.486 |
| LONG-FINNED SEAPIKE | Dinolestes lewini | 396 |  | 41 | 0.119 | 1869 151 | 199 | 52 | 0.027 | 200 | 68 | 40 | 0.060 |
| SCHOOL WHITING | Sillago flindersi | 396 14125 | 77 2177 | 41 | 0.119 4.233 | 9763 | 3186 | 16 | 1.714 | 1597 | 703 | 25 | 0.478 |
| TAILOR | Pomatomus saltatrix | 14125 | 2177 1068 | 9 | 4.283 |  | 186 |  | - |  |  | - |  |
| COBIA | Rachycentron canadum | 7618 | 1068 | 43 | 2.283 | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| AMBERJACK | Seriola dumerili | 274 | 65 546 | 43 | 0.082 | 440 | 187 |  | 0.077 |  |  |  |  |
| SAMSON FISH | Seriola hippos | 4662 | 546 1592 | 16 | 1.397 4.053 | 440 15515 | 187 2239 | 44 8 | 0.077 2.724 | 6800 | 1891 | 11 | 2.036 |
| KINGFISH | Seriola lalandi | 13524 | 1592 | 4 | 4.053 4.027 | 95481 | 2239 10808 | 1 | 16.766 | 3377 | 558 | 18 | 1.011 |
| SILVER TREVALLY | Pseudocaranx dentex | 13438 879 | 2048 151 | 5 | 4.027 0.263 | 14230 | 1300 | 10 | 16.499 | 2708 | 530 | 19 | 0.811 |
| YELLOWTAIL \& JACK MACKEREL | Trachurus novaezelandiae \& T. declivis | 879 3964 | 151 1185 | 17 | 1.263 1.188 | 14230 5241 | 2269 | 25 | 0.920 | 3547 | 3433 | 16 | 1.062 |
| DOLPHIN FISH | Coryphaena hippurus | 3964 | 1185 | 17 | 1.188 | 1680 | 443 | 33 | 0.295 | 2283 | 737 | 22 | 0.684 |
| SALMON | Arripis trutta |  |  | 12 | 1.727 | 8442 | 1149 | 19 | 1.482 | 95 | 41 | 47 | 0.028 |
| YELLOWFIN BREAM | Acanthopagrus australis | 5764 107716 | 548 6071 | 12 1 | 32.280 | 64035 | 5814 | 3 | 11.244 | 15897 | 2606 | 6 | 4.761 |
| SNAPPER | Pagrus auratus | 107716 | 6071 | 22 | 32.280 0.845 | 64035 3185 | 886 | 31 | 0.559 | - | - | - |  |
| TARWHINE | Rhabdosargus sarba | 2821 | 234 | 22 | 0.845 2.445 | 8156 | 2903 | 20 | 1.432 |  | . |  |  |
| MULLOWAY | Argyrosomus hololepidotus | 8159 11466 | 1200 | 8 |  |  | 2903 609 | 37 | 0.190 | - | - | - |  |
| TERAGLIN | Atractoscion aequidens | 11466 | 1062 | 6 | 3.436 | 1081 | 609 | 37 | 0.190 | - | - |  |  |

Table 11. Regional estimates of recreational harvest ( kg ) with associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution for all common taxa taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive.

| COMMON NAME | TAXON | NORTH COAST REGION |  |  |  | CENTRAL COAST REGION |  |  |  | SOUTH COAST REGION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% |
| BLACKSPOT GOATFISH | Parupeneus signatus | 774 | 64 | 34 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 942 \\ & 159 \end{aligned}$ | 312 47 | 39 | 0.165 | - | 38 | 53 | 0.012 |
| BLUE-STRIPED GOATFISH | Upeneichthys lineatus | 2291 | ${ }^{-}$ | 25 | - | 159 34536 | 47 3974 | 49 4 | 0.028 6.064 | 40 6341 | 38 1309 | 53 12 | 0.012 1.899 |
| SILVER SWEEP | Scorpis lineolatus |  | 313 | 25 | 0.687 | 34536 573 | 3974 295 | 42 | 6.064 0.101 | 631 30 | 22 | 54 | 0.009 |
| RED MORWONG |  |  |  | 7 | 2.747 | 30558 | 2922 | 5 | 5.366 | 15208 | 2407 | 7 | 4.554 |
| BLUE MORWONG | Nemadactylus douglasii | 9166 | 690 | 7 | 2.747 | 30558 | 292 | - | 5.36 | 5092 | 1434 | 14 | 1.525 |
| JACKASS MORWONG | Nemadactylus macropterus | 175 | 45 | 49 | 0.052 | 496 | 230 | 43 | 0.087 | 83 | 39 | 49 | 0.025 |
| STRIPED SEAPIKE | Sphyraena obtusataAchoerodus viridis | 1310 | 45 311 | 29 | 0.393 | 11149 | 1965 | 14 | 1.958 | 484 | 213 | 34 | 0.145 |
| BLUE GROPER |  |  | 311 38 | 44 | 0.068 | 1149 | 1 | 1 | 1.958 | . | - | - |  |
| GOLD-SPOT PIGFISH | Bodianus perditio | 226 | 38 | 44 | 0.068 | 157 | 75 | 50 | 0.028 | - | - | - | - |
| EASTERN FOXFISH | Bodianus sp. | 507 | 152 | 39 | 0.152 | 4786 | 443 | 26 | 0.840 | 968 | 152 | 29 | 0.290 |
| BLACK-SPOT PIGFISH | Bodianus vulpinusCoris picta |  | 152 | 39 | 0.152 | 31 | 16 | 58 | 0.005 | - | - | - | - |
| COMB FISH |  | - | 90 | 35 | 0.225 | 3938 | 561 | 30 | 0.691 | 413 | 90 | 36 | 0.124 |
| CRIMSON-BANDED WRASSE | Notolabrus gymnogenis | 751 | 90 | 35 | 0.225 | 398 | 561 | O | - | 81 | 69 | 50 | 0.024 |
| BLUE-THROATED WRASSE | Notolabrus tetricus | 1124 |  | 30 | 0.337 | 11656 | 1201 | 13 | 2.047 | 5562 | 810 | 13 | 1.666 |
| MAORI WRASSE | Thyrsites atun |  | 96 | 30 | 0.337 | 11656 96 | 36 | 54 | 0.017 | 1331 | 439 | 26 | 0.399 |
| BARRACOUTA |  | - | 981 | 10 | 2.014 | 96 | , | 5 |  | - | - | . | - |
| NARROW-BARRED SPANISH MACKEREL | Scomberomorus commerson <br> Scomberomorus munroi | 6719 | 787 | 18 | 2.014 1.102 | - | . | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| SPOTTED MACKEREL |  | 3679 | 78 16 | 55 | 1.102 0.011 | - | . | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| QUEENSLAND SCHOOL MACKEREL | Scomberomorus munroi Scomberomorus queenslandicus | 38 100 | 16 31 | 55 53 | 0.011 0.030 | 420 | 145 | 47 | 0.074 | 1124 | 374 | 28 | 0.337 |
| FRIGATE MACKEREL | Scomberomorus queenslandicus Auxis thazard | 2855 | 31 1118 | 21 | 0.856 | 4225 | 587 | 29 | 0.742 | 11085 | 3246 | 9 | 3.320 |
| SLIMY MACKEREL | Scomber australasicus |  | 118 | 21 | 0.85 | 81 | 41 | 55 | 0.014 | - | - | - | - |
| LEAPING BONITO | Cybiosarda elegans | $5675$ | 569 | 13 | 1.701 | 422 | 281 | 46 | 0.074 | - | - | - | - |
| MACKEREL TUNA | Euthynnus affinis | 5675415 | 569 127 | 40 | 0.124 | 15469 | 5034 | 9 | 2.716 | 23140 | 10100 | 5 | 6.930 |
| SKIPJACK | Katsuwonus pelamisSarda australis |  | 297 | 20 | 1.011 | 10192 | 1585 | 15 | 1.790 | 14655 | 8270 | 8 | 4.389 |
| AUSTRALLAN BONITO |  | 3373 | 297 39 | 52 | 0.031 | 1019 | - | . | - | - | - | - | - |
| ORIENTAL BONITO | Sarda orientalis | 102 | 39 | 52 | 0.031 | - | - | - | - | 35148 | 24921 | 3 | 10.525 |
| ALBACORE | Thunnus alalunga | 2022 | 552 | 26 | 0.606 | - | - | - | - | 57113 | 13727 | 2 | 17.103 |
| YELLOWFN TUNA | Traptur ar |  | 552 | 26 | 0.606 | - | - | - | - | 25237 | 8043 | 4 | 7.557 |
| STRIPED MARLIN | Tetrapturus audaxPseudorhombus arsius | 190 |  | 48 | 0.057 | 1129 | 377 | 35 | 0.198 | 107 | 29 | 46 | 0.032 |
| LARGE-TOOTHED FLOUNDER |  |  | 63 | 38 | 0.158 | 1132 | 250 | 34 | 0.199 | 95 | 77 | 47 | 0.028 |
| SMALL-TOOTHED FLOUNDER | Pseudorhombus jenynsii | 526 | 63 | 38 | 0.158 | 140 | 59 | 53 | 0.025 | 67 | 43 | 51 | 0.020 |
| BLACK REEF LEATHERJACKET | Eubalichthys bucephalus |  |  | 51 | 0.031 | 8981 | 2020 | 17 | 1.577 | 1274 | 241 | 27 | 0.382 |
| SIX-SPINED LEATHERJACKET | Meuschenia freycineti | 50 | 13 | 54 | 0.031 0.015 | 8981 907 | 217 | 40 | 0.159 | 274 | 41 | 38 | 0.082 |
| YELLOW-FINNED LEATHERJACKET | Meuschenia trachylepis |  | 91 | 36 | 0.179 | 5309 | 1280 | 23 | 0.932 | 122 | 34 | 45 | 0.037 |
| CHINAMAN LEATHERJACKET | Nelusetta cyraudi | 597 | 91 | 36 | 0.179 | 531 431 | 100 | 45 | 0.076 | 162 | 59 | 41 | 0.049 |
| ROUGH LEATHERJACKET | Scobinichthys granulatus <br> Unidentified Monacanthid species | 26 | 9 | 56 | 0.008 | 165 | 67 | 48 | 0.029 | - | - | - |  |
| LEATHERJACKETS OTHER |  |  | 9 | 56 |  | 4654 | 1230 | 28 | 0.817 | 12 | 7 | 56 | 0.004 |
| COMMON SQUID | Loligo spp. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 11. Regional estimates of recreational harvest ( kg ) with associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution for all common taxa taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive.

| COMMON NAME | TAXON | NORTH COAST REGION |  |  |  | CENTRAL COAST REGION |  |  |  | SOUTH COAST REGION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% |
|  | Nototodarus gouldi | - | - | - | - | 53 | 20 | 56 | 0.009 | 760 | 572 | 31 | 0.228 |
| ARROW SQUID |  | 214 | 98 | 45 | 0.064 | 12926 | 2400 | 11 | 2.270 | 2132 | 2744 | 23 | 0.638 |
| GIANT CUTILEFISH <br> SOUTHERN CALAMARI | Sepia apama |  |  |  |  | 21158 | 3624 | 7 | 3.715 | 522 | 149 | 33 | 0.156 |

(7.7\%), silver sweep (7.3\%), southern calamari (4.3\%), slimy mackerel (4.2\%), maori wrasse ( $3.9 \%$ ), long-finned seapike ( $3.9 \%$ ), and blue morwong ( $3.8 \%$ ). These ten taxa, by number, accounted for $68.6 \%$ of the annual trailer boat harvest from the Central Coast Region during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive (Table 8).

The ten most commonly harvested taxa, by weight, during the first year of the survey (Table 9) were silver trevally ( 87.5 tonnes - 13.7\%), snapper ( 70.5 tonnes - 11.1\%), eastern blue-spotted flathead ( 68.0 tonnes - 10.7\%), blue morwong ( 37.7 tonnes $5.9 \%$ ), silver sweep ( 37.2 tonnes - $5.8 \%$ ), kingfish ( 29.5 tonnes - $4.6 \%$ ), Australian bonito ( 27.6 tonnes - 4.3\%), sergeant baker ( 25.4 tonnes - 4.0\%), tailor (19.7 tonnes $3.1 \%$ ), and giant cuttlefish ( 19.0 tonnes $-3.0 \%$ ). These ten taxa, by weight, accounted for $66.2 \%$ of the annual trailer boat harvest from the Central Coast Region during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive (Table 9).

The ten most commonly harvested taxa, by number, during the second year of the survey (Table 10) were eastern blue-spotted flathead (18.3\%), silver trevally ( $13.7 \%$ ), snapper ( $7.6 \%$ ), silver sweep ( $7.3 \%$ ), yellowtail and jack mackerel ( $7.1 \%$ ), longfinned seapike ( $3.9 \%$ ), maori wrasse ( $3.8 \%$ ), sergeant baker ( $3.7 \%$ ), southern calamari ( $3.5 \%$ ), and blue morwong ( $3.5 \%$ ). These ten taxa, by number, accounted for $72.4 \%$ of the annual trailer boat harvest from the Central Coast Region during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive (Table 10).

The ten most commonly harvested taxa, by weight, during the second year of the survey (Table 11) were silver trevally ( 95.5 tonnes - 16.8\%), eastern blue-spotted flathead ( 82.1 tonnes - 14.4\%), snapper ( 64.0 tonnes - 11.2\%), silver sweep ( 34.5 tonnes $-6.1 \%$ ), blue morwong ( 30.6 tonnes $-5.4 \%$ ), sergeant baker ( 23.7 tonnes $4.2 \%$ ), southern calamari ( 21.2 tonnes $-3.7 \%$ ), kingfish ( 15.5 tonnes $-2.7 \%$ ), skipjack ( 15.5 tonnes $-2.7 \%$ ), and yellowtail and jack mackerel ( 14.2 tonnes $-2.5 \%$ ). These ten taxa, by weight, accounted for $69.7 \%$ of the annual trailer boat harvest from the Central Coast Region during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive (Table 11).

## South Coast region - trailer boat angling

Overall, we recorded 120 taxa in the retained catch of recreational anglers fishing from trailer boats during the two years of the survey (Table 5). Trailer boat anglers kept 108 taxa during the first survey year and 90 taxa were harvested during the second survey year (Table 5). The ten most commonly harvested taxa, by number, during the first year of the survey (Table 8) were eastern blue-spotted flathead (31.0\%), slimy mackerel (25.9\%), blue morwong (4.7\%), tiger flathead (4.6\%), snapper (3.5\%), yellowtail and jack mackerel (3.5\%), nannygai (3.1\%), maori wrasse (3.1\%), skipjack (2.6\%), and silver sweep ( $2.2 \%$ ). These ten taxa, by number, accounted for $84.2 \%$ of the annual trailer boat harvest from the South Coast Region during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive (Table 8).

The ten most commonly harvested taxa, by weight, during the first year of the survey (Table 9) were eastern blue-spotted flathead ( 91.3 tonnes - 17.5\%), yellowfin tuna ( 72.7 tonnes $-14.0 \%$ ), blue morwong ( 43.1 tonnes $-8.3 \%$ ), skipjack ( 41.2 tonnes $7.9 \%$ ), albacore ( 38.7 tonnes $-7.4 \%$ ), slimy mackerel ( 32.7 tonnes - $6.3 \%$ ), striped marlin (24.1 tonnes - 4.6\%), snapper (19.9 tonnes - 3.8\%), tiger flathead (16.1 tonnes $3.1 \%$ ), and barracouta ( 14.1 tonnes $-2.7 \%$ ). These ten taxa, by weight, accounted for $75.6 \%$ of the annual trailer boat harvest from the South Coast Region during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive (Table 9).

The ten most commonly harvested taxa, by number, during the second year of the survey (Table 10) were eastern blue-spotted flathead (38.9\%), slimy mackerel (16.0\%), tiger flathead (5.6\%), maori wrasse (3.7\%), snapper (3.6\%), nannygai (3.0\%), blue morwong ( $2.9 \%$ ), silver sweep ( $2.8 \%$ ), skipjack ( $2.2 \%$ ), yellowtail and jack mackerel $2.0 \%$ ), These ten taxa, by number, accounted for $80.7 \%$ of the annual trailer boat harvest from the South Coast Region during the second survey year September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive (Table 10).

The ten most commonly harvested taxa, by weight, during the second year of the survey (Table 11) were eastern blue-spotted flathead ( 61.1 tonnes - 18.3\%), yellowfin tuna ( 57.1 tonnes $-17.1 \%$ ), albacore ( 35.1 tonnes - 10.5\%), striped marlin ( 25.2 tonnes - 7.6\%), skipjack ( 23.1 tonnes - $6.9 \%$ ), snapper ( 15.9 tonnes $-4.8 \%$ ), blue morwong ( 15.2 tonnes $-4.6 \%$ ), Australian bonito ( 14.7 tonnes $-4.4 \%$ ), slimy mackerel ( 11.1 tonnes - 3.3\%), and tiger flathead ( 10.6 tonnes $-3.2 \%$ ). These ten taxa, by weight, accounted for $80.7 \%$ of the annual trailer boat harvest from the South Coast Region during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive (Table 11).

## Regional comparisons of harvesting patterns

These data presented above show clearly that there are large differences among regions in the species composition and proportional contribution of important fish species in the recreational trailer boat harvest (Tables 5, 8, 9, 10 and 11). Steffe and Murphy (1995) proposed three main related reasons to explain these patterns. Firstly, we know that there are latitudinal differences in the relative abundances and the catchability of fish species among regions. This has been clearly demonstrated for numerous taxa (see Table 5). Some examples of taxa that show large latitudinal differences in relative abundances and catchability are: pearl perch, mulloway, teraglin, narrow-barred spanish mackerel, cobia, jackass morwong, barracouta, and Australian salmon (Table 5). Secondly, anglers assign different subjective values to different fish species (a social phenomenon) and these perceived values vary among sites and regions of the coast. Steffe and Murphy (1995) cited the eastern blue-spotted flathead as an example of a species whose perceived value by anglers changes latitudinally. This flathead species is highly prized in the south of the state and as expected many recreational anglers target and harvest eastern blue-spotted flathead in great quantities. In contrast, anglers in the far north of the state have low regard for this species resulting in little targeting and relatively small harvests by the recreational sector. It is interesting to note that the eastern blue-spotted flathead is abundant in these northern waters as it is a large and regular part of the retained commercial bycatch of trawlers engaged in the offshore king prawn trawl fishery (Steffe and Murphy
1995). Thirdly, anglers target their fishing effort at favoured species (directed fishing effort). It is a complex combination of factors which influences the species targeting of anglers. The expectation that many recreational anglers have when they go fishing strongly influences their choice of target species. For example, a selected fishing location may have a reputation for producing large individuals of a certain species and it is not surprising that anglers would tend to target that species at that location. The directed fishing effort of most anglers would also be linked to the relative abundance and catchability of a species at a particular site and its perceived value by those anglers (Steffe and Murphy 1995).

## Harvest Comparisons Between Recreational Trailer Boat and Oceanic

## Commercial Fisheries

## Statewide

Conflict between the recreational and commercial sectors has long been a fisheries management problem. This conflict may escalate in coming years as both sectors attempt to maximise catches. Consequently, there is increasing pressure being applied to fisheries managers to make appropriate allocation decisions regarding fishing opportunity among the various commercial and recreational user-groups. We have compared the estimates of daytime recreational harvest taken by trailer boat anglers in coastal waters to the declared commercial landings taken from the ocean waters of NSW. These comparisons between the marine recreational trailer boat fishery and the marine commercial fisheries have been made for those common taxa for which we had suitable length/weight conversion keys (Appendix 2). We further restricted the recreational/commercial contrasts such that we only compared the harvests for those common taxa for which an annual harvest of at least one tonne (recreational or commercial) had been recorded during either of the two survey years (Table 12).

On a statewide scale, the recreational harvest was greater than the declared commercial catch for some species (Table 12). The taxa that were harvested in greater amounts by the recreational trailer boat anglers (i.e. taxa with harvest ratios greater than one) during both survey years were: eastern blue-spotted flathead, sergeant baker,

Table 12. Comparison of statewide estimates of annual recreational harvest ( kg ) taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites, and the declared statewide commercial landings ( kg ) taken from ocean waters for each survey year during the two year period - September 1993 to August 1995 inclusive. The harvest ratios are a measure of the relative harvest allocations between the recreational and commercial fisheries for the two survey years.

| KEY <br> * - Denotes SEF quota species. <br> \# - Estimates of recreational harvest for species within this family were pooled for comparison with declared commercial landings. Rec - no comparative commercial landings recorded - recreational estimates dominate harvest. <br> Com - no comparative recreational estimates recorded - commercial landings dominate harvest. |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 1 |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ALL REGIONS COMBINED |  |  | ALL REGIONS COMBINED |  |  |
| HIGHER CLASSIFICATION | COMMON NAME | TAXON | Recreational Harvest (kg) | Commercial Harvest (kg) | Harvest Ratio (Recreational/ Commercial) | Recreational Harvest (kg) | Commercial Harvest (kg) | Harvest Ratio <br> (Recreational/ <br> Commercial) |
| IAKIDAE | SCHOOL SHARK | Galeorhinus galeus | 1076 | 33258 | 0.032 | 576 | 25471 | 0.023 |
| TRIAKIDAE | GUMMY SHARK | Mustelus antarcticus | 2976 | 45997 | 0.065 | 1715 | 47077 | 0.036 |
|  |  | Aulopus purpurissatus | 37301 | 762 | 48.951 | 32012 | 150 | 213.413 |
| AULOPODIDAE | SERGEANT BAKER | Genypterus blacodes | - | 424924 | Com | - | 416638 | Com |
| MERLUCCIIDAE | PINK LING* | Genyprerus blacodes |  | 134934 | Com | - | 59353 | Com |
|  | BLUE GRENADIER* | Macruronus novaezelandiae |  | 13434 |  |  | 8707 | Com |
| TRACHICHTHYIDAE | ORANGE ROUGHY* | Hoplostethus atlanticus |  | 9697 | Com | ${ }^{-}$ | 8707 |  |
|  | NANNYGAI* | Centroberyx affinis | 24760 | 1517734 | 0.016 | 18428 | 985328 | 0.019 |
| ZEIDAE | SILVER DORY | Cytus australis | 17 | 27456 | 0.001 | - | 32673 | Com |
| ZEIDAE | MIRROR DORY* | Zenopsis nebulosis | - | 162257 | Com | 219 | 140192 | 0.002 |
|  |  |  | 857 | 287603 | 0.003 | 1630 | 207203 | 0.008 |
| ZEIDAE | JOHN DORY* | Zeus faber |  | 224246 | 0.007 | 928 | 217485 | 0.004 |
| SCORPAENIDAE SCORPAENIDAE | OCEAN PERCH* | Helicolenus percoides | 1567 | 224246 |  |  |  |  |
|  | RED SCORPIONCOD | Scorpaena cardinalis | 20641 | 7064 | 2.922 | 16072 | 6249 | 2.572 |
| TRIGLIDAE | RED GURNARD | Chelidonichthys kumu | 7204 | 56003 | 0.129 | 5282 | 39250 | 0.135 |
|  | LATCHET | Pterygotrigla polyommata | 921 | 64258 | 0.014 | 314 | 53290 | 0.006 |
| TRIGLIDAE | Latchet |  | 21191 | 762966 | 0.028 | 18506 | 554603 | 0.033 |
|  | TIGER FLATHEAD* | Neoplatycephalus richardsoni | 21191 |  |  |  |  | 1529 |
| PLATYCEPHALIDAE | EASTERN BLUE-SPOTTED FLATHEAD | Platycephalus caeruleopunctatus | 229267 | 142351 | 1.611 | 207527 | 135701 |  |
| PLATYCEPHALIDAE | DUSKY FLATHEAD | Playcephalus fuscus | 7762 | 2180 | 3.561 | 7509 | 4931 | 1.523 |
|  | MARBLED FLATHEAD | Plarycephalus marmoratus | 8757 | 11 | 796.091 | 7409 | 378 | 19.60I |
| PLATYCEPHALIDAE |  | Acanthistius ocellatus | 2156 | 2151 | 1.002 | 1622 | 11989 | 0.135 |
| SERRANIDAE | WIRRAH |  |  |  | 0.714 | 3623 | 12836 | 0.282 |
| GLAUCOSOMIDAE | PEARL PERCH | Glaucosoma scapulare | 9179 | 12861 |  |  |  | 8.611 |
|  | LONG-FINNED SEAPIKE | Dinolestes lewini | 15117 | 2507 | 6.030 | 13493 | 1567 |  |
| dinolestidae | SCHOOL WHITING* | Sillago flindersi | 951 | 595470 | 0.002 | 747 | 731437 | 0.001 |
| SILLAGINIDAE |  |  | 35046 | 53228 | 0.658 | 25485 | 34747 | 0.733 |
| POMATOMIDAE | TAILOR | Pomatomus saltarix |  |  | 2.225 | 7618 | 3795 | 2.007 |
| RACHYCENTRIDAE | COBIA | Rachycentron canadum | 13933 |  |  |  | 37 | 0332 |
| CARANGIDAE | SAMSON FISH | Seriola hippos | 7759 | 18550 | 0.418 | 5102 | 15373 |  |
| Carangidae | KINGFISH | Seriola lalandi | 52979 | 353056 | 0.150 | 35839 | 271485 | 0.132 |
|  | SILVER TREVALLY* | Pseudocaranx dentex | 103549 | 589716 | 0.176 | 1 I 2296 | 556034 | 0.202 |

Table 12. Comparison of statewide estimates of annual recreational harvest ( kg ) taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites, and the declared statewide commercial landings ( kg ) taken from ocean waters for each survey year during the two year period - September 1993 to August 1995 inclusive. The harvest ratios are a measure of the relative harvest allocations between the recreational and commercial fisheries for the two survey years.

| KEY <br> * - Denotes SEF quota species. <br> \# - Estimates of recreational harvest for species within this family were pooled for comparison with declared commercial landings. <br> Rec - no comparative commercial landings recorded - recreational estimates dominate harvest. <br> Com - no comparative recreational estimates recorded - commercial landings dominate harvest. |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 1 |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ALl REGIONS COMBINED |  |  | ALl REGIONS COMBINED |  |  |
| HIGHER CLASSIFICATION | COMMON NAME | TAXON | Recreational Harvest (kg) | Commercial Harvest (kg) | Harvest Ratio (Recreational/ Commercial) | Recreational Harvest (kg) | Commercial Harvest (kg) | Harvest Ratio (Recreational/ Commercial) |
| CARANGIDAE CORYPHAENIDAE ARRIPIDAE | YELLOWTAIL \& JACK MACKEREL | Trachurus novaezelandiae \& T. declivis |  | 215118 | 0.113 | 17817 | 218446 | 0.082 |
|  |  | Coryphaena hippurus | $11791$ | 6461. | 1.825 | 12752 | 11294 | 1.129 |
|  | DOLPHIN FISH | Arripis truta | 14725 | 464651 | 0.032 | 3963 | 1155993 | 0.003 |
|  | SALMON | Arripis trutta | 21985 | 254973 | 0.086 | 14301 | 134974 | 0.106 |
| SPARIDAE | YELLOWFIN BREAM | Acanthopagres australis | 21985 | 254973 | 0.378 |  | 376715 | 0.498 |
| SPARIDAE | SNAPPER | Pagres auratus | 184210 | 487093 | 0.378 | 187648 | 37675 | 0.498 |
|  | TARWHINE | Rhabdosargus sarba | 6535 | 40035 | 0.163 | 6006 | 34615 | 0.174 |
| SCIAENIDAE | MULLOWAY | Argyrasomus hololepidotus | 27217 | 87407 | 0.311 | 16315 | 71932 | 0.227 |
|  | TERAGLIN |  | 20068 | 24092 | 0.833 | 12547 | 21161 | 0.593 |
| SCIAENIDAE | TERAGLI | Alractoscion aequidens | 1253 | 25323 | 0.049 | 1915 | 23168 | 0.083 |
| SCORPIDIDAE | SILVER SWEEP | Scorpis lineolatus | 47548 | 134159 | 0.354 | 43168 | 112186 | 0.385 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.072 | 603 | 7278 | 0.083 |
| CHEILODACTYLIDAE | RED MORWONG | Cheilodactylus fiuscus | 616 | 8576 | 0.072 |  |  | 0.308 |
| CHEILODACTYLIDAE | BLUE MORWONG | Nemadactylus douglasii | 90871 | 188574 | 0.482 | 54932 | 178572 | 0.308 |
|  | JACKASS MORWONG* | Nemadactylus macropterus | 8944 | 214071 | 0.042 | 5092 | 174687 | 0.029 |
| Labridae | BLACK-SPOT PIGFISH | Bodianus vulpinus | 10938 | 6465 | 1.692 | 6261 | 7326 | 0.855 |
|  | CRIMSON-BANDED WRASSE | Notolabrus gymnogenis | 6199 | 160 | 38.744 | 5102 | 23 | 221.826 |
| LABRIDAE |  |  | 23970 | 490 | 48.918 | 18342 | 611 | 30.020 |
| LABRIDAE | MAORI WRASSE | Rexea solandri | 23970 | 490 |  | 183 | 124484 | Com |
| GEMPYLIDAE | GEMFISH* |  | 15108 | 191511 | Com |  | 124484 | Com |
| GEMPYLIDAE | BARRACOUTA | Thyrsites atun |  | 44772 | 0.337 | 1427 | 28286 | 0.050 |
| CENTROLOPHIDAE | BLUE-EYE TREVALLA* | Hyperoglyphe antarctica | - | 199607 | Com | - | 200577 | Com |
| CENTROLOPHIDAE | WAREHOU* | Seriolella spp. | - | 323701 | Com | - | 453750 | Com |
| SCOMbRIDAE | NARROW-BARRED SPANISH MACKEREL | Scomberomorus commerson | 4797 | 17094 | 0.281 | 6719 | 7509 | 0.895 |
|  |  |  | 12133 | 27109 | 0.448 | 3679 | 7669 | 0.480 |
| SCOMBRIDAE | SPOTTED MACKEREL | Scomberomorus munroi |  |  |  |  |  | 0.070 |
| SCOMBRIDAE | FRIGATE MACKEREL | Auxis thazard | 3751 | 819 | 4.580 |  | 23467 |  |
| SCOMBRIDAE | SLIMY MACKEREL | Scomber australasious | 40069 | 338111 | 0.119 | 18165 | 311504 | 0.058 |
|  | LEAPING BONITO |  |  | 7123 | Com | 81 | 15437 | 0.005 |
| SCOMBRIDAE |  | Cybiosarda elegans | 11986 | 23100 | 0.519 | 6097 | 6973 | 0.874 |
| SCOMBRIDAE | MACKEREL TUNA | Euthynnus affinis | 56783 | 1645592 | 0.035 | 39024 | 659446 | 0.059 |
| SCOMBRIDAE | SKIPJACK Katsumoruspelams |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 12. Comparison of statewide estimates of annual recreational harvest ( kg ) taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites, and the declared statewide commercial landings (kg) taken from ocean waters for each survey year during the two year period - September 1993 to August 1995 inclusive. The harvest ratios are a measure of the relative harvest allocations between the recreational and commercial fisheries for the two survey years.

| KEY <br> - - Denotes SEF quota species. <br> \# - Estimates of recreational harvest for species within this family were pooled for comparison with declared commercial landings. <br> Rec - no comparative commercial landings recorded - recreational estimates dominate harvest. <br> Com - no comparative recreational estimates recorded - commercial landings dominate harvest. |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 1 |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ALL REGIONS COMBINED |  |  | ALL REGIONS COMBINED |  |  |
| HIGHER CLASSIFICATION | COMMON NAME | TAXON | RecreationaI Harvest (kg) | Commercial Harvest (kg) | Harvest Ratio <br> (Recreational/ <br> Commercial) | Recreational Harvest (kg) | $\qquad$ | Harvest Ratio (Recreational/ Commercial) |
| SCOMBRIDAE | AUSTRALIAN BONITO | Sarda australis | 34289 | 163625 | 0.210 | 28220 | 137670 | 0.205 |
| SCOMBRIDAE | ALBACORE | Thunnus alalunga | 38696 | 203613 | 0.190 | 35148 | 230723 | 0.152 |
| SCOMBRIDAE | YELLOWFIN TUNA | Thunnus albacares | 73098 | 503423 | 0.145 | 59135 | 487016 | 0.121 |
|  | STRIPED MARLIN | Tetrapturus audax | 31848 | 14160 | 2.249 | 25237 | 18571 | 1.359 |
| ISTIOPHORIDAE |  | All species combined | 3849 | 28409 | 0.135 | 3179 | 39444 | 0.081 |
| BOTHIDAE\# | FLOUNDER |  | 19459 | 969969 | 0.020 | 18609 | 151556 | 0.123 |
| monacanthidae\# | LEATHERJACKETS | All species combined |  | 2484 | 0.005 | 813 | 217623 | 0.004 |
| CEPHALOPODA | ARROW SQUID | Nototodarus gouldi | 1258 | 248413 |  |  |  | . 036 |
| CEPHALOPODA | GIANT CUTTLEFISH | Sepia apama | 22429 | 414537 | 0.054 | 15272 | 42671 |  |
| CEPHALOPODA | SOUTHERN CALAMARI | Sepioteuthis australis | 18995 | 64062 | 0.297 | 21680 | 68235 | 0.318 |

striped marlin, maori wrasse, red scorpioncod, long-finned seapike, dolphin fish, cobia, dusky flathead, marbled flathead, crimson-banded wrasse (Table 12). The recreational harvest of blackspot pigfish, wirrah, and frigate mackerel were greater than the commercial landings only during survey year 1 (Table 12). Potential conflicts between the commercial and recreational sectors may occur should the relative allocation of these taxa change in favour of the commercial industry. In particular, any increased commercial targeting of eastern blue-spotted flathead, which is the mainstay of the recreational trailer boat fishery in marine waters in the Central Coast and South Coast regions, should be actively opposed by fisheries managers.

Those taxa which are keenly targeted and harvested by both recreational and commercial fishers and which form the basis of large shared fisheries by both sectors have the greatest potential for causing allocation disputes between them. We objectively identified these taxa, on a statewide scale, by using the following two criteria: (1) The annual harvest ratio must be greater than 0.10 for at least one survey year, regardless of the size of the recreational harvest. This criterion indicates that the relative size of the recreational harvest was greater than $10 \%$ of the size of the commercial fishery; or (2) The estimated recreational harvest had to be greater than 5 tonnes during at least one survey year, regardless of the annual harvest ratios. The taxa which met either of these two criteria were regarded as "shared" by the two fishing sectors. These shared taxa, which were landed in greater amounts by the commercial sector but which also provided a considerable recreational harvest were: snapper, yellowfin bream, tarwhine, mulloway, teraglin, kingfish, blue morwong, silver sweep, leatherjackets, flounders, skipjack, mackerel tuna, Australian bonito, albacore, yellowfin tuna, slimy mackerel, yellowtail and jack mackerel, red gurnard, pearl perch, tailor, barracouta, samson fish, Australian salmon, narrow-barred spanish mackerel, spotted mackerel, giant cuttlefish and southern calamari (Table 12). The South East Fishery (SEF) quota species nannygai, tiger flathead, silver trevally and jackass morwong are also classified as shared taxa (Table 12).

The recreational sector like its commercial counterpart, is a user-group with the potential to impact on many shared fisheries resources. Consequently, the recreational
sector should be given more consideration and input into management plans that affect shared fisheries resources. Also, it is imperative that the recreational sector be considered when making stock assessments to determine the size of annual quotas for many species, particularly SEF species. Future recreational research programs that monitor effort and harvest are necessary to improve fisheries management. These programs will contribute to our understanding of sustainable limits of harvest for our coastal fisheries resources.

On a statewide scale, the declared commercial catch of some species far outweighed the relatively small harvests taken by recreational trailer boat anglers (Table 12). The taxa that were harvested in greater amounts by commercial fishers (i.e. taxa with annual harvest ratios less than 0.10 and an estimated recreational harvest less than 5 tonnes per survey year) were: school sharks, gummy sharks, latchets, goatfish, red morwong, leaping bonito and arrow squid (Table 12). Many of the South East Fishery (SEF) quota species mirror dory, john dory, ocean perch, school whiting, pink ling, orange roughy, blue grenadier, gemfish, blue and spotted warehou were also identified as taxa having a relatively negligible recreational harvest (Table 12).

## Regional Comparisons of Harvest Allocations

We have already documented the great latitudinal changes in harvesting patterns observed in the recreational trailer boat fishery that operates in the marine waters of NSW. The commercial catch statistics also show that the relative size of commercial catches for many species is strongly correlated with changes in latitude. These latitudinal harvesting patterns for both recreational and commercial fisheries are in part due to latitudinal differences in the relative abundances and catchability of the fishes. However, socio-economic factors, such as changing market values for commercial operators or the perceived value of a species by recreational anglers also influence the targeting of recreational and commercial fishers. These socio-economic factors may help explain why the harvest ratios, which indicate the relative sizes of the recreational and commercial harvests, vary greatly among regions for many taxa (Tables 13 and 14). We have chosen four examples, eastern blue-spotted flathead,

Table 13. Comparison of regional estimates of annual recreational harvest ( kg ) taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites, and the declared regional commercial landings ( kg ) taken from ocean waters during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive. The harvest ratios are a measure of the relative harvest allocations between the recreational and commercial fisheries for the first survey year.

| KEY <br> - - Denotes SEF quota species. <br> \# - Estimates of recreational harvest for species within this family were pooled for comparison with declared commercial landings. <br> Ree - no comparative commercial landings recorded - recreational estimates dominate harvest. <br> Com - no comparative recreational estimates recorded - commercial landings dominate harvest. |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NORTH COAST REGION |  |  | CENTRAL COAST REGION |  |  | SOUTH COAST REGION |  |  |
| HIGHER CLASSIFICATION | COMMON NAME | TAXON | Recreational Harvest (kg) | Commercial Harvest (kg) | Harvest Ratio <br> (Recreational/ Commercial) | Recreational Harvest (kg) | Commercial Harvest (kg) | Harvest Ratio (Recreationa/ Commercial) | Recreational Harvest (kg) | Commercial Harvest (kg) | Harvest Ratio (Recreational/ Commercial) |
| TRIAKIDAE | SCHOOL SHARK | Galeorhimus galeus | 906 | 5750 | 0.158 | 170 | 18766 | 0.009 | $\bullet$ | 8742 | Com |
| triakidas | GUMMY SHARK | Mistelus antarcticus | 207 | 6693 | 0.031 | - | 9917 | Com | 2769 | 29387 | 0.094 |
| AULOPODIDAE | SERGEANT BAKER | Aulopus purpurissatus | 6234 | 57 | 109.368 | 25376 | - | Rec | 5691 | 705 | 8.072 |
| OPHIDIIDAE | PINK LING* | Genypterus blacodes | - | 787 | Com | - | 19005 | Com | - | 405132 | Com |
| MERLUCCIIDAE | blue grenadier* | Macruronus novaezelandiae | - | 2 | Com | - | 947 | Com | - | 133985 | Com |
| trachichthyidae | ORANGE ROUGHY* | Hoplostethus atlontcus | - | 1 | Com | - | 7392 | Com | - | 2304 | Com |
| beryctidae | nannygal* | Centrobery affints | 10172 | 10912 | 0.932 | 6849 | 338847 | 0.020 | 7739 | 1167975 | 0.007 |
| zeidaE | SILVER DORY | Cytus australls | - | 78 | Com | - | 4545 | Com | 17 | 22833 | 0.001 |
| zeidae | MIRROR DORY* | Zenopstis nebulosis | - | 3923 | Com | - | 83254 | Com | - | 75080 | Com |
| zeidat | JOHN DORY* | Zeusfaber | 323 | 11296 | 0.029 | 502 | 142345 | 0.004 | 32 | 133962 | <0.001 |
| SCORPAENIDAE | OCEAN PERCH* | Helicolenus percotdes | - | 6198 | Com | - | 62542 | Com | 1567 | 155506 | 0.010 |
| SCORPAENIDAE | RED SCORPIONCOD | Scorpoena cardinalis | 5280 | 3675 | 1.437 | 11072 | 528 | 20.970 | 4289 | 2861 | 1.499 |
| TRIGLIDAE | RED GURNARD | Chelidonichhys kumu | 771 | 2415 | 0.319 | 1824 | 7762 | 0.235 | 4609 | 45826 | 0.101 |
| trguldae | LATCHET | Pterygorrigla polyommata | - | 3971 | Com | 103 | 30400 | 0.003 | 818 | 29887 | 0.027 |
| Platycephalidae | tiger flathead* | Neoplatreephalus richardsoni | 3225 | 29302 | 0.110 | 1827 | 159584 | 0.011 | 16139 | 574080 | 0.028 9.763 |
| PLATYCEPHALIDAE | EASTERN BLUE-SPOTTED FLATHEAD | Platyeephalus caeruleopunetarus | 69910 | 81910 | 0.853 | 68031 | 51087 | 1.332 | 91326 | 9354 | 9.763 |
| PLATYCEPHALDAE | DUSKY FLATHEAD | Platycephatus fuscus | 2797 | 909 | 3.077 | 4565 | 1202 | 3.798 | 400 | 69 | 5.797 |
| PLATYCEPHALIDAE | marbled flathead | Playcephatus marmoranus | 4183 | 6 | 697.167 | 4397 | 5 | 879.400 | 177 | - | Rec |
| SERRANIDAE | WIRRAH | Acanthistus ocellatus | 178 | 1280 | 0.139 | 1407 | 510 | 2.759 | 571 | 361 | 1.582 |
| glaucosomidae | PEARL PERCH | Glaucosoma scapulare | 9179 | 11911 | 0.771 | - | 542 | Com | - | 408 | Com |
| dinolestidae | LONG-FINNED SEAPRE | Dinolestes Iewint | - | 1966 | Com | 13513 | 444 | 30.435 | 1604 | 97 | 16.536 |
| SILLAGINIDAE | SCHOOL WHITING* | Sillago findersi | 279 | 349674 | <0.001 | 206 | 227536 | 0.001 | 466 | 18260 | 0.026 |
| POMATOMIDAE | TAllor | Pomatomus saltartix | 11934 | 18994 | 0.628 | 19725 | 33665 | 0.586 | 3387 | 569 | 5.953 |
| Rachycentridae | COBIA | Rachycentron canadum | 13933 | 6066 | 2.297 | - | 180 | Com | - | 17 | Com |
| CARANGIDAE | SAMSON FISH | Seriola hippos | 5063 | 17066 | 0.297 | 2696 | 444 | 6.072 | - | 1040 | Com |
| CARANGIDAE | KINGFISH | Scrola laland | 15359 | 91493 | 0.168 | 29515 | 88230 | 0.335 | 8105 | 173333 | 0.047 |

Table 13. Comparison of regional estimates of annual recreational harvest ( kg ) taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites, and the declared regional commercial landings (kg) taken from ocean waters during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive. The harvest ratios are a measure of the relative harvest allocations between the recreational and commercial fisheries for the first survey year.

| KEY <br> * - Denotes SEF quota species. <br> \# - Estimates of recreational harvest for species within this family were pooled for comparison with declared commercial landings. <br> Rec - no comparative commerrial landings recorded - recreational estimates dominate harvest. <br> Com - no comparative recreational estimates recorded - commercial landings dominate harvest. |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NORTH COAST REGION |  |  | CENTRAL COAST REGION |  |  | SOUTH COAST REGION |  |  |
| HIGHER CLASSTFICATION | COMMON NAME | TAXON | Recreational Harvest (kg) | Commercial Harvest (kg) | Harvest Ratio <br> (Recreational Commercial) | Recreational Harvest (kg) | Commercial Harvest (kg) | Harvest Ratio Recreational Commercial) | Recreational Harvest (kg) | Commercial Harvest (kg) | Harvest Ratio <br> (Recreational/ <br> Commercial) |
| CARANGIDAE | SLIVER Trevally* | Pseudocaranx dentex | 11284 | 126475 | 0.089 | 87535 | 191183 | 0.458 | 4730 | 272058 | 0.017 |
| Carangidae | YELLOWTALL \& JACK MACKEREL | Trachurus novaezeiandiae \& 7. declivis | 709 | 12300 | 0.058 | 15410 | 167846 | 0.092 | 8248 | 34972 | 0.236 |
| CORYPHAENIDAE | DOLPHIN FISH | Corypheena hippurus | 5536 | 4402 | 1.258 | 6255 | 593 | 10.548 | - | 1466 | Com |
| ARRIPIDAE | SALMON | Arripis truta | - | 12037 | Com | 8425 | 14881 | 0.566 | 6300 | 437733 | 0.014 |
| SPARIDAE | YELLOWFIN BREAM | Acanthopagrus australis | 4109 | 84792 | 0.048 | 15051 | 154299 | 0.098 | 2825 | 15882 | 0.178 |
| SPARIDAE | SNAPPER | Pagrus auratus | 93784 | 380521 | 0.246 | 70494 | 84482 | 0.834 | 19932 | 22090 | 0.902 |
| SPARIDAE | TARWHine | Rhabdosargus sarba | 1656 | 11275 | 0.147 | 4879 | 28323 | 0.172 | - | 437 | Com |
| SCIAENIDAE | mulloway | Argyrosomus hololepidotus | 12261 | 50874 | 0.241 | 14956 | 35447 | 0.422 | - | 1086 | Com |
| Sciaenidae | TERAGLIN | Atractoscion aequidens | 19712 | 23038 | 0.856 | 356 | 1054 | 0.338 | $\bullet$ | - |  |
| mullddae\# | GOATHEH | All spccies combined | 913 | 21768 | 0.042 | 291 | 3499 | 0.083 | 49 | 56 | 0.875 |
| SCORPIDIDAE | SLIVER SWEEP | Scorpis tineolarus | 2844 | 48571 | 0.059 | 37168 | 75490 | 0.492 | 7536 | 10098 | 0.746 |
| Cheilodactylidae | RED MORWONG | Cheitodacylus fureus | - | 3931 | Com | 616 | 2971 | 0.207 | - | 1674 | Com |
| CHELLODACTYLIDAE | BLUE MORWONG | Nemadactylus douglasil | 10047 | 107619 | 0.093 | 37715 | 40125 | 0.940 | 43109 | 40830 | 1.056 |
| chellodactuldae | JACKASS MORWONG* | Nemadoctylus macropterus | - | 8194 | Com | 681 | 4001 | 0.170 | 8263 | 201876 | 0.041 |
| labridae | BLACK-SPOT PIGFISH | Bodianus vulpinus | 237 | 4544 | 0.052 | 6376 | 953 | 6.690 | 4325 | 968 | 4.468 |
| Labridae | CRIMSON-BANDED WRASSE | Notolabrus gymnogents | 338 | 5 | 67.600 | 4166 | - | Rec | 1695 | 155 | 10.935 |
| Labridae | MAORI WRASSE | Ophthalmolepis tineolata | 1056 | 9 | 117.333 | 14154 | - | Rec | 8760 | 481 | 18.212 |
| gempylidae | GEMFSH* | Rexea solandri | - | 2403 | Com | - | 130614 | Com | - | 58494 | Com |
| gempylidas | barracouta | Thyrsites arun | - | 15 | Com | 988 | 27 | 36.593 | 14120 | 44730 | 0.316 |
| Centrolophidae | blue-eye trevalla* | Hyperoglyphe antarctica | - | 18976 | Com | - | 50703 | Com | $\cdot$ | 129928 323333 | Com |
| CENTROLOPHDAE | warehou* | Seriolella spp. | - | $\cdot$ | - | - | 368 | Com | - | 323333 | Com |
| SCOMBRIDAE | NARROW-BARRED SPANISH MACKERE | Scomberomorus commerson | 4797 | 16995 | 0.282 | - | 97 | Com | - | ${ }^{2}$ | Com |
| SCOMBRDAE | SPOTTED MACKEREL | Scomberomorus munroi | 12133 | 26872 | 0.452 | - | 8 | Com | - | 229 | Com |
| scombridae | frigate mackerel | Alucts thazard | 81 | 746 | 0.109 | 1377 | 21 | 65.571 | 2293 | 52 | 44.096 |
| SCOMBRDAE | SLIMY MACKEREL | Scomber australasicus | 736 | 6873 | 0.107 | 6584 | 153610 | 0.043 | 32749 | 177628 | 0.184 |
| scombridae | LEAPING BONTTO | Cybiosarda elegans | - | 5457 |  | - | 1191 | Com | - | 475 | Com |

Table 13. Comparison of regional estimates of annual recreational harvest ( kg ) taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites, and the declared regional commercial landings (kg) taken from ocean waters during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive. The harvest ratios are a measure of the relative harvest allocations between the recreational and commercial fisheries for the first survey year.

| KEY <br> - Denotes SEF quota species. <br> \# - Estimares of recreational harvest for species within this family were pooled for comparison with declared commercial landings. Rec - no comparative commercial landings reconded - recreational estimates dominate harvest. <br> Com - no comparative recreational estimates recorded - commercial landings dominate harvest. |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NORTH COAST REGION |  |  | CENTRAL COAST REGION |  |  | SOUTH COAST REGION |  |  |
| Com - no companaive recraiona | COMmon name | TAXON | Recreational Harvest (kg) | Harvest (kg) | Harvest Ratio (Recreational/ Commcreial) | Recreational Harvest (kg) | Commercial Harvest (kg) | Harvest Ratio (Recreational) Commercial) | Recreational Harvest (kg) | Commercial Harvest (kg) | Harvest Ratio (Recreational/ Commercial) |
| SCOMBRIDAE | MACKEREL TUNA | Euthymis affins | 11065 | 12344 | 0.896 | 921 | 441 | 2.088 | - | 10315 | Com |
| SCOMBRIDAE | SKIPJACK | Katsuwonus pelamis | 1175 | 1880 | 0.625 | 14435 | 6600 | 2.187 | 41173 | 1637112 | 0.025 |
| SCOMBRIDAE | AUSTRALIAN BONITO | Sorda anstralis | 3281 | 69744 | 0.047 | 27554 | 14176 | 1.944 | 3454 | 80205 | 0.043 |
| SCOMbRIDAE | albacore | Thunnus alalunga | - | 4712 | Com | - | 27389 | Com | 38696 | 171512 | 0.226 |
| SCOMBRIDAE | YELLOWFIN TUNA | Thunnus albecares | 419 | 57990 | 0.007 | - | 104923 | Com | 72679 | 340510 | 0.213 |
| ISTIOPHORIDAE | STRIPED MARLIN | Tetrapturus andax | 7761 | 1849 | 4.197 | - | 1909 | Com | 24087 | 10402 | 2.316 |
| bothidae\% | FLOUNDER | All species combined | 880 | 13241 | 0.066 | 2427 | 14274 | 0.170 | 542 | 894 | 0.606 |
| MONACANTHIDAE\# | LEATHERJACKETS | All species combined | 802 | 99403 | 0.008 | 14332 | 30338 | 0.472 | 4325 | 840228 | 0.005 |
| CEPHALOPODA | ARROW SQUID | Nototodanus gould | - | 46544 | Com | - | 46721 | Com | 1258 | 155148 | 0.008 |
| CEPHALOPODA | GIANT CUTTLEFISH | Sepia apama | - | 242330 | Com | 19042 | 108734 | 0.175 | 3387 | 63473 | 0.053 |
| CEPHALOPODA | SOUTHERN CALAMARI | Sepioteuthis atistralls |  | 12107 | Com | 17118 | 49299 | 0.347 | 1877 | 2656 | 0.707 |

Table 14. Comparison of regional estimates of annual recreational harvest ( kg ) taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites, and the declared regional commercial landings (kg) taken from ocean waters during the econ surve year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive. The harvest ratios are a measure of the relative harvest allocations between the recreational and commercial fisheries for the second survey year.

| KEY <br> * - Denotes SEF quota species. <br> \# - Esimates of recrestional harvest for species within this family were pooled for comparison with dectared commerial landings. <br> Rec - no comparative commercial landings recoried - recreational estimates dominate harvest. <br> Com - no comparative recreational estimates recorded - commercial landings dominate harvest. |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NORTH COAST REGION |  |  | CENTRAL COAST REGION |  |  | SOUTH COAST REGION |  |  |
| Higher classification | COMMON NAME | TAXON | Recreational Harvest (kg) | Harvest (kg) | Harvest Ratio <br> (Recreational/ Commercial) | Recreational Harvest (kg) | Commercial Harvest (kg) | Harvest Ratio (Recreational/ Commercial) | Recreational Harvest (kg) | Commertial Harvest (kg) | Harvest Ratio (Recreational/ Commercial) |
| triakidae | SCHOOL SHARK | Galeorhimus galeus | 118 | 8064 | 0.015 | - | 12082 | Com | 458 | 5325 | 0.086 |
| triakidae | GUMMY SHARK | Mustelius antarcticus | 357 | 6928 | 0.052 | 818 | 8099 | 0.101 | 540 | 32050 | 0.017 |
| aul opodidaE | SERGEANT BAKER | Aulopus purpurisatus | 4973 | 135 | 36.837 | 23660 | 15 | 1577.33 | 3379 | - | Rec |
| OPHIDIIDAE | PRNK LING* | Gerypterus blacodes | - | 581 | Com | - | 36406 | Com | - | 379651 | Com |
| merluccidae | BLUE GRENADIER* | Macruronus novaezelandiae | - | 79 | Com | - | 917 | Com | - | 58357 | Com |
| trachichthyidae | ORANGE ROUGHY* | Hoplostethus atlantcus | - | 160 | Com | - | 268 | Com | - | 827 | Com |
| berycidae | NANNYGA ${ }^{*}$ | Centroberys offinis | 5913 | 10622 | 0.557 | 8538 | 239243 | 0.036 | 3977 | 735463 | 0.005 |
| zeidas | SLl VER DORY | Cytus australls | - | 7 | Com | - | 1128 | Com | - | 31538 | Com |
| zeidae | MIRROR DORY* | Zenopsis nebulosis | 207 | 1490 | 0.139 | - | 70940 | Com | 12 | 67762 | Com |
| zeidas | JOHN DORY* | Zeus faber | 585 | 9256 | 0.063 | 1045 | 93206 | 0.011 | - | 104741 | Com |
| Scorpaenidae | OCEAN PERCH* | Helicolemus percoldes | - | 3569 | Com | - | 87937 | Com | 928 | 125979 | 0.007 |
| SCORPAENIDAE | RED SCORPIONCOD | Scorpoena cardinalis | 5499 | 3250 | 1.692 | 7974 | 609 | 13.094 | 2599 | 2390 | 1.087 |
| triclidas | RED GURNARD | Chelidonichthys humu | 944 | 984 | 0.959 | 1939 | 10803 | 0.179 | 2399 | 27463 | 0.087 |
| triglidae | LATCHET | Pterygorigla polyommata | - | 5779 | Com | 154 | 26170 | 0.006 | 160 | 21341 | 0.007 |
| Platycephalidae | TGEE FLATHEAD* | Neoplatyeephalue richardsont | 2579 | 32038 | 0.080 | 5295 | 150658 | 0.035 | 10632 | 371907 | 0.029 |
| PLATYCEPHALIDAE | EASTERN BLUE-SPOTTED FLATHEAD | Platyccphalus caeruleoptunctatus | 64286 | 85143 | 0.755 | 82116 | 42727 | 1.922 | 61125 | 7831 | 7.806 |
| PLATYCEPHALIDAE | DUSKY FLATHEAD | Platyeephalus fuscus | 1554 | 2269 | 0.685 | 5937 | 1789 | 3.319 | 18 | 873 | Com |
| PLATYCEPHALIDAE | marbled flathead | Platycephalus marmoratus | 2541 | 279 | 9.108 | 4710 | 99 | 47.576 | 158 | - | Rec |
| SERRANIDAE | WIRRAH | Acanthstius ocellarus | 198 | 1487 | 0.133 | 1110 | 287 | 3.868 | 314 | 10215 | 0.031 |
| glaucosomidae | PEARL PERCH | Glaucosoma scapulare | 3623 | 11438 | 0.317 | - | 405 | Com | ${ }^{-}$ | 993 | Com |
| dinolestidae | LONG-FINNED SEAPIKE | Dinolestes lewint | - | 215 | Com | 11869 | 526 | 22.565 | 1624 | 826 | 1.966 |
| SILLAGINIDAE | SCHOOL WHITING* | Sillago flindersi | 396 | 502052 | 0.001 | 151 | 208003 | 0.001 | 200 | 21382 | 0.009 |
| POMATOMIDAE | tallor | Pomatomus salatrix | 14125 | 14558 | 0.970 | 9763 | 15343 | 0.636 | 1597 | 4846 | 0.330 |
| Rachycentridas | COBIA | Rachycentron conadum | 7618 | 3498 | 2.178 | - | 249 | Com | - | 48 | Com |
| carangidae | SAMSON FISH | Seriola hippos | 4662 | 15151 | 0.308 | 440 | 222 | 1.982 | - | $\cdot$ | - |
| CARANGIDAE | KINGFISH | Serola lalendit | 13524 | 92521 | 0.146 | 15515 | 55799 | 0.278 | 6800 | 123165 | 0.055 |

Table 14. Comparison of regional estimates of annual recreational harvest ( kg ) taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites, and the declared regional commercial landings (kg) taken from ocean waters during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive. The harvest ratios are a measure of the relative harvest allocations between the recreational and commercial fisheries for the second survey year.

| KEY <br> - - Denotes SEF quota species. <br> \#- Estimates of recreational harvest for species within this family were pooled for comparison with declared commercial landings. <br> Rec - no comparative commercial landings recorded - recreational estimates dominate harvest. <br> Com - no comparative recreational estimates recorded - commercial landings dominate harvest. |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NORTH COAST REGION |  |  | CENTRAL COAST REGION |  |  | SOUTH COAST REGION |  |  |
| higher classification | COMMON NAME | taxon | Recreational Harvest (kg) | Commercial Harvest (kg) | Harvest Ratio (Recreational/ Commercial) | Recreational Harvest (kg) | Commercial Harvest (kg) | Harvest Ratio (Recrentional) Commercial) | Recreational Harvest (kg) | Commerial Harvest (kg) | Harvest Ratio (Recreational/ Commercial) |
| CARANGIDAE | SLLVER TREVALLY* | Pseudocaronr denter | 13438 | 98394 | 0.137 | 95481 | 142536 | 0.670 | 3377 | 315104 | 0.011 |
| Carangidae | YELLOWTAIL \& JACK MACKEREL | Trachurus novaczeliandiae \& T. declivis | 879 | 10743 | 0.082 | 14230 | 153748 | 0.093 | 2708 | 53955 | 0.050 |
| CORYPHAENDAE | DOLPHIN FISH | Coryphaena hippurus | 3964 | 5713 | 0.694 | 5241 | 2781 | 1.885 | 3547 | 2800 | 1.267 |
| ARRIPIDAE | SALMON | Arripis trutta | - | 46066 | Com | 1680 | 500424 | 0.003 | 2283 | 609503 | 0.004 |
| SPARIDAE | yellowfn bream | Acanthopagrus autralls | 5764 | 27257 | 0.211 | 8442 | 102422 | 0.082 | 95 | 5295 | 0.018 |
| SPARIDAE | SNAPPER | Pagrus auratus | 107716 | 295109 | 0.365 | 64035 | 64865 | 0.987 | 15897 | 16741 | 0.950 |
| SPARIDAE | TARWHINE | Rhabdosargus sarba | 2821 | 14436 | 0.195 | 3185 | 19894 | 0.160 | - | 285 | Com |
| SCIAENIDAE | mULLOWAY | Argrosomus holotepidorns | 8159 | 36579 | 0.223 | 8156 | 33962 | 0.240 | - | 1391 | Com |
| SCIaenidae | TERAGLIN | Atractoscton aequidens | 11466 | 20260 | 0.566 | 1081 | 471 | 2.295 | - | 430 | Com |
| MULLIDAE\# | GOATFISH | All species combined | 774 | 20303 | 0.038 | 1101 | 2806 | 0.392 | 40 | 59 | 0.678 |
| SCORPIDIDAE | SILVER SWEEP | Scorpis lineolarus | 2291 | 51357 | 0.045 | 34536 | 56594 | 0.610 | 6341 | 4235 | 1.497 |
| cheilodactylidae | RED MORWONG | Cheilodacty | - | 3067 | Com | 573 | 3096 | 0.185 | 30 | 115 | 0.027 |
| CHELLODACTYLIDAE | BLUE MORWONG | Nemadactius douglasit | 9166 | 99283 | 0.092 | 30558 | 53241 | 0.574 | 15208 | 26048 | 0.584 |
| Chellodactyldae | JACKASS MORWONG* | Nemadactyius macropterus | - | 6380 | Com | - | 3522 | Com | 5092 | 164785 | 0.031 |
| Labridae | BLACK-SPOT PIGFISH | Bodianus vulptmus | 507 | 5444 | 0.093 | 4786 | 1294 | 3.699 | 968 | 588 | 1.646 |
| LABRIDAE | CRIMSON-BANDED WRASSE | Notolabrus gymnogenis | 751 | 23 | 32.552 | 3938 | - | Rec | 413 | - | Rec |
| Labridae | MAORI WRASSE | Ophthaimolepis lineolata | 1124 | 34 | 33.059 | 11656 | 8 | 1457.000 | 5562 | 569 | 9.775 |
| gempyldiam | GEMFISH* | Rexca solandri | - | 4337 | Com | - | 84064 | Com | - | 36083 | Com |
| gempylidae | barracouta | Thyrsites atur | - | 140 | Com | 96 | 138 | 0.696 | 1331 | 28008 | 0.048 |
| CENTROLOPHIDAE | blue-eye trevalla* | Hyperoglyphe antaretica | $\cdot$ | 25516 | Com | - | 67895 | Com | - | 107166 | Com |
| Centrolophidae | WAREHOU* | Seriolella spp. | - | - | - | - | 194 | Com | - | 453556 | Com |
| scombridae | NARROW-BARRED SPANISH MACKERE | Scomberomorus commerson | 6719 | 7306 | 0.920 | - | 112 | Com | - | 91 | Com |
| SCOMBRIDAE | SPOTIED MACKEREL | Scomberomorus munroi | 3679 | 7536 | 0.488 | - | 87 | Com | - | 46 | Com |
| SCOMBRIDAE | frigate mackerel | Autis thazard | 100 | 22553 | 0.004 | 420 | 45 | 9.333 | 1124 | 869 | 1.293 |
| SCOMbridae | SLIMY MACKEREL | Scomber custralasticus | 2855 | 1381 | 2.067 | 4225 | 158162 | 0.027 | 11085 | 151961 | 0.073 |
| SCOMBRIDAE | LEAPNG BONTTO | Cybiosarda elegans | - | 8928 | Com | 81 | 2635 | 0.031 | - | 3874 | Com |

Table 14. Comparison of regional estimates of annual recreational harvest (kg) taken by trailer boat anglers at large access sites, and the deciared regional commercial landings (kg) taken from ocean waters during the Sere 1994 to August 1995 inclusive. The harvest ratios are a measure of the relative harvest allocations between the recreational and commercial fisheries for the second survey year.

| KEY <br> * - Denotes SEF quom species. <br> 4- Estimates of recreational harvest for species within this family were pooled for comparison with declared commercial landings. <br> Rec - no comparative commercial landings recorded - recreational estimates dominate harvest. <br> Com - no comparative recreational estimates recorded - commercial landings dominate harvest. |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NORTH COAST REGION |  |  | CENTRAL COAST REGION |  |  | SOUTH COAST REGION |  |  |
| Higher classification | COMMON NAME | taxon | Recreational Harvest (kg) | Commercial Harvest (ks) | Harvest Ratio (Recreational/ Commercial) | Recreationa Harvest ( kg ) | Commercial Harvest (kg) | Harvest Ratio Recreational Commercial) | Recreational Harvest (kg) | Commercial Harvest (kg) | Harvest Ratio (Recreational/ Commercial) |
| SCOMBRIDAE | MACKEREL TUNA | Euthynnus affinis | 5675 | 2077 | 2.732 | 422 | 537 | 0.786 | - | 4359 | Com |
| SCOMbRIDAE | SKIPJACK | Katsuwomus pelamis | 415 | 3024 | 0.137 | 15469 | 6259 | 2.471 | 23140 | 650163 | 0.036 |
| SCOMBRIDAE | aUSTRALIAN BONITO | Sarda australis | 3373 | 78231 | 0.043 | 10192 | 19408 | 0.525 | 14655 | 40031 | 0.366 |
| SCOMBRIDAE | albacore | Thunnus alalunga | - | 11028 | Com | - | 42687 | Com | 35148 | 177008 | 0.199 |
| SCOMBRIDAE | YELLOWFIN TUNA | Thunnus albocares | 2022 | 119193 | 0.017 | - | 111616 | Com | 57113 | 256207 | 0.223 |
| ISTIOPHORIDAE | STRIPED MARLIN | Tetrapturis audax | - | 3066 | Com | - | 3069 | Com | 25237 | 12436 | 2.029 |
| bOTHIDAE\# | FLOUNDER | All species combined | 716 | 22241 | 0.032 | 2261 | 15860 | 0.143 | 202 | 1343 | 0.150 |
| MONACANTHIDAE\# | LEATHERIACKETS | All species combined | 777 | 92972 | 0.008 | 15933 | 24094 | 0.661 | 1899 | 34490 | 0.055 |
| CEPHALOPODA | ARROW SQUID | Natotodants gould | - | 49806 | Com | 53 | 43256 | 0.001 | 760 | 124561 | 0.006 |
| CEPHALOPODA | Glant Cuttlefish | Sepia apama | 214 | 275530 | 0.001 | 12926 | 91634 | 0.141 | 2132 | 59607 | 0.036 |
| CEPHALOPODA | SOUTHERN CALAMARI | Seploteuthis oustralts | - | 10566 | Com | 21158 | 51545 | 0.410 | 522 | 6124 | 0.085 |

snapper, tiger flathead and silver trevally, to illustrate these differences in the regional allocation of harvest. These regional differences in the relative sizes (actual allocations) of recreational and commercial harvests suggest that for some shared fisheries it may be better for fisheries managers to begin managing these important fisheries on a regional basis.

## Example 1: Eastern blue-spotted flathead

The commercial catch of eastern blue-spotted flathead shows a strong latitudinal pattern. The commercial catch was greatest in the North Coast region, intermediate in the Central Coast region and lowest in the South Coast region (Tables 13 and 14). This pattern of commercial harvesting is mainly attributable to the different types of commercial fisheries that operate throughout the State. The eastern blue-spotted flathead is a large and regular part of the retained commercial by-catch of trawlers engaged in the offshore king prawn trawl fishery in the north of NSW. In the Central Coast region of NSW this flathead species is mainly taken commercially by fish trawlers, whereas in the South Coast of the state this species is rarely targeted by commercial operators because the trawl fisheries in this region are located in deeper waters. Thus, in the South Coast region it is the tiger flathead which is the main target flathead species for trawl operators, even though eastern blue-spotted flathead are very abundant in the shallower coastal waters.

The eastern blue-spotted flathead has been cited as an example of a species whose perceived value by recreational anglers changes latitudinally (Steffe and Murphy 1995). This flathead species is highly prized in the south and central parts of the state and as expected many recreational anglers target and harvest eastern blue-spotted flathead in great quantities. In contrast, anglers in the far north of the state have relatively low regard for this species resulting in little targeting and relatively small harvests by the recreational sector (Steffe and Murphy 1995). The combined effect created by the very different harvesting patterns of the commercial and recreational fishers is expressed in terms of large regional differences in harvest ratios. A huge increase in harvest allocation, which strongly favours the recreational sector, is seen as
latitude increases. This pattern of harvest allocation was consistent between survey years (Tables 13 and 14). The harvest ratios were lowest in the North Coast region ( 0.853 harvest ratio in the first survey year, and 0.755 harvest ratio in the second survey year), intermediate in the Central Coast region ( 1.332 harvest ratio in the first survey year, and 1.922 harvest ratio in the second survey year) and highest in the South Coast region ( 9.763 harvest ratio in the first survey year, and 7.806 harvest ratio in the second survey year - Tables 13 and 14). These figures make a good case for regional management of the eastern blue-spotted flathead resource and also strongly suggest that any increases in commercial fishing effort directed towards this species will create conflict between the recreational and commercial sectors.

## Example 2: Snapper

The commercial and recreational fisheries both show marked declines in harvests as latitude increases. That is, the harvests for both groups are largest in the North Coast region, intermediate in the Central Coast region and lowest in the South Coast region (Tables 13 and 14). We know that snapper are highly regarded and keenly sought along the entire length of the NSW coast. Thus, this southward gradient of decline in snapper harvest strongly suggests that the relative abundance of snapper changes latitudinally. Yet, the harvest ratios, which are a measure of the realised allocation between the two user-groups, does not remain constant among regions. Instead, the harvest ratio is lowest in the North Coast region ( 0.246 harvest ratio in the first survey year, and 0.365 harvest ratio in the second survey year) where snapper harvests are greatest for both sectors, indicating that the commercial fishery is harvesting the greatest portion of the resource. The recreational share of the snapper harvest is found to increase markedly in the Central Coast ( 0.834 harvest ratio in the first survey year, and 0.987 harvest ratio in the second survey year) and South Coast regions ( 0.902 harvest ratio in the first survey year, and 0.950 harvest ratio in the second survey year), indicating that recreational trailer boat anglers are large users of the snapper resource in these two regions.

## Example 3: Tiger flathead

The commercial fishery for tiger flathead shows a marked decline in total catch as latitude decreases. That is, the largest commercial catches of tiger flathead were taken in the South Coast region, intermediate catches were landed in the Central Coast region, and relatively low commercial catches were made in the North Coast region. This pattern of commercial harvesting was found in both survey years (Tables 13 and 14). The recreational trailer boat fishery tended to reflect this same pattern. Largest recreational harvests were taken in the South Coast region and lower but still considerable recreational harvests were made in the Central and North Coast regions (Tables 13 and 14). Interestingly, harvest ratios showed the opposite pattern to harvest. The largest harvest ratios were recorded outside the area of the South East Fishery (SEF) in the North Coast region ( 0.110 harvest ratio in the first survey year, and 0.080 harvest ratio in the second survey year), and lower harvest ratios were found in the Central Coast ( 0.011 harvest ratio in the first survey year, and 0.035 harvest ratio in the second survey year) and South Coast regions ( 0.028 harvest ratio in the first survey year, and 0.029 harvest ratio in the second survey year - Tables 13 and 14). The large recreational harvests inside and outside the SEF area and the regional differences in the realised allocation of the tiger flathead resource strongly suggests that the recreational sector should be considered when making stock assessments to determine the size of annual quotas for many shared species.

## Example 4: Silver trevally

The commercial fishery for silver trevally shows a marked decline in total catch as latitude decreases. That is, the largest commercial catches of silver trevally were taken in the South Coast region, intermediate catches were landed in the Central Coast region, and the lowest commercial catches were made in the North Coast region. This pattern of commercial harvesting was found in both survey years (Tables 13 and 14). In contrast, recreational trailer boat harvests were greatest in the Central Coast region, with lower but still considerable recreational harvests of silver trevally recorded from the North and South Coast regions. This pattern of recreational harvesting was found in both survey years (Tables 13 and 14). Thus, it is not surprising that the greatest
harvest ratio in each survey year was recorded in the Central Coast region (0.458 harvest ratio in the first survey year, and 0.670 harvest ratio in the second survey year) and smaller harvest ratios were found in the North Coast ( 0.089 harvest ratio in the first survey year, and 0.137 harvest ratio in the second survey year) and South Coast regions ( 0.017 harvest ratio in the first survey year, and 0.011 harvest ratio in the second survey year - Tables 13 and 14). We strongly believe that these regional differences in harvest ratios for silver trevally are due to regional differences in fishing behaviour and preferences of recreational anglers. It appears that large numbers of anglers in the Central Coast region actively target and harvest large quantities of silver trevally, whereas in the other regions recreational anglers are not targeting this species as much.

## Conclusions

- The successful implementation of the recreational boat movement logbook at many large access sites throughout the state has allowed us to make precise estimates of recreational fishing effort at regional and statewide scales.
- The increased spatial and temporal coverage of recreational fishing effort that has been provided by the recreational boat movement logbook has also led to an increase in the precision of harvest estimates made at regional and statewide scales.
- We estimated that 217,550 trailer boat trips were made from large access sites throughout the state during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive, and that a further 214,821 trips occurred during the second survey year September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive. These levels of recreational fishing effort show that the recreational trailer boat fishery in the marine waters of NSW is large.
- We estimated that 24,502 cruiser and gameboat trips were made from large access sites throughout the state during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive, and that a further 25,059 trips occurred during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive. These levels of recreational fishing effort show that the recreational cruiser and gameboat fishery in the marine waters of NSW is of moderate size.
- The recreational trailer boat fishery is an extremely diverse multi-species fishery. We recorded 210 taxa in the retained catch of trailer boat anglers during the two years of the survey.
- Despite the great taxonomic diversity of the harvest, relatively few taxa accounted for the bulk of the recreational harvest. The top ten species always accounted for more than $68 \%$ by number, and $59 \%$ by weight, of the recreational trailer boat harvest. This pattern of harvesting was consistent among regions and between survey years.
- We found that there were large latitudinal differences in the pattern of recreational harvesting. The trailer boat fishery was characterised by regional differences in the taxonomic composition of the harvest, and differences in the proportional contributions made by important taxa to the total harvest.
- The survey figures that we present in this report show that recreational fishing does have the potential to impact fisheries resources. We have found that recreational trailer boat anglers, as a collective group, do harvest large quantities of many species.
- Three species had estimated statewide recreational harvests in excess of 100 tonnes in each survey year. The recreational trailer boat harvest of eastern blue-spotted flathead was in excess of 229 tonnes in the first survey year, and a further 207 tonnes were harvested during the second survey year. The recreational trailer boat harvest of snapper was in excess of 184 tonnes in the first survey year, and a further 187 tonnes were harvested during the second survey year. The recreational trailer boat harvest of silver trevally was in excess of 103 tonnes in the first survey year, and a further 112 tonnes were harvested during the second survey year.
- Overall, recreational trailer boat harvests in excess of one tonne statewide were recorded for 55 taxa during the first survey year, and 54 taxa during the second survey year.
- We have identified many "shared" taxa that are keenly targeted and harvested by recreational and commercial fishers alike and which form the basis of large shared fisheries by both sectors.
- We have documented latitudinal changes in the harvesting patterns of many important species by both the recreational and commercial fisheries, which results in regional changes of harvest allocation between the sectors for these species.

Examples include eastern blue-spotted flathead, snapper, tiger flathead, and silver trevally.

- It is important to note that the estimates of recreational harvest we have presented are underestimates of the total recreational harvest. Our estimates do not consider night-time angling, the harvests of anglers that use large cruisers and gameboats, the harvests of the charter boat fleets, or the harvests of trailer boat anglers that use medium and small sites to provide them with access to the coastal waters off NSW. Even so, the estimates of recreational harvest we have obtained are substantial and for many shared species represent a significant portion of the commercial catch.


## Recommendations

1. More research should be done using data modelling approaches to investigate the relationship between recreational fishing effort and weather variables. This research may allow accurate regional predictions of recreational fishing effort to be made from known weather information.
2. Resources should be allocated to continuing and expanding the recreational boat movement logbook program at large access sites throughout NSW. This proven logbook program should be viewed as a long-term (decades) sampling method for monitoring the changing levels of recreational fishing effort in the marine waters of NSW.
3. The Department should consider a formal agreement with the individual sea-rescue bases that participate in the boat movement logbook program which would include a nominal annual donation to each participating base. This type of agreement would ensure the maintenance of data quality and would provide important recognition for the valuable work provided by the volunteer members of these sea-rescue bases.
4. The recreational sector should be given more consideration and input into management plans that affect shared fisheries resources.
5. It is imperative that the recreational sector be considered when making stock assessments to determine the size of annual quotas for many shared species, particularly SEF species.
6. Fisheries managers should consider managing many of the important shared fisheries on a regional basis because we have found large regional differences in the relative sizes (actual allocations) of recreational and commercial harvests.
7. Future recreational research programs that monitor effort and harvest over large spatial scales are necessary to improve fisheries management. These programs will
contribute to our understanding of the effects of recreational angling on finfish resources and the sustainable limits of harvest for our coastal fisheries resources.
8. Future monitoring programmes should also incorporate increased levels of replication for providing more accurate estimates of recreational angling effort and harvest.

## A Statewide Survey of Recreational Fishing Effort for Charter

## Boats, and the Recreational Harvest Taken by Charter Boat Anglers in the Marine Waters of the Sydney Area

## Introduction

The recreational fishing charter boat fleet that operates in the marine waters off the NSW coastline contains boats of all sizes which are engaged in a great variety of fishing activities. The lack of formal registration in this industry has made it difficult to monitor the activities of charter boats. In fact, the exact number of charter boats that operate in NSW waters is unknown. Thus, it is not surprising that there have been no previous studies on the NSW charter boat industry.

Pilot studies were carried out in the Sydney area during May and July 1993 to develop the survey methods that were used in the survey of trailer boat angling (see previous chapter). During these pilot studies we recorded all recreational boating movements at each of the four large metropolitan access sites (Broken Bay, Sydney Harbour, Botany Bay and Port Hacking) by placing observers at selected headland vantage points. Observations taken during six weekend days showed that an average of 20.2 (S.E.=1.5) charter boat trips per weekend day had taken place in the Sydney area during the period of the pilot study. These data suggested that the recreational charter fleet was large and that the charter boat industry had the potential to significantly impact on fish stocks.

There are large logistic difficulties associated when attempting to survey any fishery for which a complete sampling frame does not exist (Pollock et al. 1994). We decided to reduce the scope of this part of the project so that an achievable outcome was possible. The specific charter boat study objectives that are outlined below are consistent with the overall objectives of this project.

## Objectives

1. On a statewide scale, estimate the number of charter boat trips that occur in the marine waters of NSW.
2. Estimate total fishing effort, harvest, and harvest rates of recreational anglers that use charter boats to fish in the marine waters of the Sydney area.
3. Develop an effective logbook to record harvest and effort data from charter boats.

## Methods

## General

We combined access site survey methods with data obtained from a recreational boat movement logbook study (see previous Chapter) to estimate the number of daytime charter boat fishing trips for all large sites that provide charter boat access to the coastal waters off NSW (Fig. 2). A voluntary logbook program was implemented in the Sydney area to collect harvest information on a daily trip basis. Thus, we had onsite counts of charter boat effort at many large access sites throughout the state that were independent of the logbook method that was used to collect harvest data.

We contacted charter boat operators in the Sydney area and asked them to participate in this voluntary logbook program. Many operators, for a variety of reasons, did not wish to participate in the voluntary logbook program. The co-operative skippers that we found were given explanations of the aims and importance of the study and provided with instructions on how to fill out the logbook forms. The skippers of these participating vessels were given a fish identification kit to prevent species misidentifications and to standardise the levels of taxonomic precision among charter boats. We also used many of the same data quality control procedures discussed in the previous chapter.

## Estimation Procedures for Calculating Fishing Effort and Harvest

The estimation procedures used for calculating the fishing effort of charter boats in the Sydney area, and for obtaining estimates of total fishing effort for charter boats
throughout the state are identical to the methods used for cruisers and gameboats, and trailer boats. These methods are described in detail in the previous chapter and a brief summary is provided here.

The raw data used for estimating fishing effort were daily counts of recreational fishing trips for charter boats. These data were derived from two independent sources: (a) boat counts taken by field staff on rostered survey days, and (b) daily boat movement logbooks filled out by members of volunteer sea rescue organisations. The daily counts of recreational fishing trips made by charter boats were expanded to provide stratum totals using two methods, which were: (1) the direct expansion from the data to estimate the unknown fraction; and (2) the imputation of missing data to estimate the fishing effort for some strata at some access sites.

We divided each seasonal stratum into six half monthly (circa fortnightly) periods. These periods of approximately two weeks were regarded as the primary sampling units for harvest. This meant that we pooled all logsheets for each primary sample unit before calculating a separate harvest rate and a separate harvest estimate for each of the primary sampling units. This pooling procedure eliminates the day-type stratification for harvest and requires us to assume that the harvest rates and taxonomic composition of the harvest do not change between day-types. This assumption is reasonable to make for this relatively deepwater fishery which concentrates most of its fishing effort during the weekend day stratum. Thus, the within season stratum variances for harvest rates are based on the variance among the fortnightly primary sampling units. Seasonal harvest was calculated simply by multiplying the mean harvest rate for a season (calculated from the six primary sample units per season) by the estimated fishing effort for that season (calculated by direct expansion). The calculations that are made in these estimation procedures follow those outlined by Pollock et al. (1994).

Harvest estimates are presented in two ways, in terms of abundance (numbers of fish) and in terms of weight (kilograms of fish). Boat skippers were instructed, where possible, to measure all identified fish (to the nearest cm ) that were seen during their
interviews with angling parties. In most cases, fish weights were not provided by the boat skippers. We converted the length measurements into weights by using length/weight keys. This was done for all taxa for which we had suitable length/weight conversion keys (Appendix 2). Weights were estimated directly from the length/weight keys for those fish that had been measured during interviews. The remaining unmeasured component of the harvest (i.e. those fish seen during interviews but only counted, and those fish which our expansions of data had estimated) was converted to weight according to the following two criteria. We used a seasonal mean weight to estimate the seasonal mass of the unmeasured component of harvest for any taxon that had measurements for twenty or more individuals collected during a season in the Sydney area. When less than twenty individuals had been measured during a season we used an annual mean weight for the Sydney area to estimate the seasonal mass of the unmeasured component of the harvest.

We did not attempt to make expanded estimates of harvest for any taxa that were considered to have been "rare" in the Sydney area. We defined "rare" in the Sydney area, as being any taxon that had been recorded from only one interview, regardless of the number of individuals harvested in that single trip, during the year surveyed. All taxa which did not meet the criteria for rarity were classified as common taxa. Expanded estimates of harvest were made for all common taxa in the Sydney area.

Estimates of effort and harvest at higher levels such as the seasonal and annual site totals, and regional and statewide totals for large access sites were obtained by summing the separate stratum totals. Similarly, estimates of variance at higher levels were obtained by summing the separate stratum variances. The general equations used to calculate the stratum estimates of effort and harvest were taken from Pollock et al. (1994) and Mood et al. (1974).

## Harvest Comparisons for the Charter Boat Recreational Fishery and the

## Oceanic Commercial Fisheries in the Sydney Area

Recreational harvest estimates were obtained by the methods described in the previous sections. The recreational harvest statistics (estimated weights) were available only for common taxa for which we had suitable length/weight conversion keys (Appendix 2). In contrast, commercial fishers are required by state legislation to provide accurate catch statistics on a monthly basis. These statistics are held by NSW Fisheries. We have used the declared commercial statistics for ocean landings at ports in the Sydney area to make comparisons with the recreational harvests taken by the charter boat fishery.

These comparisons were made by using the monthly commercial returns to construct tables of harvest for the Sydney area that also corresponded to the same seasonal periods that had been used to survey the charter boat fishery. Then it was possible to calculate harvest ratios which simply describe the relative sizes of the harvests (recreational/commercial). When the harvest ratio is greater than one it indicates that the estimated recreational harvest taken from charter boats is greater than the declared commercial landings taken from ocean waters in the Sydney area. Conversely, when the ratio is less than one it indicates that the declared commercial landings taken from ocean waters in the Sydney area have exceeded the size of the estimated recreational harvest taken by charter boat anglers in the Sydney area. When the ratio is equal to one the estimated recreational harvest taken from charter boats in the Sydney area is of equal size to the declared commercial landings taken from ocean waters in the Sydney area. We have restricted the presentation and discussion of these results to annual comparisons for the Sydney area.

## Detailed Results

## Description of the Charter Boat Fishery in the Sydney Area

A charter boat skipper is essentially a professional fishing guide that strives to maximise the success rate of his clients. Fishing success can be measured either as harvest or as the number of fish caught and released. Thus, the professional fishing guide provides recreational fishing opportunities to recreational anglers that usually do not have the means to access the fishery alone.

The charter boat fleet in the Sydney area consists of many different sized vessels which target and catch a great diversity of fish species. We have recognised many different types of charter boat fishing that occurs regularly within the Sydney area. Each type of charter fishing targets and harvests different types of fishes making the charter industry extremely diverse. However, this industry may be grouped into four broad types of charter fishing. A brief description of these different types of charter fishing in the Sydney area is provided below.
(1) Large gameboats which mainly target billfish and tunas. These boats can cover large distances when fishing, and usually frequent recognised gamefish grounds located near seamounts and the edge of the continental shelf. Gameboats usually cater for relatively small numbers of anglers (2-6) and fishing is usually done by trolling. (2) Large generalist boats that are often referred to as "Bottom bombers". These boats usually cater for large numbers of anglers (10-20). Fishing is usually done by drifting across reefs and sand patches whilst targeting demersal species. Relatively heavy gear is used.
(3) Smaller specialised boats. These boats cater for small groups of anglers (3-6). Fishing is done using more specialised methods such as anchoring, berleying, and occasionally live baiting depending on the target species. These types of boats usually target prized food and sport species such as snapper, kingfish, yellowfin tuna. It is interesting to note that we have observed Japanese tourists hiring these vessels so that they can combine some sight-seeing with yellowtail Trachurus novaezelandiae fishing. The harvest is preferably killed by the ike-jime method and then ice slurried for later consumption as sashimi.
(4) Large specialised boats. These boats are "Bottom bombers" that have invested in specialised fishing gear for targeting deepwater species on seamounts. They cater for large groups of anglers ( $10-20$ ) and use strong braided cord lines, deck winches and ample lead to reach the bottom. The target species are blue-eye trevalla, hapuka and bass groper.

The voluntary charter boat logbook program started with 8 participating skippers who forwarded a total of 95 logsheets in the Spring season of Survey Year 2. A steady decline in participation was evident throughout the rest of the year. The Summer season had 6 participating skippers ( 74 logsheets), the Autumn season had 5 participating skippers ( 61 logsheets) and the Winter season had 4 participating skippers ( 42 logsheets).

## Charter Boat Fishing Effort

## Statewide

We estimated that 11,103 charter boat trips were made from large access sites throughout the state during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive, and that a further 10,934 trips occurred during the second survey year September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive (Table 15). On a statewide scale, the same seasonal patterns of recreational fishing effort were found between survey years (Table 15). The highest levels of effort occurred during Summer ( $29.7 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $30.5 \%$ of annual effort in year 2 ) and Autumn ( $30.0 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $28.4 \%$ of annual effort in year 2), whilst lower levels of effort were recorded during Spring ( $23.1 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $20.4 \%$ of annual effort in year 2) and Winter ( $17.2 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $20.7 \%$ of annual effort in year 2).

The regional spread of fishing effort across the state also showed a consistent pattern between years (Table 15). The Central Coast region had the highest regional level of charter boat effort ( $59.0 \%$ in year 1 and $49.4 \%$ in year 2 ) in both survey years. This pattern is not surprising because the Central Coast region contains the three largest

Table 15. Statewide and regional estimates of recreational fishing effort (number of boat trips) for Charter Boats for each day-type and seasonal stratum within each survey year during the two year period - September 1993 to August 1995.

CATEGORY: CHARTER BOATS

| REGION | DAY-TYPE | SURVEY YEAR 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SPRING 93 |  | SUMMER 93/94 |  | AUTUMN 94 |  | WINTER 94 |  | Year 1 TOTAL |  |
|  |  | Estimated No. Trips | s.e. | Estimated <br> No. Trips | s.e. | Estimated No. Trips | s.e. | Estimated No. Trips | s.e. | Estimated No. Trips | s.e. |
| NORTH | Weekday | 235 | 13 | 397 | 9 | 241 | 6 | 268 | 10 | 1141 | 19 |
| COAST | Weekend | 142 | 11 | 298 | 18 | 182 | 7 | 143 | 10 | 765 | 25 |
|  | Total | 377 | 17 | 695 | 20 | 423 | 10 | 411 | 14 | 1906 | 31 |
| CENTRAL | Weekday | 445 | 67 | 374 | 64 | 275 | 42 | 105 | 37 | 1199 | 109 |
| COAST | Weekend | 1544 | 85 | 1383 | 68 | 1313 | 58 | 1118 | 73 | 5358 | 143 |
|  | Total | 1989 | 108 | 1757 | 93 | 1588 | 72 | 1223 | 82 | 6557 | 180 |
| SOUTH | Weekday | 90 | 27 | 464 | 83 | 688 | 96 | 124 | 20 | 1366 | 131 |
| COAST | Weekend | 114 | 17 | 384 | 73 | 632 | 45 | 144 | 25 | 1274 | 91 |
|  | Total | 204 | 32 | 848 | 110 | 1320 | 106 | 268 | 32 | 2640 | 160 |
| STATEWDE | Weekday | 770 | 74 | 1235 | 105 | 1204 | 105 | 497 | 43 | 3706 | 171 |
|  | Weekend | 1800 | 87 | 2065 | 101 | 2127 | 74 | 1405 | 78 | 7397 | 171 |
|  | Total | 2570 | 114 | 3300 | 146 | 3331 | 128 | 1902 | 89 | 11103 | 242 |
|  |  | SURVEY YEAR 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | SPRING 94 |  | SUMMER 94/95 |  | AUTUMN 95 |  | WINTER 95 |  | YEAR 2 TOTAL |  |
| REGION | DAY-TYPE | Estimated No. Trips | s.e. | Estimated No. Trips | s.e. | Estimated No. Trips | s.e. | Estimated No. Trips | s.e | Estimated <br> No. Trips | s.e. |
| NORTH | Weekday | 363 | 31 | 432 | 31 | 364 | 29 | 287 | 10 | 1446 | 45 |
| COAST | Weekend | 173 | 16 | 178 | 16 | 183 | 12 | 217 | 2 | 751 | 21 |
|  | Total | 536 | 35 | 610 | 35 | 547 | 32 | 504 | 10 | 2197 | 49 |
|  | Weekday | 184 | 114 | 329 | 38 | 212 | 61 | 274 | 65 | 999 | 150 |
| COAST | Weekend | 1064 | 70 | 1363 | 70 | 942 | 71 | 1037 | 57 | 4406 | 174 |
|  | Total | 1248 | 80 | 1692 | 80 | 1154 | 94 | 1311 | 86 | 5405 | 230 |
| SOUTH | Weekday | 160 | 35 | 584 | 35 | 840 | 42 | 156 | 7 | 1740 | 58 |
| COAST | Weekend | 292 | 31 | 452 | 31 | 560 | 15 | 288 | 36 | 1592 | 55 |
|  | Total | 452 | 47 | 1036 | 47 | 1400 | 45 | 444 | 37 | 3332 | 79 |
| STATEWDE | Weekday | 707 | 60 | 1345 | 60 | 1416 | 80 | 717 | 66 | 4185 | 167 |
|  | Weekend | 1529 | 78 | 1993 | 78 | 1685 | 73 | 1542 | 67 | 6749 | 184 |
|  | Total | 2236 | 99 | 3338 | 99 | 3101 | 108 | 2259 | 94 | 10934 | 248 |

cities in NSW and hence has a larger resident angling population than the other regions. The South Coast region had the second highest regional level of effort ( $23.8 \%$ in year 1 and $30.5 \%$ in year 2 ) in both survey years, and the North Coast region had the lowest regional effort level ( $17.2 \%$ in year 1 and $20.1 \%$ in year 2 ) in both survey years (Table 15).

## North Coast region

We estimated that 1,906 charter boat trips were made from large access sites throughout the North Coast region during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive, and that a further 2,197 trips occurred during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive (Table 15). A seasonal pattern of fishing effort was found during both survey years. The highest level of effort occurred during Summer ( $36.4 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $27.8 \%$ of annual effort in year 2), with lower amounts of effort recorded during Autumn ( $22.2 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $24.9 \%$ of annual effort in year 2) Winter ( $21.6 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $22.9 \%$ of annual effort in year 2) and Spring ( $19.8 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $24.4 \%$ of annual effort in year 2 - Table 15).

The fishing effort of the North Coast charter boat fleet was not distributed evenly across day-types but was concentrated mainly during the weekday stratum (Table 15). This pattern was consistent between years and among seasons (Table 15). The large amount of charter boat fishing effort on weekdays in the North Coast region probably reflects the continual influx of holiday anglers into the region. These holiday anglers have the flexibility to go angling at any time during the week.

## Central Coast region

We estimated that 6,557 charter boat trips were made from large access sites throughout the Central Coast region during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive, and that a further 5,405 trips occurred during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive (Table 15).

In the Central Coast region, there was no consistent seasonal pattern of charter boat fishing effort between survey years (Table 15). The first survey year was characterised by having the highest level of effort during Spring ( $30.3 \%$ of annual effort), followed by a steady decline in charter boat effort during the Summer ( $26.8 \%$ of annual effort), Autumn ( $24.2 \%$ of annual effort), and Winter ( $18.7 \%$ of annual effort) seasons in that survey year. In contrast, a marked seasonal pattern of charter boat fishing effort was found during the second survey year. The peak level of effort occurred during Summer ( $31.2 \%$ of annual effort), with lower amounts of effort recorded during Winter ( $24.3 \%$ of annual effort), Spring ( $23.1 \%$ of annual effort), and Autumn ( $21.4 \%$ of annual effort - Table 15).

The charter boat fishing effort that occurred within the Central Coast region was concentrated mainly during the weekend days. The weekend day stratum was found to have contributed a much greater part of the seasonal and annual fishing effort ( $81.7 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $81.5 \%$ of annual effort in year 2). This was consistent for all seasons during both survey years within this region (Table 15). The Central Coast region contains the three largest cities in NSW. Thus, it is likely that this interesting pattern of concentrated weekend day fishing effort occurs because the fishing activities of many metropolitan anglers are greatly restricted by their weekday work commitments.

## South Coast region

We estimated that 2,640 charter boat trips were made from large access sites throughout the South Coast region during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive, and that a further 3,332 charter trips occurred during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive (Table 15). In the South Coast region, the same seasonal patterns of recreational fishing effort were found between survey years (Table 15). The highest levels of effort always occurred during Autumn ( $50.0 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $42.0 \%$ of annual effort in year 2) and Summer (32.1\% of annual effort in year 1 and $31.1 \%$ of annual effort in year 2),
with relatively lower levels of effort were always recorded during Winter ( $10.2 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $13.3 \%$ of annual effort in year 2 ) and Spring ( $7.7 \%$ of annual effort in year 1 and $13.6 \%$ of annual effort in year 2).

The peak levels of fishing effort recorded during the Autumn season correspond well to the expected timing of the annual migration of yellowfin tuna through South Coast waters. The yellowfin tuna season is eagerly anticipated by recreational anglers throughout NSW, the ACT, and Victoria. The high levels of effort that were recorded during the Summer season probably reflected the combined effects of good seasonal weather for fishing and the activities of local anglers and a large number of holidaymakers within the region. In contrast, the relatively lower levels of effort recorded during the Winter and Spring seasons may be attributed mainly to the fishing activities of local anglers. The fact that the weekday stratum contributes a much greater part of the total seasonal effort during the Autumn ( $52.1 \%$ of seasonal effort in year 1 and $60.0 \%$ of seasonal effort in year 2) and Summer ( $54.7 \%$ of seasonal effort in year 1 and $56.4 \%$ of seasonal effort in year 2 ) in both survey years supports the hypothesis that most of the effort during these seasons is due to visiting anglers that have the flexibility to go fishing at any time during the week. In contrast, the charter boat fishing effort was concentrated during the weekend day stratum during the Spring ( $55.9 \%$ of seasonal effort in year 1 and $64.6 \%$ of seasonal effort in year 2) and Winter ( $53.7 \%$ of seasonal effort in year 1 and $64.9 \%$ of seasonal effort in year 2) seasons during both survey years. This pattern probably reflects the combined effects of seasonally low numbers of holiday anglers and a relatively localised grouping of charter boat clients that have weekday work commitments.

## Sydney area

We estimated that 3,085 charter boat trips were made from the four large access sites in the Sydney area during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive, and that a further 2,555 charter trips occurred during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive (Table 16). The patterns of charter boat fishing effort recorded for the Sydney area are similar to those documented for the

Table 16. Sydney area estimates of recreational fishing effort (number of boat trips) for Charter boats for each day-type and seasonal stratum within each survey year during the two year period - September 1993 to August 1995 inclusive.

| SEASON | DAY-TYPE | SURVEY YEAR 1 |  | SURVEY YEAR 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Estimated <br> No. Trips | s.e. | Estimated No. Trips | s.e. |
| SPRING | Weekday | 200 | 42.7 | 73 | 75.9 |
|  | Weekend | 730 | 49.9 | 488 | 87.1 |
|  | TOTAL | 930 | 65.7 | 561 | 115.5 |
| SUMMER | Weekday | 164 | 41.9 | 144 | 25.2 |
|  | Weekend | 718 | 44.0 | 658 | 43.6 |
|  | TOTAL | 882 | 60.8 | 802 | 50.3 |
| AUTUMN | Weekday | 125 | 24.9 | 94 | 31.6 |
|  | Weekend | 598 | 34.4 | 493 | 38.8 |
|  | TOTAL | 723 | 42.5 | 587 | 50.0 |
| WINTER | Weekday | 21 | 12.8 | 125 | 33.9 |
|  | Weekend | 529 | 41.7 | 480 | 29.1 |
|  | TOTAL | 550 | 43.6 | 605 | 44.7 |
| ANNUAL | Weekday | 510 | 66.1 | 436 | 92.4 |
|  | Weekend | 2575 | 85.7 | 2119 | 108.8 |
|  | TOTAL | 3085 | 108.3 | 2555 | 142.7 |

Central Coast region. This is not surprising given that the charter boat fleet in the Sydney area is large and that the results from this area would have had a great influence on the regional expansion of effort.

In the Sydney area, there was no consistent seasonal pattern of charter boat fishing effort between survey years (Table 16). The first survey year was characterised by having the highest level of effort during Spring ( $30.2 \%$ of annual effort), followed by a steady decline in charter boat effort during the Summer ( $28.6 \%$ of annual effort), Autumn ( $23.4 \%$ of annual effort), and Winter ( $17.8 \%$ of annual effort) seasons in that survey year. In contrast, a marked seasonal pattern of charter boat fishing effort was found during the second survey year. The peak level of effort occurred during Summer ( $31.3 \%$ of annual effort), with lower amounts of effort recorded during Winter ( $23.7 \%$ of annual effort), Autumn ( $23.0 \%$ of annual effort), and Spring ( $22.0 \%$ of annual effort - Table 16).

The fishing effort of the Sydney charter boat fleet was not distributed evenly across day-types but occurred mainly on weekend days (Table 16). This pattern was consistent between years and among seasons (Table 16). The large concentration of charter boat fishing effort on weekends in the Sydney area probably reflects the normal weekly routines of people living in the Sydney metropolitan area. That is, the majority of Sydney charter boat clients living in the metropolitan area have work commitments on weekdays and so most of their recreational fishing activities take place on weekends.

## Charter Boat Harvest - Sydney Area

The voluntary logbook program which provided harvest information for the Sydney charter boat fleet was started during the second survey year. The data collected during this logbook program were self-reported and thus subject to a variety of biases such as prestige bias, recall bias, rounding bias, intentional deception, question misinterpretation, and non-response errors (Pollock et al. 1994). In view of these problems we made some assumptions about the sample harvest data provided by the
logbook program. The main assumption was that the logbook returns we received were representative of all charter boats in the Sydney fleet. This may or may not have been the case. We could not test this assumption. However, throughout the surveyed year the respondents included boats which targeted gamefish only, boats which catered for large groups of anglers and used unspecialised methods such as heavy gear and drifting across reef and sand areas, boats which catered for small groups of anglers and which used more specialised methods such as anchoring, light gear, berley, and occasionally livebait to target prized reef species; and finally a boat which catered for large groups of anglers and targeted deepwater seamount associated species with strong braided cord lines, deck winches and ample lead to reach the bottom. Therefore, the expansions of harvest and the associated variances that have been calculated and presented in this report should be considered as coarse approximations which provide a preliminary view of the Sydney charter boat industry.

Even when considering the inherent weaknesses of self reported (fishery dependent) information it is true that these logbook data have allowed us to gain some insights about the taxonomic composition of the harvest, the main species targeted and harvested, and the overlap and potential conflicts between the charter boat industry and other recreational and commercial fisheries in the area.

Overall, we recorded 72 taxa in the retained catch of recreational anglers fishing from charter boats in the Sydney area during the year of the voluntary logbook program (Table 17). The ten most commonly harvested taxa, by number, during the survey year (Table 17) were blue morwong ( $24.3 \%$ ), nannygai ( $17.4 \%$ ), tiger flathead ( $11.2 \%$ ), silver sweep ( $11.1 \%$ ), silver trevally ( $9.4 \%$ ), snapper ( $3.9 \%$ ), long-finned perch (3.2\%), black-spot pigfish (2.2\%), chinaman leatherjacket (1.8\%), and dolphin fish (1.6\%). These ten taxa, by number, accounted for $86.1 \%$ of the charter boat harvest for the Sydney area during the survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive (Table 17).

The ten most commonly harvested taxa, by weight, during the survey year (Table 17) were blue morwong (19.9 tonnes - 20.0\%), yellowfin tuna (14.8 tonnes - 15.0\%),

Table 17. Sydney area estimates of recreational harvest, by weight (kg) and abundance (numbers of fish), with their associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution, for all taxa taken by charter boat anglers during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive.

| KEY <br> * Associated estimates of weight $(\mathrm{kg})$ are not provided for this taxon because a suitable length/weight conversion key was not available. <br> \# Expanded estimates of harvest have not been calculated. This observation was classified as a rare event during this year thus the occurrence is simply noted (for details see Methods). |  |  | HARVEST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HIGHER CLASSIFICATION | COMMON NAME | TAXON | kg | s.e. | Rank | \% | No. fish | s.e | Rank | \% |
| SQUALIDAE | DOGFISHES | Squalus spp. | I80 | 18 | 24 | 0.181 | 421 | 41 | 19 | 0.476 |
| HETERODONTIDAE | PORT JACKSON SHARKS* | Heterodontus spp. |  |  |  |  | \#2 | - | 51 | 0.002 |
| LAMNIDAE | SHORTFIN MAKO SHARK* | Isurus oxyrinchus |  |  |  |  | \#1 | - | 52 | 0.001 |
| TRIAKIDAE | SCHOOL SHARK | Galeorhinus galeus |  |  |  | - | 4 |  | 888 | 0.005 |
| TRIAKIDAE | GUMMY SHARK | Mustelus antarcticus | 52 | 4 | 34 | 0.052 | 46 | 3 | 38 | 0.052 |
| CARCHARHINIDAE | WHALER SHARKS | Carcharhinus spp. |  | - |  |  | \#1 | - | 52 | 0.001 |
| CARCHARHINIDAE | BLUE SHARK* | Prionace glauca |  | - |  |  | \#1 | - | 52 | 0.001 |
| RHINOBATIDAE | SHOVELNOSE RAYS* | Aptychotrema spp. \& Rhynchobatus spp. | - |  |  | - | \#1 | - | 52 | 0.001 |
| AULOPODIDAE | SERGEANT BAKER | Aulopus purpurissatus | 1127 | 53 | 16 | 1.135 | 1361 | 59 | 11 | 1.537 |
| MORIDAE | BEARDED CODS* | All species combined | - | - |  | - | 97 | 6 | 33 | 0.110 |
| BERYCIDAE | NANNYGAI | Centroberyx affinis | 4218 | 230 | 9 | 4.248 | 15390 | 770 | 2 | 17.384 |
| ZEIDAE | SILVER DORY | Cyttus australis | - | - | - | - | \#1 | - | 52 | 0.001 |
| ZEIDAE | JOHN DORY | Zeus faber | 101 | 5 | 30 | 0.102 | 144 | 7 | 31 | 0.163 |
| SCORPAENIDAE | OCEAN PERCH | Helicolenus percoides | 38 | 3 | 36 | 0.038 | 132 | 8 | 32 | 0.149 |
| SCORPAENIDAE | RED SCORPIONCOD | Scorpaena cardinalis | 110 | 6 | 28 | 0.111 | 259 | 12 | 24 | 0.293 |
| TRIGLIDAE | RED GURNARD | Chelidonichthys kumu | 627 | 35 | 19 | 0.631 | 1230 | 59 | 14 | 1.389 |
| TRIGLIDAE | LATCHET | Pterygotrigla polyommata | 68 | 4 | 32 | 0.068 | 59 | 3 | 36 | 0.067 |
| PLATYCEPHALIDAE | TIGER FLATHEAD | Neoplatycephalus richardsoni | 5360 | 398 | 7 | 5.398 | 9883 | 750 | 3 | 11.163 |
| PLATYCEPHALIDAE | EASTERN BLUE-SPOTTED FLATHEAD | Platycephalus caeruleopunctatus | 646 | 45 | 18 | 0.651 | 1300 | 73 | 12 | 1.468 |
| PLATYCEPHALIDAE | DUSKY FLATHEAD | Platycephalus fuscus | - | - | - | - | \#1 | - | 52 | 0.001 |
| PLATYCEPHALIDAE | MARBLED FLATHEAD | Platycephalus marmoratus | 56 | 5 | 33 | 0.056 | 204 | 20 | 26 | 0.230 |
| PLATYCEPHALIDAE | ORANGE-FRECKLED FLATHEAD* | Ratabulus diversidens | - | - | - | - | 40 | 2 | 40 | 0.045 |
| SERRANIDAE | BUTTERFLY PERCH* | Caesioperca lepidoptera | - | - | - | - | 278 | 21 | 22 | 0.314 |
| SERRANIDAE | LONG-FINNED PERCH* | Caprodon longimanus | - | - | - | - | 2871 | 140 | 7 | 3.243 |
| SERRANIDAE | DEEPWATER SEAPERCH* | Ellerkeldia sp. | - | - | - | - | \#1 | - | 52 | 0.001 |
| SERRANIDAE | WIRRAH | Acanthistius ocellatus | - | - | - |  | \#1 |  | 52 | 0.001 |
| SERRANIDAE | BAR-COD | Epinephelus ergastularius | - |  | - | - | \#1 | - | 52 | 0.001 |
| DINOLESTIDAE | LONG-FINNED SEAPIKE | Dinolestes lewini | 102 | 6 | 29 | 0.103 | 344 | 17 | 21 | 0.389 |
| POMATOMIDAE | TAILOR | Pomatomus saltatrix | - | - | - | - | \#6 |  | 48 | 0.007 |
| CARANGIDAE | KINGFISH | Seriola lalandi | 7420 | 394 | 5 | 7.473 | 1277 | 59 | 13 | 1.442 |

Table 17. Sydney area estimates of recreational harvest, by weight ( kg ) and abundance (numbers of fish), with their associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution, for all taxa taken by charter boat anglers during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive.

## KEY

* Associated estimates of weight $(\mathrm{kg})$ are not provided for this taxon because a suitable length/weight conversion key was not available.
\# Expanded estimates of harvest have not been calculated. This observation was classified as a rare event during this year thus the occurrence is simply noted (for details see Methods).


Table 17. Sydney area estimates of recreational harvest, by weight ( kg ) and abundance (numbers of fish), with their associated standard errors, and the ranked size and proportional contribution, for all taxa taken by charter boat anglers during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive.

silver trevally ( 8.6 tonnes $-8.7 \%$ ), striped marlin ( 7.5 tonnes $-7.5 \%$ ), kingfish ( 7.4 tonnes $-7.5 \%$ ), blue marlin ( 7.2 tonnes $-7.2 \%$ ), tiger flathead ( 5.4 tonnes $-5.4 \%$ ), silver sweep ( 4.4 tonnes - 4.5\%), nannygai ( 4.2 tonnes - $4.2 \%$ ), and blue-eye trevalla ( 3.3 tonnes $-3.3 \%$ ). These ten taxa, by weight, accounted for $83.3 \%$ of the charter boat harvest for the Sydney area during the survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive (Table 17).

These harvest data show that the charter boat fishery is extremely diverse, and that the industry actively targets and harvests a wide range of taxa. It is interesting to note that within this diverse fishery a relatively small number of taxa accounted for the bulk of the recreational harvest.

## Harvest Comparisons Between the Charter Boat Recreational Fishery and

## Oceanic Commercial Fisheries in the Sydney Area

Conflict between the recreational and commercial sectors has long been a fisheries management problem. This conflict may escalate in coming years as both sectors attempt to maximise catches. Consequently, there is increasing pressure being applied to fisheries managers to make appropriate allocation decisions regarding fishing opportunity among the various commercial and recreational user-groups. We have compared the estimates of daytime recreational harvest taken by charter boat anglers in the marine waters of the Sydney area to the declared commercial landings taken from the ocean waters of the same area. These comparisons between the recreational charter boat fishery and the marine commercial fisheries of the Sydney area have been made for those common taxa for which we had suitable length/weight conversion keys (Appendix 2). We further restricted the recreational/commercial contrasts such that we only compared the harvests for those common taxa for which an annual harvest of at least 100 kg (recreational or commercial) had been recorded during the survey year (Table 18).

The recreational charter boat harvest was greater than the declared commercial catch for some species (Table 18). The taxa that were harvested in greater amounts by the

Table 18. Comparison of Sydney area estimates of annual recreational harvest ( kg ) taken by charter boat anglers and the declared Sydney area commercial landings (kg) taken from ocean waters for the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive. The harvest ratios are a measure of the relative harvest allocations between the recreational and commercial fisheries for the second survey year

| KEY <br> * - Denotes SEF quota species. <br> \# - Estimates of recreational harvest for species within this family were pooled for comparison with declared commercial landings. <br> Rec - no comparative commercial landings recorded - recreational estimates dominate harvest. <br> Com - no comparative recreational estimates recorded - commercial landings dominate harvest. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 2 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Recreational | Commercial | Harvest Ratio |
| HIGHER CLASSIFICATION | COMMON NAME | TAXON | (kg) |  |  |
| SQUALIDAE | DOGFISHES | Squalus spp. | 180 | 31698 | 0.006 |
|  | GUMMY SHARK | Mustelus antarcticus | 52 | 3580 | 0.015 |
| TRIAKIDAE | SERGEANT BAKER | Aulopus purpurissatus | 1127 | - | Rec |
| OPHIDIIDAE | PINK LING* | Genypterus blacodes | - | 25802 | Com |
|  | BLUE GRENADIER* | Macruronus novaezelandiae | - | 54 | Com |
| TRACHICHTHYIDAE | ORANGE ROUGHY* | Hoplostethus atlanticus | - | 4 | Com |
|  | NANNYGAI* | Centroberyx affinis | 4218 | 90243 | 0.047 |
| BERYCDDAE | MIRROR DORY* | Zenopsis nebulosis | - | 41159 | Com |
| ZELDAE <br> ZEIDAE | JOHN DORY* | Zeus faber | 101 | 48534 | 0.002 |
| SCORPAENIDAE SCORPAENIDAE | OCEAN PERCH* | Helicolenus percoides | 38 | 60716 | 0.001 |
|  | RED SCORPIONCOD | Scorpaena cardinalis | 110 | 99 | 1.111 |
|  | RED GURNARD | Chelidonichthys kumu | 627 | 1445 | 0.434 |
| TRIGLDAE TRIGLDDAE | LATCHET | Pterygotrigla polyommata | 68 | 8492 | 0.008 |
| PLATYCEPHALDAE | TIGER FLATHEAD* | Neoplatycephalus richardsoni | 5360 | 45234 | 0.118 |
| PLATYCEPHALIDAE | EASTERN BLUE-SPOTTED FLATHEAD | Platycephalus caeruleopunctatus | 646 | 10082 | 0.064 |
|  | LONG-FINNED SEAPIKE | Dinolestes lewini | 102 | 206 | 0.495 |
| SILLAGINIDAE | SCHOOL WHITING* | Sillago flindersi | - | 715 | Com |
|  | KINGFISH | Seriola lalandi | 7420 | 30196 | 0.246 |
| CARANGIDAE | SILVER TREVALLY* | Pseudocaranx dentex | 8636 | 47081 | 0.183 |
| CARANGIDAE CORYPHAENIDAE | DOLPHIN FISH | Coryphaena hippurus | 1725 | 2140 | 0.806 |
| SPARIDAE | SNAPPER | Pagrus auratus | 2873 | 5765 | 0.498 |
| SPARIDAE | TARWHINE | Rhabdosargus sarba | 52 | 2076 | 0.025 |
| SCIAENIDAE | MULLOWAY | Argyrosomus hololepidotus | 385 | 8532 | 0.045 |
| SCORPIDIDAE | SILVER SWEEP | Scorpis lineolatus | 4431 | 12246 | 0.362 |
|  | BLUE MORWONG | Nemadactylus douglasii | 19871 | 7013 | 2.833 |

Table 18. Comparison of Sydney area estimates of annual recreational harvest ( kg ) taken by charter boat anglers and the declared Sydney area commercial landings (kg) taken from ocean waters for the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive. The harvest ratios are a measure of the relative harvest allocations between the recreational and commercial fisheries for the second survey year.

| KEY <br> * - Denotes SEF quota species. <br> \# - Estimates of recreational harvest for species within this family were pooled for comparison with declared commercial landings. <br> Rec - no comparative commercial landings recorded - recreational estimates dominate harvest. <br> Com - no comparative recreational estimates recorded - commercial landings dominate harvest. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | SURVEY YEAR 2 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Recreational | Commercial | Harvest Ratio |
| HIGHER CLASSIFICATION | COMMON NAME | TAXON | (kg) |  |  |
| CHEILODACTYLIDAE | JACKASS MORWONG* | Nemadactylus macropterus | 163 | 36 | 4.528 |
|  | BLACK-SPOT PIGFISH | Bodianus vulpinus | 741 | 99 | 7.485 |
|  | GEMFISH* | Rexea solandri | - | 18574 | Com |
| GEMPYLIDAE GEMPYLIDAE | BARRACOUTA | Thyrsites atun | 175 | 10 | 17.500 |
| TRICHIURIDAE | SOUTHERN FROSTFISH | Lepidopus caudatus | 313 | 54783 | 0.006 |
|  | BLUE-EYE TREVALLA* | Hyperoglyphe antarctica | 3317 | 30684 | 0.108 |
| CENTROLOPHIDAE CENTROLOPHIDAE | WAREHOU* | Seriolella spp. | - | 140 | Com |
| SCOMBRIDAE | SKIPJACK | Katsuwonus pelamis | 2443 | 5868 | 0.416 |
| SCOMBRIDAE | AUSTRALIAN BONITO | Sarda australis | 1690 | 11768 | 0.144 |
| SCOMBRIDAE | ALBACORE | Thunnus alalunga | 1664 | 42066 | 0.040 |
|  | YELLOWFIN TUNA | Thunnus albacares | 14845 | 83592 | 0.178 |
| SCOMBRIDAE ISTIOPHORIDAE | STRIPED MARLIN | Tetrapturus audax | 7491 | 1437 | 5.213 |
| MONACANTHIDAE\# | LEATHERJACKETS | All species combined | 922 | 2117 | 0.436 |
| CEPHALOPODA | GIANT CUITLEFISH | Sepia apama | 124 | 29739 | 0.004 |
|  | SOUTHERN CALAMARI | Sepioteuthis australis | 29 | 12913 | 0.002 |

recreational charter boat anglers (i.e. taxa with harvest ratios greater than one) during the year of the survey were: blue morwong, striped marlin, sergeant baker, black-spot pigfish, red scorpioncod, barracouta and jackass morwong (Table 18). Potential conflict between the commercial sector and the recreational charter boat industry is likely to occur should the relative allocation of some of these taxa change in favour of the commercial industry. For example, there is a great potential for conflict should the commercial sector target additional fishing effort towards blue morwong in the Sydney area because it is the most important species, both by weight and abundance, to the recreational anglers that use charter boats in the Sydney area.

Those taxa which are keenly targeted and harvested by both recreational and commercial fishers and which form the basis of large shared fisheries by both sectors have the greatest potential for causing allocation disputes between them. We objectively identified these taxa, for the recreational charter boat fishery in the Sydney area, by using either of the following two criteria: (1) The annual harvest ratio must be greater than 0.10 for the year surveyed, regardless of the size of the recreational harvest. This criterion indicates that the relative size of the recreational harvest was greater than $10 \%$ of the size of the commercial fishery; or (2) The estimated recreational harvest had to be greater than 300 kg during the year surveyed, regardless of the annual harvest ratios. This tonnage criterion has been set at a lower level than the similar criterion used to identify "shared" taxa in the recreational trailer boat fishery. This has been done because the comparisons we make for charter boats are only for the Sydney area, whereas, the trailer boat comparisons were made over a statewide scale. The taxa which met either of the two criteria outlined above were regarded as "shared" by the two fishing sectors. These shared taxa, which were landed in greater amounts by the commercial sector but which also provided a considerable recreational harvest were: snapper, dolphin fish, yellowfin tuna, skipjack, silver sweep, kingfish, mulloway, leatherjackets, red gurnard, eastern blue-spotted flathead, albacore, Australian bonito, long-finned seapike and southern frostfish (Table 18). The South East Fishery (SEF) quota species nannygai, tiger flathead, silver trevally and blue-eye trevalla are also classified as shared taxa (Table 18).

The declared commercial catch of some species far outweighed the relatively small recreational harvests taken by charter boat anglers (Table 18). The taxa that were harvested in greater amounts by commercial fishers (i.e. taxa with annual harvest ratios less than 0.10 and an estimated recreational harvest less than 300 kg during the year surveyed) were: gummy sharks, latchets, giant cuttlefish, and southern calamari (Table 18). The South East Fishery (SEF) quota species mirror dory, john dory, ocean perch, school whiting, pink ling, orange roughy, blue grenadier, gemfish, blue and spotted warehou were also identified as taxa having a relatively negligible recreational harvest (Table 18).

## Conclusions

- We estimated that 11,103 charter boat trips were made from large access sites throughout the state during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive, and that a further 10,934 trips occurred during the second survey year September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive. These levels of fishing effort show that the recreational charter boat fishery in the marine waters of NSW is of moderate size.
- We estimated that 3,085 charter boat trips were made from the Sydney area during the first survey year - September 1993 to August 1994 inclusive, and that a further 2,555 trips occurred during the second survey year - September 1994 to August 1995 inclusive. These levels of fishing effort show that the recreational charter boat fishery in the marine waters of the Sydney area makes up a relatively large amount of the total effort statewide.
- The recreational charter boat fishery is a diverse, multi-species fishery. We recorded 72 taxa in the retained catch of charter boat anglers during the year of the survey.
- Despite the taxonomic diversity of the harvest, relatively few taxa accounted for the bulk of the recreational harvest in the Sydney area. Ten species accounted for more than $86.1 \%$ by number, and $83.3 \%$ by weight, of the recreational charter boat harvest.
- The charter boat industry has the potential to impact on many shared fisheries resources. We have found that recreational anglers fishing from charter boats, as a collective group, do harvest large quantities of many species.
- The activities of charter boats should be routinely monitored because increases in fishing effort can potentially have impacts on shared fish stocks.
- We have observed that many skippers are diversifying their fishing activities by travelling further offshore to target deepwater species, such as blue-eye trevalla. This is likely to cause conflict with some commercial fishers.
- The harvests taken from charter boats appear to have more overlap with the commercial industry than they do with the recreational trailer boat fishery which tends to concentrate its fishing effort closer to the coast. For example, charter boat anglers and commercial fishers target heavily on tiger flathead and blue-eye trevalla which tend to be found in deep waters, whereas the trailer boat anglers target the eastern blue-spotted flathead which are found in more shallow coastal waters.
- We have now developed and tested a logbook which can be used to collect information about charter boat fishing effort, harvest and harvest rates.
- The implementation of a charter boat register and mandatory logbook system is needed to better manage this industry.


## Recommendations

1. Definitions of "charter fishing" and "charter fishing vessel" are required in the current state legislation to more effectively manage this industry.
2. A register of charter boats should be established as soon as is practicable. At present, the lack of formal registration in this industry makes it is difficult to determine the exact number of charter boats operating in the waters of NSW.
3. Charter boat activities throughout the state should be routinely monitored by NSW Fisheries. This can be done by introducing a mandatory logbook system to record fishing effort and harvest on a daily trip basis. This logbook system should be introduced without delay.
4. Recreational harvests taken by charter boat anglers should be incorporated into the stock assessments of species that are shared by the recreational and commercial sectors. This is particularly important for many of the coastal species that occur in NSW waters and also for some of the SEF quota species.
5. Representatives from the charter boat should be invited to participate on advisory committees to allow input into management plans that affect shared fisheries resources.
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Appendix 1. A list of access sites, and their associated waterways, for the North Coast, Central Coast, and South Coast regions of New South Wales. Each access site (waterway) has been classified into a size grouping by using the relative recreational usage rates among access sites for different boat categories.

| REGION | ACCESS SITE (WATERWAY) | SIZE CLASSIFICATION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TRAILER BOATS | CHARTER BOATS | CRUISERS AND GAMEBOATS |
| NORTH COAST | Tweed Heads (Tweed River) | Large | Medium | Medium |
| NORTH COAST | Kingscliff (Cudgen Creek) | Large | - | Small |
| NORTH COAST | Hastings Point (Cudgera Creek) | Small | - | - |
| NORTH COAST | Pottsville (Mooball Creek) | Small | - | - |
| NORTH COAST | Brunswick Heads (Brunswick River) | Small | Small | Small |
| NORTH COAST | Byron Bay (Byron Bay) | Small | - | - |
| NORTH COAST | Ballina (Richmond River) | Large | Smail | Medium |
| NORTH COAST | Evans Head (Evans River) | Large | Small | Medium |
| NORTH COAST | Iluka/Yamba (Clarence River) | Large | Small | Medium |
| NORTH COAST | Brooms Head (Brooms Head) | Small | - | - |
| NORTH COAST | Sandon (Sandon River) | Small | - | - |
| NORTH COAST | Minnie Water (Minnie Water) | Small | - | - |
| NORTH COAST | Wooli (Wooli River) | Large | - | - |
| NORTH COAST | Red Rock (Corindi River) | Small | - | - |
| NORTH COAST | Woolgoolga (Woolgoolga) | Medium | - | - |
| NORTH COAST | Emerald Beach (Emerald Beach) | Small | - | - |
| NORTH COAST | Coffs Harbour (Coffs Harbour) | Large | Large | Large |
| NORTH COAST | Sawtell (Sawtell) | Small | - | - |
| NORTH COAST | Urunga (Bellinger River) | Small | - | - |
| NORTH COAST | Third Head (Third Head) | Small | - | - |
| NORTH COAST | Nambucca Heads (Nambucca River) | Large | - | - |
| NORTH COAST | Scotts Head (Scotts Head) | Small | - | - |
| NORTH COAST | South West Rocks (Macleay River) | Large | Small | Medium |
| NORTH COAST | South West Rocks (Back Creek) | Small | - | - |
| NORTH COAST | Trial Bay (Trial Bay) | Small | - | - |
| NORTH COAST | Hat Head (Korogoro Creek) | Small | - | - |
| NORTH COAST | Crescent Head (Killick Creek) | Small | - | - |
| NORTH COAST | Port Macquarie (Hastings River) | Large | Large | Large |
| NORTH COAST | Lake Cathie (Lake Cathie) | Small | - | - |
| NORTH COAST | Camden Haven (Camden Haven River) | Large | Medium | Medium |
| NORTH COAST | Crowdy Head (Crowdy Head) | Large | - | Small |
| NORTH COAST | Taree/Harrington (Manning River) | Small | - | - |
| NORTH COAST | Forster/Tuncurry (Wallis Lake) | Large | Large | Large |
| NORTH COAST | Sand Bar (Smiths Lake) | Small | - | - |
| NORTH COAST | Seal Rocks (Seal Rocks) | Small | - | - |
| CENTRAL COAST | Port Stephens (Port Stephens) | Large | Large | Large |
| CENTRAL COAST | Boat Harbour (Anna Bay) | Small | - | - |
| CENTRAL COAST | Newcastle (Hunter River) | Large | Large | Large |
| CENTRAL COAST | Swansea (Lake Macquarie) | Large | Small | Large |
| CENTRAL COAST | Norah Head (Norah Head) | Medium | - | - |
| CENTRAL COAST | The Entrance (Tuggerah Lakes) | Small | - | - |
| CENTRAL COAST | Toowoon Bay (Toowoon Bay) | Small | - | - |
| CENTRAL COAST | Terrigal (Terrigal Harbour) | Large | Large | Small |
| CENTRAL COAST | Broken Bay (Broken Bay) | Large | Large | Large |
| CENTRAL COAST | Long Reef (Long Reef) | Medium | - | - |
| CENTRAL COAST | Port Jackson (Port Jackson) | Large | Large | Large |
| CENTRAL COAST | Gordons Bay (Gordons Bay) | Small | - | - |
| CENTRAL COAST | Long Bay (Long Bay) | Small | - | - |
| CENTRAL COAST | Botany Bay (Botany Bay) | Large | Large | Large |
| CENTRAL COAST | Port Hacking (Port Hacking) | Large | Large | Large |
| CENTRAL COAST | Austinmer (Austinmer) | Small | - | - |
| CENTRAL COAST | Bellambi (Bellambi) | Large | - | Small |

Appendix 1. A list of access sites, and their associated waterways, for the North Coast, Central Coast, and South Coast regions of New South Wales. Each access site (waterway) has been classified into a size grouping by using the relative recreational usage rates among access sites for different boat categories.

| REGION | ACCESS SITE (WATERWAY) | SIZE CLASSIFICATION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TRAILER BOATS | CHARTER BOATS | CRUISERS AND GAMEBOATS |
| CENTRAL COAST | Wollongong Harbour (Wollongong Harbour) | Large | Large | Large |
| CENTRAL COAST | Port Kembla (Port Kembla) | Medium | - | Small |
| CENTRAL COAST | Lake Illawarra (Lake Illawarra) | Small | - | - |
| CENTRAL COAST | Shellharbour (Shellharbour) | Large | - | Small |
| CENTRAL COAST | Minnamurra (Minnamurra River) | Small | - | - |
| CENTRAL COAST | Kiama (Kiama Harbour) | Large | Large | Small |
| CENTRAL COAST | Gerringong (Gerringong) | Medium | - | - |
| CENTRAL COAST | Gerroa (Gerroa) | Small |  |  |
| SOUTH COAST | Shoalhaven Heads (Shoalhaven River) | Small | - | - |
| SOUTH COAST | Greenwell Point (Crookhaven River) | Large | Large | Medium |
| SOUTH COAST | Currarong (Currarong) | Medium | - | - |
| SOUTH COAST | Jervis Bay (Jervis Bay) | Large | Large | Large |
| SOUTH COAST | Sussex Inlet (Sussex Inlet) | Medium | - |  |
| SOUTH COAST | Bendalong (Bendalong) | Medium | - | - |
| SOUTH COAST | Lake Conjola (Lake Conjola) | Small |  |  |
| SOUTH COAST | Narrawallee Inlet (Narrawallee Inlet) | Small | - | - |
| SOUTH COAST | Ulladulla (Ulladulla Harbour) | Large | Large | Large |
| SOUTH COAST | Burrill Lake (Burrill Lake) | Small | - |  |
| SOUTH COAST | Bawley Point (Bawley Point) | Small | - | - |
| SOUTH COAST | South Durrass (Durrass Lake) | Small | - | - |
| SOUTH COAST | Batemans Bay (Batemans Bay) | Large | Large | Large |
| SOUTH COAST | Tomakin (Tomakin) | Small | - | - |
| SOUTH COAST | Mossy Point (Mossy Point) | Small | - | - |
| SOUTH COAST | Broulee (Broulee) | Small | - ${ }^{-}$ | - |
| SOUTH COAST | Moruya (Moruya River) | Medium | Small | Small |
| SOUTH COAST | Tuross Head (Tuross Lake) | Small | - | - |
| SOUTH COAST | Potato Point (Potato Point) | Small | - |  |
| SOUTH COAST | Dalmeny (Dalmeny) | Small | - | - |
| SOUTH COAST | Narooma (Wagonga Inlet) | Large | Large | Large |
| SOUTH COAST | Mystery Bay (Mystery Bay) | Small | - | - |
| SOUTH COAST | Wallaga Lake (Wallaga Lake) | Small | - | - |
| SOUTH COAST | Bermagui (Bermagui River) | Large | Large | Large |
| SOUTH COAST | Tathra (Kianinny Bay) | Large | - | - |
| SOUTH COAST | Merimbula (Merimbula Lake) | Large | Medium | Large |
| SOUTH COAST | Pambula (Pambula Lake) | Small | - | Small |
| SOUTH COAST | Eden (Twofold Bay) | Large | Large | Large |
| SOUTH COAST | Wonboyn (Wonboyn Lake) | Small | - | - |

Appendix 2. Length/weight conversion keys $\left[\mathrm{W}(\operatorname{grams})=a * L(\mathrm{~cm})^{b}\right]$ used to estimate weights for various taxa. Relevant details which describe the sample material used to calculate the length/weight keys is provided.

| HIGHER CLASSIFICATION | COMMON NAME | TAXON | SEX | SAMPLE | $\begin{gathered} \text { SIZE } \\ \text { RANGE (cm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LENGTH/WEIGHT KEY } \\ & \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{grams})=\mathrm{a} \star \mathrm{~L}(\mathrm{~cm})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { ADJUSTED } \\ r^{2} \end{array}$ | REGION OF SAMPLE | SOURCE OF KEY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SQUALIDAE | DOGFISHES* | Squalus spp. | - | - | - | SCHOOL SHARK KEY USED | - | - | - |
| trlakidae triakidae | SCHOOL SHARK GUMMY SHARK | Galeorhinus galeus Mistelus antarciicus | Combined Combined | 532 531 | 3 30.0-165.0 | W=0.00219083*TL ${ }^{3.175}$ | Not Given Not Given | S. Aust waters S. Aust, Tas. \& Vic. | Olsen (1954) <br> Walker (1983) |
| Carcharhinddae | WHaler Sharks* | All species combined | - | - | - | SCHOOL SHARK KEY USED | - | - | - |
| AULOPODIDAE | SERGEANT BAKER | Aulopus puppurissatus | Combined | 97 | 22.5-52.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.012641832 * \mathrm{FL}{ }^{3.01162}$ | 0.984 | NSW | This study |
| SYNODONTIDAE | LIZARDFISH* | All species combined | - | - | - | SERGEANT BAKER KEY USED | - | - | - |
| OPHIDILDAE | PINK LING | Genypterus blacodes | Combined | 560 | 27.0-112.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.0117 * \mathrm{FL}^{2.736}$ | 0.883 | S. E. Aust | Lyle \& Ford (1993) |
| ophimidae | ROCK LING* | Gerypteris tigerimus | - | - | - | fink ling key used | - | - | - |
| berycidae | NANNYGAI | Centroberyx affinis | Females | 979 | 14.5-37.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.0477 * \mathrm{FL}^{2.8213}$ | 0.983 | NSW | Diplock (1986) |
|  | SILVER DORY* | Cytus australis | - | - | - | MIRROR DORY KEY USED | - | $\cdots$ | - |
| ZEIDAE | MIRROR DORY | Zenopsis nebuloris | Combined | 522 | 20.0-58.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.00770 * \mathrm{FL}^{3.148}$ | 0.936 | S. Aust, Tas. \& Vic. | Lyle \& Ford (1993) |
| zeidas | JOHNDORY | Zeus faber | Combined | 624 | $6.0-57.0$ | $\mathrm{W}=0.019046273 * \mathrm{FL}{ }^{2.96287}$ | 0.980 | NSW | This sudy |
|  | OCEAN PERCH | Helicolemus percoides | Combined | 276 | 14.0-47.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.0181 * \mathrm{FL}^{2.977}$ | 0.981 | S. Aust \& Vic. | Lyle \& Ford (1993) |
| SCORPAENIDAE | RED SCORPIONCOD | Scorpaena cardinalis | Combined | 214 | 13.0-46.0 | $\mathrm{w}=0.020104348^{*} \mathrm{FL}^{3.01823}$ | 0.980 | NSW | This study |
| triglidae | RED GURNARD LATCHET | Chelidonichflys hamt Pterygorrigla polyommata | Combined Combined | 553 191 | $10.0-45.3$ $21.0-52.0$ | $\begin{aligned} & W=0.0081543622^{*} \mathrm{FL}^{3.09853} \\ & \mathrm{~W}=0.0111^{*} \mathrm{FL}^{3.052} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.990 \\ & 0.981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NSW } \\ \text { Tas. \& Vic. } \end{gathered}$ | This study, SPCC (1981) <br> Lyle \& Ford (1993) |
| PLATYCEPHALIDAE | mger flathead | Neoplanyephalus richardsoni | Females | 720 | 22.5-65.5 | $\mathrm{W}=0.00365 * \mathrm{FL}^{3.1922}$ | 0.988 | S. Coast of NSW | Montgomery (1986) |
| PLATYCEPHALIDAE | NORTHERN SAND FLATHEAD* | Platyephaius arenarius | - | - | - | EASTERN BLUE-SPOTTED FLATHEAD KEY USED | - | - |  |
| PLATYCEPHALIDAE | SOUTHERN SAND FLATHEAD* | Platycephalus bassensis | - | - | - | EASTERN BLUE-SPOTTED FLATHEAD KEY USED | - |  | $\bullet$ |
| Platycephaldae | EASTERN BLUE-SPOTTED FLATHEAD | Platycephahis caentleopunctaus | Combined | 272 | 20.1-66.5 | W=0.0022403713*FL | 0.995 | NSW | This sudy |

Appendix 2 . Length/weight conversion keys $[W$ (grams) $=a * L(c m)]$ used to estimate weights for various taxa. Relevant details which describe the sample material used to calculate the length/weight keys is provided.

| Higher Classification | COMMON NAME | TAXON | SEX | SAMPLE | $\begin{gathered} \text { SIZE } \\ \text { RANGE (cm) } \end{gathered}$ | LENGTH/WEIGHT KEY $W(\mathrm{grams})=\mathrm{n} * \mathrm{~L}(\mathrm{~cm})$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { ADJUSTED } \\ \mathbf{r}^{2} \end{array}$ | REGION OF SAMPLE | SOURCE OF KEY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PLATYCEPHALIDAE | DUSKY FLATHEAD | Platicephalus fircus | Combined | 589 | 20.3-88.0 | $W=0.0026864577 * F L{ }^{3.22910}$ | 0.992 | NSW | This sudy, SPCC (1981) |
| PlatycephalidaE | LONG-SPINED FLATHEAD* | Plarycephalus longispinis | Combined | 58 | 23.5-54.0 | EASTERN BLUE-SPOTTED FLATHEAD KEY USED $\mathrm{W}=0.0023467131 * \mathrm{FL}^{3.29759}$ | 0.983 | NSW | This sudy |
| PLATYCEPHALIDAE | marbled flathead | Platycephalus marmoratus | Combined | 58 | 23.5-54.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Strpanidat | WIRRAH | Acanthistits ocellatus | Combined | 67 | 19.8-48.0 | $W=0.013524151 * \mathrm{FL}^{3.09921}$ | 0.975 | NSW | This sudy |
| SERranddae | GREY-BANDED COD (BAR-COD) | Epinephehus ergastuarius | Combined | 78 | 24.0-105.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.020083065 * \mathrm{FL}{ }^{2.96428}$ | 0.986 | NSW | Kevin Rowling (unpublished data) |
| SERRANDAE | MAORI COD* | Epinephelus undilatostriatus | - | - | - | Grey-banded Cod key used | - | - | - |
| glaucosomidae | PEARL PERCH | Glaticosoma scapulare | Combined | 90 | 27.0-58.5 | $\mathrm{W}=0.048802267 * \mathrm{FL}^{2.74049}$ | 0.980 | NSW | This sudy |
| dinolestidae | LONG-FINNED SEAPIKE | Dinolestes lewini | Combined | 87 | 13.0-43.5 | $\mathrm{W}=0.0024685959 * \mathrm{FL}^{3.30752}$ | 0.995 | NSW | This sudy, SPCC (1981) |
| Sillaginidae | SCHOOL WHITTNG | Sillago findersi | Combined | 1492 | 6.0-29.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.00556 * \mathrm{FL}^{3.188}$ | 0.989 | Tasmania | Lyle \& Ford (1993) |
| SILLAGINDAE | Stout whiting* | Sillago robusta | - | - | - | SCHOOL WHITING KEY USED |  | - |  |
| POMATOMIDAE | TAILOR | Pomatomus saltatrix | Combined | 1028 | 10.0-58.5 | $\mathrm{W}=0.0075039512^{*} \mathrm{FL}^{3.15753}$ | 0.994 | NSW | This sudy |
| RACHYCENTRIDAE | COBIA | Rachycentron canadum | Combined | 270 | 20.0-155.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.0079533483^{*} \mathrm{FL}^{3.08800}$ | Not Given | Chesapeake Bay | Richards (1967) |
| CARANGIDAE | AMBERJACK* | Seriola dumerili | - | - | - | KINGFISH KEY USED | - | $\cdot$ | - |
| CARANGIDAE | SAMSON FISH* | Seriola hippas | - | - | - | KINGFISH KEY USED ${ }_{2.92134}$ | 0.988 | NSW |  |
| CARANGIDAE | KINGFISH | Seriola lalandi | Combined | 123 | 41.5-160.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.017234949 * \mathrm{FL}{ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 0.988 | NSW | This sucy |
| CARANGIDAE | SILVER TREVALLY | Pseudocaranx dentex | Combined | 43 | 19.5-39.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.033516603 * \mathrm{FL}{ }^{2.84574}$ | 0.991 | NSW | This sucy |
| Carangidae | Yellowtall \& Jack mackerel | Trachuns novaezelandiae | Combined | 740 | 10.0-32.5 | $\mathrm{W}=0.0088204349 * \mathrm{FL}^{3.142 \mathrm{I}}$ | 0.987 | Botany Bay, NSW | This study, SPCC (1981) |
| CORYPHAENIDAE | DOLPHIN FISH | Coryphaena hippurus | Combined | 501 | 26.0-137.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.0372726 * \mathrm{FL}{ }^{2.67}$ | Not Given | N. Carolina, USA | Rose \& Hassle: (1969) |
| arripidae | SALMON | Arripis trura | Combined | 8232 | 4.0-77.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.0132678 * \mathrm{FL}^{3.0485}$ | Not Given | E. \& W. Australia | Malcolm (1966) |
| Sparidae | YELLOWFIN BREAM | Acanthopagris australis | Combined | 758 | 15.0-40.5 | $\mathrm{W}=0.024787915 * \mathrm{FL}^{2.99584}$ | 0.980 | NSW | This sudy, SPCC (1981) |

KEY
KI
FL F Fork Length, ML - Mande Lengh. TL - Total Length

Appendix 2. Length/weight conversion keys $[W(g r a m s)=a * L(c m)]$ used to estimate weights for various taxa. Relevant details which describe the sample material used to calculate the length/weight keys is provided.

| HIGHER Classification | COMMON NAME | taxon | SEX | SAMPLE SIzE | $\begin{gathered} \text { SIZE } \\ \text { RANGE (cm) } \end{gathered}$ | LENGTH/WEIGHT KEY $W(\mathrm{grams})=a * L(\mathrm{~cm})^{b}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { ADJUSTED } \\ r^{2} \end{array}$ | REGION OF SAMPLE | SOURCE Of KEY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPARIDAE SPARIDAE | SNAPPER TARWHINE | Pagrus auratus Rhabdosargus sarba | Combined Combined | 2646 730 | $6.5-82.0$ $10.0-30.5$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{W}=0.0467727 * \mathrm{FL}^{2.781} \\ & \mathrm{~W}=0.014914888^{* \mathrm{FL}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.990 \\ & 0.986 \end{aligned}$ | W.A. NSW | Moran \& Burton (1990) <br> This study, SPCC (1981) |
| SClamidaE Sciammide | MULLOWAY teraglin | Argrosomus holotepidotrs Atractoscion aequidens | Combined | 141 59 | $21.7-139.0$ $36.0-57.0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{W}=0.01355 * \mathrm{FL}^{2.94} \\ & \mathrm{~W}=0.017450184 * \mathrm{FL} \end{aligned}$ | Not Given $0.956$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { S. Aust. } \\ \text { N. Coast NSW } \end{gathered}$ | Hall (1986) This sudy |
| MULLIDAE | GOATFISH | Panqpeners signatus \& Upencichthys lineatus | Combined | 67 | 12.5-30.5 | $\mathrm{W}=0.0288642 * \mathrm{FL}^{2.90988}$ | 0.979 | NSW | This study |
| SCORPIDIDAE | SILVER SWEEP | Scorpis lineolatus | Combined | 82 | 14.5-32.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.071518764 * \mathrm{FL} \mathrm{F}^{2.64994}$ | 0.947 | NSW | This study |
| Cheilodactylidae CHELLODACTYLIDAE CHELODACTYLIDAE | RED MORWONG* BLUE MORWONG JACKASS MORWONG | Cheilodacty/us fiscus <br> Nemadacty/us douglasii <br> Nemadacty/us macropterus | - Combined Combined | 569 2149 | 20.3-55.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BLUE MORWONG KEY USED } \\ & \mathrm{W}=0.024707566^{*} \mathrm{FL}^{2.95280} \\ & \mathrm{~W}=0.017^{*} \mathrm{FL}{ }^{3.031} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.978 \\ & 0.979 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NSW } \\ \text { Eden, NSW } \end{gathered}$ | This study Smith (1982) |
| SPHYRAENIDAE | STRPPED SEAPIKE* | Sphyraena obtusata | - | - | - | LONG-FINNED SEAPIKE KEY USED | - | - | - |
| Labridas | blue groper | Achoerodus viridis | Combined | 416 | 9.0-82.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.0267029 * \mathrm{FL}^{2.94405}$ | 0.995 | NSW | This study, Gillanders (unpublished data) |
| Labridae | GOLD-SPOT PIGFSH* | Bodiamus perditio |  | - | - | BLACK-SPOT PIGFISH KEY USED | - | - |  |
| Labridat | EASTERN FOXFISH* | Bodiants sp. | Combined | 114 | 22.0-43.5 | BLACK-SPOT PIGFISH KEY USED $\mathrm{W}=0.018394162 * \mathrm{FL}^{2.96947}$ | 0.965 | NSW | This sucy |
| Labridae | BLACK-SPOT PIGFISH | Bodianus rulpinus | Combined | 114 | 22.0-43.5 |  |  |  |  |
| LABRIDAE | COMB FISH* | Coris picta | Combined | 24 | 20.0-33.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAORI WRASSE KEY USED } \\ & \mathrm{W}=0.057253231 * \mathrm{FL}^{2.6490} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{-}{0.957}$ | NSW | This sucy |
| Labridae | CRIMSON-BANDED WRASSE | Nototabris gimmogenis | Combined | 24 87 | 20.0-33.5 | $\mathrm{W}=0.014558613^{*} \mathrm{FL}{ }^{3.08296}$ | 0.990 | nsw | This study |
| Labridae | BLUE-THROATED WRASSE MAORI WRASSE | Notolabnıs tetricus | Combined Combined | 133 | 20.5-37.5 | $W=0.0077003418 * \mathrm{FL}^{3.12098}$ | 0.942 | NSW | This sudy |
| labrimat | MAORI WRASSE | Thalassoma hemare | Combind | . | 20.5-37.5 | MAORI WRASSE KEY USED | . | - | . |
| GEMPYLIDAE | barracouta | Thyrsites atun | Combined | 6571 | 31.0-111.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.05720 * \mathrm{FL}{ }^{2.360588}$ | Not Given | Victoria | Blackbum (1960) |
| TRICHIURIDAE | SOUTHERN FROSTFISH | Lepidopus condatus | Combined | 590 | 70.0-190.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.0000002362 * \mathrm{TL}^{3.2280}$ | 0.990 | NW Mediterranean | Demestre el. al.(1993) |

KEY
FL - Fork Length, ML - Mantle Lengrt, TL - Total Length.

Appendix 2. Length/weight conversion keys $[W(\operatorname{grams})=a * L(c m)]$ used to estimate weights for various taxa. Relevant details which describe the sample material used to calculate the length/weight keys is provided.

| Higher Classification | COMMON NAME | TAXON | SEX | $\begin{gathered} \text { SAMPLE } \\ \text { SLZE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SIZE } \\ \text { RANGE }(\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | LENGTH/WEIGET KEY $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{grams})=a * L(\mathrm{~cm})$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { ADJUSTED } \\ r^{2} \end{array}$ | REGION OF SAMPLE | SOURCE OF KEY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CENTROLOPHIDAE | DEEPSEA TREVALLA (BLUE EYE) | Hyperoglyphe antarctica | Combined | 468 | 46.0-105.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.0058278719 * \mathrm{FL}^{3.29956}$ | 0.980 | NSW | Kevin Rowling (unpublished data) |
| SCOMBRIDAE | NARROW-BARRED SPANSH MACKERE | Scomberomonis commerson | Females | 579 | 47.0-155.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.0099 * \mathrm{FL}{ }^{2.95}$ | Not Given | Qld. | McPherson (1992) |
| Scombridae | Spotied mackerel* | Scomberomorus murroi | - | - | - | NARROW-BARRED SPANTSH MACKEREL KEY USED | - | - | - |
| SCOMBRIDAE | QUEENSLAND SCHOOL MACKEREL* | Scomberonioms queenslandicıs | $\cdot$ | - | - | NARROW-BARRED SPANSH MACKEREL KEY USED | - | - | - |
| SCOMBRIDAE | FRIGATE MACKEREL | Auxis thazard | Combined | 364 | 21.0-49.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.02000 * \mathrm{FL}^{2.9900}$ | Not Given | Gulf of Thailand | Kinmuang (1981), Yesaki \& Arce (1991) |
| scombridae | australlan bonito | Sarda australis | Combined | 2824 | 29.0-77.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.009611 * \mathrm{FL}^{3.08338}$ | 0.990 | E.\& N. Pacific | Campell \& Collins (1975) |
| SCOMBRIDAE | SLIMY MACKEREL* | Scomber australasiets | - | - | - | CHUB MACKEREL KEY USED | - | - | - |
| SCOMBRIDAE | CHUG MACKEREL | Scomber japonicus | Combined | 1232 | 13.0-48.0 | $W=0.001366 * \mathrm{FL}{ }^{3.39358}$ | 0.994 | Califormia, USA | Knaggs \& Parrish (1973) |
|  |  |  |  | - | - | AUSTRALIAN BONITO KEY USED | - | - | - |
| SCOMbridas | LEAPING BONITO* | Eybiosarda elegans | Combined | Not Given | $<75.0$ | $W=0.0065 * \mathrm{FL}^{3.22}$ | Not Given | Papua New Guinea | Wilson (1981) |
| SCOMbridaE | MACKEREL TUNA SKIPJACK | Euthynnus afinis Katsuvonus pelamis | Combined | N20 | $38.0-71.0$ | $\mathrm{W}=0.006781878 * \mathrm{FL}{ }^{3.28916}$ | Not Given | New Zealand | Habib (1978), Wild (1991a) |
|  |  |  |  | - | - | AUSTRALIAN BONTTO KEY USED | - | - | - |
| SCOMBRIDAE | ORIENTAL BONITO* | Sarda orientaitis | Combined | Not Given | 49.6-127.6 | $\mathrm{W}=0.025955 * \mathrm{FL}^{2.9495}$ | Not Given | Hawaii \& N. Pacific | Nakamura \& Uchiyama (1966), Foreman (1980) |
| SCOMBRIDAE | albacore | Thunnus alahnga | Combined | 196 | 30.0-168.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.013908645^{*} \mathrm{FL}^{3.086}$ | Not Given | Eastem Pacific | Wild (1986), Wild (1991b) |
| SCOMBRIDAE | YELLOWFIN TUNA | Thunnus albacares | Combined |  | 30.0-168.0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Combin | 83 | 188.0-325.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.0007264 * \mathrm{FL}^{3.4583274193}$ | Not Given | E. Coast Aust. | J. Pepperell (unpublished data) |
| IStiophoridat | blue marlin | Makaira mazara Tetraphunts audax | Combined | 111 | 160.0-282.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.0041969 * \mathrm{FL}{ }^{3.0875897922}$ | Not Given | E. Coast Aust. | J. Pepperell (unpublished data) |
| ISTIOPHORDAE | STRIPED MARLIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LARGE-TOOTHED FLOUNDER | rudorhombus arsius | Combined | 1061 | 15.0-31.5 | $W=0.0053053006 * \mathrm{FL}^{3.18944}$ | 0.971 | Botany Bay, NSW | This sudy, SPCC (1981) |
| bothidae | LARGE-TOOTHED FLOUNDER | Pseudorhombus jenynsii | Combined | 138 | 15.0-33.4 | $\mathrm{W}=0.0014768963 * \mathrm{FL}{ }^{3.62935}$ | 0.961 | Botany Bay, NSW | This study, SPCC (1981) |
| boimmas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| monacanthidae | BLaCK REEF LEATHERJACKET* | Eubaichhys bucephahus | - | - | - | SLX-SPINED LEATHERJACKET KEY USED | - | - |  |
| MONACANTHDAE | MOSAIC LEATHERJACKET* | Eubalichhys mosaicus | - | - | - | SLX-SPINED LEATHERJACKET KEY USED | - |  | - |
| MONACANTHDAE | SIX-SPINED LEATHERJACKET | Merschenia freycineti | Combined | 223 | 10.0-41.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.016472898 * \mathrm{FL}{ }^{3.01383}$ | 0.994 | NSW | This study, SPCC (1981) |
| MONACANTH | HORSESHOE LEATHERJACKET* | Metuschenia hippocrepis | - | - |  | SLX-SPINED LEATHERJACKET KEY USED | - | - |  |
|  | YELLOW-FINNED LEATHERJACKET* | Meuschenia rrachylepis | - | - | - | SLX-SPINED LEATHERTACKET KEY USED | - | - | - |
| monacantidae | YELLOW-FINNED LEATHERACKI* CHINAMAN LEATHERJACKET | Nethsetta ayraudi | Females | 154 | 23.0-54.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.017 * \mathrm{FL}^{2.83}$ | Not Given | Great Aust Bight | Lindholm (1984) |
| MONACANTHDAE | CHINAMANLEATHENACKET |  |  |  |  | SLX-SPINED LEATHERJACKET KEY USED | - | - | - |
| monacanthidae | TOOTHBRUSH LEATHERJACKET* | Penicipelta vitriger |  | - | - | SIX-SPINED LEATHENACKI MEY |  |  |  |
| monacanthidae | ROUGH LEATHERJACKET* | Scobimichhys grantlatus | - | - | - | SIX-SPINED LEATHERJACKET KEY USED |  |  |  |

Appendix 2. Length/weight conversion keys $[W(\operatorname{grams})=a * L(c m)]$ used to estimate weights for various taxa. Relevant details which describe the sample material used to calculate the length/weight keys is provided.

| Higher Classification | COMMON NAME | TAXON | SEX | SAMPLE SIZE | $\underset{\text { RIZE }}{\text { RANG (cm) }}$ | LENGTH/WEIGHT KEY $W(\text { grams })=a * L(c m)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ADJUSTED } \\ \mathbf{r}^{2} \end{gathered}$ | REGION OF SAMPLE | SOURCE OF KEY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| monacanthidae | Leatherjacket OTHER* | Unidenified Monacanthid species | - | - | - | SIX-SPINED LEATHERJACKET KEY USED | - | - | - |
| CEPHALOPODA | COMMON SQUD* | Loliga spp. | - |  | - | SOUTHERN CALAMARI KEY USED | - |  | - |
| CEPHALOPODA | ARROW SQUID* | Norotodanus gouldi | - | - |  | SOUTHERN CALAMARI KEY USED | - ${ }^{-}$ | - |  |
| CEPHALOPODA | GLANT CUTTLEFISH | Sepia apama | Combined | 28 | 19.0-50.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.2203433^{*} \mathrm{ML}^{2.8347}$ | 0.967 | NSW | This sudy |
| CEPHALOPODA | SOUTHERN CALAMARI | Scpioteuhtis anstralis | Combined | 101 | 11.0-39.0 | $\mathrm{W}=0.24976409 * \mathrm{ML}^{2.44095}$ | 0.977 | NSW | This sudy |

This study - refers to the amalgamation of material from a variety of sources and the recalculation of a length/weight key. These sources include material from market measuring, boat ramp measuring, and unpublished material taken from the Botany Bay project (SPCC 1981), the Northern Rivers project and the Deep Ocean Outfall Monitoring project.


[^0]:    KEY: * Associated estimates of weight are not provided for this taxon in Table 9 because a suitable length/weight conversion key was not available.

[^1]:    KEY: * Associated estimates of weight are not provided for this taxon in Table 9 because a suitable length/weight conversion key was not available.

[^2]:    KEY: * Associated estimates of weight are not provided for this taxon in Table 11 because a suitable length/weight conversion key was not available.

[^3]:    KEY: * Associated estimates of weight are not provided for this taxon in Table 11 because a suitable length/weight conversion key was not available.

[^4]:    KEY: * Associated estimates of weight are not provided for this taxon in Table 11 because a suitable length/weight conversion key was not available.

