

Metazoan parasite survey of selected macro-inshore fish of southeastern Australia, including species of commercial importance



FINAL REPORT
FRDC Project No. 2007/225
KS Hutson, SR Catalano & ID Whittington
28 February 2011



Australian Government
**Fisheries Research and
Development Corporation**



Australian Government
**Department of the Environment,
Water, Heritage and the Arts**

Metazoan parasite survey of selected macro-inshore fish of southeastern Australia, including species of commercial importance

KS Hutson, SR Catalano & ID Whittington

Final Report for the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation

FRDC Project No. 2007/225

ISBN 978-0-646-55210-1

28 February 2011

Cover image: A parasitic isopod, *Ceratothoa* sp., from the mouth of silver trevally *Pseudocaranx georgianus* captured in Coffin Bay, South Australia. Image provided by Brian Saunders.

This publication may be cited as:

K.S. Hutson, S.R. Catalano and I.D. Whittington. 2011. Metazoan parasite survey of selected macro-inshore fish of southeastern Australia, including species of commercial importance. Final Report to FRDC (Project No. 2007/225). James Cook University, Townsville. 259 pp.

James Cook University

Parasitology Laboratory
Discipline of Aquaculture
School of Marine and Tropical Biology
James Cook University
Townsville QLD 4811
tel: + 61 7 4781 6216
fax: +61 4781 4585

Disclaimer:

The authors do not warrant that the information in this document is free from errors or omissions. The authors do not accept any form of liability be it contractual, tortious or otherwise, for the contents of this document or for any consequences arising from its use or any reliance placed upon it. The information, opinions and advice contained in this document may not relate, or be relevant, to a readers particular circumstances. Opinions expressed by the authors are the individual opinions expressed by those persons and are not necessarily those of the publisher, the insitutions represented or the research funding agencies.

© 2011 James Cook University

This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part may be reproduced by any process without written permission from the first author.

Authors: Kate S. Hutson, Sarah R. Catalano and Ian D. Whittington

Reviewers: This report was peer reveiwed through the Aquatic Animal Health Subcommitte FRDC by an anonymous reviewer. Parts of this report that have been submitted for publication have also been peer-reviewed anonymously.

Distribution: FRDC, FRAB representatives, Australian Biological Resources Study, libraries and scientific contributors

Circulation: Public Domain

Table of Contents

Non-technical Summary	1
1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION.....	4
1.1 Background	4
1.2 Need.....	5
1.3 Objectives.....	7
2 METAZOAN PARASITES OF IMPORTANT FISHES IN AUSTRALIA	8
2.1 Abstract.....	8
2.2 Introduction	8
2.3 Methods	9
2.3.1 Fish collections and deposition of fish tissue	9
2.3.2 Fish necropsies.....	12
2.3.3 Parasite preservation, staining and mounting	12
2.3.4 Parasite identification.....	12
2.4 Results and Discussion	13
2.4.1 Fishes sampled	13
2.4.2 Target fish species sampled	15
Arripidae	15
Carangidae	23
Coryphaenidae	32
Hemiramphidae.....	46
Latidae.....	49
Rachycentridae.....	53
Sciaenidae	62
Sillaginidae	66
Sparidae.....	69
2.4.3 Non-target fish species sampled	74
Carangidae	74
Centrolophidae.....	75
Cheilodactylidae	75

Kyphosidae	77
Latridae	78
Mugilidae	78
Oplegnathidae	79
Percichthyidae.....	79
Platycephalidae	81
Scorpaenidae	81
Sparidae.....	82
Triakidae	82
Urolophidae.....	84
3 BIOGEOGRAPHICAL RELEVANCE OF PARASITE-HOST CHECKLISTS: A CASE STUDY	85
3.1 Abstract.....	85
3.2 Introduction	85
3.3 Materials and Methods	87
3.3.1 Fish collection.....	87
3.3.2 Dissection and parasite preservation.....	88
3.3.3 Statistical Analyses	89
3.4 Results	90
3.4.1 Variation in parasite abundance.....	91
3.5 Discussion.....	100
4 PARASITE REDESCRIPTIONS	105
4.1 Redescriptions of two species of microcotylid monogeneans from three arripid hosts 105	
4.1.1 Abstract.....	105
4.1.2 Introduction	105
4.1.3 Materials and Methods	106
4.1.3.1 Collection of hosts and parasites.....	106
4.1.3.2 Morphological methods	107
4.1.3.3 Molecular methods.....	107
4.1.3.4 Specimens requested and deposited.....	109
4.1.4 Results	109

4.1.4.1 <i>Microcotyle arripis</i> Sandars, 1945.....	109
4.1.4.2 <i>Kahawaia truttae</i> (Dillon and Hargis, 1965) Lebedev, 1969	114
4.1.5 Discussion.....	119
4.2 Redescription of an atypical species of trebiid copepod.....	121
4.2.1 Abstract.....	121
4.2.2 Introduction	121
4.2.3 Materials and Methods	123
4.2.4 Results	123
4.2.4.1 <i>Kabataia ostorhinchii</i> Kazachenko, Korotaeva and Kurochkin, 1972	123
4.2.4 Discussion.....	135
5 MOLECULAR TOOLS TO DISTINGUISH PARASITE SPECIES	136
5.1 Abstract.....	136
5.2 Introduction.....	137
5.3 Materials and Methods.....	137
5.3.1 Host and parasite collection.....	137
5.3.2 DNA preparation, PCR amplification and sequencing.....	140
5.3.3 Phylogenetic analyses.....	141
5.4 Results	142
5.4.1 <i>Paradeontacylix</i> n. sp.	142
5.4.1.1 Description	143
5.4.1.2 Remarks	146
5.4.2 <i>Paradeontacylix sanguinicoloides</i> McIntosh, 1934	146
5.4.2.1 Remarks	146
5.4.3 <i>Paradeontacylix godfreyi</i> Hutson and Whittington 2006.....	147
5.4.3.1 Remarks	147
5.4.4 <i>Paradeontacylix</i> cf. <i>kampachi</i>	147
5.4.4.1 Remarks	148
5.4.5 Key to the species of <i>Paradeontacylix</i>	148
5.4.5.1 Remarks	149
5.4.6 Phylogenetic analyses.....	149
5.5 Discussion.....	153

5.5.1 <i>Paradeontacylix</i> n. sp.	153
5.5.2 Phylogenetic relationships	154
5.5.3 Coexistence of congeneric species	155
5.5.4 Diagnostic testing capabilities and life cycle elucidation	156
6 POTENTIAL PARASITE THREATS TO SOUTHERN AUSTRALIAN FINFISH	
AQUACULTURE	157
6.1 Abstract.....	157
6.2 Introduction	157
6.3 Materials and Methods	159
6.4 Results and Discussion	160
6.5 Conclusion.....	163
7 ASSESSING PARASITE RISK IN FINFISH AQUACULTURE AND IDENTIFYING	
APPROPRIATE HUSBANDRY	164
7.0 Parasite risk assessment for sea-cage aquaculture of mullocky (<i>Argyrosomus japonicus</i>)	
and barramundi (<i>Lates calcarifer</i>)	164
7.1 Abstract.....	164
7.2 Introduction	164
7.3 Materials and Methods	166
7.3.1 Fish and parasite collection.....	166
7.3.2 Risk analyses.....	166
7.3.3 Likelihood	167
7.3.4 Consequence	167
7.3.5 Overall risk.....	167
7.4 Results	169
7.4.1 Parasite species	169
7.4.2 Risk assessment for sea-cage farming of <i>Argyrosomus japonicus</i>	169
7.4.3 Risk assessment for sea-cage farming of <i>Lates calcarifer</i>	169
7.5 Discussion.....	173
7.5.1 Parasite risks to sea-cage aquaculture of <i>Argyrosomus japonicus</i>	174
High risk.....	174
Moderate risk	174

Low risk	176
Negligible risk.....	176
7.5.2 Parasite risks to sea-cage aquaculture of <i>Lates calcarifer</i>	177
High risk.....	177
Moderate risk	178
Low risk	180
Negligible risk.....	180
7.6 Husbandry practices to manage metazoan parasite infections	180
7.6.1 Monogenean and crustacean infections	181
7.6.2 Aporocotylid infections	183
7.7 Conclusion.....	183
8 MARINEPARASITES.COM	185
8.1 Abstract.....	185
8.2 Introduction	185
8.3 Materials and Methods	185
8.4 Results and Discussion	186
9 BENEFITS.....	190
10 FURTHER DEVELOPMENT	194
11 PLANNED OUTCOMES.....	195
12 CONCLUSIONS.....	197
13 REFERENCES	198
14 APPENDICES	238
14.1 Appendix 1: Intellectual property	238
14.2 Appendix 2: Staff list	238
14.3 Appendix 3: Publications	239
14.4 Appendix 4: Presentations	242
14.4.1 International conference presentations	242
14.4.2 National conference presentations.....	242
14.5 Appendix 5: Public outreach.....	245

List of Figures

Figure 1. Map indicating <i>Hyporhamphus melanochir</i> sampling localities in South Australia	88
Figure 2. Box and whisker plot for standard length LS frequencies of <i>Hyporhamphus melanochir</i> sampled from nine sites within three regions.....	91
Figure 3. Age-frequency distribution for <i>Hyporhamphus melanochir</i> sampled from nine sites within three regions.....	92
Figure 4. Scatterplots for individual parasite species abundance against <i>Hyporhamphus melanochir</i> standard length (L_s).....	97
Figure 5. Results of discriminant function (DF) analyses (DF 1 v. DF2) of the <i>Hyporhamphus melanochir</i> parasite assemblage (A), and permanent parasites (B), from two regions Spencer Gulf and Gulf St Vincent	98
Figure 6. <i>Microcotyle arripis</i> Sandars, 1945..	112
Figure 7. <i>Kahawaia truttae</i> Lebedev, 1969 from <i>Arripis truttaceus</i>	117
Figure 8. Neighbor-joining tree from analyses of the 28S rDNA nucleotide sequence data for Polyopisthocotylea including seven microcotylid taxa and one outgroup taxon	120
Figure 9. Progress of cephalisation in caligiform copepods	122
Figure 10. <i>Kabataia ostorhinchi</i> , adult female	125
Figure 11. Scanning electron micrographs. <i>Kabataia ostorhinchi</i> adult female.....	126
Figure 12. Scanning electron micrographs. <i>Kabataia ostorhinchi</i> adult female.....	127
Figure 13. <i>Kabataia ostorhinchi</i> , adult female	129
Figure 14. <i>Kabataia ostorhinchi</i> , adult male	130
Figure 15. Scanning electron micrographs. <i>Kabataia ostorhinchi</i> adult male.....	132
Figure 16. Scanning electron micrographs. <i>Kabataia ostorhinchi</i> adult male.....	133
Figure 17. Capture localities for <i>Seriola</i> spp. in southern Australian waters.....	138

Figure 18. <i>Paradeontacylix</i> n. sp.	144
Figure 19. Large posterior tegumental spines of <i>Paradeontacylix</i> n. sp. and <i>P. sanguinicoloides</i> from southern Australian waters.....	145
Figure 20. A 50% majority rule consensus tree produced from Bayesian inference analyses of the CO1 data for the Aporocotyliidae and outgroup taxa.....	151
Figure 21. A 50% majority rule consensus tree produced from Bayesian inference analyses of the 28S rDNA data for the Aporocotyliidae and numerous outgroup taxa.	152
Figure 22. Collection localities for three <i>Arripis</i> spp.....	159
Figure 23. A screen shot of the home page of MarineParasites.com..	187
Figure 24. Colour poster of problematic parasites for mullocky aquaculture.....	188
Figure 25. Colour poster of problematic parasites for barramundi aquaculture..	189

List of Tables

Table 1. Fish species sampled for metazoan parasites in this study.....	11
Table 2. Fish species and number examined for metazoan parasites in this study.....	14
Table 3. Metazoan parasite fauna from <i>Arripis georgianus</i> collected in this study and presented in previous literature..	16
Table 4. Metazoan parasite fauna from <i>Arripis truttaceus</i> collected in this study and presented in previous literature..	18
Table 5. Metazoan parasite fauna from <i>Arripis trutta</i> collected in this study and presented in previous literature.....	20
Table 6. Metazoan parasite fauna of wild and farmed <i>Seriola lalandi</i> (yellowtail kingfish) collected in this study and presented in previous records from Australasia.....	25
Table 7. Metazoan parasite fauna of <i>Seriola hippos</i> (Samson fish) collected in this study and presented in previous records from Australasia.	30
Table 8. Metazoan parasite fauna of wild and farmed dolphin fish <i>Coryphaena hippurus</i> collected in this study and presented in previous records from Australasia.....	33
Table 9. Metazoan parasite fauna of southern Australian garfish <i>Hyporhamphus melanochir</i> examined in this study and presented in previous literature.....	47
Table 10. Metazoan parasite fauna of wild and farmed barramundi <i>Lates calcarifer</i> collected in this study and presented in previous records from Australasia.....	50
Table 11. Metazoan parasite fauna of wild and farmed <i>Rachycentron canadum</i> (cobia) collected in this study and presented in previous records from Australasia.....	55
Table 12. Metazoan parasite fauna of wild and farmed mullet <i>Argyrosomus japonicus</i> collected in this study and presented in previous records from Australasia.....	63
Table 13. Metazoan parasite fauna of King George Whiting <i>Sillaginodes punctatus</i> collected in this study and presented in previous records from Australasia.....	67
Table 14. Metazoan parasite fauna of wild and farmed pink snapper <i>Chrysophrys auratus</i> collected in this study and presented in previous records from Australasia.....	70

Table 15. Metazoan parasite fauna of rainbow runner <i>Elagatis bipinnulata</i> collected in this study.	74
Table 16. Metazoan parasite fauna of amberjack <i>Seriola dumerili</i> collected in this study.	75
Table 17. Metazoan parasite fauna of deep-sea trevalla <i>Hyperoglyphe antarctica</i> collected in this study..	75
Table 18. Metazoan parasite fauna of queen snapper <i>Nemadactylus valenciensesi</i> collected in this study..	76
Table 19. Metazoan parasite fauna of jackass morwong <i>Nemadactylus macropterus</i> collected in this study.....	77
Table 20. Metazoan parasite fauna of luderick <i>Girella tricuspidata</i> collected in this study.....	77
Table 21. Metazoan parasite fauna of striped trumpeter <i>Latris lineata</i> collected in this study.....	78
Table 22. Metazoan parasite fauna of yelloweye mullet <i>Aldrichetta forsteri</i> collected in this study	79
Table 23. Metazoan parasite fauna of knifejaw <i>Oplegnathus woodwardi</i> collected in this study.	79
Table 24. Metazoan parasite fauna of hapuka <i>Polyprion oxygeneios</i> collected in this study	80
Table 25. Metazoan parasite fauna of wreckfish <i>Polyprion americanus</i> collected in this study.	80
Table 26. Metazoan parasite fauna of flathead <i>Platycephalus aurimaculatus</i> collected in this study.	81
Table 27. Metazoan parasite fauna of red gurnard perch <i>Helicolenus percoides</i> collected in this study..	82
Table 28. Metazoan parasite fauna of black bream <i>Acanthopagrus butcheri</i> collected in this study.	82
Table 29. Metazoan parasite fauna of <i>Galeorhinus galeus</i> collected in this study..	83
Table 30. Metazoan parasite fauna of gummy shark <i>Mustelus antarcticus</i> collected in this study.	83
Table 31. Metazoan parasite fauna of sparsely spotted stingaree <i>Urolophus paucimaculatus</i> collected in this study	84
Table 32. Number of <i>Hyporhamphus melanochir</i> (<i>n</i>) collected from each site in South Australia	90

Table 33. Parasite species from <i>Hyporhamphus melanochir</i> indicating microhabitat, museum accession number and use as a biological marker	93
Table 34. Prevalence and mean intensity of metazoan parasite infections of <i>Hyporhamphus melanochir</i> from nine sites within three regions of South Australia.....	95
Table 35. Statistical analysis of <i>Hyporhamphus melanochir</i> parasite species abundance amongst sample sites in South Australia	96
Table 36. Reclassification table for the entire parasite assemblage of <i>Hyporhamphus melanochir</i> sampled from nine sites within three regions	99
Table 37. Reclassification table for permanent parasites of <i>Hyporhamphus melanochir</i> sampled from nine sites within three regions.....	100
Table 38. Polyopisthocotylean monogeneans: specimens amplified, sequenced and analysed	108
Table 39. Comparative measurements of <i>Microcotyle arripis</i> Sandars, 1945 from <i>Arripis georgianus</i> , for specimens collected in this study and published data.	113
Table 40. Comparative measurements of <i>Kahawaia truttae</i> Lebedev, 1969, for specimens collected in this study and published data	118
Table 41. <i>Paradeontacylix</i> spp. infections in <i>Seriola dumerili</i> , <i>S. hippos</i> and <i>S. lalandi</i>	139
Table 42. Primers used for PCR amplification.....	141
Table 43. Parasitic crustaceans of <i>Arripis georgianus</i> , <i>A. trutta</i> and <i>A. truttaceus</i>	161
Table 44. Sample locations for wild and farmed <i>Argyrosomus japonicus</i> and farmed <i>Lates calcarifer</i> surveyed in this study.....	166
Table 45. Definitions for likelihood and consequence.....	168
Table 46. Risk estimation matrix following the Australian Quarantine and Inspection Service	168
Table 47. Consequence of parasite establishment and proliferation in sea-cage aquaculture of <i>Argyrosomus japonicus</i>	170
Table 48. Parasite risk analysis for <i>Argyrosomus japonicus</i> sea-cage aquaculture.....	171

Table 49. Consequence of parasite establishment and proliferation in sea-cage aquaculture of *Lates calcarifer*..... 172

Table 50. Parasite risk analysis for sea-cage aquaculture of *Lates calcarifer*..... 173

Non-technical Summary

Parasites have the potential to limit the growth of Australian fishing industries, especially aquaculture, through mortality, morbidity and reduced marketability. A majority of the parasites of recreational, commercial and farmed Australian finfish has not been collected, studied or described. We surveyed 12 important finfish species and documented their parasite assemblages, placing emphasis on parasitic crustaceans (e.g. sea-lice) and helminths (e.g. flukes).

Morphological methods and, in some cases, molecular tools were used to facilitate parasite identification. More than 120 parasite species were identified. Parasites were used as biological tags to identify geographic population structure in one commercial fish species, the southern garfish. We assessed parasite risks to sea-cage aquaculture for two species of finfish in Australia, mullet and barramundi, and indicate appropriate methods to adopt in animal husbandry in the event of parasite outbreaks in mullet and barramundi culture which will help improve the viability of the industries. A comprehensive, user-friendly, richly illustrated website (MarineParasites.com) has been created that details parasite biology, pathology and host-specificity, enabling lay people to identify different types of parasites in common fish species encountered in Australia.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation (FRDC; project no. 2007/225) and the Australian Biological Resources Study (ABRS; project no. 2007-44) who jointly funded this investigation. Scholarship support for Honours student Sarah Catalano was provided from Unibooks (University of Adelaide) and Playford Memorial Trust in 2009. Scholarship support for Honours student Emma Brock was provided from the South Australian Research and Development Institute (SARDI) and an ABRS capacity-building scholarship in 2010–11. Additional travel support for the Principal Investigator (PI) was provided by the Australian Research Council/National Health and Medical Research Council (ARC/NHMRC) Research Network for Parasitology.

We thank Dr Mark Crane and all members of the FRDC Aquatic Animal Health Subcommittee (AAHS) who provided regular feedback and helpful suggestions in response to our regular Milestone Progress Reports, which aided productive development of this research project. This report was peer reviewed by an anonymous member of the AAHS who provided constructive comments which greatly improved this document. Parts of this report that have been submitted for publication have also been reviewed anonymously.

We are indebted to Bluewater Barramundi, Clean Seas Aquaculture, Clear Water Aquaculture, the Innes Brothers & Sons Inc., Port MacDonnell Sportfishing Club, Port Stephens Gamefishing Tournament, Whyalla Snapper tournament, New South Wales Fisheries, CSIRO Cleveland, Alan Bevan of Shikari Charters; Don Dew (deceased) of Safcol fish markets and the Sydney fish market for provision of fish specimens.

Hooray for Dr Tim Benson, Emma Brock, Dr Ben Doolan, Dennis and Kerry Heinicke, Dr Lizzie Perkins, Dr Rod Ratcliff, Brian Saunders and Dr Richard Saunders who were instrumental to the success of this research project. All provided valued discussion and assistance in the field.

Gold stars for Leonie Barnett, Kieran Brazell, Dr Mieke Burger, Robert Chender, Angelo, Domenico, Margaret and Pepperoni Catalano, Graeme Coutts, Steve Evangelou, David Gill,

Bruce Jackson, Darren James, Rod Leith, Matthew Lloyd, Dale and Heather Perkins, Nigel Preston, Pep Severino, Robin Sharp, Simon Tabrett and Charlie Walsh for support in the field.

Hats off to Prof Ian Beveridge (University of Melbourne), Dr Nathan Bott (SARDI Aquatic Sciences), Prof Geoff Boxshall and Dr Rodney Bray (Natural History Museum [NHM], London), Dr Niel Bruce (Museum of Tropical Queensland), Dr Astrid Holzer and Dr Francisco Montero (University of Valencia), Dr Shokoofeh Shamsi (Charles Sturt University) and Dr Danny Tang (University of Hiroshima) who assisted with parasite identifications.

A round of applause for Dr Terry Bertozzi, Dr Leslie Chisholm, Thierry Laperousaz and Ralph Foster (South Australian Museum), Dr Stephen Keable (Australian Museum), Miranda Lowe (NHM) and Dr Martin Gomon (Museum Victoria) who kindly loaned and/or curated accessioned material. Prof Geoff Boxshall, Dr Anthony Fowler (SARDI Aquatic Sciences), Dr Martin Gomon, Dr Stephen Keable and Dr Matthew Padula (University of Technology, Sydney) kindly hosted the PI in their laboratories.

We salute Dr Mike Steer (SARDI Aquatic Sciences) who made valued contribution to statistical analyses for this research.

We thank the University of Adelaide and James Cook University for logistic and administrative support through the course of the project, including the use of laboratories and access to facilities. We wish to acknowledge the unfailing support of the Research Branch at the University of Adelaide – especially Ms Chelsea Dubois.

1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The oceans of Australia have the greatest diversity of marine fishes in the world. The parasite communities that infect individual fish species are also incredibly diverse, but are extremely poorly studied in Australia. Identification of parasites infecting recreational, commercial and cultured finfish is important to determine fish stock structure, provide import and export risk assessments and to deliver appropriate parasite management in aquaculture. However, taxonomic research on parasites of finfish has been largely limited to certain centres on the east coast, often related to where parasitologists have been employed. The Marine Parasitology Laboratory at The University of Adelaide enabled direct access to the southeast region of the continent, providing an unparalleled opportunity to study metazoan parasites of fishes where the vast majority of species are unknown. Relocation of the PI to James Cook University, Queensland, in the final year of the project enabled further examination of important tropical species.

We chose 12 key native fish species to undertake a parasite survey in southern region of Australia, including barramundi in the northeast. The survey included recreational and commercial species, candidate species for aquaculture and currently farmed species. All metazoan parasites encountered were collected and identified to genus or species where possible, to compile taxon lists with biogeographical and biological relevance. Parasites and some representative fish specimens and also tissue samples were lodged and are now curated in Museum Victoria, the Natural History Museum, London and the South Australian Museum for future study. Although we recovered a broad range of parasite species, we concentrated our taxonomic work on copepod, monogenean and aporocotylid trematode species (for which the research team has particular expertise). These parasite groups are also frequently associated with pathology, morbidity and/or mortality in finfish aquaculture and can cause mortalities in natural fish populations.

1.2 Need

Metazoan parasites threaten the sustainability and profitability of the Australian finfish aquaculture industry. It is critical, therefore, to collect and identify local parasite species and determine which are potentially harmful. In the northern hemisphere, where intensive finfish aquaculture began in the 1960s, there have been many studies assessing metazoan parasite fauna of wild fish and fish farmed in sea-cages. In Australia such research is rare. The potential for parasitic disease problems in sea-cage aquaculture has not yet been fully realised in Australia because current stocking densities are low, farm locations are dispersed and the industry cannot be considered to be as intensive.

In the sea-cage environment, farmed fish may acquire parasite infections found in local populations of wild fish. The occurrence of wild fish near sea-cage farms provides an opportunity for transfer of parasites between wild and farmed populations. The parasite assemblages of wild fish species and the potential risks of these parasites for sea-cage aquaculture are largely unknown. By gathering fundamental biological data about parasites, we will gain a better understanding of how to manage and control them on fish in captivity.

Knowledge of parasite biology, how parasites impact on their hosts and development of diagnostic tools are critical for effective parasite management. This project used a powerful combination of morphological and, in some instances, molecular techniques to provide a comprehensive understanding of copepod, monogenean and aporocotylid trematode parasites infecting recreational, commercial and cultured finfish species in southeastern Australia, plus barramundi (*Lates calcarifer*) in the northeast. A combined morphological and molecular approach will resolve questions that are unable to be addressed using only one approach.

Accurate species identification is essential to understand biodiversity, biogeography, biosecurity, biology, ecology, conservation and animal health. Parasite species and assemblages are a valuable tool to determine geographic population structure in wild fish and to identify appropriate fishery management units (MacKenzie 1999; MacKenzie 2002; Braicovich and Timi 2008). The capacity to correctly identify parasitic agents of fishes is also essential for fisheries

management (Barber *et al.* 2000) and maintenance of biosecurity. If a parasite species causing disease is not identified accurately, it may be difficult to develop control and treatment methods and assess risk factors (e.g. Young *et al.* 2007). Several parasite species mistakenly combined as one species can result in incorrect estimates of diversity (Poulin and Morand 2000). We highlight the relevance of thorough morphological taxonomy to applied science and emphasise its use as a fundamental scientific tool in all aspects of our research methodology.

1.3 Objectives

For clarity, the original 10 objectives (presented in brackets) are reported under seven main chapters as follows:

Chapter 2: Metazoan parasites of important fishes in Australia

To complete a parasite survey of selected finfish species in Australia (1)

Chapter 3: Biogeographical relevance of parasite-host checklists: a case study

To provide a host-parasite checklist with biogeographical relevance (3)

Chapter 4: Parasite redecriptions

To provide taxonomic revisions of copepods, monogeneans and trematodes including redecriptions of poorly described taxa & description of new species (2)

Chapter 5: Morphological and molecular tools to distinguish blood fluke parasites

To provide taxonomic revisions of copepods, monogeneans and trematodes including redecriptions of poorly described taxa & description of new species (2)

To use DNA sequences to distinguish blood fluke species and enable diagnostic tools for industry (4)

Enable appropriate site selection for expansion of the industry away from infection sources (9)

Chapter 6: Potential parasitic threats to southern Australian finfish aquaculture

Identify parasites of potential threat to the sustainability of the sea-cage aquaculture industry (6)

Develop case studies of parasites of potential threat to aquaculture (7)

Chapter 7: Assessing parasite risk and identifying appropriate husbandry

Provide risk analyses for the parasites identified to understand a) the likelihood of parasite establishment and proliferation and b) the consequence of establishment and proliferation for sustainable aquaculture (5)

Identify parasites of potential threat to the sustainability of the sea-cage aquaculture industry (6)

Identify appropriate husbandry practices to manage and control parasite infections and thereby reduce morbidity and mortality in fish stocks (8)

Chapter 8: MarineParasites.com

Develop an interactive product (i.e. website) that enables rapid identification of marine parasite species for the public, recreational anglers and sea-cage aquaculture industry (10)

2 METAZOAN PARASITES OF IMPORTANT FISHES IN AUSTRALIA

2.1 Abstract

Accurate identification of fishes and their parasites is fundamental to the development, management and sustainability of fisheries and aquaculture worldwide. We examined a total of 29 fish species including currently farmed fish species, candidate aquaculture species and commercial and recreational species to determine their metazoan parasite assemblages and infection parameters. We identified more than 120 parasite species. Host tissue samples for fish studied and at least one voucher specimen of each parasite species were deposited in recognised curated museum collections. Although a range of parasite fauna was encountered, we placed taxonomic emphasis on copepod, monogenean and aporocotylid (blood fluke) species, because these parasite groups are frequently associated with pathology, morbidity and/or mortality in finfish aquaculture. During the course of this study, redescrptions have been provided for the monogeneans *Microcotyle arripis* Sandars, 1945 and *Kahawaia truttae* Dillon and Hargis, 1965 from *Arripis georgianus* and *A. truttaceus*, respectively and the copepod *Kabataia ostorhinchi* Kazatchenko, Korotaeva & Kurochkin, 1972 from knifejaw *Oplegnathus woodwardi* in Chapter 4. A new blood fluke (*Paradeontacylix* n. sp.) from *Seriola hippos* in described in Chapter 5.

2.2 Introduction

Accurate species identification is essential to understand biodiversity, biogeography, biosecurity, biology, ecology, conservation and animal health. For example, the European medicinal leech, used worldwide for surgery and gene regulation research, was recently identified as three species, not one (Siddall *et al.* 2007). There is now a need to reconsider decades of biomedical research on this widely used model organism. Furthermore the controversy about the identity of leeches potentially impacts regulatory laws and the conservation status of medicinal leeches (Kutschera 2006). Similarly, inadvertent grouping of separate fish species into a single species can have disastrous repercussions for commercially harvested fisheries. Apparent sustainability for a single, overexploited commercially fished species may be an illusion produced by

continued catches of a second morphologically similar but more fecund species (Dulvy and Reynolds 2009a).

The capacity to correctly identify parasitic agents of fishes is also essential for finfish aquaculture and maintenance of biosecurity. If a parasite species causing disease is not identified accurately, it may be difficult to develop control and treatment methods and assess risk factors (e.g. Young *et al.* 2007). Taxonomic rigour in the identification of parasites is also essential for fisheries management (Barber *et al.* 2000) because parasite species and assemblages are a valuable tool to determine geographic population structure in wild fish and to identify appropriate fishery management units (e.g. Chapter 3; MacKenzie 1999; 2002; Braicovich and Timi 2008). Several parasite species mistakenly combined as one species can result in incorrect estimates of biodiversity (Poulin and Morand 2000). Clearly, morphological taxonomy remains a fundamental scientific tool and is of immediate relevance to applied marine science. There is an increasing need to survey fishes and their parasite fauna across broad geographic ranges and identify them accurately to species.

Fundamental knowledge of parasite assemblages is missing for southern Australian fishes, including cultured and commercially and recreationally important species. The aim of this study was to discover and report metazoan parasite fauna of important fishes in southern Australian waters and barramundi in tropical waters, to provide comprehensive host and parasite identifications and deposit host and parasite voucher material in recognised curated museum collections.

2.3 Methods

2.3.1 Fish collections and deposition of fish tissue

Eleven fish species were targeted throughout southern Australia and barramundi (*Lates calcarifer*) was sampled in northeastern Australia (Table 1) with the aid of numerous stakeholders in the Australian fishing industry. Species were targeted because of their relative importance to Australian aquaculture, commercial and recreational fisheries (Table 1).

Additional fish species were sampled opportunistically. Specimens were sought from collection

trips aided by the aquaculture industry (Bluewater Barramundi, Hinchinbrook, Queensland; Clean Seas Tuna, Port Augusta, South Australia; Clear Water Aquaculture, Boston Bay, New South Wales), commercial fishers (Innes Brothers Inc., Greenwell Point, New South Wales), fish markets (Safcol fish market, Mile End, South Australia; Sydney Fish Market, Pyrmont, New South Wales), fishing tournaments (Port Stephens Interclub Gamefishing Tournament, New South Wales; Port MacDonnell Tuna and Sportsfish Tournament, South Australia) and fishing charters (Alan Bevan, Shikari Charters, Fremantle, Western Australia). Tissue samples (fin clips) were collected from representative fish species, stored in 95% undenatured ethanol and lodged with the Australian Biological Tissue Collection at the South Australian Museum (SAMA), North Terrace, Adelaide, 5000, South Australia. Fish were identified using morphological descriptions and keys in Gomon *et al.* (2008).

Table 1. Fish species sampled for metazoan parasites in this study. *Indicates twelve original target fish species.

Fish Family Fish species	Common name	Importance
Arripidae		
<i>Arripis trutta*</i>	eastern Australian salmon	Commercial/Recreational
<i>Arripis truttaceus*</i>	western Australian salmon	Commercial/Recreational
<i>Arripis georgianus*</i>	Australian herring	Commercial/Recreational
Carangidae		
<i>Elagatis bipinnulata</i>	rainbow runner	Recreational
<i>Seriola lalandi*</i>	yellowtail kingfish	Aquaculture/Commercial/Recreational
<i>Seriola hippos*</i>	Samson fish	Recreational
<i>Seriola dumerili</i>	greater amberjack	Candidate/Recreational
Centrolophidae		
<i>Hyperoglyphe antarctica</i>	deep sea trevalla	Commercial/Recreational
Cheilodactylidae		
<i>Nemadactylus valenciennesi</i>	queen snapper/blue morwong	Commercial/Recreational
<i>Nemadactylus macropterus</i>	jackass morwong/terakihi	Commercial/Recreational
Coryphaenidae		
<i>Coryphaena hippurus*</i>	dolphin fish/mahi mahi	Candidate/Recreational
Hemiramphidae		
<i>Hyporhamphus melanochir*</i>	southern garfish	Commercial/Recreational
Kyphosidae		
<i>Girella tricuspidata</i>	luderick	Commercial/Recreational
Latidae		
<i>Lates calcarifer*</i>	barramundi	Aquaculture
Latridae		
<i>Latris lineata</i>	striped trumpeter	Candidate aquaculture
Mugilidae		
<i>Aldrichetta forsteri</i>	yelloweye mullet	Commercial/Recreational
Oplegnathidae		
<i>Oplegnathus woodwardi</i>	knifejaw	Recreational
Percichthyidae		
<i>Polyprion oxygeneios</i>	hapuku	Commercial/Recreational/Candidate
<i>Polyprion americanus</i>	wreckfish	Commercial/Recreational/Candidate
Platycephalidae		
<i>Platycephalus aurimaculatus</i>	flathead	Commercial/Recreational
Rachycentridae		
<i>Rachycentron canadum*</i>	cobia	Aquaculture/Recreational
Sciaenidae		
<i>Argyrosomus japonicus*</i>	mulloway	Aquaculture/Recreational
Scorpaenidae		
<i>Helicolenus percoides</i>	red gurnard perch	Recreational
Sillaginidae		
<i>Sillaginodes punctatus*</i>	King George whiting	Commercial/Recreational
Sparidae		
<i>Acanthopagrus butcheri</i>	black bream	Commercial/Recreational
<i>Chrysophrys auratus*</i>	snapper	Commercial/Recreational
Triakidae		
<i>Galeorhinus galeus</i>	tope/school shark	Commercial/Recreational
<i>Mustelus antarcticus</i>	gummy shark	Commercial/Recreational
Urolophidae		
<i>Urolophus paucimaculatus</i>	sparsely spotted stingaree	-

2.3.2 *Fish necropsies*

Fish were examined for ectoparasites by studying the exterior surfaces, including fins, inside the mouth, fin sulcus and branchiostegal membranes with the naked eye and microscope. The gills were removed, placed in seawater and examined under a dissecting microscope for ectoparasites. An incision was made along the belly of the fish and the pyloric caeca, intestine and stomach were removed and opened separately in saline solution. The solution was vigorously shaken to dislodge endoparasites, allowed to settle, then the supernatant was poured off and discarded and the remaining solution was examined under the dissecting microscope for endoparasites. The gall bladder was removed and opened in a cavity block before transfer of a small amount of the gall fluid onto a glass slide for examination under a compound microscope. After organ removal, the viscera, swim bladder, muscle and body cavity was examined for endoparasites. All cestode cysts detected were opened in freshwater or saline.

2.3.3 *Parasite preservation, staining and mounting*

Live digeneans were killed in boiling saline and both dead and alive digeneans and monogeneans were preserved in either 10% formalin or 95% AR grade undenatured ethanol (depending on the freshness of fish sourced, the state and type of parasites and whether molecular analyses were to be performed). Preserved parasites were washed twice in MiliQ water before being stained using Mayer's haematoxylin and destained in 3% HCl. Parasites were dehydrated in an ethanol series (70%, 90%, 95% and 100%) before being cleared in cedar wood oil and mounted ventral side up on a slide beneath a coverslip in Canada balsam. Mounted parasites were examined using a compound microscope to enable identification. Prior to morphological examination, caligids and nematodes were preserved in 70% ethanol then cleared in lactophenol. Cestodes were removed from their cysts before preservation and cleared in glycerol. Myxozoans were observed by examining the gall fluid under a compound microscope.

2.3.4 *Parasite identification*

Parasites were identified by morphological examination of whole mounted or cleared preserved material. Published records, keys in scientific papers and parasite diversity books assisted in identification, with distinctive characters being used to classify parasites to genus and species. In addition, voucher and type specimens were requested from national and/or international museums when required for comparison with the material collected in this study. Additional

parasite specimens from the target host species were examined from four museum collections including: The Natural History Museum, London; Australian Museum, Sydney; Museum Victoria, Melbourne; and the South Australian Museum, Adelaide (SAMA). Parasite material collected in this study is deposited in the Australian Helminthological Collection (AHC) and the Crustacean collection (C) at SAMA, the Parasitology Collection at the Queensland Museum (G), the Parasitic Worms Collection at the Natural History Museum (BMNH) and the United States National Parasite Collection (USNPC).

2.4 Results and Discussion

2.4.1 Fishes sampled

We examined a total of 1641 individuals from 30 fish species and 20 families for metazoan parasites (Table 2). Extreme care should be taken in the interpretation of these results where few fish were sampled. Low sample sizes can severely underestimate parasite diversity, especially for parasites that exhibit low prevalence.

Table 2. Fish species and number examined for metazoan parasites in this study. Accession numbers are provided for fish tissue supplied to the Australian Biological Tissue Collection at the South Australian Museum, Adelaide.

*Indicates twelve original target fish species.

Fish family and species	Common name	No. examined	ABTC
Arripidae			
<i>Arripis trutta</i> *	eastern Australian salmon	23	108509–108777
<i>Arripis truttaceus</i> *	western Australian salmon	67	
<i>Arripis georgianus</i> *	Australian herring	183	
Berycidae			
<i>Centroberyx gerrardi</i>	Bight redfish/red snapper	1	83993, 97459, 102996,
Carangidae			
<i>Elagatis bipinnulata</i>	rainbow runner	1	Not accessioned
<i>Seriola lalandi</i> *	yellowtail kingfish	136	81991–82004, 82932–45, 84961–65, 81214, 81149, 108505
<i>Seriola hippos</i> *	Samson fish	12	84002, 102982–102984
<i>Seriola dumerili</i>	greater amberjack	2	92925
Centrolophidae			
<i>Hyperoglyphe antarctica</i>	deep sea trevalla	3	97434–36
Cheilodactylidae			
<i>Nemadactylus valenciennesi</i>	queen snapper/blue morwong	16	83999, 97440–2, 97464, 103004
<i>Nemadactylus macropterus</i>	jackass morwong/terakihi	57	97450–53, 102998–9
Coryphaenidae			
<i>Coryphaena hippurus</i> *	dolphin fish/mahi mahi	35	97431–2, 102985–7
Hemiramphidae			
<i>Hyporhamphus melanochir</i> *	southern garfish	274	20763
Kyphosidae			
<i>Girella tricuspidata</i>	luderick	30	Not accessioned
Latidae			
<i>Lates calcarifer</i> *	barramundi	26	Not accessioned
<i>Latris lineata</i>	striped trumpeter	2	102988–91
Mugilidae			
<i>Aldrichetta forsteri</i>	yelloweye mullet	120	Not accessioned
Oplegnathidae			
<i>Oplegnathus woodwardi</i>	knifejaw	3	97462, 103003
Percichthyidae			
<i>Polyprion oxygeneios</i>	hapuku	3	97427–9, 102992
<i>Polyprion americanus</i>	wreckfish	3	97430
Platycephalidae			
<i>Platycephalus aurimaculatus</i>	flathead	2	Not accessioned
Rachycentridae			
<i>Rachycentron canadum</i> *	cobia	30	Not accessioned
Sciaenidae			
<i>Argyrosomus japonicus</i> *	mulloway	20	Not accessioned
Scorpaenidae			
<i>Helicolenus percoides</i>	red gurnard perch	15	84001, 97456, 97465–6
Sillaginidae			
<i>Sillaginodes punctatus</i> *	King George whiting	416	83884, 97448
Sparidae			
<i>Acanthopagrus butcheri</i>	black bream	7	Not accessioned
<i>Chrysophrys auratus</i> *	snapper	141	82401
Triakidae			
<i>Galeorhinus galeus</i>	tope shark	2	Not accessioned
<i>Mustelus antarcticus</i>	gummy shark	10	97461, 103001
Urolophidae			
<i>Urolophus paucimaculatus</i>	sparsely spotted stingaree	1	Not accessioned

**Accession numbers requested from ABTC

2.4.2 Target fish species sampled

Arripidae

Arripis georgianus

Arripis trutta

Arripis truttaceus

The Arripidae is a commercially and recreationally important fish family endemic to southern Australian and New Zealand (NZ) waters. The family comprises a single genus *Arripis* which includes four species (Paulin 1993). Three species are important commercial and recreational fisheries in Australian waters: Australian herring or tommy rough (*Arripis georgianus*); eastern Australian salmon (*A. trutta*); and western Australian salmon (*A. truttaceus*). Approximately 3,000 tons of ‘Australian salmon’ (*A. trutta* and *A. truttaceus* combined) is taken commercially in Australian waters per annum, while ~100 tons of *A. georgianus* is captured commercially in South Australia (ABARE 2009).

Arripis georgianus and *A. truttaceus* each comprise a single stock and share the same single spawning ground off the south-west coast of WA (Fairclough *et al.* 2000; Jones 2008). *Arripis trutta* spawns in the Lakes Entrance area of Victoria and off Eden and Bermagui in southern NSW (Stanley and Malcolm 1977). The stock structure of *A. trutta* is currently unknown (Smith *et al.* 2008). Arripids also have a tendency to aggregate near structure (Dempster and Kingsford 2004b; Neira 2005a) and have been observed in, and around, sea-cages in South Australia (Hutson pers. obs.). Despite the economic and ecological importance of these three arripid species, few studies have examined the parasite species associated with each host.

We identified seventeen parasite species from *A. georgianus*, 20 from *A. truttaceus* and 12 from *A. trutta* (Tables 3–5) and recorded 35 new host-parasite records (Tables 3–5). Redcriptions are provided for two species of microcotylid monogeneans from the three arripid hosts examined in Chapter 4. The majority of crustacean parasite species found infecting the three *Arripis* spp. have been associated with mass mortalities in aquaculture overseas. The importance of this finding is discussed in Chapter 6.

Table 3. Metazoan parasite fauna from *Arripis georgianus* collected in this study and presented in previous literature. ^A = new host record; ^B = new Australian record; ^C = from current study. Abbreviations: SA, South Australia; WA, Western Australia.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Cestoda				
Lacistorhynchidae				
<i>Callitetrarhynchus gracilis</i> Rudolphi, 1819	Viscera	^A	SA ^C	AHC 45416
Order: Tetracystida Carus, 1863				
Type 1	Intestine	^A	SA ^C	AHC 29765
Type 2	Intestine	^A	SA ^C	AHC 29766
Tentaculicidae				
<i>Nybelinia thyrsites</i> Korotaeva, 1971	Intestine	^A	SA ^C	AHC 29788
Digenea				
Acanthocolpidae				
<i>Monostephanostomum georgianum</i> Kruse, 1979	Digestive tract	Bray and Cribb (2002)	SA, SA ^C	AHC 29759–60
<i>Monostephanostomum manteri</i> Kruse, 1979	Digestive tract	Braicovich and Timi (2008)	SA, SA ^C	AHC 29761
Bucephalidae				
<i>Telorhynchus arripidis</i> Crowcroft, 1947	Digestive tract	^A	SA ^C	AHC 29764
Hemiuridae				
<i>Elytrophalloides humerus</i> Bray, 1990	Stomach, intestine	^A	SA ^C	AHC 29755–58
<i>Erilepturus tiegsi</i> Woolcock, 1935	Digestive tract	Bray (1990)	WA, SA ^C	AHC 29754 AHC 29789
Opecoelidae				
<i>Pseudopecoeloides arripi</i> Aken'Ova <i>et al.</i> , 2009	Intestine, caeca	Aken'Ova <i>et al.</i> (2009)	WA, SA, SA ^C	AHC 29762–63
Monogenea				
Microcotylidae				
<i>Microcotyle arripis</i> Sandars, 1945	Gills	Sandars (1945)	WA, SA, SA ^C	AHC 29751–53 AHC 29883–84

BMNH 2009.12.28.1–2
USNPC 102673.00–
102675.00

Nematoda

Anisakidae

Hysterothylacium sp.

Digestive tract

A

SA^C

AHC 45417 – 18

Camallanidae Railliet and Henry, 1915

Procamallanus sp.

Digestive tract

A

SA^C

AHC 45421

Philometridae

Philometra sp.

Gonad

A

SA^C

AHC 45422 – 23

Trichinellidae

Capillaria sp.

Digestive tract

A

SA^C

AHC 45419 – 20

Branchiura

Argulidae

Argulus diversicolor Byrnes, 1985

Skin

A

SA^C

BMNH 2009.261

Copepoda

Caligidae

Caligus punctatus Shiino, 1955

Gills

Catalano and Hutson (2010)

SA^{B, C}

C6814

Table 4. Metazoan parasite fauna from *Arripis truttaceus* collected in this study and presented in previous literature. ^A = new host record; ^B = new Australian record; ^C = from current study. Abbreviations: ND, not determined; SA, South Australia; TAS, Tasmania; VIC, Victoria.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Myxozoa				
Ceratomyxidae <i>Ceratomyxa</i> sp.	Gall bladder	A	SA ^C	G465430–31
Cestoda				
Lacistorhynchidae <i>Callitetrarhynchus gracilis</i> Rudolphi, 1819	Viscera	Beveridge and Campbell (1996)	VIC, SA ^C	AHC 45424
Order: Tetracystida Carus, 1863				
Type 1	Intestine, caeca	A	SA ^C	AHC 29777–78
Type 3	Caeca	A	SA ^C	AHC 29779
Tentaculariidae <i>Nybelinia thyrsites</i> Korotaeva, 1971	Stomach	Beveridge and Campbell (1996)	SA, SA ^C	AHC 29780 AHC 45425
Digenea				
Acanthocolpidae				
<i>Monostephanostomum georgianum</i> Kruse, 1979	Digestive tract	A	SA ^C	AHC 29773–74
<i>Monostephanostomum manteri</i> Kruse, 1979	Digestive tract	A	SA ^C	AHC 29912–14
Bucephalidae				
<i>Telorhynchus arripidis</i> Crowcroft, 1947	Digestive tract	A	SA ^C	AHC 29775 AHC 29791
Hemiuridae				
<i>Erilepturus tiegsi</i> Woolcock, 1935	Digestive tract	A	SA ^C	AHC 29770–72 AHC 29790
<i>Elytrophalloides humerus</i> Bray, 1990	Stomach	A	SA ^C	AHC 29792
<i>Elytrophallus</i> sp. Manter, 1940	Gills—artefact	A	SA ^C	AHC 29793

Lepocreadiidae <i>Opechona kahawai</i> Bray and Cribb, 2003	Intestine, caeca	Bray and Cribb (2003a)	TAS, SA ^C	AHC 29776
Monogenea				
Microcotylidae <i>Kahawaia truttae</i> Lebedev, 1969	Gills	A	SA ^C	AHC 29767–69, 29882, 29885–86 BMNH 2009.12.28.3–4 USNPC 102676.00– 102677.00
Nematoda				
Anisakidae <i>Contraecaecum</i> sp.	Stomach, caeca	A	SA ^C	AHC 45428–29
<i>Hysterothylacium</i> sp.	Digestive tract	A	SA ^C	AHC 45426–27
Philometridae <i>Philometra</i> sp.	Stomach	A	SA ^C	AHC 45430
Branchiura				
Argulidae <i>Argulus diversicolor</i> Byrnes, 1985	Skin	Catalano and Hutson (2010)	SA ^C	C6823
Copepoda				
Caligidae <i>Caligus bonito</i> Wilson, 1905	Gills	Catalano and Hutson (2010)	SA ^C	C6819
<i>Caligus longipedis</i> Bassett-Smith, 1898	Gills	Catalano and Hutson (2010)	SA ^C	C6815–16
<i>Caligus punctatus</i> Shiino, 1955	Skin	Catalano and Hutson (2010)	SA ^{B, C}	C6817–18

Table 5. Metazoan parasite fauna from *Arripis trutta* collected in this study and presented in previous literature. ^A = new host record; ^B = new Australian record; ^C = ambiguous parasite-host record; ^D = from current study. Abbreviations: GAB, Great Australian Bight; NA, not applicable; NSW, New South Wales; NZ, New Zealand; PR, previously recorded from the literature but not found in current study; SA, South Australia; TAS, Tasmania; TS, Tasman Sea; VIC, Victoria.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Myxozoa				
Ceratomyxidae				
<i>Ceratomyxa arripica</i>	Gall bladder	Su and White (1994)	TAS	PR
<i>Leptotheca annulata</i> Meglitsch, 1960	Gall bladder	Meglitsch (1960)	NZ	PR
<i>Leptotheca minima</i> Meglitsch, 1960	Gall bladder	Meglitsch (1960)	NZ	PR
Cestoda				
Lacistorhynchidae				
<i>Callitetrarhynchus gracilis</i> Rudolphi, 1819	NR	Beveridge and Campbell (1996)	VIC	PR
Order: Tetraphyllidea Carus, 1863				
Type 3	Intestine	^A	NSW ^D	AHC 29803
Tentaculariidae				
<i>Nybelinia</i> sp. larva Baker, 1971	Stomach	Baker (1971)	NZ	PR
Digenea				
Acanthocolpidae				
<i>Monostephanostomum georgianum</i> Kruse, 1979	Digestive tract	^A	SA ^D	AHC 29796–97
<i>Monostephanostomum manteri</i> Kruse, 1979	Intestine, caeca	Kruse (1979) ^C ; Bray and Cribb (2002)	SA ^C , TAS, SA ^D	AHC 29798
Bucephalidae				
<i>Prosorhynchus</i> sp. Manter, 1954	NR	Manter (1954)	NZ	PR
<i>Telorhynchoides longicollis</i> Lebedev, 1968	NR	Lebedev (1968) ^C	GAB ^C	PR

<i>Telorhynchus arripidis</i> Crowcroft, 1947	Digestive tract	Crowcroft (1948); Manter (1954); Lebedev (1968) ^C	TAS, NZ, GAB ^C , TS, NSW ^D	AHC 29800–01
<i>Telorhynchus kahawai</i> Lebedev, 1968	NR	Lebedev (1968) ^C	GAB ^C	PR
<i>Telorhynchus peacheyi</i> Lebedev, 1968	NR	Lebedev (1968) ^C	GAB ^C , TS	PR
Hemiuridae				
<i>Eriplepturus tiegsi</i> Woolcock, 1935	Stomach, caeca	Yamaguti (1958)	VIC, SA ^D	AHC 29794–95
<i>Hemiurus (Anahemiurus)</i> sp. Manter, 1947	Digestive tract	Baker (1971)	NZ	PR
<i>Parahemiurus arripidis</i> Lebedev, 1971	NR	Bray and Cribb (2002)	NZ	PR
<i>Parahemiurus</i> sp. Lebedev, 1971	NR	Lebedev (1971)	NZ	PR
Lepocreadiidae				
<i>Opechona kahawai</i> Bray & Cribb, 2003	Caeca	Bray and Cribb (2003a)	TAS, NSW ^D	AHC 29802
Opecoelidae				
<i>Pseudopecoeloides arripi</i> Aken'Ova <i>et al.</i> , 2009	Stomach	A	NSW ^D	AHC 29799
Syncoliidae				
<i>Syncoelium filiferum</i> Sars, 1885	Gills	Rohde <i>et al.</i> (1980)	NZ	PR
Monogenea				
Microcotylidae				
<i>Kahawaia truttae</i> Lebedev, 1969	Gills	Dillon and Hargis (1965); Lebedev (1969) ^C	NZ, GAB ^C , SA ^D , NSW ^D	AHC 29781–82
Nematoda				
Anisakidae				
<i>Anisakis</i> sp. larva	Viscera	Hewitt and Hine (1972)	NZ	PR
<i>Contraecaecum aduncum</i> Rudolphi, 1802	Digestive tract	Baker (1971)	NZ	PR

<i>Contracecum</i> sp.	Stomach, intestine, body cavity	Hewitt and Hine (1972)	NZ	PR
<i>Hysterothylacium</i> sp.	Digestive tract	^A	NSW ^D	AHC 45431
Annelida				
Pisciolidae				
<i>Austrobdella translucens</i> Badham, 1916	Tail fin	Bolton <i>et al.</i> (2005) ^C	SA ^C	PR
Copepoda				
Caligidae				
<i>Caligus bonito</i> Wilson, 1905	Gills	Catalano and Hutson (2010)	NSW ^D	C6821
<i>Caligus kahawai</i> Jones, 1988	Body surface	Jones (1988)	NZ	PR
<i>Caligus pelamydis</i> Hewitt, 1963	Gills	Jones (1988)	NZ, NSW ^{B, D}	C6822
<i>Caligus punctatus</i> Shiino, 1955	Gills	Catalano and Hutson (2010)	NSW ^{B, D}	C6820
Chondracanthidae				
<i>Chondracanthus australis</i> Ho, 1991	Gills	Ho (1991)	NZ	PR
Ergasilidae				
<i>Abergasilus amplexus</i> Hewitt, 1978	Gills	Hewitt (1978)	NZ	PR
Isopoda				
Cymothoidae				
<i>Codonophilus imbricatus</i> Fabricius, 1787	Tongue	Baker (1971)	NZ	PR
<i>Nerocila orbigny</i> Guerin,	Body	Hewitt and Hine (1972)	NZ	PR

Carangidae

Seriola lalandi

Seriola hippos

Several *Seriola* spp. have been cultured either commercially or experimentally in the Mediterranean, Korea, Australia, United States and Japan. They are ideally suited to aquaculture as they display high growth performance, are hardy, and have a highly regarded flesh, which in Japan is generally consumed as sushi or sashimi (Rowland 2009). Nonetheless, disease management is an important component of *Seriola* spp. aquaculture as cultured *Seriola* spp. are afflicted by a range of viral, bacterial, fungal and parasitic disease agents, the majority of which have caused significant mortalities and require substantial monetary input to control (Sharp *et al.* 2003).

Nine species of *Seriola* occur worldwide, with four species, namely *S. lalandi*, *S. hippos*, *S. dumerili* and *S. rivoliana*, occurring in Australian waters. The former two are discussed below, whereas the latter two *Seriola* spp., along with *S. quinquerediata* (economically important in Japan and Korea), are discussed briefly later in this section.

Yellowtail kingfish, *S. lalandi*, are distributed in waters of the Pacific and Indian Oceans off South Africa, Japan, southern Australia, New Zealand, Canada and the United States of America (Froese and Pauly 2005). In Australia, *S. lalandi* is a popular recreational species which inhabits southern coastal waters from Queensland to Western Australia and northern Tasmania (Hutchins and Swainston 1986). The primary wild-caught commercial fishery is in New South Wales (NSW) and produces about 200 t per year (Anon. 2005). This species is also farmed in sea-cages in Spencer Gulf, South Australia and currently produces about 1,200 t per annum with a value of around \$13 million. Production is expected to increase to 10,000 t by 2012 (Rowland 2009).

Samson fish, *S. hippos*, are distributed in the temperate coastal waters of southern Australia and New Zealand. They are not considered a major commercial or recreational target species due to their poor reputation as a food fish. However, *S. hippos* are recognised for their game fish attributes and targeted by many sportfishers (Rowland 2009).

The natural occurrence of *S. lalandi* and *S. hippos* near locations where *S. lalandi* are farmed in South Australia provides an opportunity for transfer of parasites from wild to farmed populations. Presently, only two parasite species require management in the South Australian *S. lalandi* industry, the monogeneans *Benedenia seriolae* and *Zeuxapta seriolae*. A parasite risk assessment has been provided previously for *S. lalandi* sea-cage aquaculture in South Australia (Hutson *et al.* 2007b).

Up to 40 other metazoan parasite species can infect wild *S. lalandi* in southern and eastern Australian waters (Table 6) and *S. hippos* share at least seven of these species (Table 7). A new aporocotylid blood fluke was found to infect *S. lalandi* and *S. hippos* and is described in Chapter 5.

Table 6. Metazoan parasite fauna of wild and farmed *Seriola lalandi* (yellowtail kingfish) collected in this study and presented in previous records from Australasia. Abbreviations: EC, East Coast; NZ, New Zealand; PR, previously recorded from the literature but not found in current study; SA, South Australia; SC, South Coast; SG, Spencer Gulf; VIC, Victoria; *Farmed fish (-, not our study).

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Myxozoa				
Ceratomyxidae				
<i>Ceratomyxa buri</i> Yokoyama, 2001	Gall-bladder	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)*	Vic, SG	AHC 34260
<i>Ceratomyxa seriolae</i> Yokoyama, 2001	Gall-bladder	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)*	Vic, SG	AHC 34259
Kudoidae				
<i>Kudoa</i> sp.	Muscle	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	EC	PR
Sphaerosporidae				
<i>Unicapsula seriolae</i> Lester, 1982	Muscle	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	EC	PR
Cestoda				
Lacistorhynchidae				
<i>Callitetrarhynchus gracilis</i> Rudolphi, 1819	Body cavity	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)*	SG	AHC 29179
Order: Tetrphyllidea Carus, 1863				
Type 1	Stomach	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	EC, SG	AHC 29161
Type 4	Digestive tract	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)*	EC, Vic, SG	AHC 29162–65
Tentaculariidae				
<i>Nybelinia thyrsites</i> Korotaeva, 1971	Intestine	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007a)	EC	AHC 29134
Digenea				
Acanthocolpidae				
<i>Stephanostomum petimba</i> Yamaguti, 1970	Digestive tract	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	EC, SC, SG	AHC 29146
<i>Tormopsolus orientalis</i> Yamaguti, 1934	Stomach, intestine	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)*	EC, Vic, SG	AHC 29143–44
Bucephalidae				

<i>Bucephalus gorgon</i> (Linton, 1905) Eckmann, 1932	Digestive tract	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)*	EC, SC, SG	AHC 29148
<i>Rhipidocotyle longicirrus</i> Diesing, 1858	Digestive tract	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)*	EC, SG	AHC 29150–51
<i>Telorhynchus</i> sp.	Digestive tract	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007a)	Vic	AHC 29121–22
Didymozoidae Undetermined species	Viscera	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007a)	EC, Vic	AHC 29123 & 34174
Hemiuridae				
<i>Dinurus longisinus</i> Looss, 1907	Stomach	Bray <i>et al.</i> (1993b)	EC	PR
<i>Ectenurus trachuri</i> Yamaguti, 1934	Stomach	Bray <i>et al.</i> (1993b)	EC	PR
<i>Elytrophalloides humerus</i> Bray, 1990	Stomach	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)*	SG	AHC 29155
<i>Elytrophalloides oatesi</i> (Leiper & Atkinson, 1914) Szidat & Graefe, 1967	Stomach	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007a)	Vic	AHC 29125
<i>Elytrophallus</i> sp.	Stomach	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)*	EC, Vic, SG	AHC 29153
<i>Hirudinella</i> sp.	Stomach	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007a)	Vic	AHC34176
Lecithasteridae				
<i>Aponurus laguncula</i> Looss, 1907	Stomach	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007a)	Vic	AHC 29130–31
<i>Lecithaster stellatus</i> Looss, 1907	Stomach	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	EC	Bray <i>et al.</i> (1993a)
<i>Lecithocladium</i> sp.	Stomach	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007a)	EC	AHC 29127
<i>Parahemiurus merus</i> Linton, 1910	Stomach	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)*	Vic, SG	AHC 29156–59
Lepocreadidae				
<i>Opechona kahawai</i> Bray & Cribb, 2003	Stomach	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007a)	Vic	AHC 29132–33

<i>Pleurus digitatus</i> Looss, 1899	Stomach	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007a)	EC	AHC29129
Aporocotylidae				
<i>Paradeontacylix godfreyi</i> Hutson & Whittington, 2006	Heart	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	SG, Vic	AHC 28904–08
<i>Paradeontacylix sanguinicoloides</i> McIntosh, 1934	Gills	Bullard and Overstreet (2004)	Miami, Florida	PR
	Heart	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	EC	AHC28909
<i>Paradeontacylix</i> n. sp.	Heart	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	Vic	AHC 28911
Monogenea				
Capsalidae				
<i>Benedenia seriolae</i> Yamaguti, 1934	Skin Skin	Sharp <i>et al.</i> (2003) Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)*	NZ EC, Vic, SG	AHC 29180
Heteraxinidae				
<i>Paramicrocotyloides reticularis</i> Rohde, 1978	Gills	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	EC	AHC 29105–06
<i>Zeuxapta seriolae</i> (Meserve, 1938) Price, 1962	Gills	Sharp <i>et al.</i> (2003)	NZ	AHC 29182
	Gills	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)*	EC, Vic, SG	
Nematoda				
Anisakidae				
<i>Anisakis</i> sp.	Stomach, caeca	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	SG	AHC 34261
<i>Contracaecum</i> sp.	Caeca	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007a)	EC	AHC 34184
<i>Hysterothylacium</i> sp.	Stomach, caeca	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	EC, SG	AHC 34264 & 34266
<i>Pseudoterranova</i> sp.	Intestine	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007a)	EC	AHC 34178
Spiruridae				
<i>Rhabdochona</i> sp.	Stomach	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007a)	Vic	AHC 34191
Acanthocephala				
Rhadinorhynchidae				

<i>Australorhynchus tetramorphacanthus</i> Lebedev, 1967	Intestine	Lebedev (1967)	EC, SA	PR
<i>Rhadinorhynchus</i> sp. 1	Intestine	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007a)	EC	AHC 29141–42
<i>Rhadinorhynchus</i> sp. 2	Intestine	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007a)	Vic	AHC 34177
Copepoda				
Bomolochidae				
<i>Naricolax chrysophryenus</i> Roubal, Armitage & Rohde, 1983	Nasal cavity	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)*	EC, SG	C6284–98
Caligidae				
<i>Caligus aesopus</i> Wilson, 1920	Gills	Sharp <i>et al.</i> (2003)	NZ	PR
<i>Caligus amblygenitalis</i> Pillai, 1961	Cavities	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007a)	EC	C6311
<i>Caligus chiasmatus</i> Lin & Ho, 2003	Gills	Hayward <i>et al.</i> (2009a)*	SA	PR
<i>Caligus epidemicus</i> Hewitt, 1971	Body surface	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	EC, SG	C6313
<i>Caligus lalandei</i> Barnard, 1948	Skin Body surface	Sharp <i>et al.</i> (2003) Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007a)	NZ EC, Vic	PR C6228–29
<i>Caligus spinosus</i> Yamaguti, 1939	Gill arch	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007a)	EC, Vic	C6230–31
<i>Caligus</i> sp. 1	Nd	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)*	EC, Vic, SA, SG	C6232 & C6312
Dissonidae				
<i>Dissonus hoi</i> Tang & Kalman, 2005	Nasal cavity	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	EC, SG	C6317
Lernanthropidae				
<i>Lernanthropus paenulatus</i> Wilson, 1922	Gills	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	EC, Vic, SG	C6239 & C6309
<i>Lernanthropus</i> sp.	Gills	Sharp <i>et al.</i> (2003)	NZ	PR
Lernaeopodidae				
<i>Neobrachiella</i> sp.	Gills	Sharp <i>et al.</i> (2003)	NZ	PR
<i>Parabrachiella seriolae</i> Yamaguti	Buccal folds	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	EC, Vic, SG	C6321

& Yamasu, 1960

Parabrachiella sp.

Gills

Hutson *et al.* (2007a)

EC

C6238

Pennellidae

Peniculus sp.

Body surface

Hutson *et al.* (2007a)

EC, Vic

C6233–34

Table 7. Metazoan parasite fauna of *Seriola hippos* (Samson fish) collected in this study and presented in previous records from Australasia. Abbreviations: EC, East Coast; PR, previously recorded from the literature but not found in current study; SA, South Australia; SC, South Coast; SG, Spencer Gulf; WC, West Coast; (-, not our study).

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Digenea				
Acanthocolpidae				
<i>Stephanostomum petimba</i> Yamaguti, 1970	Caeca	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	EC, SC, SG	AHC 29147
<i>Tormopsolus attenuatus</i> Bray & Cribb, 2001	Caeca	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	SA	AHC 29145
Bucephalidae				
<i>Bucephalus gorgon</i> (Linton, 1905) Eckmann, 1932	Caeca	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	SA	AHC 29149
Hemiuridae				
<i>Erilepturus hamati</i> (Yamaguti, 1934) Manter, 1947	Stomach	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	SA	AHC 29152
<i>Elytrophallus</i> sp.	Stomach	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	SA	AHC 29154
Lecithasteridae				
<i>Parahemiurus merus</i> Linton, 1910	Stomach	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	SA	AHC 29160
Aporocotylidae				
<i>Paradeontacylix sanguinicoloides</i> McIntosh, 1934	Heart	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	SA	AHC 28910
<i>Paradeontacylix</i> sp.	Heart	Chapter 5	SA	AHC 28912
Monogenea				
Capsalidae				
<i>Benedenia seriolae</i> Yamaguti, 1934	Skin	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	SA	AHC 29181
Heteraxinidae				

<i>Zeuxapta seriolae</i> (Meserve, 1938) Price, 1962	Gills	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	EC	-
Nematoda				
Anisakidae				
<i>Anisakis</i> sp.	Stomach	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	SA	AHC 34262
<i>Contracaecum</i> sp.	Stomach	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	SA	AHC 34263
<i>Hysterothylacium</i> sp.	Stomach	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	SA	AHC 34265
Copepoda				
Caligidae				
<i>Caligus lalandei</i> Barnard, 1948	Body surface	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	SA	C6314
<i>Caligus</i> sp. 1	Nd	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	SA	C6315
<i>Caligus</i> sp. 2	Gill arches	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	SA	C6316
<i>Lepeophtheirus</i> sp.	Nd	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	SA	C6318
<i>Parapetalus spinosus</i> Byrnes, 1986	Gills	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	SA, WC	C6320
Dissonidae				
<i>Dissonus hoi</i> Tang & Kalman, 2005	Nasal cavity	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	WC	-
Lernanthropidae				
<i>Lernanthropus paenulatus</i> Wilson, 1922	Gills	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	SA	C6319
Laernaeopodidae				
<i>Parabrachiella seriolae</i> Yamaguti & Yamasu, 1960	Buccal, fin sulcus	Hutson <i>et al.</i> (2007b)	SA	C6322

Coryphaenidae

Coryphaena hippurus

Coryphaena hippurus is a large pelagic species that has a worldwide distribution in tropical and subtropical waters (Adams 2009). It is commonly referred to as dolphinfish or mahi mahi. In Australian waters, dolphinfish are found in Western Australia, Northern Territory and from Queensland to Montague Island in New South Wales (NSWDPI 2007). This species is limited in habitat by sea surface temperatures of 19–20°C and extensions of distribution occur with seasonal variations in water temperature (NSWDPI 2007).

Dolphinfish are captured by commercial and recreational fishers in waters around Australia and New Zealand (Kingsford and Defries 1999). Dolphinfish have several features which make them a suitable candidate for farming, including high fecundity and continuous spawning, exceptional growth rate, efficient food conversion and a high market price (Langdon 1991a; Kingsford and Defries 1999). This species has been trialled for culture in Western Australia (Kingsford and Defries 1999). However, before this candidate aquaculture species is farmed successfully, it will be crucial to learn to diagnose and control parasitic infections that can lead to disease and mortality, given the wide suite of parasite species that infect this host species.

Numerous studies have investigated the parasite assemblage of this top-level predator (e.g. Langdon 1991a; Dyer *et al.* 1997; Carbonell *et al.* 1999; Williams and Bunkley-Williams 2010), and subsequently, a diversity of parasite species are known from this host species (Table 8). We examined 35 *C. hippurus* and identified seven parasite species (Table 8). Of note was a new host record for *Capsala laevis* (Verrill, 1875) which is well known to infect marlin (*Tetrapterus audax*). Parasite specimens found were juveniles and identification was not possible based on morphology but identification was achieved using molecular techniques and sequence data were compared with data generated for adult *C. laevis* from *T. audax* off Port Stephens, NSW (Perkins *et al.* 2009).

Table 8. Metazoan parasite fauna of wild and farmed dolphin fish *Coryphaena hippurus* collected in this study and presented in previous records from Australasia. Abbreviations: Aus, Australia; CI, Canary Islands; EA, Eastern Australia; EP, Eastern Pacific; GM, Gulf of Mexico; IO, Indian Ocean; KC, Kerala Coast; Med, Mediterranean; N/g, Not given; NSW, New South Wales; PNG, Papua New Guinea; PO, Pacific Ocean, PR, Puerto Rico; SA, South Australia; USA, United States of America; WA, Western Australia; *Farmed fish (-, not our study); ? = questionable record.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Myxozoa				
Kudoidae				
<i>Kudoa thyrsites</i> Gilchrist, 1924	Muscle	Langdon (1991b)*	WA	
	Muscle	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	EA	
<i>Kudoa</i> sp.	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	N/g	
Myxidiidae				
<i>Myxidium</i> sp.	Kidney	Langdon (1991a)	Exmouth & Esperance regions	
Cestoda				
Bothriocephalidae				
<i>Bothriocephalus janickii</i> Markowski, 1971	N/g	Dyer <i>et al.</i> (1997)	Southern Sea, Bay of Bengal	
Echinophallidae				
<i>Echinophallus lonchinobothrium</i> Monticelli, 1890	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	Med	
Eucestoda incertae sedis				
<i>Plerocercoides lonchophorus</i> Guiart, 1935	Uncertain record	Unc record (W&BW 1996)	Uncertain record	
Lacistorhynchidae				
<i>Callitetrarhynchus gracilis</i> Rudolphi, 1819	Walls of stomach and intestine, viscera	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	PR, USA	
<i>Tetrarhynchus</i> sp.	Uncertain record	Unc record (W&BW 1996)	Uncertain record	

Lacystorhynchidae <i>Floriceps saccatus</i> Cuvier, 1817	Abdominal cavity	Carbonell <i>et al.</i> (1998)	Western Med, CI, Eastern Atlantic	
Order Tetrphyllidea <i>Scolex pleuronectis</i> Müller, 1787	Intestine	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	PR	
Order Trypanorhyncha Trypanorhyncha sp.	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	EP	
Otobothriidae <i>Otobothrium cysticum</i> Mayer, 1842	Stomach wall, viscera	Williams & Bunkley-Williams (1996) [as <i>O. crenacolle</i>]	Bermuda, USA	
<i>Otobothrium dipsacum</i> Linton, 1897	Body cavity, intestine	Williams & Bunkley-Williams (1996)	PR	
Phyllobothriidae <i>Pelichnibothrium speciosum</i> Monticelli, 1889	Stomach, outer layer of intestine and viscera	Williams & Bunkley-Williams (1996)	PR	
<i>Rhinebothrium flexile</i> Linton, 1890	Intestine, liver, viscera	Williams & Bunkley-Williams (1996)	PR	
Pterobothriidae <i>Pterobothrium acanthotruncatum</i> Escalante & Carvajal, 1984	Viscera	Williams & Bunkley-Williams (1996)	Peru	
<i>Pterobothrium heteracanthum</i> Diesing, 1850	N/g	Williams (2010)	N/g	
Sphyriocephalidae <i>Dibothriorhynchus claviger</i>	Uncertain records	Uncertain records	Eastern Atlantic	
<i>Hepatoxylon megacephalum</i>	viscera	present study	SA	AHC 45794

Rudolphi 1819				
<i>Hepatoxylon stenocephala</i> Bosc, 1811	N/g	N/g		Eastern Atlantic
<i>Hepatoxylon trichiura</i> Holten, 1802 Dollfus, 1942	Stomach, viscera, body cavity, intestinal tract	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)		PR, USA, Brazil
Tentaculariidae				
<i>Nybelinia bisulcata</i> (Linton, 1889) Dollfus, 1929	Stomach wall, body cavity	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)		PR, USA
<i>Nybelinia lamontae</i> Nigrelli 1938	Mesenteries	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)		PR
<i>Nybelinia scoliodoni</i> Vijayalakshmi, Vijayalakshmi & Gangadharam, 1996	Stomach wall	Palm and Overstreet (2000)		Coastal waters off Ocean Springs, Mississippi, GM
<i>Nybelinia</i> sp.	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)		EP, IO
<i>Tentacularia coryphaenae</i> Bosc, 1797	Body cavity, stomach, intestine Viscera	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996) Dyer (1997)		USA, La Parguera PR
Trypanorhyncha incertae sedis				
<i>Tetrarhynchus discophorus</i> Rudolphi, 1819	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)		Med
<i>Tetrarhynchus macrobothrius</i> Rudolphi, 1819	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)		Med
<i>Tetrarhynchus papillosus</i> Rudolphi, 1809	Uncertain record	Unc record (W&BW 96/2010)		Uncertain record

<i>Tetrarhynchus</i> sp.	Uncertain record	Unc record (W&BW 96/2010)	Uncertain record
Tetrarhynchid	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	PR
Families to be identified			
<i>Plicocestus janickii</i>	Uncertain record	Unc record (W&BW 96/2010)	Uncertain record
Plerocercoids of a trypanorhynch tapeworm	Stomach, intestine	Langdon (1991a)	Florida, USA
Digenea			
Acanthocolpidae			
<i>Stephanostomum coryphaenae</i> Manter, 1947	Stomach, intestine, rectum Stomach, intestine	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996) Dyer <i>et al.</i> (1997)	Brazil, USA, Bimini, Curaçao PR
Accacoeliidae			
<i>Accacladium serpentulum</i> Odhner, 1928	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	EP
<i>Tetrochetus coryphaenae</i> Yamaguti, 1934	Intestine Stomach, intestine	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996) Dyer <i>et al.</i> (1997)	La Parguera, USA, Curaçao, Netherlands, Bahamas, Jamacia, Mexico, Panama, Japan PR
Bathycotylidae			
<i>Bathycotyle branchialis</i> Darr, 1902	Gills Gills	Burnett-Herkes (1974) Carbonell <i>et al.</i> (1999)	Straits of Florida, USA Med
<i>Bathycotyle coryphaenae</i> Yamaguti 1938	Gill filaments	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	USA, Japan, PR
Didymozoidae			
<i>Monilicaecum</i> sp.	Stomach contents	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	N/g
<i>Torticaecum</i> sp.	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-	PR

			Williams (2010)	
Hemiuridae				
<i>Dinurus barbatus</i> Cohn, 1903	Stomach, intestine Stomach Stomach	Dyer <i>et al.</i> (1997) Carbonell <i>et al.</i> (1999) Rekha and John (2004)	PR Med, CI KC, India	
<i>Dinurus breviductus</i> Looss, 1907	Stomach, intestine Stomach	Dyer <i>et al.</i> (1997) Carbonell <i>et al.</i> (1999)	PR Med, CI	
<i>Dinurus ivanosi</i> Rekha & John 2004	Stomach	Rekha & John (2004)	KC, India	
<i>Dinurus longisinus</i> Looss, 1907	Stomach Stomach Stomach Stomach	Bray (1990) Bray <i>et al.</i> (1993a) Carbonell <i>et al.</i> (1999) Rekha & John (2004)	Cape Province, WA PNG Med, CI KC, India	
<i>Dinurus scombri</i> Yamaguti, 1934	Stomach	Rekha & John (unpl)	IO	
<i>Dinurus tornatus</i> Rudolphi, 1819	Stomach, intestine Stomach Stomach Stomach	Rapaptopoulou and Lambertsen (1987) Carbonell <i>et al.</i> (1999) Rekha & John (2004) Williams and Bunkley- Williams (1996)	Florida, USA Med, CI KC, India PR, USA, Bahamas, Brazil, Pacific coast of Panama	
<i>Dinurus</i> sp.	N/g	Dyer <i>et al.</i> (1992)	La Parguera	
<i>Lecithochirium branchialis</i> Stunkard & Nigrelli, 1934	N/g	Williams and Bunkley- Williams (2010)	Med	
<i>Lecithocladium excisum</i> (Rudolphi, 1819) Liihe, 1901	Stomach	Carbonell <i>et al.</i> (1999)	Med	
Hirudinellidae				
<i>Hirudinella</i> sp.	Stomach	Carbonell <i>et al.</i> (1999)	Med	

<i>Hirudinella ventricosa</i> (Pallas, 1774) Baird, 1853	Stomach	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	USA	
	Stomach	Dyer <i>et al.</i> (1997)	PR	
Lepocreadiidae				
<i>Opechona bacillaris</i> (Molin, 1859) Looss, 1907	N/g	Bray and Gibson (1990)	North-east Atlantic	
Opecoelidae				
<i>Helicometrina nimia</i> Linton, 1910	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	EP	
<i>Opecoeloides furcatus</i> Bremser in Rudolphi, 1819	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	Med	
Monogenea				
Capsalidae				
<i>Benedenia hendorffii</i> (von Linstow, 1889) Odhner, 1905	Skin	Whittington <i>et al.</i> (2001b)	Chile	
<i>Benedenia seriolae</i> (Yamaguti, 1934) Meserve, 1938	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)*	Aus	
<i>Benedenia</i> sp.	Cornea, skin, gills	Langdon (1991) Whittington <i>et al.</i> (2001b)*	WA	
<i>Capsala laevis</i> (Verrill, 1875) Johnston, 1929	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	N/g	
<i>Neobenedenia melleni</i> (MacCallum, 1927) Yamaguti, 1963	Skin N/g	present study Colorni (1994)*	NSW Red Sea	Not accessioned
Neothorcocotyliidae				
<i>Neothroacocotyle acanthocybii</i> (Meserve, 1938) Hargis, 1956	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	Pacific	

Udonellidae				
<i>Udonella caligorum</i> Johnston, 1835	N/g		Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	PR
Nematoda				
Anisakidae				
<i>Anisakis physeteris</i> Baylis, 1923	N/g		Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	EP
<i>Anisakis typica</i> Diesing, 1860	N/g		Mattiucci <i>et al.</i> (2002)	IO
	N/g		Palm <i>et al.</i> (2008)	Indonesia
<i>Anisakis</i> type I	N/g		Mattiucci <i>et al.</i> (2002)	IO
<i>Anisakis</i> type II	N/g		Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	PO
<i>Anisakis</i> sp.	N/g		Dyer <i>et al.</i> (1997)	Nagasaki
<i>Contracaecum</i> sp.	N/g		Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	Brazil
<i>Hysterothylacium marinum</i> Linnaeus, 1767	N/g		Dyer <i>et al.</i> (1997)	Aus
<i>Hysterothylacium pelagicum</i> Deardorff & Overstreet, 1982	Stomach, pyloric caeca and intestine		Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996) Dyer <i>et al.</i> (1997)	PR, USA, Hawaii PR
<i>Hysterothylacium sinense</i> Li, 2007	Intestine		Li <i>et al.</i> (2007)	Yellow Sea, China
<i>Hysterothylacium</i> type HA	N/g		Deardorff <i>et al.</i> (1982)	Hawaii, Pacific
<i>Hysterothylacium</i> type HB	N/g		Deardorff <i>et al.</i> (1982)	Hawaii, Pacific
<i>Hysterothylacium</i> type HC	N/g		Deardorff <i>et al.</i> (1982)	Hawaii, Pacific

<i>Hysterothylacium</i> sp.	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	Peru
<i>Terranova</i> type HA	Viscera	Deardorff <i>et al.</i> (1982)	Hawaii, Pacific
Ascarididae <i>Ascaris</i> sp.	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	Pacific
<i>Porrocaecum</i> sp.	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	Panama
Cystidicolidae <i>Cystidicola lepturum</i> Rudolphi, 1819	?	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	Med
<i>Metabronema magna</i> Taylor, 1925	Pyloric caeca, pancreatic tissue	Carbonell <i>et al.</i> (1999)	Med
Dracunculidae <i>Philometroides</i> sp.	Abdominal cavity	Carbonell <i>et al.</i> (1999)	Med
Philometridae <i>Philometra</i> sp.	?	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	Florida, USA
Trichosomoididae <i>Huffmanella schouteni</i> Campbell, 1991	Stomach contents	Moravec and Garibaldi (2003)	Western Ligurian Sea
Acanthocephala			
Gen. et. sp. indet.	KSH	present study	SA
Polymorphidae <i>Corynosoma obtuscens</i> Lincicome, 1943	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	EP
<i>Corynosoma</i> sp.	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	EP

Rhadinorhynchidae				
<i>Nipporhynchus katsuwonis</i> (Harada, 1928) Chandler 1934	N/g		Dyer <i>et al.</i> 1997	Izu, Kanagawa Prefecture
<i>Rhadinorhynchus dujardini</i> Golvan, 1969	N/g		Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	Pacific
<i>Rhadinorhynchus pristis</i> Rudolphi, 1802	Stomach, intestine		Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	La Parguera, Curaçao
	Stomach, pyloric caecal wall, pancreatic tissue		Carbonell <i>et al.</i> (1999)	Med, Atlantic Ocean
<i>Serrasentis sagittifer</i> (Linton, 1889) Van Cleave, 1923				N/g
	Intestine and pyloric caeca; body cavity		Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	
Copepoda				
Caligidae				
<i>Caligus balistae</i> Steenstrup and Lütken, 1861	Fins, body		Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	PR, USA
<i>Caligus belones</i> Krøyer, 1863	Gills		Burnett-Herkes (1974)	USA
<i>Caligus bonito</i> Wilson, 1905	Roof of mouth, gill filaments		Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	Atlantic
	Gills mucus mass, inner surface of operculum		Carbonell <i>et al.</i> (1999)	Med
	Gills, operculum		present study	NSW
<i>Caligus coryphaenae</i> Steenstrup & Lutken, 1861	Gills, body surface		Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	PR
	Gills mucus mass, inner surface of operculum		Carbonell <i>et al.</i> (1999)	Med
	Dorsal fin		present study	NSW
<i>Caligus curtus</i> Müller, 1785	N/g ?		Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	Brazil

<i>Caligus patulus</i> Wilson, 1937	Gills	Burnett-Herkes (1974)	USA	
<i>Caligus productus</i> Müller, 1785	Gills, mouth	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	PR, USA	
	Gills mucus mass, inner surface of operculum	Carbonell <i>et al.</i> (1999)	Med	
	Gills	present study	NSW	SAM C6906–7
<i>Caligus quadratus</i> Shiino, 1957	Operculum, mouth, body, gills	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	USA	
	Gills mucus mass, inner surface of operculum	Carbonell <i>et al.</i> (1999)	Med	
	Gills, gill washing, operculum	present study	NSW	SAM C6909–14
<i>Caligus wilsoni</i> Müller, 1785	Body	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	USA	
<i>Dysgamus</i> sp.	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	PR	
Euryphoridae				
<i>Euryphorus brachypterus</i> Gerstaecker, 1853	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	Pacific	
<i>Euryphorus nordmanni</i> Milne-Edwards, 1840	Inner surface of operculum, gill chamber, mouth	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	PR	
<i>Euryphorus nymphae</i> Steenstrup & Lutken, 1861	Gills mucus mass, inner surface of operculum	Carbonell <i>et al.</i> (1999)	Atlantic	
Lernaeopodidae				
<i>Brachiella thynni</i> Cuvier, 1830	Pectoral fin	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	PR	

<i>Charopinopsis quaternia</i> Wilson, 1935	Gill filaments	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	PR, USA	
	Gills	present study	NSW	SAM C6921–22
<i>Lernaeenicus hemiramphi</i> Kirtisinghe 2009	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	Indo Pacific	
<i>Lernaeenicus longiventris</i> Wilson, 1917	Head embedded in hosts body	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	USA, northwest Atlantic, west coast of Africa	
<i>Lernaeenicus</i> sp.	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	Pacific	
<i>Neobrachiella coryphaenidae</i> Pearse, 1952	Gill filaments	Carbonell <i>et al.</i> (1999)	Med	
<i>Neobrachiella</i> sp.	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	Pacific	
Pennellidae				
<i>Peniculus fistula</i> von Nordmann, 1832	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	Med	
<i>Pennella filosa</i> Linnaeus, 1758	Dorsal & anal fins, dorsolateral muscular tissue, abdominal cavity	Carbonell <i>et al.</i> (1999)	Med	
<i>Pennella varians</i> Steenstrup & Lutken, 1861	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	Med	
<i>Pennella</i> sp. 1	Skin, fins	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	PR	
<i>Pennella</i> sp. 2	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	Pacific	

<i>Sarcotretes nordicornis</i> Steenstrup & Lutken, 1861	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	N/g
Pseudocycnidae <i>Pseudocycnus appendiculatus</i> Heller, 1865	Gill filaments	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	PR, Atlantic
Amphipoda			
Family to be identified <i>Dithyrus faba</i> Murphy, 1914	Stomach contents	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	N/g
Isopoda			
Cymothoidae <i>Anilocra physodes</i> Linnaeus, 1758	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	Med
<i>Cymothoa eremita</i> Brünnich, 1783	N/g ?	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	IO
<i>Glossobius auritus</i> Bovallius, 1885	Stomach contents	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	Western Atlantic
<i>Glossobius impressa</i> Say, 1818	Stomach contents	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	Western Atlantic
<i>Livoneca ovalis</i> Say, 1818	Stomach contents	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	USA
<i>Nerocila excisa</i> Richardson, 1914	Stomach contents	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	Western Atlantic
<i>Noriletica indica</i> Milne-Edwards, 1840	Stomach contents	Yamauchi <i>et al.</i> (2005)	Philippines
Idoteidae			

<i>Idotea metallica</i> Murphy, 1914	Stomach contents	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (2010)	N/g
Other Gnathid isopod	Skin	Langdon (1991a)*	Albany

Hemiramphidae

Hyporhamphus melanochir

The southern garfish *Hyporhamphus melanochir*, a schooling fish that generally concentrate in sheltered bays, shallow inshore waters and estuaries, is endemic to temperate southern Australian waters (Gomon *et al.* 2008). The species is commercially harvested throughout its distribution, with the national commercial catch dominated by those taken in the coastal waters of SA (Steer *et al.* 2010). Catches in SA typically exceed 300 t per annum and is worth an estimated AUD\$3 million per year (Knight *et al.* 2007). Recent assessment of the South Australian garfish fishery suggests that it is currently overexploited, with considerable age truncation and declining catches (Fowler *et al.* 2008; Steer *et al.* 2009).

Considering the economic importance of this species, it is surprising that the parasite assemblage has not been previously documented. We record 14 parasite species from this host species in SA. Fish were examined from nine sites in three regions (Spencer Gulf, Gulf St Vincent and northern Kangaroo Island) in South Australia to investigate spatial variation in parasite abundance (Chapter 3).

Table 9. Metazoan parasite fauna of southern Australian garfish *Hyporhamphus melanochir* examined in this study and presented in previous literature. Under infection parameters prevalence is expressed as a percentage (%) followed in parentheses by the number of fish infected and the total number of fish examined; mean intensity is follows in parentheses by maximum intensity. ^A = new host record; ^B = from current study. Abbreviations: NZ, New Zealand, SA, South Australia, WA, Western Australia.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Cestoda				
Order: Tetraphyllidea Carus, 1863				
Type 1	Intestinal tract	present study ^A	SA	AHC 29819
Type 2	Intestinal tract	present study ^A	SA	AHC 29820
Type 3	Intestinal tract	present study ^A	SA	AHC 29821
Digenea				
Acanthocolpidae				
Acanthocolpid metacercaria	Gills	present study ^A	SA	AHC 29815–16
Bivesiculidae				
Bivesiculid type	Intestinal tract	present study ^A	SA	AHC 29814
<i>Conohelmins</i> sp.	Intestinal tract	present study ^A	SA	AHC 29812–13
Opecoelidae				
Opecoelid type	Intestinal tract	present study ^A	SA	AHC 29817–18
Monogenea				
Axinidae				
<i>Axine</i> sp.	Gills	present study ^A	SA	AHC 29810–11

Nematoda

Anisakidae

Hysterothylacium sp.

Intestinal tract

present study^A

SA

AHC 45439

Philometridae

Philometra sp.

Body cavity

present study^A

SA

AHC 45440

Acanthocephala

Micracanthorhynchinidae

Micracanthorhynchina hemiramphi
(Baylis 1944) Ward 1951

Stomach

Baylis (1944)

NZ

PR

Intestinal tract

present study

SA

AHC 45438

Copepoda

Bomolochidae

Bomolochus bellones Burmeister, 1833Operculum
GillsCollette (1974)
present study

SA

PR
C6843

Penneildae

Lernaeenicus hemiramphi Kirtisinghe, 1932

Eye

Heegaard, (1962)

PR

Lernaeenicus sayori YamagutiFlesh
FleshCollette (1974)
present studyWA, SA
SAPR
C6844**Isopoda**

Cymothoidae

Mothocya halei Bruce 1986Branchial cavity
Branchial cavity, mouthBruce (1986)
present studySA
SAPR
C6842

Latidae

Lates calcarifer

Barramundi, *Lates calcarifer*, is a large carnivorous fish found in tropical regions of Australia and throughout the Indo-West Pacific (Russell and Garrett 1985). In Australia, its natural distribution extends from the Ashburton River in Western Australia, throughout the Northern Territory, to the Maryborough River in Queensland. It is a highly opportunistic, fecund species that dominates many tropical rivers throughout its range because of a dynamic and flexible biology. The species supports substantial commercial and recreational fisheries in Australia and Asia and also a growing aquaculture industry (Keenan 1994).

Lates calcarifer was first bred in Australia in 1984 using intensive techniques developed in Asia. More recently, extensive larval rearing techniques in marine or brackish water ponds and greenwater culture have been used (Barlow *et al.* 1996). Problems have been encountered with disease, cannibalism and declining market prices. Highly intensive shorebased growout systems (freshwater or saltwater) combined with a year round supply of hatchery produced fish is currently practiced in South Australia and New South Wales. Total production was estimated at 450 t in 1995, valued at AUD\$ 4.5 million (Battaglione and Fielder 1997). Other barramundi aquaculture strategies include cage culture in open sea and estuaries, marine raceways and intensive production in closed recirculation systems.

We examined 26 farmed *L. calcarifer* collected from a farm in Hinchinbrook, Queensland (Table 2). A risk assessment for the parasites that infect this species is provided in Chapter 7.

Table 10. Metazoan parasite fauna of wild and farmed barramundi *Lates calcarifer* collected in this study and presented in previous records from Australasia. Abbreviations: N/g = Not given; NT, Northern Territory; Qld, Queensland; *Farmed fish.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Myxozoa				
Myxozoa gen et. sp. indet	Gall bladder	Rückert <i>et al.</i> (2008)*	Sumatra	PR
Cestoda				
Order Tetraphyllidea				
<i>Scolex pleuronectis</i> Müller, 1787	Intestine, stomach, pyloric caeca	Rückert <i>et al.</i> (2008)*	Sumatra	PR
Tentaculariidae				
<i>Nybelinia indica</i> Chandra 1986	Stomach, stomach wall	Rückert <i>et al.</i> (2008)*	Sumatra	PR
Digenea				
Bucephalidae				
<i>Prosorhynchus</i> sp.	Stomach	Rückert <i>et al.</i> (2008)*	Sumatra	PR
Hemiuridae				
<i>Eriopterurus hamati</i> (Yamaguti, 1934) Manter, 1947	Stomach	Bray <i>et al.</i> (1993)	NT	PR
Sanguinicolidae				
<i>Cruoricola lates</i> Herbert <i>et al.</i> , 1994	Blood vessels	Herbert <i>et al.</i> (1994)	Qld, Malaysia	PR
<i>Parasanguinicola vastispina</i> Herbert & Shaharom 1995	Branchial arteries, dorsal aorta, mesenteric venules and renal artery	Herbert and Shaharom-Harrison (1995)*	Malaysia	PR
Cryptogonimidae				
<i>Pseudometadena celebesensis</i> [^] Yamaguti, 1952	Intestine & pyloric caecae	Rückert <i>et al.</i> (2008)*	Sumatra	PR

Monogenea

Capsalidae

<i>Benedenia epinepheli</i> (Yamaguti, 1937) Meserve, 1938	Gills, body surface	Rückert <i>et al.</i> (2008)*	Sumatra	PR
Capsalid gen. et sp. Indet	Gills, body surface	Rückert <i>et al.</i> (2008)*	Sumatra	PR
<i>Neobenedenia</i> sp.1**	fins	present study*	Qld	AHC 35006–11
<i>Neobenedenia melleni</i> (MacCallum, 1927) Yamaguti, 1963**	Gills, body surface	Deveney <i>et al.</i> (2001)* Rückert <i>et al.</i> (2008)*	Qld Sumatra	PR PR
Diplectanidae				
<i>Diplectanum</i> sp.	Gills	Fletcher and Whittington (1998) present study	NT Qld	PR Not accessioned
<i>Diplectanum latesi</i> Tripathi 1957	Gills	Tingbao <i>et al.</i> (2006)	N/g	PR
<i>Diplectanum narimeen</i> Unnithan, 1964	Gills	Tingbao <i>et al.</i> (2006)	N/g	PR
<i>Diplectanum penangi</i> Laing & Leong, 1991	Gills	Tingbao <i>et al.</i> (2006)*	China*, Malaysia, Thailand	PR
<i>Diplectanum setosus</i> Nagibina, 1976	Gills	Tingbao <i>et al.</i> (2006)	N/g	PR
<i>Laticola lingaoensis</i> Tingbao <i>et al.</i> , 2006	Gills	Tingbao <i>et al.</i> (2006)*	China	PR
<i>Laticola latesi</i> Tripathi, 1957	Gills	Tingbao <i>et al.</i> (2006)*	China*, India, Malaysia, Thailand	PR
<i>Laticola paralatesi</i> Nagibina, 1976	Gills	Tingbao <i>et al.</i> (2006)*	China*, NT	PR
<i>Pseudorhabdosynochus epinepheli</i> Yamaguti 1938	Gills	Rückert <i>et al.</i> (2008)*	Sumatra	PR
<i>Pseudorhabdosynochus lantauensis</i> Beverley-Burton & Suriano, 1981	Gills	Rückert <i>et al.</i> (2008)*	Sumatra	PR

<i>Pseudorhabdosynochus monosquamodiscusi</i> Balasuriya & Leong, 1995	N/g	In: Tingbao <i>et al.</i> (2006)	N/g	PR
Nematoda				
Anisakidae				
<i>Hysterothylacium</i> sp.	Intestine, liver, mesenteries, pyloric caeca	Rückert <i>et al.</i> (2008)*	Sumatra	PR
<i>Raphidascaaris</i> sp.	Stomach wall	Rückert <i>et al.</i> (2008)*	Sumatra	PR
<i>Raphidascaaris</i> sp. 2	Intestine	Rückert <i>et al.</i> (2008)*	Sumatra	PR
<i>Terranova</i> sp.	Intestine, liver, mesenteries, pyloric caeca	Rückert <i>et al.</i> (2008)*	Sumatra	PR
Acanthocephala				
Rhadinorhynchidae				
<i>Serrasentis sagittifer</i> (Linton, 1889) Van Cleave, 1923	Mesenteries	Rückert <i>et al.</i> (2008)*	Sumatra	PR
Copepoda				
Caligidae				
<i>Caligus epidemicus</i> Hewitt, 1971	N/g	Johnson <i>et al.</i> (2004b)*	Thailand	PR
Lernanthropidae				
<i>Lernanthropus latis</i> Yamaguti, 1954	Gill filaments	Ho and Kim (2004a) present study	Gulf of Thailand, Celebes, India, Sri Lanka Qld	PR Not accessioned
Isopoda				
Cymothoidae				
<i>Cymothoa indica</i> Schioedte & Meinert, 1884	Body surface	Rajkumar (2005) *	India	PR

^Note incorrect spelling in Rückert *et al.* 2008 (*celebensis* instead of *celebesensis*); **Likely to be the same taxon, requires further study.

Rachycentridae

Rachycentron canadum

Rachycentron canadum, the only member of the Rachycentridae, is a commercially and recreationally important species. It is commonly known as cobia, and is found in the warm-temperate to tropical waters of the West and East Atlantic, throughout the Caribbean and in the Indo-Pacific off India, Australia and Japan (Benetti *et al.* 2010). Cobia are generally solitary fish that occasionally occur in small schools that are often associated with floating objects such as fish-attracting devices, buoys and logs, and fixed structures such as oil rigs, piers and jetties (Velde *et al.* 2010). In Australia, cobia are not commonly encountered in large numbers, and therefore not currently a target species in any state or Commonwealth fishery (Fry and Griffiths 2010). However, the large size, fighting ability and superb eating qualities have made this species an important sportfish. Because of its fast growth rates, reaching 6–10 kg in 12–14 months, superb flesh quality, adaptability to cage-culture and strong resistance to diseases, cobia is also an excellent candidate for aquaculture (Sun *et al.* 2006; Velde *et al.* 2010).

Early attempts to culture cobia in the United States (US) began in the 1970s using eggs collected from the wild. Research accelerated in the late 1990s and today there now exists a large body of knowledge on cobia culture in the US (Benetti *et al.* 2010). In Taiwan, cobia cage aquaculture was initiated in the early 1990s (Liao *et al.* 2004). By 1999, four commercial hatcheries were operating, producing around 3 million cobia juveniles annually (Benetti *et al.* 2010). Since then, cobia aquaculture production has been steadily expanding in Asia, primarily in Taiwan, Vietnam and China, but also in other Southeast and Indo-Pacific Asian countries including the Philippines, Indonesia, Iran and Reunion Island. Floating cages continue to be the preferred method of growout worldwide. Most recently, Australia and Marshall Island have begun developing hatchery and cage culture operations for cobia (Benetti *et al.* 2010).

Several parasite species are problematic in cobia culture (see Liao *et al.* 2004 for review). Only captive fishes were able to be sampled for this research (CSIRO Cleveland and James Cook University, Queensland; Table 2). *Neobenedenia* sp. 2 was detected in fish sampled from James Cook University (Table 11). Cobia were originally

sourced from Good Fortune Bay Ltd, Bowen, and it is not clear whether fish were infected prior or post transported to the aquarium facility at James Cook University.

Table 11. Metazoan parasite fauna of wild and farmed *Rachycentron canadum* (cobia) collected in this study and presented in previous records from Australasia. Abbreviations: Aus, Australia; GM, Gulf of Mexico; IO, Indian Ocean; Med, Mediterranean; N/g, Not given; PO, Pacific Ocean; PR, Puerto Rico; RS, Red Sea; SCS, South China Sea; Qld, Queensland; USA, United States of America; *Farmed fish.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Myxozoa				
Sphaerospora-like myxosporean	Blood, glomerulus, renal tubules and renal interstitium	Chen <i>et al.</i> (2001)*	Taiwan	PR
Cestoda				
Lacistorhynchidae				
<i>Callitetrarhynchus gracilis</i> Rudolphi, 1819	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	Med	PR
<i>Rhynchobothrium longispine</i> Linton, 1890	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	USA	PR
Phyllobothriidae				
<i>Rhinebothrium flexile</i> Linton, 1890	Encapsulated in intestine, liver and viscera	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	USA	PR
Tentaculariidae				
<i>Nybelinia bisulcata</i> (Linton, 1889) Dollfus, 1929	Stomach, body cavity, intestine	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	USA	PR
Unknown family				
Tetraphyllid	Intestine, stomach, pyloric ceca, bile duct and gall bladder	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	N/g	PR
Cestoda gen. sp.	N/g	Arthur and Te (2006)	Mekong River Delta, Gulf of Tonkin	PR

Digenea

Acanthocolpidae

<i>Stephanostomum cloacum</i> (Srivastava, 1938) Manter & Van Cleave, 1951	N/g	Williams and Bunkley- Williams (1996)	IO	PR
<i>Stephanostomum dentatum</i> (Linton, 1900) Manter, 1931	Intestine	Williams and Bunkley- Williams (1996)	USA	PR
<i>Stephanostomum imparispine</i> (Linton, 1905) Manter, 1940	Intestine	Williams and Bunkley- Williams (1996)	Atlantic coast of USA	PR
	Body cavity, gills, inner organs, intestine	Arthur and Te (2006)	Gulf of Tonkin, RS	PR
<i>Stephanostomum microsomum</i> Madhavi, 1976	N/g	Williams and Bunkley- Williams (1996)	IO	PR
<i>Stephanostomum pseudoditrematis</i> Madhavi, 1976	N/g	Williams and Bunkley- Williams (1996)	IO	PR
<i>Stephanostomum rachycentri</i>	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-	IO	PR

			Williams (1996)		
<i>Tormopsolus filiformis</i> So-Bernal & Hutton, 1958	Rectum		Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	Gulf coast of Florida	PR
	Intestine		Arthur and Te (2006)	Gulf of Tonkin, RS	PR
<i>Tormopsolus spatulum</i> Hafeezullah, 1978	N/g		Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	IO	PR
Bucephalidae					
<i>Bucephalus varicus</i> Manter, 1940	Stomach, intestine		Arthur and Te (2006)	Gulf of Tonkin, RS	PR
Cryptogonimidae					
<i>Paracryptogonimus morosovi</i> (Parukhin, 1965) Yamaguti, 1971	N/g		Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	PO	PR
	Intestine		Arthur and Te (2006)	Gulf of Tonkin, RS	
Derogenidae					
<i>Derogenes varicus</i> O.F. Müller, 1784	Stomach, intestine		Arthur and Te (2006)	Gulf of Tonkin	
Didymozoidae					
<i>Neometanematobothrioides rachycentri</i> (Parukhin, 1969) Yamaguti, 1971	N/g		Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	PO	PR
	Body cavity, gills		Arthur and Te (2006)	Gulf of Tonkin, RS	
Gorgoderidae					
<i>Phyllodistomum parukhini</i> Yamaguti, 1971	N/g		Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	RS	PR
	Kidney, urinary bladder		Arthur and Te (2006)	Gulf of Tonkin, RS	
Hemiuridae					
<i>Dinurus selari</i> Parukhin, 1966	Stomach, intestine		Arthur and Te (2006)	Gulf of Tonkin, RS	

<i>Lecithochirium canadus</i> Bilquees, 1972	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	IO
<i>Lecithochirium monticellii</i> (Linton, 1898) Skrjabin & Guschanskaja, 1955	Intestine	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	USA
<i>Lecithocladium jagannathi</i> Ahmad, 1981	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	IO
<i>Plerurus digitatus</i> Looss, 1899	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	IO
<i>Tubulovesicula angusticauda</i> (Nicoll, 1915) Yamaguti, 1934	Stomach, intestine	Arthur and Te (2006)	Gulf of Tonkin, RS
Lecithasteridae			
<i>Aponurus carangis</i> Yamaguti, 1952	Stomach, intestine	Arthur and Te (2006)	Gulf of Tonkin, RS
Lepocreadiidae			
<i>Lepidapedon megalaspi</i> Paruchin, 1966	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	PO
	Intestine	Arthur and Te (2006)	Gulf of Tonkin, RS
<i>Pseudolepidapedon pudens</i> (Linton, 1900) Yamaguti, 1971	Intestine	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	USA
Mabiaramididae			
<i>Mabiarama prevesiculata</i> Freitas & Kohn, 1966	Stomach	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	Brazil
Aporocotyliidae			
<i>Psettaroides</i> sp.	Heart	Bunkley-Williams and Williams (1996)	Northern GM

Sclerodistomidae Hemiuroidea gen. sp.	Intestine	Arthur and Te (2006)	Gulf of Tonkin, RS	
<i>Sclerodistomum cobia</i> Linton, 1905	N/g	McLean <i>et al.</i> (2008)	N/g	
<i>Sclerodistomum rachycentri</i> Parukhin, 1978	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	IO	
Unknown family <i>Laruea straightum</i> Jehan 1973	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	IO	
Monogenea				
Capsalidae				
<i>Dioncus agassizi</i> Goto, 1899	Gills	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	USA	
<i>Dioncus rachycentris</i> Hargis, 1955	Gills	Ogawa <i>et al.</i> (2006)	USA	
<i>Neobenedenia girellae</i> (Hargis, 1955) Yamaguti, 1963	Body surface	Ogawa <i>et al.</i> (2006)*	Taiwan	
<i>Neobenedenia</i> sp. 2	Cornea, body surface around head	present study*	Qld	AHC 45819–20; 35001–02
Nematoda				
Anisakidae				
<i>Anisakis</i> sp. larva	Body cavity, gonads, kidneys, liver, stomach, intestine	Arthur and Te (2006)	Gulf of Thailand, Gulf of Tonkin, RS	
<i>Goezia pelagia</i> Deardorff & Overstreet, 1980	Stomach	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	USA	
	N/g	Akther <i>et al.</i> (2004)	Mexico	
<i>Itheringascaris inquires</i> (Linton,	Stomach	Williams and Bunkley-	USA	

1901) Deardorff and Overstreet, 1981	Intestine	Williams (1996)	Gulf of Tonkin, RS
	Stomach	Arthur and Te (2006)	
		McLean <i>et al.</i> (2008)	Aus
Philometridae			
<i>Philometroides</i> sp.	Body cavity	Arthur and Te (2006)	Gulf of Tonkin
Unknown			
Nematoda gen. sp.	N/g	Arthur and Te (2006)	Mekong River Delta, Gulf of Tonkin, RS
Acanthocephala			
Rhadinorhynchidae			
<i>Serrasentis nadakali</i> George and Nadakal, 1978	Intestine	George and Nadakal (1981)	India
<i>Serrasentis sagittifer</i> (Linton, 1889) Van Cleave, 1923	Intestine, pyloric caeca, body cavity, mesenteries, external surfaces of internal organs Pyloric caeca, intestine	Williams and Bunkley- Williams (1996)	USA, Europe, West Africa
		Arthur and Te (2006)	Gulf of Thailand, Gulf of Tonkin, RS
Copepoda			
Caligidae			
<i>Caligus coryphaenae</i> Steenstrup & Lutken, 1861	N/g	Williams and Bunkley- Williams (1996)	PO
<i>Caligus epidemicus</i> Hewitt, 1971	N/g	Ho <i>et al.</i> (2004)*	Philippines
<i>Caligus haemulonis</i> Krøyer, 1863	N/g	Williams and Bunkley- Williams (1996)	USA
<i>Caligus lalandei</i> Barnard, 1948	N/g	McLean <i>et al.</i> (2008)*	Taiwan
<i>Lepeophtheirus plectropomi</i>	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-	PO

Nuñez-Ruivo, 1956	N/g	Williams (1996) McLean <i>et al.</i> (2008)*	Taiwan
<i>Parapetalus occidentalis</i> Wilson, 1908	Gills	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	USA
<i>Tuxophorus caligodes</i> Wilson, 1908	Body surface	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	USA
Euryphoridae			
<i>Euryphorus nordmanni</i> Milne-Edwards, 1840	Inner surface of operculum, gill chamber, mouth	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	USA
Pennellidae			
<i>Lernaeenicus longiventris</i> Wilson, 1917	Body surface	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	USA
<i>Lernaeolophus hemirhamphi</i> Krøyer, 1863	N/g	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	GM
<i>Lernaeolophus sultanus</i> Nordmann, 1864	Body surface	Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996)	USA

-Williams and Bunkley-Williams (1996) also report barnacles (Cirripedia: *Conchoderma virgatum*) from cobia

Sciaenidae

Argyrosomus japonicus

The mulloway *Argyrosomus japonicus* is a large, estuarine sciaenid distributed around southern Australia, southern Africa, Pakistan, India, China, Korea, and Japan (Silberschneider *et al.* 2009). In South Africa and Australia, mulloway is a highly desired commercial and recreational species, as well as an elusive sportfish (Fielder and Bardsley 1999; Taylor *et al.* 2006). In Australia, over 975 t of mulloway was taken by recreational fishers in 2000 (Taylor *et al.* 2006). This species is now classified as overfished in eastern Australia and there are concerns about sustainability, with the bulk of the fishery targeting immature fish (Silberschneider and Gray 2008; Taylor *et al.* 2009).

Mulloway is also an emerging aquaculture species in Australia, with good food conversion ratios and fast growth rates between 15–30 °C (Hayward *et al.* 2007b; Ballagh *et al.* 2010). This species can be farmed in sea cages, coastal earthen ponds and recirculating aquaculture systems. During the relatively short history of culture of mulloway, there have been few disease or parasite problems. However, juveniles are reportedly susceptible to ectoparasitic protozoans and brood stock in recirculation tanks are susceptible to problems with the monogenean, *Benedenia sciaenae* (see Hayward *et al.* 2007b).

We surveyed sea-caged mulloway from Botany Bay, New South Wales and wild mulloway from Port Adelaide South Australia as part of this project (Chapter 7). Ten parasite species were found and are presented with records published previously (Table 12). A parasite risk assessment is provided for sea-cage aquaculture of this species in Chapter 7.

Table 12. Metazoan parasite fauna of wild and farmed mullet *Argyrosomus japonicus* collected in this study and presented in previous records from Australasia. Abbreviations: N/g, Not given; NSW, New South Wales; NZ, New Zealand; SA, South Australia; QLD, Queensland; WA, Western Australia; VIC, Victoria; *Farmed fish.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Cestoda				
Empty cysts	Body cavity	present study	SA	Not accessioned
Dasyrhyndidae				
<i>Dasyrhyndus pacificus</i> Robinson, 1965	Viscera	Beveridge and Campbell (1993)	NSW	PR
Otobothriidae				
<i>Poecilancistrum calyophyllum</i> Diesing, 1850	Encysted in flesh	Robinson (1965)	NSW	PR
Pterobothriidae				
<i>Pterobothrium</i> sp. Diesing, 1850	viscera	Young (1939)	NSW	PR
Digenea				
Acanthocolpidae				
<i>Stephanostomum bicornatum</i> (Stossich, 1883) Fuhrmann, 1928	Intestine	Bray and Cribb (2003b)	QLD	PR
Pleorchiiidae				
<i>Pleorchis sciaenae</i> Yamaguti, 1938	Intestine	Bray (1986)	South Africa	PR
Monogenea				
Calceostomatidae				
<i>Calceostoma glandulosum</i> Johnson & Tiegs, 1922	Gills Gill filaments Gills	Yamaguti (1963) Hayward <i>et al.</i> (2007b) present study	QLD SA* NSW*, SA	PR PR AHC 35003
Capsalidae				
<i>Benedenia sciaenae</i> van Beneden, 1856	Skin	Whittington (1996) present study	SA NSW*, SA	PR AHC 45795

Diplectanidae					
<i>Diplectanum</i> sp.	Gills Gills	Williams (1989) present study	WA NSW*, SA	PR AHC 35005	
<i>Diplectanum glandulosum</i> Williams, 1989	Gill filaments	Williams (1989)	WA	PR	
<i>Diplectanum oliveri</i> Williams, 1989	Gill filaments	Williams (1989)	WA	PR	
Microcotylidae					
<i>Sciaenacotyle sciaenicola</i> Murray, 1932	Gills Gills Gills	Young (1970) Hayward <i>et al.</i> (2007) present study	QLD SA* NSW*, SA	PR PR AHC 35004	
Nematoda					
<i>Philometra</i> sp.	Gonad	present study	SA	Not accessioned	
Ascarididae					
<i>Ascaris</i> sp.	N/g	Stead (1914)	VIC	PR	
Anisakidae					
<i>Anisakis</i> sp.	Location unspecified	Johnston and Mawson (1951)	VIC	PR	
<i>Hysterothylacium marinum</i> Linnaeus, 1767	Location unspecified	Johnston and Mawson (1943)	SA	PR	
<i>Terranova</i> sp.	Viscera	Cannon (1977)	SA	PR	
<i>Contracaecum (Thynnascaris) legendrei</i> Dollfus 1933	Location unspecified Location unspecified	Johnson & Mawson (1951) Yamaguti (1961)	QLD VIC	PR PR	
Acanthocephala					
Neoechinorhynchidae					
<i>Neoechinorhynchus dorsovaginatus</i> Amin & Christison, 2004	Hind gut (rectum)	Amin and Christison (2005)	South Africa	PR	

Copepoda

Caligidae

Caligus sp. (male)

Gills

present study

SA

SAMA C6888

Caligus chiastos Lin & Ho, 2003

Gills

Hayward *et al.* (2007)

SA*

PR

Lernanthropidae

Isobranchia scianophila Heller, 1868

Gill arch

present study

SA

SAMA C6890

Lernanthropus gisleri Van Beneden, 1852

Gills

present study

SA

SAMA C6889

Isopoda*Ceratothoa* sp.

Mouth

present study

NSW

SAMA C6929

Cymothoidae

Nerocila sigani Bowman & Tareen

N/g

Bruce and Harrison-Nelson
(1988)

South Africa

PR

Sillaginidae

Sillaginodes punctatus

The King George whiting, *Sillaginodes punctatus*, which is the largest of the 31 species in the Sillaginidae and also considered the most valuable, is endemic to southern Australia where it occurs throughout the inshore, coastal area (Hyndes *et al.* 1998; Fowler *et al.* 2000). Post-larvae and juveniles recruit to seagrass habitats in sheltered bays and inlets throughout winter and spring, whereas adult fish live in coastal waters, spawning offshore in autumn and winter (Jenkins and May 1994; Jenkins and Welsford 2002).

This species comprises one of the most important inshore finfish fisheries in southern Australia (Jenkins 2005), with each state managing this fishery independently according to different regulations and policies (Fowler *et al.* 2000). The fishery for *S. punctatus* in SA in particular is the most valuable, producing in excess of 75% of the national annual catch (Fowler *et al.* 2002). The harvested biomass in SA is on average about 5 times greater than Victoria and 20 times that of Western Australia (McGarvey *et al.* 2005). This fishery has the potential to be strongly influenced by recruitment variability, because although the species can live up to 20 years, exploitation is primarily concentrated on subadults of 3 to 5 year old (Jenkins 2005). King George whiting also has recreational importance.

Sillaginodes punctatus is the study species of currently enrolled Honours student, Emma Brock at the University of Adelaide. Emma's project received additional support through an ABRS capacity-building scholarship. She is currently writing her thesis and will develop a final report for ABRS (due February 2011). A new species of aporocotylid trematode, *Cardicola* n. sp., has been discovered to infect *S. punctatus* (Table 13) and will be included in a manuscript that examines at least three other *Cardicola* species found in this study (see Tables 14, 19 and 22).

Table 13. Metazoan parasite fauna of King George Whiting *Sillaginodes punctatus* collected in this study and presented in previous records from Australasia. ^A = new host record. Abbreviations: N/g, Not given; NSW, New South Wales; SA, South Australia; WA, Western Australia; VIC, Victoria.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Cestoda				
Lacistorhynchidae				
<i>Callitetrarhynchus gracilis</i> Rudolphi, 1819	Intestinal tract, flesh	Beveridge & Campbell (1996)	SA	PR
Order: Tetraphyllidea Carus, 1863	Intestinal tract	present study ^A	SA	AHC 29999
Otobothriidae				
<i>Poecilancistrum</i> sp.	Intestinal tract, flesh		SA	PR
Digenea				
Lepocreadiidae				
<i>Austraholorchis procerus</i> Bray <i>et al.</i> 1999	N/g Intestinal tract	Bray <i>et al.</i> (1999) present study	SA SA	AHC 29998
<i>Lepidapedella sillaginodesi</i> Bray <i>et al.</i> 1999	N/g Intestinal tract	Bray <i>et al.</i> (1999) present study	SA SA	AHC 29996-97
Opecoelidae				
<i>Macvicaria adomeae</i> Aken'Ova <i>et al.</i> , 2008	Gut Intestinal tract	Aken'Ova <i>et al.</i> (2008) present study	SA SA	Not accessioned
<i>Macvicaria shotteri</i> Aken'Ova <i>et al.</i> , 2008	Intestine, gut Intestinal tract	Aken'Ova <i>et al.</i> (2008) present study	WA, SA SA	Not accessioned
<i>Macvicaria vitellocopiosa</i> Aken'Ova <i>et al.</i> , 2008	Intestine, gut Intestinal tract	Aken'Ova <i>et al.</i> (2008) present study	SA SA	Not accessioned
Aporocotylidae				
<i>Cardicola</i> n. sp.	Heart	present study ^A	SA	AHC 29994–45

Monogenea					
Microcotylidae					
<i>Polylabris sillaginae</i> Woolcock, 1936	Gill filaments Gills	Hayward (1996) present study	SA, WA, Vic, NSW SA	PR AHC 29991–93	
Nematoda					
Anisakidae					
<i>Contraecaecum sp.</i>	Viscera	Lymbery <i>et al.</i> (2002)	WA	PR	
<i>Hysterothylacium sp.</i>	Intestinal tract	present study ^A	SA	AHC 45013–14	
Cucullanidae					
<i>Cucullanellus sp.</i>	Gut Intestinal tract	Johnston and Mawson (1945) present study	N/g SA	PR AHC 45015–16	
Cystidicolidae					
<i>Ascarophis cooperi</i> Johnston & Mawson, 1945	Intestinal tract	Johnston and Mawson 1945	SA	PR	
<i>Echinocephalus sp.</i>	Intestinal tract	present study ^A	SA	AHC 45809–12	
Acanthocephala					
Polymorphidae (larval)	Intestinal tract	present study ^A	SA	AHC 45807–08	
Copepoda					
Bomolochidae					
<i>Nothobomolochus sp.</i>	Gills	present study ^A	SA	SAMA C6885	
Caligidae					
<i>Caligus longirostris</i> Heegaard, 1962	Skin	Heegaard (1962)	N/g	PR	
Lernaeopodidae					
<i>Anaclavella sillaginoides</i> Heegaard, 1962	Gills Gills	Heegaard (1940) present study	SA SA	PR SAMA C6886–87	
Isopoda					
Gnathiidae (juvenile)	Gills	Present study ^A	SA	C 6936-38	

Sparidae

Chrysophrys auratus

The pink snapper *Chrysophrys auratus* (syn. *Labrus auratus*, *Pagrus auratus*, *P. latus*) lives at depths up to 200 m off the coasts of New Zealand, Australia, Philippines, Indonesia, China, Taiwan and Japan (Sharples and Evans 1995b). It is a demersal predatory fish that is heavily exploited by commercial and recreational fishers (Willis *et al.* 2001). It is also a well known sportfish in southern Australia with flesh of excellent quality (Gomon *et al.* 2008).

Southern and northern populations were considered to be separate species but are now regarded as one species with independent and reproductively isolated populations in Japan and Australasia (Paulin 1990). The northern population has been intensively and successfully farmed for many years in Japan (Foscarini 1988; Hattori *et al.* 2004), with about 40,000 t being produced in 1990 (Battaglione and Talbot 1992). In Japan, the most popular choice for culture is the use of floating net-cages in closed bays protected from sea storms and strong currents. Concrete tanks on land are also suitable, however are more expensive to construct and electrical pumps are needed to supply seawater (Foscarini 1988). The southern population on the other hand is not commercially cultured, although it is considered a prime aquaculture candidate for Australasia and commands a high market profile and price (Battaglione and Talbot 1992). Attempts to culture this species have been unsuccessful and may be attributed to a number of issues, including availability of quality eggs (Cleary and Pankhurst 2000), slow growth of snapper and dark appearance of the skin when held in sea cages (Doolan *et al.* 2008).

We concentrated our sampling effort on the heart of *C. auratus*, considering that parasite fauna for this species has been well documented in Australia (Table 14). A new species of aporocotylid trematode cf. *Cardicola* was found and will be included in a manuscript that examines at least three other *Cardicola* species from this study (see Table 13, 19 and 22).

Table 14. Metazoan parasite fauna of wild and farmed pink snapper *Chrysophrys auratus* collected in this study and presented in previous records from Australasia. Abbreviations: Aus, Australia; EA, Eastern Australia; NEC, North East Coast; N/g, Not given; NSW, New South Wales; NZ, New Zealand; SA, South Australia; Tas, Tasmania; Vic, Victoria; WA, Western Australia; *Farmed fish.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Cestoda				
Dasyrhyndidae Plerocercoids	Intestine	Sharples and Evans (1995b)	NZ	PR
Phyllobothriidae Plerocercoids	Intestine	Sharples and Evans (1995b)	NZ	PR
Digenea				
Aporocotylidae cf. <i>Cardicola</i> n. sp.	heart	Present study	SA, NSW	Not accessioned
Didymozoidae <i>Gonapodasmius williamsoni</i> Cribb and Williams, 1992	Flesh	Williams <i>et al.</i> (1993)	WA	PR
Fellodistomidae <i>Proctoeces</i> sp.	Stomach, intestine	Sharples and Evans (1995a)	NZ	PR
Zoogonidae <i>Diphtherostomum</i> sp.	Rectum	Sharples and Evans (1995a)	NZ	PR
Monogenea				
Anoplodiscidae <i>Anoplodiscus cirruspiralis</i> Roubal <i>et al.</i> , 1983	Pectoral, dorsal, caudal, anal & pelvic fins Caudal, pectoral fins, nasal lamella	Roubal <i>et al.</i> (1983) Roubal <i>et al.</i> (1992)*	NSW, SA, NZ NSW	PR PR
Capsalidae <i>Benedenia sekii</i> (Yamaguti, 1937) Meserve,	Body surface	Roubal <i>et al.</i> (1983)	NSW, SA, NZ	PR

1938	Beneath pectoral fin	Sharples and Evans (1995c)	NZ, Sea of Japan	PR
<i>Encotyllabe pagrosomi</i> MacCallum, 1917	Mouth, pharynx Undetermined	Beumer (1983) Roubal <i>et al.</i> (1983)	Aus NSW	PR PR
Choricotylidae <i>Choricotyle australiensis</i> Roubal <i>et al.</i> , 1983	Gill arches	Roubal <i>et al.</i> (1983)	NSW, Vic, SA, NZ	PR
Diplectanidae <i>Lamellodiscus pagrosomi</i> Murray, 1931	Gill filaments Gill filaments	Roubal <i>et al.</i> (1983) Sharples & Evans (1995c)	NSW, SA, NZ Vic, Japan	PR PR
Microcotylidae <i>Aspinatrium spari</i> (Yamaguti, 1937) Yamaguti, 1963	N/g	Sharples & Evans (1995c)	NEC Aus	PR
<i>Bivagina pagrosomi</i> Murray, 1931	Gill filaments Gill filaments Gills	Roubal <i>et al.</i> (1983) Sharples & Evans (1995c) Beumer <i>et al.</i> (1982)	NSW, SA, NZ Vic Bass Strait, Tas	PR PR PR
<i>Bivagina tai</i> Ogawa, 2004	N/g	Sharples & Evans (1995c)	Japan	PR
Nematoda				
Anisakidae <i>Anisakis</i> sp.	Liver	Sharples & Evans (1995b)	NZ	PR
<i>Hysterothylacium marinum</i> Linnaeus, 1767	N/g	Johnston & Mawson (1945)	SA	PR
Cucullanidae <i>Cucullanus sheardi</i> Johnston & Mawson, 1944	N/g	Johnston & Mawson (1945)	SA	PR
<i>Cucullanus</i> sp.	Intestine	Sharples and Evans (1995a)	NZ	PR

Gnathostomatidae					
<i>Echinocephalus uncinatus</i> Molin, 1858	Viscera	Johnston & Mawson (1945)	SA	PR	
Philometridae					
<i>Philometra lateolabracis</i> Yamaguti, 1935	Gonad	Sharples and Evans (1995b)	NZ	PR	
Copepoda					
Bomolochidae					
<i>Naricolax chrysophryenus</i> Roubal <i>et al.</i> 1983	Pectoral fin	Roubal <i>et al.</i> (1983)	NSW, SA, NZ	PR	
	Nasal cavities	Sharples and Evans (1995a)	NZ	PR	
<i>Pseudoeucunthus australiensis</i> , Roubal <i>et al.</i> 1983	Unknown	Roubal <i>et al.</i> (1983)	NSW	PR	
Caligidae					
<i>Caligus chiastos</i> Lin & Ho, 2003	N/g	Ho and Lin (2004)	EA	PR	
<i>Caligus laticaudus</i> Shiino, 1960	N/g	Sharples and Evans (1995c)	Japan	PR	
<i>Caligus sclerotinosus</i> Roubal <i>et al.</i> , 1983	Body surface	Roubal <i>et al.</i> (1983)	NSW, SA	PR	
<i>Caligus</i> sp. 1	Uncertain	Roubal <i>et al.</i> (1983)	NSW	PR	
	Body surface	Sharples and Evans (1995c)	NZ	PR	
<i>Caligus</i> sp. 2	Uncertain	Roubal <i>et al.</i> (1983)	NSW	PR	
	Body surface	Sharples and Evans (1995c)	NZ	PR	
<i>Caliss willungue</i> Kabata, 1965	Body surface	Roubal <i>et al.</i> (1983)	NSW, SA	PR	
<i>Lepeophtheirus sekii</i> Yamaguti, 1936	Body surface	Roubal <i>et al.</i> (1983)	NSW	PR	
	Body surface	Sharples and Evans (1995c)	NZ, Japan	PR	
<i>Lepeophtheirus</i> sp. 1	Uncertain	Roubal <i>et al.</i> (1983)	NSW	PR	
Hatschekiidae					

<i>Hatschekia pagrosomi</i> Yamaguti, 1939	Gill filaments	Roubal <i>et al.</i> (1983)	NSW, Vic, SA, NZ	PR
Lernaeopodidae				
<i>Clavellopsis sargi</i> (Kurz, 1877) Yamaguti, 1963	Mouth cavity N/g	Roubal <i>et al.</i> (1983) Sharples and Evans (1995c)	NSW, Vic, SA, NZ Japan	PR PR
Lernanthropidae				
<i>Lernanthropus atrox</i> Heller, 1865	Gill filaments N/g	Roubal <i>et al.</i> (1983) Sharples and Evans (1995c)	NSW Japan	PR PR
Isopoda				
Cymothoidae				
<i>Ceratothoa imbricatus</i> Fabricius, 1787	Operculum, mouth	Beumer <i>et al.</i> (1982)	Aus	PR
<i>Nerocila monodi</i> Hale, 1940	N/g	Roubal <i>et al.</i> (1983)	NSW	PR
Gnathiidae				
Praniza larvae	Gill filament	Roubal <i>et al.</i> (1983)	NSW, SA	PR

2.4.3 Non-target fish species sampled

For non-target fishes we focused our efforts on recovering monogeneans, aporocotylid trematodes and copepods.

Carangidae

Elagatis bipinnulata

The rainbow runner, *Elagatis bipinnulata*, is considered an important game fish and occurs circumtropically in marine waters (Berry 1969; Ditty *et al.* 2004). Adults grow to a length of about 4 ft and are fast-swimming fish, usually found near the surface in oceanic waters, sometimes far offshore (Berry 1969). One copepod species and one monogenean species were recorded from *E. bipinnulata* in this study (Table 15).

Table 15. Metazoan parasite fauna of rainbow runner *Elagatis bipinnulata* collected in this study. Abbreviations: NSW, New South Wales.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Copepoda				
Caligidae				
<i>Caligus confusus</i> Pillai, 1961	Gill arch	present study	NSW	C6902; NHM 2009.275
Monogenea				
Gotocotylidae				
<i>Gotocotyla elagatis</i> Meserve, 1938	Gills	Perkins (2010) present study	NSW	AHC 29732

Seriola dumerili

The greater amberjack, *Seriola dumerili*, is distributed in subtropical coastal waters and the Atlantic, Pacific and Indian Oceans (Rowland 2009) and is currently farmed in Japan and the Spanish Mediterranean. It has many characteristics that satisfy the criteria for the selection of a new species for aquaculture, such as excellent flesh quality and a high market price (Jerez *et al.* 2006). Two aporocotylid trematodes were found (Table 16) which are discussed in detail in Chapter 5.

Table 16. Metazoan parasite fauna of amberjack *Seriola dumerili* collected in this study. Abbreviations: WA, Western Australia.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Aporocotyliidae				
Aporocotyliidae				
<i>Paradeontacylix</i> n. sp.	Heart	present study	WA	Not accessioned
<i>Paradeontacylix</i> cf. <i>kampachi</i>	Heart	present study	WA	Not accessioned

Centrolophidae

Hyperoglyphe antarctica

The deep-sea trevalla (*Hyperoglyphe antarctica*), or bluenose as it is commonly called in NZ, is widespread in southern oceans occurring off the coasts of NZ, southern Australia and Tasmania, South Africa and Tristan da Cunha in the southern Indian Ocean (Horn 1988). Adults of this species are described as “semi-pelagic,” living close to the sea floor at depths of 100 and 500 m, but regularly making feeding excursions into the water column (Duffy *et al.* 2000). Juveniles however are surface living, often sheltering under drift algae and flotsam. It is regarded as an important commercial species in Australian waters (Horn 2003). Two parasite species were recorded from *H. antarctica* in this study (Table 17).

Table 17. Metazoan parasite fauna of deep-sea trevalla *Hyperoglyphe antarctica* collected in this study. Abbreviations: SA, South Australia.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Copepoda				
Lernanthropidae				
<i>Lernanthropus microlamini</i> Hewitt, 1968	Gills	present study	SA	C6900 NHM 2007.948–49
Monogenea				
Diclidophoridae				
<i>Eurysorchis manteri</i> Mamaev, 1976	Gills	Perkins (2010) present study	SA	AHC 29730 AHC 29973

Cheilodactylidae

Nemadactylus valenciennesi

The blue morwong, also known as queen snapper *Nemadactylus valenciennesi*, lives over rocky reefs in the waters of southern Australia. On the south coast of WA, this species is the greatest contributor to the biomass of the catches taken by the demersal gill-net and longline fishery and one of the species most frequently retained by recreational fishers (Coulson *et al.*

2010). We recorded 8 parasite species from this host species in this study (Table 18) including new monogenean species for which we are currently preparing new species descriptions.

Table 18. Metazoan parasite fauna of queen snapper *Nemadactylus valenciensesi* collected in this study. Abbreviations: SA, South Australia.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Copepoda				
Caligidae				
<i>Lepeophtheirus</i> sp. 1	Body surface, fins	present study	SA	SAMA C6892–94
<i>Lepeophtheirus</i> sp. 2	Body surface	present study	SA	SAMA C6895
Lernanthropidae				
<i>Aethon morelandi</i> Hewitt, 1968	Gills	present study	SA	SAMA C6891
Monogenea				
Capsalidae				
Capsalidae sp. 2 of Perkins <i>et al.</i> (2009)	Pectoral fins	Perkins <i>et al.</i> (2009)	SA	AHC 29659
	Pectoral fins, gills-artefact, FW bath	present study	SA	AHC 29975–78
<i>Mediavagina</i> sp. of Perkins <i>et al.</i> (2009)	Gills	Perkins <i>et al.</i> (2009)	SA	AHC 29669
	Gills, rakers, toothpads	present study	SA	AHC 29956-61, AHC 29982
Dactylogyridae				
Dactylogyridae sp. of Perkins <i>et al.</i> (2009)	Gills	Perkins <i>et al.</i> (2009)	SA	AHC 29662
	Gills	present study	SA	

Nemadactylus macropterus

Nemadactylus macropterus (jackass morwong, tarakihi) is a demersal species commonly found in coastal and continental shelf waters of southern Australia, New Zealand, southern South America, southern Africa and some islands in the Atlantic and Indian oceans (Jordan 2001b; NSW DPI 2008b). Jackass morwong are distributed in Australian waters from Moreton Bay in Queensland to Perth in WA. They occur in depths to 450 m and, in Australian waters, are most abundant between 100 and 200 m (NSW DPI 2008b). Jackass morwong is an important commercial species in the South East Fishery, with the bulk of landings taken off southern New South Wales, eastern Bass Strait and eastern Tasmania (Jordan 2001b). We recorded 8 parasite species from jackass morwong (Table 19), including *Cardicola whitteni* which will be redescribed in a manuscript that examines at least three other *Cardicola* species found in this study (see Table 13, 14 and 22).

Table 19. Metazoan parasite fauna of jackass morwong *Nemadactylus macropterus* collected in this study. Abbreviations: SA, South Australia.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Copepoda				
Caligidae				
<i>Lepeophtheirus</i> sp.	Body surface, fins	present study	SA	SAMA C6898–99
Lernanthropidae				
<i>Aethon garricki</i> Hewitt, 1968	Gills	present study	SA	SAMA C6896; BMNH 2007.951
Clavella group	Gills	present study	SA	SAMA C6897
Monogenea				
Capsalidae				
<i>Encotyllabe chironemi</i> Robinson, 1961	Pharyngeal tooth pads, gills	Perkins (2010) present study	SA	AHC 29722 AHC 29979–81
<i>Mediavagina macropteri</i> Lawler and Hargis, 1968	FW bath	present study	SA	AHC 29983
Microcotylidae				
<i>Microcotyle nemadactylus</i> Dillon & Hargis, 1965	Gills	Perkins (2010) present study	SA	AHC 29729 AHC 29984–88
Trematoda				
Aporocotlyidae				
<i>Cardicola whitteni</i> Manter, 1954	Heart	present study	SA	Not accessioned

Kyphosidae

Girella tricuspidata

Girella tricuspidata, commonly known as luderick, inhabits estuaries and coastal waters along the eastern and southern coast of Australia and around the North Island of New Zealand (Smith and Sinerchia 2004). It is primarily a herbivorous fish, feeding predominately on seagrass and algae, although it also consumes small benthic invertebrates (Gray *et al.* 2010). *Girella tricuspidata* is taken in large quantities in estuarine and coastal commercial and recreational fisheries (Pollock 1981; Gray *et al.* 2010). One parasite species was recorded in this study (Table 20).

Table 20. Metazoan parasite fauna of luderick *Girella tricuspidata* collected in this study. Abbreviations: NSW, New South Wales.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
----------	--------------	-----------	----------	------------------

Monogenea

Monocotylidae

Polylabris girellae Hayward, 1996 Gills present study NSW AHC 29968

Latridae*Latris lineata*

Striped trumpeter *Latris lineata* is a demersal species inhabiting the southern temperate waters of Australia and New Zealand (Morehead *et al.* 2001; Trotter *et al.* 2001). The species was being investigated in the Tasmanian Aquaculture and Fisheries Institute as a candidate species for temperate marine aquaculture in Australia (Cobcroft *et al.* 2001). We recorded four parasite species from wild striped trumpeter in this study (Table 21).

Table 21. Metazoan parasite fauna of striped trumpeter *Latris lineata* collected in this study. Abbreviations: SA, South Australia.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Copepoda				
Lernanthropidae				
<i>Aethon</i> n. sp.	Gills	present study	SA	SAMA C6901; NHM 2009.276
Monogenea				
Capsalidae				
<i>Allomegalocotyla johnstoni</i> (Robinson, 1961) Yamaguti, 1963	Gills	Perkins (2010) present study	SA	AHC 29727 AHC 29954
Capsalidae sp. 19 of Perkins (2010)	Pectoral fin, gills	Perkins (2010) present study	SA	AHC 29716 AHC 29962-63
<i>Pseudomegalocotyla latridis</i> Robinson, 1961) Yamaguti, 1963	Gills	present study	SA	AHC 29955

Mugilidae*Aldrichetta forsteri*

Yelloweye mullet, *Aldrichetta forsteri*, is a coastal species endemic to southern Australia with a distribution from Shark Bay on the Western Australian coast to Newcastle on the coast of New South Wales. They are common over sandy bottoms in embayments and estuaries (Edmonds *et al.* 1992). This species comprises a substantial proportion of the commercial and amateur estuarine fishery in most of the river systems of south-western Australia (Chubb *et al.* 1981). They are also sought by recreational fishers (Edmonds *et al.* 1992). A new

species of *Cardicola* was found (Table 22) and will be described in a manuscript that examines at least three other *Cardicola* species found in this study (see Tables 13, 14 and 19).

Table 22. Metazoan parasite fauna of yelloweye mullet *Aldrichetta forsteri* collected in this study. Abbreviations: SA, South Australia.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Aporocotylidae				
Aporocotylidae				
<i>Cardicola</i> n. sp.	Heart	present study	SA	Not accessioned

Oplegnathidae

Oplegnathus woodwardi

Oplegnathus woodwardi, commonly known as knifejaw due to its beak-like jaws that are well adapted for crushing hard-shelled invertebrates, is distributed throughout southern Australia, mostly offshore, at depths of 50 to 400 m. It is frequently taken by trawlers but not in commercial quantities and is regarded as an excellent food fish (Gomon *et al.* 2008). One copepod species was recorded from knifejaw in this study (Table 23) and is redescribed (see Chapter 4, section 4.2).

Table 23. Metazoan parasite fauna of knifejaw *Oplegnathus woodwardi* collected in this study. Abbreviations: SA, South Australia.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Copepoda				
Trebiidae				
<i>Kabataia ostorhynchi</i> Kazachenko, Korotaeva & Kurochkin, 1972	Gills	present study	SA	NHM 2007. 947, NHM 2009.263–64, NHM 2009.265–74

Percichthyidae

Polyprion oxygeneios

Polyprion oxygeneios, or hapuka as it is commonly called in New Zealand, is highly sought after commercially and recreationally in the southern Indian and Pacific Oceans (Francis *et al.* 1999). Juveniles are thought to live a pelagic existence in surface waters well offshore, whereas adults are demersal in depths of 50-600 m, becoming vulnerable to capture by bottom trawlers (Beentjes and Francis 1999). Three parasite species were recorded from *P. oxygeneios* in this study (Table 24).

Table 24. Metazoan parasite fauna of hapuka *Polyprion oxygeneios* collected in this study. ^A = new Australian record. Abbreviations: SA, South Australia.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Copepoda				
Caligidae				
<i>Lepeophtheirus polyprioni</i> Hewitt, 1963	Body surface	present study	SA	SAMA C6905
Monogenea				
Capsalidae				
<i>Calicobenedenia</i> sp.	Caudal fin	present study	SA ^A	AHC 29965
Discocotylidae				
<i>Allocotylophora polyprionum</i> Dillon and Hargis, 1965	Gills	present study	SA	AHC 29964

Polyprion americanus

The wreckfish *Polyprion americanus* is a large demersal fish that inhabits continental and oceanic island slopes of temperate and subtropical waters at both sides of the Atlantic Ocean, at the Mid-Atlantic Ridge, the Mediterranean, southern Indian Ocean and southern Pacific near southern Australia and New Zealand (Peres and Haimovici 2004). Adults live in depths between 100 to 600 m, whereas juveniles occur in surface waters around floating objects or pieces of wreckage, hence giving them their common name (NSWDPI 2008a). This species is characterised by a long-lived pelagic phase and is of high commercial importance (Ball *et al.* 2000; Peres and Klippel 2003). Genetic studies have revealed there are at least three distinct stocks of this species (NSWDPI 2008a). Wreckfish is also a candidate aquaculture species due to the high market price, high growth rate during the pelagic phase and good quality flesh (Machias *et al.* 2003; Papandroulakis *et al.* 2004; Fauvel *et al.* 2008). We recorded three parasite species from this host (Table 25).

Table 25. Metazoan parasite fauna of wreckfish *Polyprion americanus* collected in this study. Abbreviations: SA, South Australia.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Copepoda				
Caligidae				
<i>Lepeophtheirus polyprioni</i> Hewitt, 1963	Caudal fin, gills	present study	SA	SAMA C6903–04
Monogenea				
Capsalidae				
<i>Calicobenedenia polyprioni</i> Kritsky and Fennessy, 1999	Caudal fin	Perkins (2010) present study	SA	AHC 29736

Discocotylidae <i>Allocotylophora polyprionum</i> Dillon and Hargis, 1965	Gills	present study	SA	AHC 29966–67
---	-------	---------------	----	--------------

Platycephalidae

Platycephalus aurimaculatus

Platycephalids are flattened dorso-ventrally, with a depressed head, eyes that are directed upwards and the swim bladder is absent from most species, a body form which reflects their benthic habitat (Keenan 1991). A total of 16 species within *Platycephalus* are known in temperate Australian waters (Imamura and Knapp 2009), many of which are of considerable commercial and recreational importance (Jordan 2001a). One cestode was recorded from flathead in this study and was described by Kutcha *et al.* (2009) (Table 26; Appendix 3).

Table 26. Metazoan parasite fauna of flathead *Platycephalus aurimaculatus* collected in this study. Abbreviations: SA, South Australia.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Cestoda				
Bothriocephalidae <i>Bothriocephalus australis</i> Kutcha <i>et al.</i> , 2009	Intestine	present study	SA	AHC 29643

Scorpaenidae

Helicolenus percoides

Seaperches in *Helicolenus* are widespread, bottom-living teleosts that occur on the continental shelf and slope and offshore seamounts and ridges in temperate waters of the Pacific, Atlantic and Indian oceans. *Helicolenus barathri* was previously recognised in New Zealand waters, however molecular information suggests there is a single species, *H. percoides*. *Helicolenus percoides*, or red gurnard perch, is also found off the eastern coast of Australia in offshore (>300 m) waters of New South Wales (Smith *et al.* 2009). Two monogenean species were recorded from *H. percoides* in this study (Table 27).

Table 27. Metazoan parasite fauna of red gurnard perch *Helicolenus percooides* collected in this study. Abbreviations: SA, South Australia.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Monogenea				
<i>Megalobenedenia helicoleni</i> (Woolcock, 1936) Egorova, 1994	Gills	Perkins <i>et al.</i> (2009)	SA	AHC 29670
	Gill arch	present study	SA	AHC 29974
Microcotylidae				
<i>Microcotyle neozealanicus</i> Dillon & Hargis, 1965	Gills	Perkins (2010) present study	SA	AHC 29731 AHC 29971–72

Sparidae

Acanthopagrus butcheri

The black bream, *Acanthopagrus butcheri*, is distributed in temperate waters from Myall Lake, New South Wales to Murchison River, Western Australia, where it is common in estuaries and river mouths (Ferguson and Ye 2008). This species completes their entire life cycle within the natal estuarine environment and is capable of tolerating a wide range of salinities (Partridge and Jenkins 2002). Black bream supports commercial fisheries in Victoria, South Australia and Western Australia with most catches taken from Victoria. This species is also captured by recreational anglers (Morison *et al.* 1998; Ferguson and Ye 2008). One caligid species was recorded from black bream in our study (Table 28).

Table 28. Metazoan parasite fauna of black bream *Acanthopagrus butcheri* collected in this study. Abbreviations: SA, South Australia.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Copepoda				
Caligidae				
<i>Caligus epidemicus</i> Hewitt, 1971	Body surface	present study	SA	SAMA C6927–28

Triakidae

Galeorhinus galeus

The tope or school shark, *Galeorhinus galeus*, is a medium-sized shark that occurs in coastal and shelf temperate waters in the northeast and southeast Pacific, northeast and south Atlantic, Mediterranean Sea, southern Australia and New Zealand (Lucifora *et al.* 2006). Its life history is characterised by slow growth, high longevity, late age at maturity, low

fecundity and low mortality (Lucifora *et al.* 2004). In southern Australia, this shark species is an important component of the shark fishery (Punt and Walker 1998). We recorded two copepod species from school shark in this study (Table 29).

Table 29. Metazoan parasite fauna of *Galeorhinus galeus* collected in this study. Abbreviations: SA, South Australia.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Copepoda				
Kroyeriidae <i>Kroyeria</i> sp.	Gills	present study	SA	SAMA C6923–24
Pandaridae <i>Nesippus orientalis</i> Heller, 1868	Gills	present study	SA	SAMA C6925

Mustelus antarcticus

The endemic Australian gummy shark, *Mustelus antarcticus*, is a small demersal species that is the main target of the southern shark fishery, which operates primarily in waters off Victoria, Tasmania and South Australia (MacDonald 1988; Gardner and Ward 1998). This species is marketed as ‘flake’ and is found at least from Geraldton (Western Australia) to Port Stephens (New South Wales), and possibly ventures into southern Queensland (Gardner and Ward 1998; Gomon *et al.* 2008). We recorded 5 parasite species from *M. antarcticus* in this study (Table 30).

Table 30. Metazoan parasite fauna of gummy shark *Mustelus antarcticus* collected in this study. Abbreviations: SA, South Australia.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Copepoda				
Eudactylinidae <i>Eudactylina</i> sp.	Gills	present study	SA	NHM 2007.942–43
Kroyeriidae <i>Kroyeria</i> sp.	Gills	present study	SA	SAMA C6923–24
Pandaridae <i>Nesippus orientalis</i> Heller, 1868	Gills	present study	SA	SAMA C6925
Monogenea				
Hexabothriidae <i>Erpocotyle antarctica</i> Hughes, 1928	Gills	Perkins (2010) present study	SA	AHC 29725 AHC 29969
Monocotylidae <i>Triloculotrema japonicae</i> Kearn, 1993		Perkins (2010)		AHC 29733

Urolophidae

Urolophus paucimaculatus

The sparsely spotted stingaree, *Urolophus paucimaculatus*, is a sandy bottom dweller living at depths up to 150 m that feeds on crustaceans and polychaetes. It is endemic to southern Australian waters and reaches a total length of 47 cm. This species is not often retained by fishers (Gomon *et al.* 2008). One copepod was recorded from *U. paucimaculatus* in this study (Table 31).

Table 31. Metazoan parasite fauna of sparsely spotted stingaree *Urolophus paucimaculatus* collected in this study. Abbreviations: SA, South Australia.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Reference	Location	Accession number
Copepoda				
Lernaeopodidae				
<i>Pseudocharopinus</i> sp.	Spiracles	present study	SA	NHM 2007. 950

3 BIOGEOGRAPHICAL RELEVANCE OF PARASITE-HOST CHECKLISTS: A CASE STUDY

This chapter has been published and may be cited as:

Hutson, K.S., Brock, E.L. & Steer, M.A. (2011). Spatial variation in parasite abundance: evidence of geographical population structuring in southern garfish *Hyporhamphus melanochir*. *Journal of Fish Biology* 78, 166-182.

3.1 Abstract

Southern garfish *Hyporhamphus melanochir* were examined for metazoan parasites from nine sites in three regions (Spencer Gulf, Gulf St Vincent and northern Kangaroo Island) in South Australia to document parasite assemblages, identify candidate species suitable for use as biological tags and investigate spatial variation in parasite abundance. Four ectoparasite species and ten endoparasite species were identified representing Cestoda, Trematoda, Monogenea, Nematoda, Acanthocephala, Copepoda and Isopoda. *Lernaeenicus hemirhamphi*, *Micracanthorhynchina hemirhamphi*, *Mothocya halei* and *Philometra* sp. were suggested 'permanent' biological markers. Multivariate discriminant function analysis showed that most sites could be distinguished based on differences in parasite abundance. Four endoparasite species (*Conohelmins* sp., *Hysterothylacium* sp., *Micracanthorhynchina hemirhamphi* and *Philometra* sp.) were most important for site characterisation. Limited spatial variation in permanent endoparasite abundance among localities in northern Spencer Gulf provides evidence for a distinct northern Spencer Gulf population with little inter-regional mixing. In contrast, considerable spatial variation in permanent endoparasite abundance between localities sampled off Kangaroo Island implies limited local movement and suggests *Hyporhamphus melanochir* may comprise a metapopulation structure. These results largely align with recent evidence from otolith chemistry that indicates fine-scale geographical population structuring in South Australian waters.

3.2 Introduction

Knowledge of the geographic population structure of fished populations is critical for maintaining overexploited fisheries as it helps determine the spatial scale at which populations should be monitored, assessed and managed. Among the tools for geographic

population determination, parasites have been used on numerous occasions to infer population structure and movement of hosts (e.g. MacKenzie 1987; Boje *et al.* 1997; Timi 2003; Zischke *et al.* 2009). Some parasites may be used as biological tags for populations, where spatial differences in the behaviour and/or feeding habits of host populations, or in the abundance of intermediate hosts, can give rise to significantly different levels of infection.

Parasites should be selected and applied to population delineation cautiously. Variation in parasite fauna and abundance does not necessarily mean that fish with different parasites are from different populations (Lester and MacKenzie 2009). In order to assess the suitability of parasites as biological markers for commercially fished stocks there is a fundamental need to survey large numbers of fish across broad geographic scales to determine patterns of spatial variation and identify candidate parasite species. Rigorous taxonomic identification therefore underpins appropriate management of overexploited stocks (e.g. Dulvy and Reynolds 2009b). Several criteria are proposed for selection of the ideal parasite tag (see MacKenzie and Abaunza, 1998 for review), but it is suggested that the most important criterion for an effective parasite marker is its residence time in the fish (Lester 1990).

There are limitations to most techniques currently used to determine geographic structure of populations. Genetic techniques are not ideal because they will not detect differences of low levels of larval or adult mixing between populations (Hartl and Clark 1989). They also cannot directly measure rates of individual exchange between sites or enable specification of the origin of individuals. While otolith chemistry proposes an alternative technique for evaluating population structure, it is reliant on differences in water chemistry, so it can be problematic to differentiate populations within a homogeneous body of water. Patterns of geographic structure inferred from genetics and otolith chemistry should be validated by independent replication and comparisons against other sources of information such as biological and physical tagging (Thresher 1999).

Parasites offer an alternative tool to artificial tags that may not be used easily for delicate fishes. Hemiramphidae (garfishes) fulfil this criterion, being small, delicate fishes that comprise important commercial and recreational fisheries throughout the world. In Australia, there are 17 species represented in the family, which support fisheries in all Australian states (Collette 1974). The southern garfish *Hyporhamphus melanochir*, endemic to temperate southern Australian waters (Gomon *et al.* 2008) are a schooling fish that generally

concentrate in sheltered bays, shallow inshore waters and estuaries. The species is a priority scalefish species taken in the coastal waters of South Australia worth an estimated AUD\$3 million per year (Knight *et al.* 2007). Recent assessment of the South Australian garfish fishery suggests that it is currently overexploited, with considerable age truncation and declining catches (Fowler *et al.* 2008; Steer *et al.* 2009).

A computer-based fishery model is used to assess the commercial catch of *H. melanochir* in South Australia (McGarvey *et al.* 2007). This model integrates demographic information with catch and effort data and is structured to recognise two separate, independent and self-sustaining stocks, one in Spencer Gulf and the other in Gulf St Vincent (Figure 1). Contrary to the current jurisdictional approach, a genetic investigation indicates population homogeneity between *H. melanochir* sampled in Gulf St. Vincent and Spencer Gulf (Donnellan *et al.* 2001). More recently, otolith chemistry has provided evidence for age-related movements and fine-scale population structuring for the species (Steer *et al.* 2009) suggestive of a metapopulation structure.

The aims of this case study were to discover and document the metazoan parasite fauna of *H. melanochir*, identify appropriate candidate species markers, describe spatial variation in parasite abundance and make inferences about the level of population structuring that has been observed through otolith chemistry techniques.

3.3 Materials and Methods

3.3.1 Fish collection

Fresh specimens of *H. melanochir* were collected from Safcol commercial fish market, Mile End, South Australia, from late November 2008 to April 2009. All *H. melanochir* were captured from commercially important areas and are representative of the geographical extremes of the commercial fishery in South Australian waters. Consequently, spatial resolution was largely restricted to the northern reaches of Gulf St Vincent and Spencer Gulf and on the northern shore of Kangaroo Island (Figure 1). Fishery catches, packed in boxes by the fishers, were selected from the market floor, based on their region of origin. For each catch a subsample was chosen haphazardly and without reference to the size of the fish they contained. All fish were harvested in a similar manner by commercial fishers using haul nets, which are limited by legislation to a depth of 5 m or less. Fish from Kangaroo Island were the

exception, as they were collected by commercial fishers using dip nets at night.

Hyporhamphus melanochir were sampled from nine sites within three regions of South Australia (Figure 1): Gulf of St Vincent (Port Wakefield; Port Parham), Kangaroo Island (American River; Bay of Shoals) and Spencer Gulf (Whyalla; Port Pirie; Port Broughton; Cowell; and Corny Point). A minimum of 28 fish were examined from each site, which reveals parasites at a prevalence $\geq 10\%$ with 95% confidence (Post and Millest 1991).




Figure 1. Map indicating *Hyporhamphus melanochir* sampling localities in South Australia including Spencer Gulf (Whyalla, Port Pirie, Port Broughton, Cowell, Corny Point), Gulf St Vincent (Port Wakefield, Port Parham) and Kangaroo Island (American River, Bay of Shoals).

3.3.2 Dissection and parasite preservation

Fish were examined fresh for live parasite recovery or were frozen and examined later. Parasites were collected using standard parasitological techniques. Standard length L_S (upper jaw to the posterior end of the last vertebra) was measured for each fish. Fish sex was recorded and sagittal otoliths were removed for age determination. Parasites were identified to lowest possible taxon following published parasite descriptions, taxonomic keys, voucher material and additional parasitological expertise (see Acknowledgements). Representative parasite specimens, mounted on slides and/or in alcohol for future DNA analysis, have been

deposited in national and State museums. Parasite prevalence and intensity are given in whole numbers and definitions used throughout follow Bush *et al.* (1997).

The age of each fish was determined from sagittal otolith examination. One otolith from each fish was embedded in resin, transversely sectioned using a diamond saw and mounted on a glass slide with superglue. The number of opaque zones, the characteristics of the edge of the otolith, the sample date and the universal birth date of 01 January which falls in the middle of the spawning season (Ye *et al.* 2002) were recorded and used to estimate fish age. Otoliths were examined and interpreted against the *H. melanochir* otolith reference collection held at South Australian Research and Development Institute, Aquatic Sciences.

3.3.3 Statistical Analyses

Summary statistics, including parasite prevalence, intensity and abundance were compiled for each species using the raw parasite data. Parasites were identified as potential biological markers if they exhibited high prevalence (> 10% in any one site), were relatively easy to find, identify and count. They were categorised as ‘temporary’ or ‘permanent’ depending on their probable life span and mechanism of attachment on or in *H. melanochir*. To reduce the variance and aid with normalising the distribution, the natural logarithm of the parasite abundance (x) plus one [$\ln(x + 1)$] was applied to the data for all higher statistical analyses. Parasites with a prevalence $\leq 10\%$ in any one site were not considered a component species (see Bush *et al.* 1990) and were excluded.

Spearman rank correlations were used to explore potential relationships between the size and age of *H. melanochir* with parasite abundance and diversity. Furthermore, a Mann–Whitney U Test was used to determine if parasite abundance and diversity varied as a function of sex. Single factor analyses of variance were performed separately for each parasite species to examine differences in abundance between sites. *Post-hoc* Hochberg GT2 tests were also carried out to identify significant differences among means. Stepwise discriminant function analysis (DFAs) was used to assess whether the site of capture of each *H. melanochir* could be reliably determined from entire parasite assemblage abundance. A second DFA was carried out to explore whether the reclassification rates improved if only the ‘permanent’ parasite species were included in the analysis. The entry of predictors to the analysis was determined by the statistical entry of Wilk’s lambda (λ) with a P (entry) = 0.05 and P (exit) = 0.25.

3.4 Results

Two hundred and seventy-four *H. melanochir* (mean \pm S.D. = 233 \pm 28mm L_S) were examined for metazoan parasites from South Australian waters (Table 32). Females dominated the sex ratio ~6:1. *Hyporhamphus melanochir* size significantly differed between sites (Kruskal–Wallis, $\chi^2 = 143.90$, df = 8, $P < 0.001$), with the largest fish (>280 mm L_S) collected from Cowell, Port Wakefield and the Bay of Shoals (Figure 2). A greater proportion of *H. melanochir* collected from these sites were from the 2+ year age cohort ($\chi^2 = 62.86$, df = 8, $P < 0.001$), whereas 1+year *H. melanochir* tended to dominate the remaining samples (Figure 3).

Table 32. Number of *Hyporhamphus melanochir* (n) collected from each site in South Australia with corresponding mean standard length (L_S) and mean age, with respective ranges in parentheses. Predominant habitats including reef and seagrass (RS), seagrass meadow (SM), tidal flat (TL) and unvegetated soft bottom (USB) are indicated, following Bryars (2003).

Region	Site	Habitat	n	Mean L_S (mm)	Mean age (yrs)
Spencer Gulf	Corny Point	SM, USB	32	229 (195–277)	1.17 (0–3)
	Cowell	SM	30	261 (199–357)	1.93 (0–3)
	Port Broughton	SM, TF, USB	32	225 (185–287)	1.31 (1–3)
	Port Pirie	SM, TF USB	28	232 (220–257)	1.36 (1–2)
	Whyalla	SM, USB	31	229 (194–262)	1.10 (0–2)
Gulf St Vincent	Port Parham	SM, TF, USB	31	211 (182–251)	0.87 (0–2)
	Port Wakefield	SM, TF, USB	30	218 (188–305)	1.28 (0–3)
Kangaroo Island	American River	RS, USB	32	262 (242–281)	0.97 (0–2)
	Bay of Shoals	SM, USB	28	265 (231–314)	1.75 (1–4)

Fourteen types of parasites were distinguished from *H. melanochir* and four identified to species (Table 33). *Bomolochus bellones* Burmeister 1833 differs to redescrptions of *B. bellones* provided by Kabata (1979) and Ho *et al.* (1983) from: 1) an additional small spine on leg one, 2) a patch of spinules on either side of the ventral midline of the anal somite and 3) a patch of spinules on the ventral surface of the caudal ramus. *Micracanthorhynchina hemirhamphi* (Baylis 1944) Ward 1951 exhibited minor differences in measurements and spine counts from the original description, but largely agreed with *M. hemirhamphi*.




Figure 2. Box and whisker plot for standard length L_S frequencies of *Hyporhamphus melanochir* sampled from nine sites within three regions: Spencer Gulf (Whyalla, Port Pirie, Port Broughton, Cowell, Corny Point), Gulf St Vincent (Port Wakefield, Port Parham) and Kangaroo Island (American River, Bay of Shoals). Whiskers (or caps) indicate extreme values (minimum and maximum) while outliers are shown as a filled circle, placed at the outlying points (an outlier is any point that falls below $Q_L - 1.5 \cdot I_{RQ}$ or above $Q_U + 1.5 \cdot I_{RQ}$; where I_{RQ} is the difference between the quartiles, Q_L is the value of the lower quartile (bottom of the box) and Q_U is the value of the upper quartile (top of the box)).

Seven parasite species were identified as possible biological markers (Tables 33 and 34).

Four species, *Lernaeenicus hemirhamphi*, *Micracanthorhynchina hemirhamphi*, *Mothocya halei* and *Philometra* sp. are proposed to exhibit longer residence time in or on the host, based on information available in published literature and their mechanisms of attachment (see Discussion).

3.4.1 Variation in parasite abundance

Parasite prevalence and intensity differed between the nine sites sampled and not all parasite species were found at all sites (Table 34). In some cases parasites were observed only in fish collected from a few sites (e.g. bivesiculid type, opecoelid type, tetraphyllidean metacestodes), whereas *B. bellones* and *Conohelmins* sp. were found at all sites (Table 34).




Figure 3. Age-frequency distribution for *Hyporhamphus melanochir* sampled from nine sites within three regions: Spencer Gulf (Whyalla, Port Pirie, Port Broughton, Cowell, Corny Point), Gulf St Vincent (Port Wakefield, Port Parham) and Kangaroo Island (American River, Bay of Shoals).

Abundance of parasites was significantly different between sites sampled (Table 35). Seven parasite species displayed significant spatial variability (Table 35).

There was a positive correlation between *H. melanochir* size and parasite abundance (correlation coefficient = 0.171; $P < 0.01$) and individual parasite species abundance varied with *H. melanochir* size (Figure 4). Permanent parasites were evenly distributed across all sized fish (e.g. *M. halei* and *L. hemirhamphi*), more abundant on fish > 240 mm (e.g. *Micracanthorhynchina hemirhamphi*) or not detected on fish > 250 mm (e.g. *Philometra* sp.)

Table 33. Parasite species from *Hyporhamphus melanochir* indicating microhabitat, museum accession number and use as a biological marker

Higher taxon	Taxon	Microhabitat	Accession no.	Biological marker
Cestoda	Tetraphyllidean type 1	Intestinal tract	AHC 29819	No
	Tetraphyllidean type 2	Intestinal tract	AHC 29820	No
	Tetraphyllidean type 3	Intestinal tract	AHC 29821	No
Trematoda	Acanthocolpid metacercaria*	Gills	AHC 29815-16	No
	Bivesiculid type*	Intestinal tract	AHC 29814	No
	<i>Conohelmins</i> sp.*	Intestinal tract	AHC 29812-13	Temporary
	Opecoelid type*	Intestinal tract	AHC 29817-18	No
Monogenea	<i>Axine</i> sp.*	Gills	AHC 29810-11	No
Nematoda	<i>Hysterothylacium</i> sp.*	Intestinal tract	AHC 45439	Temporary
	<i>Philometra</i> sp.*	Body cavity	AHC 45440	Permanent
Acanthocephala	<i>Micracanthorhynchina hemiramphi</i>	Intestinal tract	AHC 45438	Permanent
Copepoda	<i>Bomolochus bellones</i>	Gills	C6843	Temporary
	<i>Lernaeenicus hemiramphi</i>	Flesh	C6844	Permanent
Isopoda	<i>Mothocya halei</i>	Branchial cavity, mouth	C6842	Permanent

*Indicates new host record. Museum collection acronyms and address: Australian Helminth Collection (AHC) and Marine Invertebrate Collection (C), South Australian Museum, North Terrace, Adelaide, South Australia 5000, Australia.

(Figure 6). There was no detectable correlation between *H. melanochir* sex and parasite abundance (Mann–Whitney $U = 3,942$; $P > 0.05$) or parasite diversity (Mann–Whitney $U = 2,083$; $P = > 0.05$). Discriminant function analysis of the entire parasite assemblage data detected significant variations in infection between sites (Wilk's $\lambda = 0.09$, $\chi^2 = 644.5$, $df. = 64$, $P < 0.001$). Two discriminant functions explained 84.5% of the between-group variability, with 49.6% of the parasite infection successfully being classified to the site of origin. Separation along the first discriminant function was mainly driven by differences in the infection of the acanthocephalan *M. hemirhamphi*. The second discriminant function was mainly driven by the infection of the trematode *Conoelmins* sp. and nematodes *Hysterothylacium* sp. and *Philometra* sp. [Figure 5(A)]. The analysis gave a classification success range from 20% for Port Wakefield to 97% for American River (Table 36).

The level of classification of individuals to the site from which they originated was 97%, 82% and 54% for American River, Port Broughton and Port Pirie, respectively (Table 36). In some cases *H. melanochir* were incorrectly classified as originating from a site in close proximity (e.g. 25% of *H. melanochir* collected from Port Pirie were statistically assigned to Port Broughton, which is < 40 km south) (Figure 1; Table 36). Some individuals were classified as being broadly distributed amongst both gulfs. For example, 57% of the fish collected from Port Wakefield in northern Gulf St Vincent were incorrectly assigned to sites within northern Spencer Gulf (Table 36).

Table 34. Prevalence and mean intensity of metazoan parasite infections of *Hyporhamphus melanochir* from nine sites within three regions of South Australia. Prevalence (%), expressed in whole numbers, followed by mean parasite intensity and range in parentheses.

Region	Spencer Gulf					Gulf St Vincent		Kangaroo Island	
	Corny Pt	Cowell	Pt Broughton	Pt Pirie	Whyalla	Pt Parham	Pt Wakefield	American River	Bay Shoals
No. hosts examined	n=32	n=30	n=32	n=28	n=31	n=31	n=30	n=32	n=28
Parasite species									
Tetraphyllidean									
metacestodes*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3; 4 (4)	0
Acanthocolpid									
metacercaria*	6; 2 (1–2)	0	0	11; 2(1–3)	0	3; 14 (14)	10; 2 (1–3)	0	0
Bivesiculid type	0	0	0	4; 1(1)	0	0	7; 8 (1–14)	0	0
<i>Conohelmins</i> sp.	9; 2 (1–3)	27; 8 (1–23)	6; 5 (2–8)	46; 3 (1–6)	77; 13 (1–138)	71; 13 (2–33)	30; 26 (1–152)	9; 6 (2–12)	50; 9 (1–19)
<i>Bomolochus bellones</i>	19; 1 (1)	3; 1 (1)	21; 1 (1–4)	25; 2 (1–3)	45; 3 (1–7)	39; 2 (1–12)	40; 2 (1–3)	13; 1 (1)	54; 2 (1–2)
Opecoelid type	6; 3 (3)	0	0	0	0	0	6; 1 (1)	0	0
<i>Axine</i> sp.	13; 1 (1–2)	0	22; 1 (1–3)	14; 2 (1–3)	19; 2 (1–4)	10; 6 (1–17)	33; 4 (1–22)	38; 3 (1–6)	36; 4 (1–10)
<i>Hysterothylacium</i> sp.*	3; 1 (1)	0	0	39; 9 (1–46)	0	7; 1 (1)	3; 1 (1)	38; 1 (1–3)	0
<i>Philometra</i> sp.	0	3; 3 (3)	3; 1 (1)	43; 4 (1–16)	0	13; 1 (1–3)	10; 2 (1–4)	0	0
<i>Micracanthorhynchina</i>									
<i>hemirhamphi</i>	47; 7 (1–47)	73; 4 (1–10)	3; 1 (1)	7; 2 (1–2)	3; 1 (1)	3; 1 (1)	0	100; 14 (1–86)	0
<i>Lernaeenicus</i>									
<i>hemirhamphi</i>	0	7; 1 (1)	0	7; 1 (1)	19; 2 (1–6)	10; 1 (1–2)	13; 1 (1)	6; 1 (1)	14; 2 (1–4)
<i>Mothocya halei</i>	9; 2 (2)	37; 2 (1–2)	9; 1 (1)	11; 2 (2)	45; 2 (1–2)	32; 2 (1–2)	23; 1 (1–2)	0	61; 2 (1–3)

*Larval stages. Tetraphyllidean metacestodes includes types 1, 2 and 3.

Table 35. Statistical analysis of the abundance of parasite species of *Hyporhamphus melanochir* amongst sample sites in South Australia. One-way ANOVA (column one) identifies which parasite species differ significantly in abundance ($P < 0.01$) across sites sampled. Hochberg GT2 *post-hoc* values indicate those species which differ significantly from each other amongst sites (denoted by lowercase lettering combinations).

Region	Spencer Gulf					Gulf St Vincent		Kangaroo Island	
	Whyalla	Pt Pirie	Pt Broughton	Cowell	Corny Pt	Pt Wakefield	Pt Parham	American River	Bay of Shoals
<i>Bomolochus bellones</i> ($P < 0.001$)	1.226b	0.500b	0.313b	0.030a	0.156a	0.600b	0.871b	0.125a	0.929b
Acanthocolpid metacercaria ($P = 0.143$)	<0.001a	0.179a	<0.001a	<0.001a	0.094a	0.300a	0.452a	<0.001a	<0.001a
<i>Conoelmins</i> sp. ($P < 0.001$)	10.387c	1.393ab	0.313a	2.533ab	0.156a	7.700ab	9.032c	0.531a	4.393bc
<i>Axine</i> sp. ($P = 0.001$)	0.323ab	0.250ab	0.313ab	<0.001a	0.188ab	1.467b	0.613ab	0.969b	1.429b
<i>Hysterothylacium</i> sp. ($P < 0.001$)	<0.001a	3.393b	<0.001a	<0.001a	0.031a	0.330a	0.650a	0.500a	<0.001a
<i>Philometra</i> sp. ($P < 0.001$)	<0.001a	1.857b	0.031a	0.100a	<0.001a	0.200a	0.258a	<0.001a	<0.001a
<i>Micracanthorhynchina hemirhamphi</i> ($P < 0.001$)	0.032a	0.107a	0.031a	2.700b	3.094b	<0.001a	0.032a	13.344c	<0.001a
<i>Lernaeenicus hemirhamphi</i> ($P = 0.031$)	0.452b	0.071ab	<0.001a	0.067ab	<0.001a	0.133ab	0.129ab	0.063ab	0.250ab
<i>Mothocya halei</i> ($P < 0.001$)	0.774cd	0.214ab	0.094ab	0.533bcd	0.188ab	0.333abc	0.484abc	<0.001a	1.143d




Figure 4. Scatterplots for individual abundance of parasite species against *Hyporhamphus melanochir* standard length (L_s).




Figure 5. Results of discriminant function (DF) analyses (DF 1 v. DF2) of the entire parasite assemblage of *Hyporhamphus melanochir* (A) and permanent parasites only (B), from two regions Spencer Gulf and Gulf St Vincent (northern Kangaroo Island sites are combined with Gulf St Vincent).

Table 36. Reclassification table for the entire parasite assemblage of *Hyporhamphus melanochir* sampled from nine sites within three regions: Spencer Gulf (Whyalla, Port Pirie, Port Broughton, Cowell, Corny Point), Gulf St Vincent (Port Wakefield, Port Parham) and Kangaroo Island (American River, Bay of Shoals). Bold values indicate successful reclassification to the site of origin.

	Whyalla	Port Pirie	Port Broughton	Cowell	Corny Point	Port Wakefield	Port Parham	American River	Bay of Shoals
Whyalla	35	0	10	0	0	10	19	0	26
Port Pirie	4	54	25	0	0	0	10	0	7
Port Broughton	0	0	82	0	3	0	0	0	9
Cowell	0	0	17	27	23	7	6	17	3
Corny Point	0	0	41	3	38	3	0	6	9
Port Wakefield	10	7	40	0	0	20	10	0	13
Port Parham	13	6	19	0	0	3	53	0	6
American River	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	97	0
Bay of Shoals	14	0	14	0	0	14	18	0	40

Discriminant function analysis of assemblage data for permanent parasite species (e.g. *L. hemirhamphi*, *M. hemirhamphi*, *Mothocya halei* and *Philometra* sp.) detected significant variations in infection between sites (Wilk's $\lambda = 0.172$, $\chi^2 = 469.25$, df. = 24, $P < 0.001$). Two discriminant functions explained 95.7% of the between-group variability, with 38.3% of the parasite infection successfully classified to the site of origin. Separation along the first discriminant function was mainly driven by differences in the infection of *Micracanthorhynchina hemirhamphi*, while the second discriminant function was mainly driven by infection of *Philometra* sp. (Figure 5(B)). The analysis gave a classification success range from 0% for Port Wakefield and Port Parham to 91% for American River (Table 37). In some cases *H. melanochir* from northern Spencer Gulf were incorrectly assigned as originating from a site in close proximity (e.g. 42% and 46% of *H. melanochir* collected from Whyalla and Port Pirie, respectively, were statistically assigned to Port Broughton) (Table 37).

Table 37. Reclassification table for permanent parasites of *Hyporhamphus melanochir* sampled from nine sites within three regions: Spencer Gulf (Whyalla, Port Pirie, Port Broughton, Cowell, Corny Point), Gulf St Vincent (Port Wakefield, Port Parham) and Kangaroo Island (American River, Bay of Shoals). Bold values indicate successful reclassification to the site of origin.

	Whyalla	Port Pirie	Port Broughton	Cowell	Corny Point	Port Wakefield	Port Parham	American River	Bay of Shoals
Whyalla	19	0	42	0	3	0	0	0	36
Port Pirie	0	43	46	0	0	0	0	0	11
Port Broughton	0	3	84	0	3	0	0	0	9
Cowell	7	0	7	37	17	0	0	20	13
Corny Point	0	0	44	22	19	0	0	6	9
Port Wakefield	13	10	60	0	0	0	0	0	17
Port Parham	7	10	55	0	3	0	0	0	26
American River	0	0	0	6	3	0	0	91	0
Bay of Shoals	14	0	36	0	0	0	0	0	50

3.5 Discussion

Multivariate discriminant function analyses of the entire parasite assemblage showed distinct groupings of *H. melanochir* according to their site of capture. Separation was mainly driven by differences in endoparasite infection, namely two nematode species (*Philometra* sp. and *Hysterothylacium* sp.), a trematode (*Conoelminis* sp.) and an acanthocephalan (*M. hemirhamphi*). *Micracanthorhynchina hemirhamphi* and *Philometra* sp. were suspected to be ‘permanent’ parasites and may be the most useful biological tags for *H. melanochir*.

Variation in endoparasite fauna may be a consequence of different biotic and abiotic features (e.g. temperature, salinity, currents) of the immediate environment and

corresponding availability of prey items infected with intermediate parasite stages. Most sites sampled appeared to exhibit similar habitats consisting of extensive seagrass meadows and patches of unvegetated soft bottoms (Table 32). American River was the notable exception, where the habitat is characterised as being predominantly reef with interspersed seagrass meadows (Bryars 2003). Shifts in feeding behaviour also strongly influence dietary constituents and consequent transmission of parasites through ingestion. Seasonal trophic shifts have been observed in the cape halfbeak off South Africa *Hyporhamphus capensis* (Thominot) which is an active herbivore during warmer months, shifting to invertebrates during winter months (Coetzee 1981) while *H. melanochir* exhibits diurnal trophic shifts, predominantly consuming seagrass during the day and switching to emergent benthic invertebrates at night (Robertson and Klump 1983; Earl et al. 2011). Seasonal variation in feeding behaviour demonstrates the importance of using parasite markers that persist in their host for prolonged periods as parasites that survive for less than a year are of little value for stock discrimination (MacKenzie and Abaunza 1998).

Acanthocephalans may permanently attach to the gut of the host (Pichelin 1997) and have been used previously to indicate host distribution over short distances (Cribb *et al.* 2000). Conversely, Zischke *et al.* (2009) predicted that the acanthocephalan *Neoechinorhynchus topseyi* Podder, 1937 only infected blue threadfin, *Eleutheronema tetradactylum* (Shaw, 1804) for relatively short periods. Live *M. hemirhamphi* were embedded in the gut lining of *H. melanochir* and were considered a ‘permanent’ parasite for this study (Table 33). Pennelids such as *L. hemirhamphi*, which anchor deep within fish muscle tissue, probably remain in their host until death, although there is no information available on longevity in wild fish hosts. Female cymothoids are also likely to be permanently attached to fish and some species may survive for more than one year on their host (Adlard and Lester 1994). Female *Philometra* sp. living in the body cavity were large and conspicuous, and cannot be easily lost compared to ectoparasites or gastrointestinal parasites such as *Axine* sp., *B. bellones*, *Conohelmins* sp. and *Hysterothylacium* sp.. Acanthocolpid metacercariae were labour intensive to remove from cysts, flatten, mount and identify and therefore are not considered useful as biological tags.

Accurate identification and knowledge of the biological attributes of a parasite species is fundamental to determine their application for host population differentiation. Although considerable care was taken in this study, identification can be severely limited by specimen quality and extensive taxonomic confusion in the literature. Selecting permanent parasites can be difficult because residence time in wild fish is generally unrecorded. In addition, reliance on commercial catch may bias observations. For example, *H. melanochir* collected in this study were confined to commercial catches and therefore the size of the fish was constrained by the legislated legal minimum length of 230 mm (L_T). Furthermore, the age structure of the commercial *H. melanochir* fishery is truncated to consist predominantly of 1 and 2 year-old fish (Fowler *et al.* 2008). These constraints precluded the reliable collection across a wide size and age spectrum. Fish sampled from Kangaroo Island were generally larger, which is likely to be a consequence of the commercial fishers selectively targeting larger fish with dip nets. If useful conclusions for management are to be drawn about population structuring in *H. melanochir*, then sampling must be more intensive.

A shallow inshore species with little tendency to migrate large geographical distances, such as *H. melanochir*, may exist as several discrete geographical populations in South Australian waters. Steer *et al.* (in press) provide evidence from otolith chemistry of a distinct *H. melanochir* population in northern Spencer Gulf that exhibits little inter-regional mixing. This hypothesis is further supported by the incorrect reassignment of fish sampled in northern Spencer Gulf to other localities within northern Spencer Gulf compared to southern Spencer Gulf (Table 37). In addition, the abundance of permanent *Micracanthorhynchina hemirhamphi*, did not differ significantly among locations sampled in northern Spencer Gulf, yet differed significantly between northern and southern Spencer Gulf localities (Table 37).

Parasite abundance in *H. melanochir* samples collected in close proximity off the north coast of Kangaroo Island varied considerably. For example, *H. melanochir* sampled from American River were infected by the permanent acanthocephalan *M. hemirhamphi*, whereas these parasites were not detected in fish from the adjacent (< 25 km) Bay of Shoals (Table 34). *Hyporhamphus melanochir* from the Bay of Shoals were infected by permanent isopod *Mothocya halei*, which was not detected in *H. melanochir* collected from American River (Table 34). Furthermore, *M. halei* was found to infect

fish across the size range sampled (Figure 4) and was recovered in all other locations (Table 34). These results imply that *H. melanochir* have limited local movement and that transmission of parasites may be concentrated locally. Certainly, parasites can indicate limited distributions of their hosts (e.g. Grutter 1998; Cribb *et al.* 2000; Vignon *et al.* 2008).

Some *H. melanochir* were classified as being broadly distributed amongst both gulfs, particularly those sampled from sites in northern Gulf St Vincent and northern Spencer Gulf (Figure 1). The incorrect assignment for these individuals is not clear, but suggests that sites that are separated by considerable distances may have comparable environments, resulting in similar parasite species abundance (Table 32 and 33). This discrepancy was also identified in recent otolith trace element studies and was attributed to environmental similarities rather than true migration pathways (Steer *et al.* 2009; Steer *et al.* in press).

The findings of this study provide further, supporting, evidence for a metapopulation theory where South Australian *H. melanochir* consists of a series of spatially separated sub-populations with limited levels of intermixing (Steer *et al.* 2009; Steer *et al.* in press). Species that are broadly distributed across large environmental gradients are generally partitioned into smaller component populations with different biological attributes (Secor 1999). These populations can be relatively discrete and self-replenishing but maintain sufficient exchange to preserve genetic homogeneity, as has been observed for *H. melanochir* by Donnellan *et al.* (2001).

High exploitation, combined with ineffective fisheries management, can result in depletion of fish stocks. Neglecting to account for a metapopulation structure, as suggested for *H. melanochir*, increases the risk of localised depletion. Steer *et al.* (2009) suggest that assessment and management of the *H. melanochir* fishery in South Australia may have to be restructured to align with smaller spatial units. Adopting a more holistic approach to population structure studies, which would include the assessment of the species' genetic diversity, meristic qualities, otolith microchemistry and biological markers has become the preferred option when there is a need to determine the relative size of management units (Begg and Waldman 1999). This study has identified four endoparasite species (including two 'permanent' species) that may be

used as biological markers for *H. melanochir*. Equivalent congeners could be useful for population studies of other hemiramphids worldwide.

4 PARASITE REDESCRIPTIONS

4.1 Redescriptions of two species of microcotylid monogeneans from three arripid hosts

This section has been published and may be cited as:

Catalano, S.R., Hutson, K.S., Ratcliff, R. & Whittington, I.D. 2010. Redescriptions of two species of microcotylid monogeneans from three arripid hosts in southern Australian waters. *Systematic Parasitology* **76**, 211-222.

4.1.1 Abstract

Microcotyle arripis Sandars, 1945 is redescribed from *Arripis georgianus* from four localities: Spencer Gulf, Gulf St. Vincent, off Kangaroo Island and Coffin Bay, South Australia. *Kahawaia truttae* (Dillon and Hargis, 1965) Lebedev, 1969 is reported from *A. trutta* off Bermagui, New South Wales and is redescribed from a new host, *A. truttaceus*, from four localities in South Australia: Spencer Gulf, Gulf St. Vincent, off Kangaroo Island and Coffin Bay. Phylogenetic analysis of the partial 28S ribosomal RNA gene (28S rRNA) nucleotide sequences for both microcotylid species and comparison with other available sequence data for microcotylid species across four genera contributes to our understanding of relationships in this monogenean family.

4.1.2 Introduction

All four species of *Arripis* Jenyns (Pisces: Arripidae), *Arripis georgianus* (Valenciennes), *A. trutta* (Forster), *A. truttaceus* (Cuvier) and *A. xylabion* Paulin, are endemic to the waters of southern Australia and New Zealand (NZ), and are caught by commercial and recreational fishers (see Paulin 1993 for a review). During a survey of the parasites of *A. georgianus*, *A. trutta* and *A. truttaceus*, two parasite species from the Microcotylidae Taschenberg, 1879 were recovered. *Microcotyle arripis* Sandars, 1945 is redescribed from *A. georgianus* as the original description by Sandars (1945) and subsequent redescriptions by Dillon *et al.* (1984) and Williams (1991) are based upon limited material. Our study also provides new geographic localities for *M. arripis* in South Australian (SA) waters. *Kahawaia truttae* (Dillon and Hargis, 1965) Lebedev,

1969, originally described as *Gonoplasius truttae* Dillon and Hargis, 1965 from *A. trutta* at Timuru, South Island, NZ (Dillon and Hargis, 1965), is reported from *A. trutta* off the coast of New South Wales (NSW) and from *A. truttaceus* from SA waters, providing new geographic localities for this species in southern Australian waters. This microcotylid is redescribed because the original description by Dillon and Hargis (1965) was based on limited material and the subsequent account by Lebedev (1969) was poorly illustrated. To improve our understanding of relationships within the Microcotylidae, newly sequenced partial 28S ribosomal RNA gene (28S rRNA) nucleotide sequence data for *M. arripis* from *A. georgianus* and *K. truttae* from *A. trutta* and *A. truttaceus* are compared with sequence data for other microcotylid species available in GenBank™.

4.1.3 Materials and Methods

4.1.3.1 Collection of hosts and parasites

Monogeneans were collected from the gills of *Arripis georgianus* and *A. truttaceus* at four localities in SA waters (Spencer Gulf, Gulf St. Vincent, off Kangaroo Island and Coffin Bay; see Results for geographical coordinates), from *A. trutta* from one location off NSW (Bermagui; see Results for geographical coordinates) and from *Sillaginodes punctatus* (Cuvier) in SA waters (specific locality unknown). Most fish were examined fresh; others were frozen immediately upon collection and processed later. Gills were removed, placed in individual Petri dishes of seawater and studied for parasites. Monogeneans were removed and preserved in either 10% formalin or 95% undenatured ethanol. Representative specimens were slivered along the body margin with the slivered section preserved in 95% ethanol and the remaining section preserved in formalin. Parasites preserved in formalin were washed twice in MiliQ water, stained in Mayer's haematoxylin, destained in 3% HCl, dehydrated in an ethanol series (70%, 90%, 95% and 100%), cleared in cedar wood oil and mounted on a slide beneath a coverslip in Canada balsam.

Tissue samples were collected from each fish, stored in undenatured ethanol and lodged with the Australian Biological Tissue Collection (ABTC) at the South Australian Museum Australia (SAMA), North Terrace, Adelaide, South Australia 5000, Australia (ABTC 108509–777).

4.1.3.2 Morphological methods

Drawings of preserved, mounted parasites were made with the aid of a drawing tube and measurements were made using a computerised digitising system similar to that described by Roff and Hopcroft (1986). The terminology of Williams (1991) for microcotylid monogeneans is adopted, using buccal organs in preference to buccal suckers, and ovary in preference to germarium. Unless stated otherwise, all measurements are presented in micrometres as the mean with the range in parentheses, followed in square brackets by the number of measurements made. A dash (-) indicates that measurements could not be made or were not available.

Measurements of lengths refer to the distance along the anteroposterior axis, except where noted otherwise. Length measurements of structures not orientated along the anteroposterior axis in some specimens, such as buccal organs, clamps, eggs and genital atrium armature, were measured along the longest axis of these structures. ‘Middle clamps’ are defined as being located halfway along the total haptor length and ‘posterior clamps’ are defined as being located at the posterior end of the haptor. Occasionally bodies and haptors were curved, so multiple linear measurements were made and summed to give total length. Body width was measured across the ovary and haptor width was measured across the anterior margin.

4.1.3.3 Molecular methods

Monogenean parasites for molecular analyses were preserved in 95% undenatured ethanol and collected from the gills of four fish species, *A. georgianus*, *A. trutta*, *A. truttaceus* and *S. punctatus* (Table 38). Additional monogenean sequences were obtained from GenBankTM (Table 38).

Total genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted using the Genra Kit (Genra Systems) to digest tissue and release DNA, followed by the RNeasy Mini Kit (animal tissue protocol incorporating QIAshredder pretreatment, QIAGEN GmbH, Hilden, Germany) to extract the DNA. PCR amplification of partial 28S rRNA sequence was carried out using two universal primers (Jovelin and Justine, 2001), modified with a 5' M13 tag, C1'M13 (5'GTAAAACGACGGCCAGACCCGCTGAATTTAAGCAT-3') and reverse D2M13 (5'-CAGGAAACAGCTATGACTCCGTGTTTCAAGACGG-3'), corresponding to

positions 25 and 1126 of the complete *Mus musculus* 28S rRNA nucleotide sequence

Table 38. Polyopisthocotylean monogeneans: specimens amplified, sequenced and analysed (- = not applicable).

Family	Monogenean species	Host species	Number of individuals analysed	GenBank™ number	Source
Microcotylidae	<i>Kahawaia truttae</i>	<i>Arripis truttaceus</i>	52	GU263831	Present study
Microcotylidae	<i>Kahawaia truttae</i>	<i>Arripis trutta</i>	13	GU263832	Present study
Microcotylidae	<i>Microcotyle arripis</i>	<i>Arripis georgianus</i>	1	GU263830	Present study
Microcotylidae	<i>Polylabris sillaginae</i> ^a	<i>Sillaginodes punctatus</i>	10	GU289509	Present study
Microcotylidae	<i>Microcotyle erythrinii</i>	<i>Pagellus erythrinus</i>	-	AM157221	Badets <i>et al.</i> (unpublished)
Microcotylidae	<i>Microcotyle sebastis</i>	<i>Sebastes</i> sp.	-	AF382051	Olson and Littlewood (2002)
Microcotylidae	Microcotylidae sp. M10	<i>Sebastes</i> sp.	-	EF653385	Aiken <i>et al.</i> (2007)
Microcotylidae	<i>Diplostamenides sciaenae</i>	Not specified	-	FJ432589	Su (unpublished)
Discocotylidae	<i>Discocotyle sagittata</i>	<i>Salmo trutta</i>	-	AF382036	Olson and Littlewood (2002)

^aSpecies identified from Hayward (1996)

(Hassouna *et al.*, 1984). Two additional primers were designed for improved amplification of fish fin clips, SC-FM13 (5'-GTAAAACGACGGCCAGACCTCAGATCAGACGAGACAAC-3') and reverse SC-RM13 (5'-CAGGAAACAGCTATGACCGTGCGTTAGACTCCTTGGTC-3').

Amplification reactions were conducted in a final volume of 25 µl containing: 2.5 µl of GeneAmp 10x PCR Buffer II (Applied Biosystems, Inc. [ABI], Foster City, CA, USA) 1.5 mM MgCl₂ (ABI), 200 µM of each dNTP, 10 pmol of each primer, 1.25 U of AmpliTaq Gold (ABI) and 1 µl gDNA extract. After enzyme activation at 94°C for 10 mins, the reactions were subjected to 60 cycles of amplification (94°C for 30 s, 50°C for 1 min and 72°C for 2 min). Negative controls were included to determine any potential contamination of the PCR products. PCR products were visualised with UV following agarose gel (1.5%) electrophoresis and staining with EZ-Vision Three, 6X (Amresco,

Solon, OH, USA). Products of the predicted size were cleaned (QIAquick PCR Purification Kit, QIAGEN) and sequenced in both directions using dye terminator chemistry (BigDye Terminator v3.1 cycle-sequencing kit, ABI) primed with M13 forward and reverse primers.

Sequence alignments, error correction and similarity analysis (neighbor-joining) were performed using Kodon (Applied Maths, St-Martens-Latem, Belgium) and PAUP* 4 (Swofford, 2003), including a bootstrap analysis (1000 replicates). Percentages over 50% were included on the neighbor-joining (NJ) tree. Representative sequences were deposited in GenBank (see Table 1).

4.1.3.4 Specimens requested and deposited

Five voucher specimens of *Kahawaia truttae* (as *Gonoplasius truttae*, W. 14952–56) lodged by Dillon and Hargis (1965) sampled from *A. trutta* in NZ, were borrowed from the Australian Museum (6 College Street, Sydney, NSW, Australia) for comparison with newly collected material. Voucher specimens of taxa reported in this paper are deposited in the Australian Helminthological Collection (AHC) of SAMA, Parasitology Section, North Terrace, Adelaide, South Australia 5000, Australia, the Parasitic Worms Collection of Natural History Museum (NHM), London, England and the United States National Parasitology Collection (USNPC).

4.1.4 Results

4.1.4.1 Microcotyle arripis Sandars, 1945

Class Monogenea Carus, 1863

Family Microcotylidae Taschenberg, 1879

Subfamily Microcotylinae Taschenberg, 1879

Genus *Microcotyle* van Beneden and Hesse, 1863

Type-host: Arripis georgianus (Valenciennes), Arripidae, “Australian herring, tommy ruff” (Sandars 1945; present study; Dillon *et al.* 1984; Williams 1991).

Site: Gills (Sandars 1945; Dillon *et al.* 1984); gill filaments (Williams 1991); primary gill filaments (present study).

Infection details: A total of 51 flukes collected from 50 fish; maximum intensity 4; mean intensity 2 (Sandars 1945); a total of 67 flukes (Dillon *et al.* 1984); a total of 12 flukes collected from 8 fish; prevalence 63%; maximum intensity 4 (Williams 1991); a total of 664 flukes collected from 183 fish; prevalence 72%; maximum intensity 21; mean intensity 5.1 (present study).

Geographic localities: North Beach, Swan River, Mandurah, Bunbury, Busselton, Albany, Woodman's Point, Scarborough and Whitford's Beach, Western Australia (WA), Australia (Sandars 1945); Ardrossan and Adelaide, South Australia (SA), Australia (Dillon *et al.* 1984); Swan River Estuary and Cockburn Sound, WA, Australia (Williams 1991); Spencer Gulf (Pt. Pirie [33.1770 S, 138.0102 E], Pt. Broughton [33.6001 S, 137.9315 E] and Tickera [33.7856 S, 137.7064 E]), Gulf St. Vincent (Edithburgh [35.0858 S, 137.7492 E], Stansbury [34.9135 S, 137.8082 E], Port Parham [34.4454 S, 138.2437 E] and Rapid Bay [35.5255 S, 138.1874 E]), Kangaroo Island (Kingscote [35.6576 S, 137.6439 E] and Emu Bay [35.6037 S, 137.5200 E]) and Coffin Bay [34.6224 S, 135.4628 E], SA, Australia (present study).

Specimens studied: 3 whole-mounts (Dillon *et al.* 1984); 6 whole-mounts (Williams 1991); 2 whole-mounts used for drawing (AHC 29751, AHC 29753), 10 whole-mounts measured, 5 voucher specimens deposited in SAMA (AHC 29751–3, AHC 29883–4), 2 voucher specimens deposited in NHM (NHM 2009.12.28.1–2) and 3 voucher specimens deposited in USNPC (USNPC 102673.00–102675.00) (present study).

Redescription (Figure 6, Table 39)

Body elongate, total length 1887 (867–2713) [10]; width 363 (155–564) [10]; body tapers anteriorly and posteriorly in most specimens (Figure 6A). Haptor weakly delineated, appears continuous with body, 569 (148–939) [10] long; width across anterior margin 161 (94–233) [10]. Haptor armed with total of 76 (44–96) [10] clamps, in two nearly equal ventrolateral rows (Figure 6E). Clamps of typical *Microcotyle*-type, similar in structure and size; middle clamps 22 (9–28) [10] long by 44 (26–54) wide (Figure 6D); posterior clamps 21 (14–43) [10] long by 36 (24–42) [10] wide.

Buccal organs 28 (20–35) [6] long by 28 (15–36) [6] wide; small, sclerotised, tooth-like papillae on rims. Pharynx 35 (29–40) [6] long by 38 (29–46) [6] wide; leading into oesophagus posteriorly. Oesophagus relatively long, 130 (115–144) [3], without diverticula. Genital atrium 38 (21–60) [9] long by 84 (39–113) [9] wide; located 208 (107–306) [9] from anterior end of body. Genital atrium arrangement and armature as in Figure 6B; boundary lined with large spines except posterior edge with spine points facing inwards; inner portion armed with numerous smaller, conical spines, points directed posteriorly; inner spines randomly distributed in some specimens, spaced somewhat evenly in others. Gut bifurcating immediately posterior to genital atrium forming intestinal caeca with medial and lateral branching (branches not shown in Figure 6A but note haematin residues); unclear whether caeca unite posteriorly.

Testes post-ovarian, 19 (15–21) [7] in number; of irregular shape 34 (17–52) [7] long by 55 (41–104) [7] wide. Ovary tubular, tapers posteriorly, difficult to trace course in most specimens. Vitelline ducts anterior, common vitelline duct posterior, complete structure Y-shaped. Vaginal pore not observed. Vitellarium commences at central margin of genital atrium, occupies both lateral fields, extends into haptor. Uterus situated in central field, proceeds to genital atrium. Eggs *in utero* fusiform with filaments at both ends (Figure 6C); eggs 122 (99–145) [2] long by 25 (22–28) [2] wide. Among live parasites collected, none was observed to lay eggs.

Remarks: Measurements for *M. arripis* collected in this study are presented in Table 39 for comparison with previously published measurements (Sandars, 1945; Dillon *et al.*, 1984; Williams, 1991). This species is redescribed here as new specimens show some minor differences to previous descriptions, which were based upon limited material. The present specimens differ from those described by Sandars (1945), Dillon *et al.* (1984) and Williams (1991) as follows: (1) body length shorter than described by Williams (1991), (2) haptor width larger than described by Sandars (1945), (3) oesophagus length smaller than described by Sandars (1945) and (4) middle clamp length, egg length and egg width smaller than all previous descriptions. However, despite these morphometric differences, the anatomy of the present material fits previous accounts of *M. arripis*.




Figure 6. *Microcotyle arripis* Sandars, 1945. A. Whole-mount (ventral view), composite of two individuals (AHC 29751 and AHC 29753); B. Genital atrium drawn from AHC 29751; C. Egg, clearly distorted from normal shape, drawn in utero from AHC 29753; D. Clamp drawn from AHC 29751; E. Enlargement of unflattened, more typical symmetrical haptor showing opposing ventrolateral rows of equally-sized clamps drawn from AHC 29884. Abbreviations: BO, Buccal Organ; C, Clamp; CVD, Common Vitelline Duct; E, Egg; GA, Genital Atrium; H, Haptor; HR, Haematin Residues in medial and lateral gut branches (not drawn); IC, Intestinal Caeca; OES, Oesophagus; O, Ovary; PH, Pharynx; T, Testes; U, Uterus; V, Vitellarium; VIT D, Vitelline Duct. Scale bars: A = 0.5 mm, B, C = 50 μ m, D = 25 μ m, E = 200 μ m.

Table 39. Comparative measurements of *Microcotyle arripis* Sandars, 1945 from *Arripis georgianus*, for specimens collected in this study and published data (measurements in micrometres; mean followed by range in parentheses; -, indicates no measurement available).

Characteristic	Nine regions from Western Australia (WA) (Sandars, 1945)	Ardrossan and Adelaide, South Australia (SA) (Dillon <i>et al.</i> , 1984)	Swan River Estuary and Cockburn Sound, WA (Williams, 1991)	Spencer Gulf, Gulf St. Vincent and Kangaroo Island, SA (present study)
Number of specimens	-	n=3	n=6	N=10
Body length	2080	2047 (1684–2530)	4347	1887 (867–2713)
Body width	720	500 (368–598)	539 (487–568)	363 (155–564)
Haptor length	480	797 (707–874)	1287	569 (148–939)
Haptor width	80	-	-	161 (94–233)
Total number of clamps	70	(68–108)	87 (78–96)	76 (44–96)
Middle clamps length	32	61 (56–70)	61 (56–66)	22 (9–28)
Middle clamps width	48	39 (34–45)	41 (37–46)	44 (26–54)
Posterior clamps length	-	38 (36–41)	-	21 (14–43)
Posterior clamps width	-	28 (26–30)	-	36 (24–42)
Buccal organ length	64	32 (29–37)	-	28 (20–35)
Buccal organ width	16	38 (37–45)	45 (41–49)	28 (15–36)
Pharynx length	-	43 (38–47)	-	35 (29–40)
Pharynx width	48	42 (38–47)	50 (46–56)	38 (29–46)
Oesophagus length	256	-	-	130 (115–144)
Genital atrium length	80	-	99 (92–103)	38 (21–60)
Genital atrium width	128	177 (164–201)	129 (114–137)	84 (39–113)
Distance from anterior end to anterior margin of genital atrium	352	190 (177–270)	395 (360–441)	208 (107–306)
Genital atrium spine length	12	12 (10–16)	-	8 (7–10)
Number of testes	23	(13–22)	18 (17–19)	19 (15–21)
Testes length	48	-	-	34 (17–52)
Testes width	96	-	-	55 (41–104)
Egg length	224	240 (233–248)	-	122 (99–145)
Egg width	80	83 (68–92)	-	25 (22–28)

4.1.4.2 *Kahawaia truttae* (Dillon and Hargis, 1965) Lebedev, 1969

Class Monogenea Carus, 1863

Family Microcotylidae Taschenberg, 1879

Subfamily Microcotylinae Taschenberg, 1879

Genus *Kahawaia* (Dillon and Hargis, 1965) Lebedev, 1969

Type-host: Arripis trutta (Forster), Arripidae, “eastern Australian salmon” (Dillon and Hargis 1965b; present study; Lebedev 1969).

Other hosts: A. truttaceus (Cuvier), Arripidae, “western Australian salmon” (present study - new host record).

Site: A. trutta- gills (Dillon and Hargis 1965; Lebedev 1969); primary gill filaments (present study). *A. truttaceus-* primary gill filaments (present study).

Infection details: A. trutta- a total of 24 flukes collected from 23 fish; prevalence 48%; maximum intensity 5; mean intensity 2.2 (present study). *A. truttaceus-* a total of 83 flukes collected from 67 fish; prevalence 39%; maximum intensity 14; mean intensity 3.2 (present study).

Geographic localities: A. trutta- Timaru, Canterbury Province, South Island, New Zealand (Dillon and Hargis 1965); Great Australian Bight, SA, Australia (Lebedev 1969); Bermagui [36.4202 S, 150.0822 E], NSW, Australia (present study); *A. truttaceus-* Spencer Gulf (Whyalla [33.0731 S, 137.6147 E]), Gulf St. Vincent (Cape Jervis [35.6082 S, 138.0961 E]), Kangaroo Island (Kingscote [35.6576 S, 137.6439 E] and Emu Bay [35.6037 S, 137.5200 E]) and Coffin Bay [34.6224 S, 135.4628 E], SA, Australia (present study).

Specimens studied: A. trutta- 2 (Dillon and Hargis 1965); 5 (Lebedev 1969); 10 whole-mounts measured, 5 voucher specimens deposited in SAMA (AHC 29781, 29782 [2 slides], 29887–8), 2 voucher specimens deposited in NHM (NHM 2009.12.28.5–6) and 3 voucher specimens deposited in USNPC (USNPC 102678.00 [2 slides], 102679.00) (present study); *A. truttaceus-* 2 whole-mounts used for drawings (AHC 29767, AHC 29882), 10 whole-mounts measured, 6 voucher specimens deposited in SAMA (AHC 29767–9, 29882, 29885–6), 2 voucher specimens deposited in NHM (NHM

2009.12.28.3–4) and 2 voucher specimens deposited in USNPC (USNPC 102676.00–102677.00) (present study).

Redescription (Figure 7, Table 40)

Measurements of *K. truttae* from two localities (SA and NSW) on two host species (*A. truttaceus* and *A. trutta*) are presented in Table 40, with previously published measurements. Body elongate, fusiform (Figure 7A). Haptor clearly delineated from body, wedge-shaped, lined on both sides by single column of clamps. Clamps of typical *Microcotyle*-type, similar in structure (Figure 7D), dissimilar in size: middle clamps longer and wider than posterior clamps (Table 40).

Buccal organs of similar width and length; rim armed with small, sclerotised, tooth-like papillae. Pharynx similar in length and width, smaller than buccal organs. Oesophagus relatively long. Genital atrium (Figure 7B) consists of two laterally placed pads (one to right and one to left of midline); each pad armed with a circle of spines with hooked tips; spines of similar structure, dissimilar in size. Gut bifurcated; intestinal caeca with medial and lateral branching (branches not shown in Figure 7A but note haematin residues); unclear whether caeca unite posteriorly.

Testes post-ovarian, abundant (number not determined), closely abutting but not touching; situated inter-caecally. Vas deferens wide; follows sinuous path anteriorly in midline to genital atrium. Vitellarium commences posterior to genital atrium, occupying both lateral fields, not extending into haptor. Ovary tubular, coiled in midline irregularly. Genito-intestinal canal present, connects right intestinal caecum with common vitelline duct. Vitelline ducts anterior, common vitelline duct posterior, complete structure Y-shaped. Mehlis' gland and vaginal pore not observed. Two dorsal cuticularised pits present, one on each side of midline (Figure 7A). Uterus proceeding anteriorly in midline to genital atrium, obscured from view by vas deferens. Eggs *in utero* fusiform, with filaments at both ends (Figure 7C). Among live parasites collected, none was observed to lay eggs.

Remarks: Measurements for *K. truttae* collected in this study are presented in Table 40 for comparison with previously published accounts by Dillon and Hargis (1965) and Lebedev (1969). The redescription given here is warranted because the original

description by Dillon and Hargis (1965) was based upon limited material, and the subsequent redescription by Lebedev (1969) was poorly illustrated. This study also provides a new host record for *K. truttae* from *A. truttaceus*. Specimens of *K. truttae* from *A. trutta* off the coast of NSW did not differ from specimens collected from *A. truttaceus* in SA waters based on morphometrics (Table 40). However, there were minor differences between *K. truttae* from *A. trutta* and *A. truttaceus* in our study and specimens described by Dillon and Hargis (1965) and Lebedev (1969) from *A. trutta* as follows: (1) middle clamp width larger than described by Dillon and Hargis (1965), but smaller than described by Lebedev (1969), (2) posterior clamp length smaller than described by Dillon and Hargis (1965), (3) number of spines on left pad less than all previous descriptions and (4) egg length smaller than all previous descriptions. Despite these differences in measurements and spine counts the morphology of the new material from *A. trutta* and *A. truttaceus* agrees with *K. truttae*.

Molecular analysis

The 28S rRNA fragments for the majority of taxa sequenced were 850–950 bp, but shorter sequences were occasionally obtained due to poor primer binding. Analyses included sequences for *Kahawaia truttae* from *A. truttaceus* in SA waters and *A. trutta* off NSW, *Microcotyle arripis* from *A. georgianus* in SA waters, and five other microcotylid taxa and one outgroup taxon in the Discocotylidae (Table 38). From the NJ analysis, the microcotylid taxa separated into four clades, *Diplostamenides sciaenae*, *Polylabris sillaginae*, *Microcotyle* spp. (*M. arripis*, *M. sebastis*, *M. erythrinii* and *Microcotylidae* sp. M10) and *Kahawaia truttae* (Figure 8). *Microcotyle arripis* from *A. georgianus* grouped within the *Microcotyle* spp. clade, yet was distinct from other species within this clade. The 10 individuals within the *P. sillaginae* clade had identical sequences. Replicated samples of *K. truttae* from *A. truttaceus* and *K. truttae* from *A. trutta* grouped together within a single clade, with strong support (Bootstrap value 100% after 1,000 replicates; Figure 8). Within the *K. truttae* clade, 52 individuals of *K. truttae* from *A. truttaceus* and 9 individuals of *K. truttae* from *A. trutta* were identical (Figure 8), providing confidence in the morphological study that the microcotylid species from *A. truttaceus* and *A. trutta* is a single taxon, *K. truttae*.




Figure 7. *Kahawaia truttiae* (Dillon and Hargis, 1965) Lebedev, 1969 from *Arripis truttaceus*. A. Whole-mount (ventral view) drawn from AHC 29767; B. Genital atrium drawn from AHC 29767; C. Egg, clearly distorted from normal shape, drawn *in utero* from AHC 29882; D. Clamp drawn from AHC 29767. Abbreviations as for Figure 6. Additional abbreviations: CP, Cuticularised Pits; GIC, Genito-intestinal Canal; VD, Vas deferens. Scale bars: A = 1.5 mm, B = 75 μ m, C = 100 μ m, D = 35 μ m.

Table 40. Comparative measurements of *Kahawaia truttae* (Dillon and Hargis, 1965) Lebedev, 1969, for specimens collected in this study and published data (measurements in micrometres; mean followed by range in parentheses; -, indicates no measurement available; R = right side, L = left side).

Characteristic	New Zealand as <i>Gonoplasius truttae</i> (Dillon and Hargis, 1965)	Great Australian Bight, South Australia (SA) (Lebedev, 1969)	Spencer Gulf, Gulf St. Vincent and Kangaroo Island, SA (present study)	Bermagui, New South Wales (present study)
Host species	<i>Arripis trutta</i>	<i>A. trutta</i>	<i>A. truttaceus</i> ^a	<i>A. trutta</i>
Number of specimens	n=2	n=5	n=10	n=10
Body length	11500 (11000–12000)	(7800–17100)	7867 (3897–11292)	10231 (5309–14455)
Body width	1480 (1440–1530)	(900–1900)	1320 (717–2322)	1361 (1239–1582)
Haptor length	1610 (1560–1650)	-	1078 (594–1349)	1649 (758–2268)
Haptor width	-	-	922 (471–1825)	993 (784–1288)
Number of clamps (R/L)	(56–57/51–52)	(48–57/48–57)	47/45 (36–60/38–55)	49/53 (41–59/44–63)
Middle clamps length	46 (45–47)	-	32 (25–41)	40 (30–49)
Middle clamps width	37 (35–39)	86	60 (50–65)	71 (66–75)
Posterior clamps length	75 (71–79)	-	25 (20–30)	30 (22–38)
Posterior clamps width	59 (56–62)	-	42 (38–53)	51 (41–60)
Buccal organ length	61 (58–65)	-	63 (53–77)	76 (63–98)
Buccal organ width	63 (61–65)	(50–90)	65 (54–76)	83 (56–97)
Pharynx length	43 (36–50)	-	54 (40–69)	59 (51–67)
Pharynx width	43 (36–50)	-	52 (38–68)	60 (55–64)
Genital atrium length	117 (115–119)	(113–288)	129 (106–151)	146 (127–169)
Genital atrium width	187 (184–191)	(163–363)	194 (135–310)	193 (163–231)
Number of spines (R/L)	27/34	28/33	28/28 (24–31/24–31)	27/28 (25–29/26–30)
Vaginal pore diameter	165	-	-	-
Vaginal pore distance from genital atrium	825	-	-	-
Egg length	241 (227–254)	275	175 (139–197)	190 (168–222)
Egg width	75 (68–83)	113	58 (31–72)	66 (59–83)

^aNew host record

4.1.5 Discussion

Based on morphology and host, the monogenean species from *Arripis georgianus* was identified as *Microcotyle arripis*, originally described by Sandars (1945). Phylogenetic analysis of partial 28S rRNA nucleotide sequence data using NJ supported the morphological conclusion, with *M. arripis* grouping within the *Microcotyle* spp. clade, but observed to be distinct from all other species (Figure 8). There is no published record of this parasite species from any other fish species, and therefore *M. arripis* likely exhibits host-specificity to *A. georgianus*. This is not unexpected as monogeneans are among the most host-specific of parasites in general (Rohde 1979; Whittington *et al.* 2000).

Kahawaia truttae was first recorded as *Gonoplasius truttae* Dillon and Hargis, 1965 from *A. trutta* at Timura, South Island, New Zealand (Dillon and Hargis 1965a), but after analysis of morphological structures, was re-classified by Lebedev (1969) into a new genus, *Kahawaia*. Morphological study suggested the monogenean species from *A. truttaceus* in SA waters was identical to the monogenean species from *A. trutta* in waters off the coast of NSW, namely *K. truttae*, which therefore represents a new host record for *K. truttae* from *A. truttaceus*. Molecular analyses supported this hypothesis, with 52 individuals of *K. truttae* from *A. truttaceus* and 9 individuals of *K. truttae* from *A. trutta* grouping together within the same clade with strong support (Bootstrap value 100% after 1000 replicates; Figure 8).

To enable further insight into the relationships within Microcotylidae established here, it would be preferable to analyse more sequence data from a number of nuclear genes including both coding and non-coding regions (McManus and Bowles 1996) and run analyses to incorporate these multi-gene data. Furthermore, incorporation of some sequence data from mitochondrial genes may shed further light on the phylogenetic relationships among microcotylids. An improved appreciation and understanding of informative morphological characters that separate microcotylid genera and species would contribute significantly to unravelling the evolutionary history of these higher polyopisthocotyleans.




Figure 8. Neighbor-joining tree from analyses of the 28S rDNA nucleotide sequence data for Polyopisthocotylea including seven microcotylid taxa and one outgroup taxon (see Table 38). Note that the top branch represents a total of 61 identical *Kahawaia truttae* sequences from *Arripis trutta* and *A. truttaceus*. Bootstrap values (≥ 50) are shown above the branches.

4.2 Redescription of an atypical species of trebiid copepod

4.2.1 Abstract

The trebiid copepod *Kabataia ostorhinchii* Kazachenko, Korotaeva and Kurochkin, 1972 is redescribed from female specimens and male specimens are described for the first time from new material collected from the gills of the type-host *Oplegnathus woodwardi* Waite, 1900 [= *Ostorhinchus conwaii*] captured in the Southern Ocean, off Port MacDonnell, Australia. *Kabataia ostorhinchii* and *Innaprokofevnas orientcolae* Kazatchenko 2001 are the only trebiids known to infect actinopterygian (ray-finned) hosts, while all other members of the family infect elasmobranch fishes. Scanning electron micrographs confirm the atypical body plan of *Kabataia*, which exhibits functional articulation between the first pedigerous somite and second pedigerous segment. The second and third pedigerous somites are fused and exhibit no flexure and the fourth pedigerous somite is free. The unusual structure of *K. ostorhinchii* is hypothesised to be progressive adaptation resulting from an ancestral host switch from elasmobranchs to teleost fish hosts. Deposition of specimens in a curated museum collection will enable future research into phylogenetic relationships within the Trebiidae.

4.2.2 Introduction

Parasitic copepods have modified structures from progressive adaptation to hosts and habitats. Cephalisation - the incorporation of successive segments into the cephalothorax, often associated with some loss of segmentation - appears to be the mechanism responsible for the development of the dorsal shield in siphonostome copepods (Kabata 1979). This structure has evolved as the means of adhering to the surface of fishes, without losing the ability to move over it freely. Progressive loss of segmentation can be observed among siphonostome copepods other than those with caligoid characteristics. Parasites that live permanently attached to gill filaments or other parts of the host have no need for it, hence, cephalisation is not usually observed in these species.

The process of cephalisation can be traced in a group of siphonostome families related to the Caligidae (Figure 9). The most primitive among them is the Dissonidae, exemplified by *Dissonus*, where only the first leg-bearing segment has been incorporated into the

cephalothorax and segmentation is exhibited between all four pedigerous somites (Kabata 1979; Boxshall *et al.* 2008) (Figure 9). This type of segmentation occurs in the majority of free-living copepods. *Trebius* spp. exhibit fusion of the first and second pedigerous somites, while *Caligus* spp. exhibit fusion of the first three pedigerous somites (Figure 9). It is generally accepted that *Dissonus* is more primitive than *Trebius*, while the latter is less advanced than *Caligus*. This is exemplified by the fact that those families considered to be ‘more primitive’ are generally parasitic on ‘more primitive’ hosts. For example, *Dissonus* live on elasmobranch and teleost hosts and *Trebius* spp. live exclusively on elasmobranch hosts, while *Caligus* live predominantly on teleost hosts (Kabata 1979; Deets and Dojiri 1989).




Figure 9. Progress of cephalisation in caligiform copepods, associated with development of the dorsal shield showing incorporation of the first (1), second (2), and third (3) pedigerous somites into the cephalothorax [Kabata, 1979; Boxshall & Halsey 2004].

Segmentation in trebiids is not consistent between genera. Trebiidae contains 3 genera, *Trebius* Krøyer, 1838 with 14 species, monotypic *Kabataia* Kazachenko, Korotaeva and Kurochkin, 1972 and monotypic *Innaprokofevnas* Kazatchenko, 2001. *Trebius* and *Innaprokofevnas* can be distinguished from *Kabataia* by the anterior cephalothorax incorporating the first and second pedigerous somites followed by 2 free pedigerous somites (segments 3 and 4; Figure 9). *Kabataia ostorhinchi* is atypical because it has a fused second and third pedigerous segment (Figure 9) that bears lateral plates. All species of *Trebius* infect

elasmobranchs, whereas *Innaprokofevnas orientcolae* is a parasite of a deep sea roughscale sole (*Clidoderma asperrimum*) (see Kabata 1979) and *K. ostorhinchi* is a parasite of a deep sea teleost fish (*Oplegnathus woodwardi*) (see Kazatchenko *et al.* 1972). The segmentation of *Kabataia ostorhinchi* is particularly curious, considering it lives on the gills of its teleost host, where cephalisation may not be as crucial compared to living on the body surface (Kabata 1979).

We provide the second ever record of *K. ostorhinchi* from the type-host *Oplegnathus woodwardi* [= *Ostorhinchus conwaii* see Gomon *et al.* (2008)] and report and describe male specimens for the first time. Fusion and segmentation in the body plan of *K. ostorhinchi* is confirmed using scanning electron microscopy of female and male specimens.

4.2.3 Materials and Methods

Four knifejaw, *Oplegnathus woodwardi*, were captured by recreational fishers on the slopes of the continental shelf off Port MacDonnell, South Australia, in May 2007 and May 2008. Copepods were recovered from the gills using a dissecting microscope and fixed in 70% ethanol. Specimens were cleared in lactophenol prior to morphological examination and drawings were made using a drawing tube. Selected specimens were measured using a calibrated eyepiece micrometer under a compound microscope and drawings were made with the aid of a camera lucida. Anatomical terminology follows Dojiri & Cressey (1987) and Huys & Boxshall (1991). Parasite prevalence and intensity, followed by the range of parasites recovered in parentheses, are given in whole numbers and follow Bush *et al.* (1997). The total length (L_T) range of parasitised hosts is presented in millimetres, followed in parentheses by the total length range of all fish examined and the total number of hosts studied. Five female and three male specimens were prepared for scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Specimens were dehydrated in an acetone series (70; 80; 90; 95; 100; 100%) before critical point drying and gold-platinum coating. Representative specimens were deposited in the Natural History Museum, London (BMNH).

4.2.4 Results

4.2.4.1 Kabataia ostorhinchi Kazachenko, Korotaeva and Kurochkin, 1972

Descriptions

Trebiidae

Kabataia Kazachenko, Korotaeva and Kurochkin, 1972

Kabataia ostorhinchii Kazachenko, Korotaeva and Kurochkin, 1972

Host and locality: *Oplegnathus woodwardi* (Waite), Oplegnathidae. Slopes of the continental shelf, off Port MacDonnell, South Australia, Australia.

Site: Gills; attached to secondary gill lamellae.

Prevalence and intensity: 100, 38 (6-89); L_T 401 (385-430) mm; $n = 4$.

Material examined: 21 ♀♀; 6 ♂♂ (including 3 ♂♂ and 5 ♀♀ studied by SEM).

Material accessioned: BMNH 2007.947=6 ♀♀; BMNH 2009.263-264=2 ♂♂; BMNH 2009.265-274=10 ♀♀.

Adult female (Figures 10-13): Body dorsoventrally flattened, comprising caligiform anterior cephalothorax in form of dorsal shield (Figures 10A, 11A,D). Dorsal shield and lateral plates with thin marginal membranes (Figure 10A, 11A). Cephalothorax incorporating first pedigerous somite (ventrally bearing leg 1) (Figures 10A, 11B-E) and fused second and third pedigerous segment (ventrally bearing legs 2 and 3). Surface suture dorsal (Figures 10A, 11B). One free pedigerous somite following, ventrally bearing leg 4 (Figure 11B). Genital complex apple-shaped (Figure 10A, B), consisting of fused fifth pedigerous and genital somites, ventro-laterally bearing leg 5 (Figures 12F, 13D). Caudal ramus approximately as long as wide, medial margin bearing setules and armature consisting of 3 + 2 pinnate seta following single maginal naked seta (Figure 10C).

First antenna 2-segmented, first segment with 29 setae (27 anteroventral plumose setae and 2 dorsal plumose seate); second segment with 13 setae (1 on base). Second antenna three segmented; second segment largest, with blunt projection (Figure 12A); third segment with a simple hamulus with two naked setae (Figures 10D, 12A). Basal part of postantennary process carrying two clusters of sensory papillae, another similar, but isolated cluster, on the sternum (Figure 12B). Mouth cone (Figure 12C) caligoid, labrum and labium each with marginal membrane about buccal orifice. Maxilla (Figure 10E) single dentiform process composed of 2 papillae, of approximately equal length. Second maxilla branchiform; flabellum absent; callamus with 3 rows of spiralling serrated




Figure 10. *Kabataia ostorhinchi*, adult female A-D. A. Dorsal view, with egg sacs, scale = 1mm. B. Ventral view of genital complex, scale = 500 μ m C. Caudal ramus, ventral view, scale = 100 μ m. D. Second antenna, scale = 100 μ m. E. Maxilla, scale = 50 μ m.




Figure 11. Scanning electron micrographs A-E. *Kabataia ostorhinchii*, adult female. A. Dorsal view, whole specimen with egg sacs. B. Dorsal view showing free first pedigerous somite (P1), fused second and third pedigerous segment (P2/P3) and free fourth pedigerous somite (P4); arrowhead indicates surface suture. C. Ventral view, showing boundary (arrowhead) between the first free pedigerous somite (P1) and fused second and third pedigerous segment (P2/P3). D. Ventral view, whole specimen without egg sacs. E. Ventral view, first leg removed, showing definition between first and second pedigerous segment (arrowheads).




Figure 12. Scanning electron micrographs A-F. *Kabataia ostorhinchii*, adult female. A. Second antenna with blunt projection (left hand arrowhead) and setae (right hand arrowheads). B. Postantennal process showing three clusters of sensory papillae (arrowheads). C. Ventral view showing mouth tube opening. D. Ventral view, maxilliped, showing ridged tip of claw. E. Ventral view, tip of maxilla. F. Lateral view of female with eggs showing seta (right hand arrowhead) and fifth leg (lefthand arrowhead) on the genital complex.

membranes (Figure 12E); canna with single finely serrated membrane. Maxilliped 2-segmented, robust corpus with 2 large patches of minute denticals on distal outer margin; claw denticulated at tip (Figure 12D). Sternal furca absent.

Swimming legs 1–4 biramous (Figure 5). Armature on rami of legs 1–4 as follows (Roman numerals = spines; Arabic numerals = setae):

	Coxa	Basis	Endopod	Exopod
leg 1	0-1	0-1	0-0; 0-3	I-0; II-5
leg 2	0-1	0-1	0-1; 0-2; 0-6	I-1; I-1; III-4
leg 3	0-1	0-1	0-1; 0-1; 0-4	I-1; I-1; III-4
leg 4	0-1	0-1	0-1; 0-3	I-1; I-1; III-4

Leg 1 (Figure 13A) biramous. Coxa with small lateral plumose seta. Basis with small inner plumose seta. Endopod 2-segmented. First segment unarmed, second segment with 3 plumose seta and lateral setules. First exopodal segment with large distolateral denticulated spine and medial setules. Distal segment smaller, armed with medial setules followed by 5 pinnate setae (3 large + 2 small) and 2 denticulated spines. Interpodal bar narrow and lacking marginal membrane.

Leg 2 biramous. Coxa with small lateral plumose seta. Basis with large pinnate medial seta, medial setules. Endopod 3-segmented. First segment with lateral setules and distomedial pinnate seta. Second segment with lateral setules and 2 distomedial pinnate seta. Third segment smallest, with six pinnate setae. Exopod 3-segmented. First segment largest, with large distolateral denticulated spine and distomedial pinnate seta. Second segment with distolateral denticulated spine medial and 1 distomedial pinnate seta. Third segment with 3 denticulated spines, increasing in size with the third being the largest, followed by 4 long pinnate setae. Interpodal bar with wide marginal membrane.




Figure 13. *Kabataia ostorhynchi*, adult female A-D. A. Leg 1, scale = 100 μm . B. Leg 3, scale = 100 μm . C. Leg 4, scale = 100 μm . D. Leg 5, scale = 50 μm .

Leg 3 biramous (Figure 13B). Coxa with large, distolateral plumose seta. Basis with large pinnate medial seta, medial setules. Endopod three-segmented. First segment with lateral setules and distomedial pinnate seta. Second segment with lateral setules and distomedial pinnate seta. Third segment smallest, with lateral setules and 4 pinnate setae. Exopod 3-segmented. First segment largest with large distolateral denticulated spine and distomedial pinnate seta. Second segment with distolateral denticulated spine and distomedial pinnate seta. Third segment with 3 denticulated spines, increasing in size with the third being the largest, followed by 4 long pinnate setae. Interpodal bar with wide marginal membrane.

Leg 4 (Figure 13C) biramous. Coxa with large, distolateral plumose seta. Basis with extremely large pinnate medial seta, medial setules. Endopod 2-segmented. First segment with lateral setules and distomedial pinnate seta. Second segment with 4 pinnate setae. Exopod 3-segmented. First segment largest with large distolateral denticulated spine and distomedial pinnate seta. Second segment with with lateral setules and distolateral

denticulated spine and distomedial pinnate seta. Third segment with 3 denticulated spines, increasing in size with the third being the largest, followed by 4 pinnate setae. Interpodal bar lacking marginal membrane.

Leg 5 (Figures 10C, 12F) vestigial, represented by two setiferous lobes. Anterior lobe bearing single, small plumose seta, posterior lobe bearing 3 small plumose seta.

Adult male (Figures 14-16): Body, dorsoventrally flattened, comprising caligiform anterior cephalothorax in form of dorsal shield (Figure 14A). Dorsal shield and lateral plates with thin marginal membranes (Figures 14A, 15A). Cephalothorax incorporating first pedigerous somite (ventrally bearing leg 1) and fused second and third pedigerous segment (ventrally bearing legs 2 and 3) (Figures 15A-E). Surface suture dorsal, more pronounced than in female (Figures 14A, 16D). One free pedigerous somite following, ventrally bearing leg 4 (Figure 14A). Round genital complex (Figure 14B), ventrally bears legs 5 and 6 (Figure 15F); 2 segmented abdomen. Caudal ramus armed as in female (Figures 14A, B).




Figure 14. *Kabataia ostorhinchi*, adult male A-B. A. Dorsal view, scale = 1mm. B. Dorsal view of genital complex, including legs 5 and 6, scale = 200 μ m.

First antenna 2-segmented, first segment with 29 setae (27 anteroventral plumose setae and 2 dorsal plumose setae); second segment with 13 setae (1 on base). Second antenna 3-segmented, second segment with 5 large crenulated adhesion pads (Figures 16A, B) and corrugated processes; third segment a curved claw bearing 2 large naked setae and corrugated adhesion pad. Basal part of postantennary process carrying two clusters of sensory papillae, another isolated cluster on the sternum. Mouth cone (Figure 16D) caligoid, labrum and labium each with marginal membrane about buccal orifice. Maxilla (Figure 16E) robust projection, dentiform with 1 large and 3 small accessory processes; base composed of 2 large and 1 medium setae. Second maxilla branchiform; flabellum absent; callamus with 3 rows of spiralling, serrated membranes; canna with 2 rows of serrated membranes; base with long, ribbon-like extension (Figure 16A). Maxilliped 2-segmented, robust corpus with 3 large patches of scales on distal outer margin (Fig 16F); claw ridged at tip; myxal process opposing the tip of the claw (Figure 16G). Sternal furca absent.

Legs 1–4 biramous armature on rami of legs 1–4 as follows (Roman numerals = spines; Arabic numerals = setae):

	Coxa	Basis	Exopod	Endopod
leg 1	0-1	0-1	I-0; II-5	0-0; 0-3
leg 2	0-1	0-1	I-1; I-1; III-4	0-1; 0-1; 0-6
leg 3	0-1	0-1	I-1; I-1; III-4	0-1; 0-1; 0-4
leg 4	0-1	0-1	I-1; I-1; III-4	0-1; 0-1; 0-3

Leg 1 as in female. Biramous. Coxa with small lateral plumose seta. Basis with small inner seta. Endopod 2-segmented. First segment unarmed, second segment with 3 plumose seta and lateral setules. First exopodal segment with large distolateral denticulated spine and medial setules. Distal segment smaller, armed with medial setules followed by 5 pinnate setae (3 large + 2 small) and 2 large denticulated spines. Leg one interpodal bar narrow and lacking marginal membrane.




Figure 15. Scanning electron micrographs A-F. *Kabataia ostorhinchii*, adult male. A. Whole specimen, dorsal view. B. Dorsal view showing surface sutures indicated by arrowheads and segmentation of pedigerous somites C. Whole specimen, ventral view. D. Dorsal view, showing functional and non-functional parts of pedigerous somites; suture lines between second (P2) and third (P3) pedigerous somites indicated by arrowheads. E. Ventral view showing segmentation (arrowhead) between first (P1) fused second and third pedigerous segment (P2/P3). F. Leg 5 and leg 6.




Figure 16. Scanning electron micrographs A-G. *Kabataia ostorhinchii*, adult male. A. Base of maxilla showing ribbon extension (arrowhead); second antenna in foreground; maxilla in background. B. Second antenna detail showing adhesion pads. C. Ventral view of male feeding structures. D. Mouth cone opening. E. Maxilla showing 3 small accessory processes (arrowheads); base composed of 2 large and 1 medium setae. F. Maxilliped and scale detail. G. Claw of maxilliped showing myxal process (arrowhead) opposing the tip of the claw.

Leg 2 biramous. Coxa with small lateral plumose seta. Basis with large pinnate medial seta, medial setules. Endopod 3-segmented. First segment with lateral setules and distomedial pinnate seta. Second segment with lateral setules and distomedial pinnate seta. Third segment smallest, with lateral setules and 6 pinnate setae. Exopod 3-segmented. First segment largest, with large distolateral denticulated spine and distomedial pinnate seta. Second segment with distolateral denticulated spine and 1 distomedial pinnate seta. Third segment with 3 denticulated spines, the third being the largest, followed by 4 long pinnate setae. Interpodal bar with wide marginal membrane.

Leg 3 as in female. Biramous. Coxa with small lateral plumose seta. Basis with large pinnate medial seta, medial setules. Endopod 3-segmented. First segment with lateral setules and distomedial pinnate seta. Second segment with lateral setules and distomedial pinnate seta. Third segment smallest, with 4 pinnate setae. Exopod 3-segmented. First segment largest, with large distolateral denticulated spine and distomedial pinnate seta. Second segment with distolateral denticulated spine and 1 distomedial pinnate seta. Third segment with 3 denticulated spines, the third being the largest, followed by 4 long pinnate setae. Interpodal bar with wide marginal membrane.

Leg 4 biramous. Coxa with lateral plumose seta. Basis with large pinnate medial seta, medial setules. Endopod 3-segmented. First segment with lateral setules and distomedial pinnate seta. Second segment with lateral setules and distomedial pinnate seta. Third segment smallest with 3 pinnate setae. Exopod 3-segmented. First segment largest, with large distolateral denticulated spine and distomedial pinnate seta. Second segment with distolateral denticulated spine and 1 distomedial pinnate seta. Third segment with 3 denticulated spines, the third being the largest, followed by 4 long pinnate setae. Interpodal bar lacking marginal membrane.

Leg 5 and 6 (Figure 14B, 15F) vestigial. Leg 5 represented by two lobes. Anterior lobe bearing single, plumose seta, posterior lobe bearing 3 plumose setae. Leg 6 represented by a single lobe bearing 2 large and 1 small plumose setae.

4.2.4 Discussion

Until the early 1970s, the Trebiidae contained only its type genus *Trebius* and the family diagnosis was identical with that of the genus. Changes in the diagnosis were required on the discovery of *K. ostorhinchi* which differs from *Trebius* spp. in having lateral plates on the second, fused pedigerous segment and in lacking the sterna furca. Scanning electron micrographs in this study show fusion of the second and third pedigerous segments in male and female specimens, confirming the atypical body plan of *K. ostorhinchi* compared with other species of the family.

Like many caligiform copepods, *Trebius* spp. are typically found on the general body surface or in the branchial chambers of their hosts (Kabata 1979; Deets and Dojiri 1989). Interestingly, Nagasawa *et al.* (1998) found that *Trebius shiinoi* is an endoparasite of the uterine linings and on embryos within the uterus of adult female clouded angel shark (*Squatina nebulosa*). The authors comment that this phenomenon is particularly unusual given that *T. shiinoi* seems little modified, if at all, to lead an endoparasitic existence. Fusion of the second and third pedigerous somites observed in *K. ostorhinchi* has enabled extension of the dorsal plate to incorporate the fused segment. It is likely that this atypical body plan of *K. ostorhinchi* may benefit its ability to manoeuvre and attach to the secondary gill lamellae of its teleost host.

The atypical body plan observed in *K. ostorhinchi* could be a result of a host-switch event. A host-switch could have been made by a common copepod ancestor infecting deep water elasmobranchs (i.e. species of *Dissonus* or *Trebius*) to a deep sea teleost host, or perhaps even *Innaprokofevnas orientcolae* from its pleuronectid host to *Oplegnathus woodwardi* (Figure 9). Specimens accessioned in the Natural History Museum in the current study will be valuable for future molecular studies to investigate the phylogeny of trebiids and their relatives. In view of the under-exploration of the deep sea environment (Webb *et al.* 2010), it is likely that more trebiids will be discovered in future that may contribute further to this hypothesis.

5 MOLECULAR TOOLS TO DISTINGUISH PARASITE SPECIES

5.1 *Paradeontacylix* n. sp. (Digenea: Aporocotylidae) infects three *Seriola* species (Perciformes: Carangidae) in three oceans

5.1.1 Abstract

Three wild *Seriola* species including amberjack *Seriola dumerili* (Risso, 1810), Samson fish *S. hippos* Günther, 1876 and yellowtail kingfish *S. lalandi* Valenciennes, 1833, (Perciformes: Carangidae), were examined for parasitic fish blood flukes in the Indian, Southern and Pacific Oceans off the southern coast of Australia. Four *Paradeontacylix* species (Digenea: Aporocotylidae) were found: *P. sanguinicoloides* McIntosh 1934, *P. godfreyi* Hutson & Whittington 2006, *P. cf. kampachi* and a new species. *Paradeontacylix* n. sp. was detected in all *Seriola* spp. examined and is described from the heart of *S. hippos* Günther, 1876 (type host) from the Indian Ocean off Rottnest Island, Western Australia (type locality). A key to the species of *Paradeontacylix* is provided. The new species is most easily distinguished from other *Paradeontacylix* species by a combination of: (1) a body length of ~2,800–3,650 μm ; (2) enlarged posterior tegumental spines; (3) a bi-lobed posterior margin; (4) a maximum number of 15 marginal tegumental spines in rows; (5) 46–48 intercaecal testes; (6) a testicular field occupying 30–41% of the total body length; (7) a portion of the uterus (58–105 μm) descending posterior to the seminal receptacle. The new species most closely resembles *P. sanguinicoloides*, which differs by having short, rose-thorn shaped posterior tegumental spines. New locality records are provided for *P. godfreyi* at Sir John Young Banks, New South Wales and *P. sanguinicoloides* and *P. cf. kampachi* off Rottnest Island, Western Australia. A phylogenetic analysis is provided for *Paradeontacylix* spp. based on molecular sequence data from two genes (nuclear ribosomal 28S and mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I (COI)). Monophyly of *Paradeontacylix* was supported strongly in the Bayesian inference analyses of COI data (Posterior Probability [PP] 95%) and 28S rDNA data (PP 97%). The Aporocotylidae was not monophyletic in the analyses of COI or 28S rDNA data. In the 28S rDNA analysis inclusion of numerous outgroups resulted in two non-aporocotylid taxa (*Clinostomum* spp.) being included with all aporocotylid species, but this relationship was not strongly supported (PP 57%). Following submission of this Chapter for publication, the new species will be formally named and described, type and voucher specimens will be accessioned in museums and sequences will be lodged in GenBank™.

5.2 Introduction

Recent collections of aporocotylids (see Bullard 2009) from marine fishes indicate that there is a diversity of undescribed species (Nolan and Cribb 2006a, b; Bullard 2010). This is undoubtedly true for fish blood flukes in *Paradeontacylix*, of which four new species have been described recently (Hutson and Whittington 2006; Lakshmi and Madhavi 2007; Repullés-Albelda *et al.* 2008). *Paradeontacylix* species can be insidious pathogens and have been associated with mass mortalities of cultivated carangid fish species worldwide (see Bullard and Overstreet 2008 for review). We provide a description of a new species of *Paradeontacylix* from the heart of wild Samson fish *Seriola hippos* Günther, 1876 caught near Rottnest Island, Western Australia. Phylogenetic analyses are provided for several *Paradeontacylix* spp. from specimens obtained in this study and analysed with data available through GenBank™, based on molecular sequence data from two genes (nuclear ribosomal 28S and mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I (COI)).

5.3 Materials and Methods

5.3.1 Host and parasite collection

Sources of host specimens are listed in Table 41 and their locations are mapped in Figure 17. Tissue from the majority of host individuals examined was stored in 95% AR grade ethanol and accessioned in the Australian Biological Tissue Collection (ABTC) at the South Australian Museum (Table 41). Hosts were sampled from fresh catches made by recreational, commercial and charter fishers. The heart was removed, opened, flushed with saline and the settled contents examined under a dissecting microscope. Other parts of the circulatory system were not examined. Parasite specimens were aspirated by a pipette and killed in almost boiling saline before fixation in 10% formalin or fixed directly in 95% AR grade ethanol for sequencing. Formalin-fixed parasites were placed in distilled water before being stained in Mayer's haematoxylin, then destained in 1% HCl in 70% ethanol. Parasites were dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, cleared in cedar wood oil and mounted on a slide beneath a coverslip in Canada balsam.

Mounted parasites were studied using a compound microscope and drawings were made with the aid of a drawing tube. Parasite prevalence and mean intensity follows Bush *et al.* (1997). The fork length (L_F) range of parasitised hosts is presented in millimetres (mm), followed in




Figure 17. Capture localities for *Seriola* spp. in southern Australian waters. From west to east; Rottneest Island, Western Australia ($32^{\circ}2'36''$ $115^{\circ}26' 24''$ E, *S. hippos* and *S. dumerili*); Greenly Island, South Australia ($34^{\circ}38'29''$ S, $134^{\circ}47'28''$ E, *S. hippos*); Port Augusta, South Australia ($32^{\circ}32'41''$ S, $137^{\circ}46'45''$ E, *S. lalandi*); Killarney, Victoria ($38^{\circ}23'36''$ S, $142^{\circ}20'24''$ E, *S. lalandi*); Culburra Cliffs ($35^{\circ}1'5''$ S, $150^{\circ}50'52''$ E, *S. lalandi*) and Sir John Young Banks ($34^{\circ}56'52''$ S, $150^{\circ}55'45''$ E, *S. lalandi*), New South Wales. Greenly Island and Killarney represent localities sampled in a previous study (Hutson and Whittington 2006).

Table 41. *Paradeontacylix* spp. infections in *Seriola dumerili*, *S. hippos* and *S. lalandi* off southern Australia in the Indian Ocean (Western Australia (WA)), the Southern Ocean (South Australia (SA) and Victoria (Vic)) and the Pacific Ocean (New South Wales (NSW)); see also Figure 17). Collections before 2006 represent data from Hutson and Whittington (2006).

Host species	Site	Date	No. hosts examined	ABTC host tissue accession no.	Parasite species	Accession no.
<i>S. dumerili</i>	Rottnest Island, WA	Jan 2009	2	109663-109664	<i>Paradeontacylix</i> n. sp.	Not accessioned
					<i>P. cf. kampachi</i>	Not accessioned
<i>S. hippos</i>	Greenly Island, SA	Apr 2005	4	102982-102984, 84002	<i>Paradeontacylix</i> n. sp.	AHC 28912
					<i>P. sanguinicoloides</i>	AHC 28910
<i>S. lalandi</i>	Rottnest Island, WA	Jan 2007	9	Not accessioned	<i>Paradeontacylix</i> n. sp.	Not accessioned
					<i>P. sanguinicoloides</i>	Not accessioned
	Port Augusta, SA	Jan 2009	2	109665-109666	To be confirmed*	Not accessioned
		Sept 2004-Oct 2005	32	108439-108448	<i>P. godfreyi</i>	AHC 28903-08; USNPC 097276
		Sept 2007-Sept 2008	18	108449-108465	<i>P. godfreyi</i>	Not accessioned
		Jan 2005	25	82932-82945	<i>Paradeontacylix</i> n. sp.	AHC 28911
Sir John Young Banks, NSW	June 2003	25	76755-76762, 81991-82003	<i>P. godfreyi</i>	Not accessioned	
	Feb 2008	131	109649	<i>P. sanguinicoloides</i>	AHC 28909	
Culburra Cliffs, NSW	Jan 2009	14	109650-109666	<i>Paradeontacylix</i> n. sp.	Not accessioned	
				<i>P. godfreyi</i>	Not accessioned	
				To be confirmed*	Not accessioned	

*Specimens have not been mounted and are maintained as 'wet' preparations in the event that further molecular work is required for publication of this Chapter and/or to make specimens available for future molecular studies.

parentheses by the fork length range of all fish examined and the total number of hosts studied. Measurements of parasite specimens were made using a computerised digitising system similar to that described by Roff and Hopcroft (1986). All measurements are given in micrometres (μm) as the mean followed in parentheses by the range and number of structures measured. Parasite material collected in this study is deposited in the Australian Helminthological Collection (AHC) at the South Australian Museum (SAMA) and the United States National Parasite Collection (USNPC).

5.3.2 DNA preparation, PCR amplification and sequencing

Aporocotyloid specimens fixed in ethanol were cut anterior to the caecal bifurcation under a dissecting microscope using a new scalpel blade. The anterior fragment of the worm was returned to ethanol, while the posterior fragment was stained and mounted as described above. DNA was extracted from 22 specimens (including *Paradeontacylix* n. sp., *P. sanguinicoloides*, *P. godfreyi*, *P. cf. kampachi* and a *Paradeontacylix* sp. to be confirmed; Table 41) according to the Genra Kit (Genra Systems) protocol for animal tissues preserved in ethanol. Extracted DNA was stored in hydration solution at 4 °C. PCR amplification of partial 28S rDNA and COI sequences was carried out with published primers and additional primers designed using OLIGO 4.0 (Rychlik, 1992) listed in Table 42. For amplification of the 28S rDNA dataset, primer combinations used were G1820/G1821 (approx. 800 bp) and G1842/G1843 (approx. 800 bp). For amplification of the COI dataset the M1174/M1175 primer combination generated a product of approximately 340 bp. Primers used for PCR were also used for sequencing. PCR amplifications were performed in 25 μL reactions using the following cycle conditions: denaturation at 94 °C for 45 s, annealing at a minimum 50 °C and maximum 65 °C (dependent on primers being used) for 45 s and extension at 72 °C for 1 min; this was repeated for 34 cycles and increased to 38–40 cycles when PCR product yield was low. Each 25 μL PCR contained a final concentration of: 0.5 U AmpliTaq Gold[®] (5 U/ μL), 0.2 μM of each primer, 200 μM of each dNTPs, 2–4 μM MgCl₂, 1 x AmpliTaq Gold[®] buffer. Annealing temperature and MgCl₂ concentration were varied to produce optimal amplification. PCR products were cleaned using Agencourt[®] AMPure[®] PCR purification kit and were cycle sequenced using the BigDye Terminator v3.1 cycle-sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems). The cycling protocol consisted of 25 cycles of denaturation at 96

°C for 30 s, annealing at 50 °C for 15 s and extension at 60 °C for 4 min. All samples were sequenced on an Applied Biosystems 3730 DNA sequencer.

Table 42. Primers used for PCR amplification.

Gene	Primer ID	Sequence (5' – 3')	Forward / Reverse	Source
28S rRNA	G1820	GATTCCCTTAGTAACGGCGA	F	Chen <i>et al.</i> 2007
	G1821	TCGGCAGTGAGTTGTTACAC	R	Chen <i>et al.</i> 2007
	G1842	CTTAGTAACGGCGAGTGAACAGGGATGAGC	F	Elizabeth Perkins
	G1843	CGGCAGTGAGTTGTTACACACTCCTTAGCG	R	Elizabeth Perkins
COI	M1174	TTTTTTGGGCATCCTGAGGTTTAT	F	Repulles-Albelda <i>et al.</i> 2008
	M1175	TAAAGAAAGAACATAATGAAAATG	R	Repulles-Albelda <i>et al.</i> 2008

5.3.3 Phylogenetic analyses

Sequence chromatograms were edited using SeqEd version 1.0.3 and aligned initially using Clustal X (Thompson *et al.*, 1997). Adjustments to alignments were made manually in SeAl version 2.0a11 (Rambaut, 1996) using inferred amino acid sequences where applicable (COI). Monte Carlo Markov Chain (MCMC) Bayesian phylogenetic analyses were run using MrBayes 3.1.1 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001). This analysis method allowed the data to be partitioned and optimal models of nucleotide substitution applied to each partition. The model of nucleotide substitution for each gene was assessed using the Akaike Information Criteria (AIC – Akaike, 1985) in ModelTest version 3.7 (Posada and Crandall, 1998). The General Time Reversible (GTR) model with a proportion of invariable sites and a gamma distribution for rates across sites was selected. The final MCMC analyses were run for 10,000,000 generations with a sample frequency of every 100 generations. Tracer v1.4 (Rambaut and Drummond, 2007) was used (to plot the generation number against the log likelihood value) to identify the point at which log likelihood values became stable and all trees generated before this point were discarded. A 50% majority rule consensus tree of the remaining trees was computed.

In analyses of COI data, newly generated sequences for *Paradeontacylix* spp. collected in the present study were aligned and analysed with aporocotyloid sequence data on GenBank™ and outgroup taxa selected from other basal trematodes in the Strigeidae and

Schistosomatoidea. In analyses of 28S rDNA data, newly generated sequences for *Paradeontacylix* spp. collected in the present study were aligned and analysed with aporocotyloid sequence data on GenBank™ and numerous available sequences for other basal trematodes in the Brachylaimoidea, Diplostomoidoidea and Schistosomatoidea (order Diplostomida of Olson *et al.* 2003).

5.4 Results

5.4.1 *Paradeontacylix* n. sp.

Aporocotyliidae Odhner, 1912

Paradeontacylix McIntosh, 1934

Paradeontacylix n. sp. (Figures 18, 19A)

Synonym: *Paradeontacylix* sp. of Hutson and Whittington (2006)

Type-host: *Seriola hippos* Günther, 1876 (Carangidae).

Other hosts: *Seriola dumerili* (Risso, 1810); *Seriola lalandi* Valenciennes, 1833.

Type-locality: off Rottneest Island, offshore from Perth, Western Australia (32°2'36"S 115°26' 24"E).

Other localities: Sir John Young Banks, New South Wales (34°56'52"S, 150°55'45"E, *S. lalandi*). Localities of previously deposited material (as *Paradeontacylix* sp.) from Hutson and Whittington (2006): Greenly Island, offshore from Port Lincoln, South Australia (34°38'29"S, 134°47'28"E, *S. hippos*), SAMA AHC 28912; Killarney, Victoria (38°23'36"S, 142°20'24"E, *S. lalandi*), SAMA AHC 28911.

Site: Heart.

Infection details: to be confirmed (see Table 41).

Previous infection details (as *Paradeontacylix* sp.) in Hutson and Whittington (2006): *S. lalandi*, Killarney, Victoria: number of infected fish = 1; prevalence 4%; intensity 1; host sizes 760 FL (460–790 FL, n = 25) SAMA AHC 28911; *S. hippos*, Greenly Island, South Australia: number of infected fish = 1; prevalence 25%; intensity 1; host sizes 1160 FL (1120–1160 FL, n = 4) SAMA AHC 28912.

Etymology: The species is not named in this report. The species will be named and described formally when this Chapter is published in the scientific literature.

Specimens deposited: Specimens not deposited at time of submission of this report.

5.4.1.1 Description

Paradeontacylix sensu Smith (2002), Figure 18. Description and measurements based on 7 whole mounted, adult specimens, comprising the type series. Body slender, dorsoventrally flattened, 3,177 (2,821–3,657, n = 7) long by 333 (289–397, n = 7) wide; approximately 10 times longer than wide, width consistent throughout specimen only narrowing at anterior and posterior extremities. Lateral body margins slender, ribbon-like, bearing numerous transverse rows of marginal tegumental spines spanning entire length of parasite except for anterior extremity. Marginal tegumental spines ventrolateral, arranged in 584 (555–631, n = 10) transverse rows on both sides of body, rows regularly spaced; number of spines per row increasing from anterior and posterior ends towards middle of body, 4–6 anteriorly, 14–15 at level of caecal bifurcation, 3–4 posteriorly. Posteriorly, 12, rarely 13, enlarged tegumental spines, conspicuous, tips in short tight curve, slightly curved distally, arranged in 4 longitudinal rows each comprising 3 spines. Spine length within rows increasing posteriorly; anterior spines 20 (10–35, n = 28) long; centre spines 25 (17–32, n = 28) long; posterior spines 29 (22–37, n = 28) long. Body margin extending posterior to base of elongated spines, bi-lobed, forming wide inverted ‘m’ shape. Additionally, 0–3 rows of medium-sized spines 12 (5–17, n = 24) long, arranged on either side of large spines. Nerve cords conspicuous, laterally extended; nerve commissure 131 (122–138, n = 4) from anterior end.

Mouth subterminal. Oesophagus narrow anteriorly, widening posteriorly, 1,160 (909–1,729, n = 5) long, ~35% of total body length, surrounded by gland cells from level posterior to nerve commissure to intestinal bifurcation; larger gland cells forming compact mass in posterior portion. Short anterior and elongate posterior intestinal caeca forming distinct H-shape, 775 (487–974, n = 4) from anterior end of body or ~23% of total body length. Left and right anterior caeca equivalent in length, 83 (78–100, n = 6) long extending anterolaterally from midline; right and left posterior caeca equivalent in length 1,356 (1,077–1510, n = 11), ~42% of body length, approximately 16 times longer than anterior caeca, terminating blindly, abutting ovary.




Figure 18. *Paradeontacylix* n. sp. A. Whole adult parasite, composite drawing, ventral view. B. Posterior region, composite drawing, ventral view, showing testicular field and post-ovarian region. *Abbreviations:* ac, anterior caecum; b, bi-lobed posterior margin; cs, cirrus sac; cv, common vitelline duct; e, egg; fgp, female genital pore; g, gland cells; lpts, large posterior tegumental spines; m, mouth; ma, margin; me, metraterm; Mg, Mehlis' gland; mgp, male genital pore; mpts, medium posterior tegumental spines; mts, marginal tegumental spines; n, nerve cord; nc, nerve commissure; o, ovary; oe, oesophagus; oo, oötype; ov, oviduct; pc, posterior extremity of posterior caecum; sr, seminal receptacle; sv, seminal vesicle; t, testis; u, uterus; v, vitellarium; vd, vas deferens. Scale bars = 200µm.




Figure 19. Large posterior tegumental spines of *Paradeontacylix* n. sp. and *P. sanguinicoloides* from southern Australian waters (ventral view). A. *Paradeontacylix* n. sp, showing bi-lobed posterior margin and pointed, slightly curved large posterior tegumental spines arranged in 4 longitudinal rows each comprising 3 spines. B. *P. sanguinicoloides* specimen showing rose-thorn-like posterior tegumental spines arranged in 4 longitudinal rows each comprising 3 spines. Scale bar = 30µm.

Testes 47 (46–48, n = 5), transversely elongate, stacked irregularly forming testicular field, 1-2 testes wide, mostly between posterior extremities of caeca. Testicular field 1,145 (990–1,278, n = 5) long, representing 37 (30–41)% of total body length; posteriorly contiguous to ovary. Vas deferens descending at mid-line from posterior region of testicular field, passing dorsal to ovary, following curved path to form seminal vesicle filling entire cirrus sac. Cirrus cylindrical, male genital pore dorsal, near left body margin, 474 (305–499, n = 5) from posterior end of body. Ovary 159 (116–196, n = 6) long and ~5% of body length, 23 (18–27, n = 6) wide or 72% of body width, located 640 (529–820, n = 6) or ~2% of body length from posterior end of body. Oviduct originating from right side of ovary, passing posteriorly, dilating to form seminal receptacle, 175 (160–221, n = 6) long, 42 (39–49, n = 6) wide. Posteriorly, seminal receptacle narrows, receives common vitelline duct, turns left to join oötype, ovoid, near level of cirrus sac, surrounded by Mehlis' glands. Uterus descending 81 (58–105, n = 4) posterior to oötype, then ascending through several coils filling space immediately posterior to ovary, finally descending to form metraterm. Female genital pore opening dorsally, anterior to male pore, near level of dilated seminal receptacle. Eggs ovoid 20 (14–31, n = 40), measured *in utero*. Vitellarium follicular, follicles extending from nerve commissure posteriorly to anterior margin of ovary. Anteriorly, common vitelline duct noted medianly, just anterior to testicular field, passing posteriorly ventral to testes and ovary, terminating at level of oötype. Excretory vesicle and pore not observed.

5.4.1.2 Remarks

Paradeontacylix n. sp. infected all three *Seriola* spp. at all localities sampled, except for Port Augusta (Table 41; Culburra Cliffs to be determined). The new species is most easily distinguished from other *Paradeontacylix* species by a combination of: (1) a body length of ~2,800–3,650 µm; (2) enlarged posterior tegumental spines; (3) a bi-lobed posterior margin; (4) a maximum number of 15 marginal tegumental spines in rows; (5) 46–48 intercaecal testes; (6) a testicular field occupying 30–41% of the total body length; (7) a portion of the uterus (58–105 µm) descending posterior to the seminal receptacle (Figures 18, 19A).

5.4.2 *Paradeontacylix sanguinicoloides* McIntosh, 1934

Type-host: *Seriola lalandi* Valenciennes, 1833

Other hosts: *S. hippos* Günther, 1876.

Type-locality: Atlantic Ocean off Miami, Florida USA.

Other localities: off Rottnest Island, offshore from Perth, Western Australia, Australia (32°2'36" 115°26' 24"E).

Site: Heart.

Infection details: to be confirmed (see Table 41).

Specimens deposited: Specimens not deposited at time of submission of this report.

GenBank™ accession numbers: Not accessioned at time of submission of this report.

Previous records: *S. lalandi*: blood vessels of the gills, Atlantic Ocean, off Miami, Florida, USA (Holotype: USNPC 34329, McIntosh 1934); heart, Sir John Young Banks, New South Wales, Australia (34°56'52"S, 150°55'45"E) (voucher: SAMA AHC 28909, Hutson & Whittington 2006). *S. hippos*, heart, Greenly Island, offshore from Port Lincoln, South Australia (34°38'29"S, 134°47'28"E) (voucher: SAMA AHC 28910, Hutson and Whittington 2006).

5.4.2.1 Remarks

Paradeontacylix sanguinicoloides infected *Seriola lalandi* and *S. hippos* and was present at all locations sampled, except for Port Augusta, SA and Killarney, Victoria (Table 41; Culburra Cliffs to be determined). The additional material collected in this study provides a new locality record for this species in the Indian Ocean. The Australian specimens differ from the single type specimen (collected from the Atlantic Ocean, off Miami,

Florida, USA, Holotype: USNPC 34329 of McIntosh (1934) (damaged specimen)) in that they exhibit a smooth, rounded margin (Figure 19B) whereas a bi-lobed posterior margin was observed in photographs of the type specimen kindly provided by the USNPC. This feature was not included in the original drawing or description by McIntosh (1934). It is possible that Australian specimens of *P. sanguinicoloides* exhibit additional features that may distinguish them from the type, but this cannot be determined until more specimens are collected from the type-host from the type-locality.

5.4.3 *Paradeontacylix godfreyi* Hutson and Whittington 2006

Type-host: *Seriola lalandi* Valenciennes, 1833.

Type-locality: Port Augusta, northern Spencer Gulf, South Australia (32° 42'04"S, 137°46'17"E).

Other localities: present study, Sir John Young Banks, New South Wales (34°56'52"S, 150°55'45"E, *S. lalandi*).

Site: Heart.

Infection details: to be confirmed (see Table 41).

Specimens deposited: Specimens not deposited at time of submission of this report.

GenBank™ accession numbers: Not accessioned at time of submission of this report.

Previous records: Hutson and Whittington 2006, Killarney, Victoria (38°23'36"S, 142°20'24"E, *S. lalandi*)

5.4.3.1 Remarks

Paradeontacylix godfreyi was not found in any specimens of *S. dumerili* or *S. hippos* studied. This species of *Paradeontacylix* infected *S. lalandi* at all localities where this host species was sampled (Figure 17, Table 41; Culburra Cliffs to be determined).

5.4.4 *Paradeontacylix* cf. *kampachi*

Type-host: *Seriola dumerili* (Risso, 1810)

Type-locality: for *Paradeontacylix kampachi* Ogawa and Egusa 1986; Nomi Bay, Japan.

Locality: for *Paradeontacylix* cf. *kampachi*, off Rottnest Island, offshore from Perth, Western Australia, Australia (32°2'36" 115°26' 24"E) (present study)

Site: Heart.

Infection details: to be confirmed (see Table 41).

Specimens deposited: Specimens not deposited at time of submission of this report.

GenBank™ accession numbers: Not accessioned at time of submission of this report.

5.4.4.1 Remarks

A single specimen was recovered showing strong morphological similarities to *Paradeontacylix kampachi*. This specimen was cut and used in molecular analyses and the posterior section was stained and mounted for morphology. The specimen was not of sufficient quality to enable definitive identification but is designated here as *P. cf. kampachi*.

5.4.5 Key to the species of *Paradeontacylix*

1. Body length 1,300–4,200 µm.....2
 - Body length 9,800 µm.....*P. odhneri*
2. Posterior tegumental spines small, inconspicuous.....3
 - Posterior tegumental spines conspicuous, larger than marginal tegumental spines.....5
3. Testes arranged in two or three irregular longitudinal rows, extending laterally to posterior intestinal caeca.....4
 - Testes circular, in median longitudinal row, more or less contained within space between posterior intestinal caeca.....*P. megalaspium*
4. Testicular field occupying 19-34% of total body length; male genital pore 440-730 from posterior extremity.....*P. kampachi*
 - Testicular field occupying ~22% of total body length; male genital pore 211-401 from posterior extremity.....*P. ibericus*
5. Body length 1,300–3,650 µm; posterior caeca terminating blindly close to, or abutting, ovary; testicular field occupying > 25% of total body length; < 18 spines in marginal rows at level of caecal bifurcation.....6
 - Body length 3,700–4,200 µm; posterior caeca terminating blindly within testicular field; testicular field occupying < 25% of total body length; ≥ 18 spines in marginal rows at level of caecal bifurcation.....*P. godfreyi*
6. Maximum of 13 tegumental spines in marginal rows; fewer than 35 testes; portion of uterus descending to level of seminal vesicle.....7

- More than 13 tegumental spines in marginal rows; greater than 35 testes; portion of uterus, descending posterior to level of seminal vesicle.....8
- 7. Body approximately 7 times longer than wide; testicular field occupying 27-38% of total body length; male genital pore 320-550 from posterior extremity.....*P. grandispinus*
- Body approximately 10 times longer than wide; testicular field occupying 34% of total body length; male genital pore 97-193 from posterior extremity.....*P. balearicus*
- 8. Posterior spines increasing in length posteriorly, posterior spines 22-37 μm long.....*Paradeontacylix* n. sp.
- Posterior spines mostly uniform in length, posterior spines 13–19 μm long.....*P. sanguinicoloides*

5.4.5.1 Remarks

The description of *P. odhneri* Layman, 1930 does not provide sufficient detail of important characters for species discrimination (see Layman 1930) and additional material of this species is required to aid a more detailed comparison with other species.

Paradeontacylix sinensis Liu, 1997, was reassigned to *Psettarium* Goto and Ozaki, 1929 by Holzer *et al.* (2008) and is therefore not included in the key.

5.4.6 Phylogenetic analyses

We present the Bayesian 50% majority rule consensus tree for the COI data in Figure 20 along with posterior probabilities (PP). Monophyly of *Paradeontacylix* was supported strongly (PP 95%). A clade comprising two undescribed aporocotyloid (=sanguinicolid) species formed the sister group to *Paradeontacylix* (PP 99%). Due to the exclusion of the aporocotyloid *Chimaerohemecus trondheimensis*, an aporocotyloid from a chimaera, the Aporocotyliidae are not monophyletic based on the taxon representation analysed. Sister to all *Paradeontacylix* spp. and the two undescribed sanguinicolid spp. were species from the Strigeidae (*Apatemon* spp. and *Ichthyocotylurus* spp.). The most important result for the purposes of this study is that a clade of monophyletic *Paradeontacylix* species all of which are likely to be *P. godfreyi*, was strongly supported (PP 100%) using COI data. The remaining *Paradeontacylix* spp. (representatives from the Mediterranean (*P. balearicus* and *P. ibericus*) and one from southern Australia (*P. cf. kampachi*)) were distributed in two clades. *Paradeontacylix kampachi* did not form a monophyletic group

with representatives in two different clades and *P. cf. kampachi* was also separate in this analysis.

We present the Bayesian 50% majority rule consensus tree for the 28S rDNA data in Figure 21 along with posterior probabilities. Inclusion of many more fish blood fluke taxa and numerous basal trematode outgroups from a variety of vertebrate hosts resulted in two non-aporocotyloid taxa (*Clinostomum* spp. [Clinostomatidae]) being included with all aporocotyloid species but this relationship was not strongly supported (PP 57%). The sister group to the clades containing aporocotyloids and two clinostomatid taxa is a large group containing representatives of diplostomatids, brachylaimids, spirorchiids and some schistosomatids. Important for this study is the discovery that *Paradeontacylix* spp. were monophyletic (Figure 21, PP 97%). Species in another aporocotyloid genus, *Cardicola*, were not monophyletic with two representatives, *C. aurata* and *C. forsteri*, separated in the tree.




Figure 20. A 50% majority rule consensus tree produced from Bayesian inference analyses of the CO1 data for the Apocotylidae and outgroup taxa. Posterior probabilities are indicated above each node. The *Paradeontacylix* sp. is a presently unidentified species from *Seriola lalandi*. *Paradeontacylix* n. sp. was not sequenced for CO1. GenBank™ accession numbers are shown in brackets for each taxon sequenced previously for CO1. All other taxa were newly sequenced in the present study.




Figure 21. A 50% majority rule consensus tree produced from Bayesian inference analyses of the 28S rDNA data for the Apocotylidae and numerous outgroup taxa. Posterior probabilities are indicated above each node. The *Paradeontacylix* sp. is a presently unidentified species from *Seriola lalandi*. GenBank™ accession numbers are shown in brackets for each taxon sequenced previously for 28S rDNA. All other taxa were newly sequenced in the present study.

5.5 Discussion

5.5.1 *Paradeontacylix* n. sp.

Paradeontacylix n. sp. differs from other species assigned to *Paradeontacylix* by a combination of: (1) a body length of ~2,800–3,650 μm ; (2) enlarged posterior tegumental spines 20–29 μm long; (3) a bi-lobed posterior margin; (4) a maximum number of 15 marginal tegumental spines in rows; (5) 46–48 intercecal testes; (6) a testicular field occupying 30–41% of the total body length and; (7) a considerable portion of the uterus descending posterior to the seminal receptacle. The new species most closely resembles *P. sanguinicoloides* and *P. grandispinus*. *Paradeontacylix* n. sp. and *P. grandispinus* share the same host species (*Seriola dumerili*), possess enlarged posterior spines and a similar testicular field relative to body length. However, *P. grandispinus* has fewer testes (19–32) and the uterus does not descend posterior to the seminal receptacle. *Paradeontacylix* n. sp. and *P. sanguinicoloides* share two host species (*S. hippos* and *S. lalandi*) and exhibit a similar number of testes, extent of testicular field, number of rows of tegumental marginal spines and the maximum number of tegumental marginal spines in rows. The dissimilarities between these two species are largely observed in the size and shape of the posterior spines and the shape of the posterior margin of the body. *Paradeontacylix* n. sp. exhibits elongate posterior spines compared with *P. sanguinicoloides* where the spines are wider at the base and shorter in length (Figure 19). The posterior tegumental spines are more similar in *Paradeontacylix* n. sp. and *P. grandispinus* where the posterior spines measure 22–37 and 21–26 μm in length, respectively.

The new species exhibits a bi-lobed posterior margin which has not been noted previously in *Paradeontacylix*. Although this feature was not observed in Australian *P. sanguinicoloides*, a bi-lobed margin is evident in photographs of the (damaged) single type specimen collected from the Atlantic Ocean, off Miami, Florida, USA (Holotype: USNPC 34329, described by McIntosh 1934). It is possible that Australian specimens of *P. sanguinicoloides* exhibit additional features that may differ from the type, but this cannot be verified until further specimens are collected from the type-host at the type-locality.

5.5.2 Phylogenetic relationships

Aiken *et al.* (2007) hypothesised that platyhelminth parasites of large pelagic fishes are common around the world. Their comparisons of ITS2 and partial 28S rDNA sequence data indicated two *Cardicola* species from multiple scombrid hosts and localities. Some *Seriola* spp. also exhibit worldwide distributions (e.g. *S. dumerili* and *S. lalandi*) but it appears that *Paradeontacylix* species may not necessarily share their host's distribution in the same oceans or hemispheres. Repullés-Albelda *et al.* (2008) proposed two new species of *Paradeontacylix* from *S. dumerili* off Spain, namely *P. ibericus* and *P. balearicus*, which are similar morphologically to *P. kampachi* and *P. grandispinus*, respectively, from the same host species off Japan. They reported low sequence variability for three genes studied for *P. ibericus* and *P. kampachi* (0.2% for 28S; 4.7% for ITS2; 7% for COI) and for *P. balearicus* and *P. grandispinus* (0.2% for 28S; 2.5% for ITS2; 6.3% for COI).

Similarly, *Paradeontacylix* cf. *kampachi* infected Australian *S. dumerili* (Table 41) and forms a sister clade to *P. ibericus* and *P. kampachi* based on COI data (Figure 20). Further sampling of *S. dumerili* in Australia may reveal whether this taxon represents a new species that exhibits minor morphological differences to *P. ibericus* and *P. kampachi*. *Paradeontacylix* n. sp. showed 99% sequence similarity for 28S rDNA data to *P. sanguinicoloides* and 98% similarity to *P. grandispinus*.

Paradeontacylix kampachi did not form a monophyletic group based on COI data with representatives in two different clades (Figure 20; *P. kampachi* AM489606). This is very likely to be the result of an error made when depositing sequence data in GenBank™. It seems highly probable that data for *P. grandispinus* was incorrectly ascribed to *P. kampachi* (see Repullés-Albelda *et al.* 2008; Holzer *et al.* 2008). This demonstrates the value of sequencing a cut, anterior segment of the parasite and accessioning the posterior section to a museum. The posterior section of *Paradeontacylix* spp. display the most valuable morphological characters for species identification. Alternatively, the lack of monophyly may indicate the presence of a cryptic species or that the authors amplified nuclear copies of mitochondrial DNA containing COI sequence data.

Cardicola spp. were not monophyletic, with two representatives, *C. aurata* and *C. forsteri*, separated in the 28S rDNA tree (Figure 21). Similarly, Holzer *et al.* (2008) also reported that

C. aurata formed a sister clade to *Paradeontacylix* spp. using ITS2 rDNA sequences. In our analyses of available 28S rDNA sequence data, the extensive number of outgroups included represents the significant volume of research on medically important trematodes such as human blood flukes (*Schistosoma* spp.) and some of their relatives that infect reptiles, birds and primates (Schistosomatidae), turtles (Spirorchiidae) plus other basal trematodes representing Diplostomidae, Brachylaimidae and Strigeidae, members of which infect a range of aquatic and terrestrial vertebrate final hosts. By incorporating our new sequence data for several *Paradeontacylix* spp. into a broader sample of taxa, our results support the analysis of Olson *et al.* (2003) because the three trematode families that inhabit the circulatory systems of their final vertebrate hosts, the Aporocotylidae, Schistosomatidae and Spirorchiidae, do not form a monophyletic group unless the Clinostomatidae, a family whose species do not inhabit blood, are included. Of particular significance from our analyses is that the two included clinostomatid species nest within the Aporocotylidae. It is evident that quality specimens are required for morphological and molecular research to examine relationships within *Paradeontacylix* and between fish blood fluke genera.

5.5.3 Coexistence of congeneric species

Modification of the posterior spines and margin morphology in *Paradeontacylix* n. sp. may be a consequence of site specificity in the circulatory system and may account for the coexistence of congeneric species in the same host species. Montero *et al.* (2009) determined that the main habitat for adult *P. ibericus* were the blood vessels supplying the thoracic and pelvic girdle muscles, with parasite intensity gradually decreasing in those sites in the blood system located furthest from the girdles. *Paradeontacylix* spp. presumably anchor to the lining of the host's circulatory system using posterior and marginal spines. Complicating the matter of site specificity is that at least some aporocotylid adults are motile and may relocate within the fish's vascular system (Bullard 2010).

Some fish blood fluke species may infect multiple fish host species and an individual host fish specimen can be infected by multiple congeners (e.g. Hutson and Whittington 2006; Aiken *et al.* 2007; present study, Table 41). The occurrence of the same parasite species in more than one host species can indicate the maintenance of single parasite species, following host speciation, resulting from continued gene flow between parasite populations in different host species. It can also result from host switching, or colonisation of new host species. This

is more likely for parasites that have direct transmission pathways compared with those transmitted through trophic interactions (Cribb *et al.* 2001; Poulin 2007). The co-existence of congeneric species in the same host species may indicate that intra-host speciation through microhabitat selection is driving species richness in fish blood flukes (i.e. sympatric speciation). Puzzlingly, microhabitats of congeneric adult fish blood flukes may overlap in their final fish host (present study; Ogawa *et al.* 1993). Studies of parasitic helminths have shown that congeners in the same host species may not necessarily be sibling species (Littlewood *et al.* 1997; Nolan & Cribb 2006a), indicating this system may be best explained by phylogenetic co-speciation, where parasite radiation parallels that of the host species.

5.5.4 Diagnostic testing capabilities and life cycle elucidation

Exploring phylogenetic relationships of congeners in final hosts and examining patterns of host use in final vertebrate (e.g. Montero *et al.* 2009) and intermediate invertebrate hosts will extend our ability to understand biodiversity and determine whether parasites have radiated with their final fish and/or intermediate invertebrate hosts. Knowledge of parasite life cycles may also infer the sequence, acquisition and loss of life cycle traits (Cribb *et al.* 2003). Diagnostic testing capabilities will be enabled for *Seriola* spp. in Australia when all fish blood fluke sequences from this study have been accessioned in GenBank™ (see Chapter 11 Planned Outcomes). These adult parasite sequences may be matched to asexual parasite stages recovered from invertebrate intermediate hosts. This will facilitate our growing understanding of the specificity of fish blood flukes to their intermediate hosts, the role these invertebrate hosts play in the environment and whether it is feasible to relocate sea-cages to areas where intermediate hosts are absent or less abundant and therefore reduce the severity of aporocotylid infections (Chapter 7).

6 POTENTIAL PARASITE THREATS TO SOUTHERN AUSTRALIAN FINFISH AQUACULTURE

This Chapter has been published and may be cited as:

Catalano, S.R. & Hutson K.S. 2010. Harmful parasitic crustaceans infecting wild arripids: a potential threat to southern Australian finfish aquaculture. *Aquaculture* **303**, 101-104.

6.1 Abstract

Parasitic crustaceans are responsible for severe disease outbreaks in finfish aquaculture. We provide the first report of five marine ectoparasitic crustacean species including *Argulus diversicolor* Byrnes, 1985 (Branchiura: Argulidae), *Caligus bonito* Wilson, 1905, *C. longipedis* Bassett-Smith, 1898, *C. pelamydis* Hewitt, 1963 and *C. punctatus* Shiino, 1955 (Copepoda: Caligidae) on wild arripid hosts, *Arripis georgianus* (Valenciennes, 1841), *A. trutta* (Forster, 1801) and *A. truttaceus* (Cuvier, 1829) (Perciformes: Arripidae) in southern Australian waters. *Caligus pelamydis* and *C. punctatus* are new Australian records. All five crustacean species exhibit low host-specificity and *Argulus* spp., *C. longipedis*, *C. pelamydis* and *C. punctatus* have been associated with mass mortalities in cultured fishes outside Australia. Given the propensity for arripids to aggregate at sea-cage aquaculture sites, awareness of these five parasitic crustacean species may allow health managers to identify and anticipate potential outbreaks on southern Australian fish farms.

6.2 Introduction

Sea-cage farms are highly attractive to wild fishes and attract large and diverse aggregations in their immediate vicinity. Increased availability of food due to waste feed and faeces from caged fish (Fernandez-Jover *et al.* 2008) and their use as a refuge from predators (Castro *et al.* 2002), may explain the attraction of fish to floating structures. Aggregations of wild fish at individual farms have been documented in warm temperate (Mediterranean Sea; Dempster *et al.* 2002) and cool temperate (Norway; Dempster *et al.* 2009, Australia; Dempster and Kingsford 2004a) environments. Many parasite taxa may be shared between farmed and wild fishes. Parasites can have severe impacts on farmed fish production, while high densities of farmed fish may elevate parasite prevalence and intensity in surrounding wild populations, as

has been observed for sea lice infestations of wild salmonids in the northern hemisphere (e.g. MacKenzie and Abaunza 1998; Morton *et al.* 2005; Krkošek *et al.* 2007).

Parasitic crustaceans are widely recognised to cause serious problems in the culture of many fish species (see Johnson *et al.* 2004a for review). They are likely to establish and proliferate in aquaculture because most have direct life-cycles consisting of free-living, free-swimming and attached parasitic stages. They also may reproduce rapidly and directly reinfect their hosts. Parasitic crustaceans may also act as vectors of bacterial and viral infections as well as protozoans and metazoans (e.g. Overstreet *et al.* 2009). Research is required to identify sources of parasitic crustaceans, to recognize taxa with low host-specificity and to document potentially harmful species.

In Australia, fish farming is intensifying in Spencer Gulf, South Australia (SA), where southern bluefin tuna *Thunnus maccoyii* (Castelnau, 1872), yellowtail kingfish *Seriola lalandi* Valenciennes, 1833 and mulloway *Argyrosomus japonicus* (Temminck & Schlegel, 1843) are farmed in sea-cages. Fish species in the Arripidae have a tendency to aggregate near structure (Dempster and Kingsford 2004a; Neira 2005b) and have been observed in, and around, sea-cages in SA (KSH pers. obs.). The Arripidae comprises a single genus *Arripis* (Jenyns) which includes four species. Three species comprise important commercial and recreational fisheries in southern Australian waters; Australian herring or tommy ruff *Arripis georgianus*; eastern Australian salmon *A. trutta*; and western Australian salmon *A. truttaceus*. *Arripis georgianus* and *A. truttaceus* share similar distributions, found in temperate waters from Western Australia (WA) through to New South Wales, and around Tasmania (Gomon *et al.* 2008). *Arripis trutta* overlaps in distribution with these two species in waters off South Australia, Victoria, New South Wales and Tasmania, but is also found off the coast of NZ (Smith *et al.* 2008).

In a broad parasitological survey of the Arripidae in southern Australian waters, we made a remarkable discovery of five species of parasitic crustaceans not previously known from arripid fishes, including two new Australian parasite records. The majority of crustacean parasite species reported here have been associated with mass mortalities in aquaculture overseas. This study documents five new parasite-host records from three species of *Arripis* in southern Australian waters, reviews associated problems with these parasite species

elsewhere and draws attention to the potential threat of these species for southern Australian finfish aquaculture.

6.3 Materials and Methods

A total of 183 *Arripis georgianus* (mean [range] = 179 [154–220] mm fork length (FL)) and 67 *A. truttaceus* (350 [185–601] mm FL) obtained from Gulf St Vincent, Spencer Gulf, northern Kangaroo Island and Coffin Bay in SA waters was examined for ectoparasites (Figure 22). Nineteen *A. trutta* (483 [400–545] mm FL) were examined from Bermagui, New South Wales (NSW) (Figure 22). Fish were identified using the key by Gomon *et al.* (2008). Fish were collected by line fishing or from fish markets, namely Safcol fish market, Mile End, Adelaide, SA, and the Sydney Fish Market, Pyrmont, NSW (University of Adelaide, Animal Ethics Committee Science project S-098-2007). Tissue samples were collected from each fish, stored in undenatured ethanol and lodged with the Australian Biological Tissue Collection (ABTC 108509–108777) at the South Australian Museum (SAMA), North Terrace, Adelaide, 5000, SA.




Figure 22. Collection localities for three *Arripis* spp. in southern Australian waters and one site off the coast of New South Wales.

Fish were examined for ectoparasites with the naked eye on all exterior surfaces, including branchiostegal membranes, fins, fin sulcus, mouth and operculum. The gills were removed, placed in seawater and examined under a dissecting microscope for ectoparasites. Parasitic crustaceans were removed with fine forceps, fixed in 70% ethanol and cleared in lactophenol prior to morphological examination using a compound microscope. All parasitic crustaceans were identified from their original published descriptions, redescriptions and reference to information in Ho and Lin (2004). Identifications were confirmed by Prof Geoff Boxshall, Natural History Museum, London (NHM). Voucher specimens were lodged in the SAMA Crustacean collection (SAMA C) and at the NHM.

6.4 Results and Discussion

Five species of parasitic crustaceans were recorded from arripid hosts; *Argulus diversicolor* (Branchiura: Argulidae), *Caligus bonito*, *C. longipedis*, *C. pelamydis* and *C. punctatus* (Copepoda: Caligidae). Microhabitat, host species, host localities and museum accession numbers are shown in Table 43. This is the second record of *A. diversicolor* which was originally described from the sparid *Acanthopagrus latus* (Houttuyn, 1782) from Point Samson, Western Australia (Byrnes 1985). Although *C. pelamydis* and *C. punctatus* are cosmopolitan caligid species (see Hewitt 1963; Ho and Lin 2004), this is the first time they have been recorded in Australian waters.

Argulus spp. infect fish hosts distributed on and around all continents, except Antarctica, in marine and estuarine (n=44) and freshwater (n=85) habitats (Poly 2008). *Argulus* spp. are problematic ectoparasites in freshwater fish culture and several epizootics have occurred in wild freshwater fisheries (e.g. Menezes *et al.* 1990; Hakalahti-Siren *et al.* 2008; Hewlett *et al.* 2009). *Argulus japonicus* (Thiele, 1900) has been introduced all over the world, primarily due to stockings of goldfish *Carassius auratus* (Linnaeus, 1758) and carp *Cyprinus carpio* Linnaeus, 1758 but may also parasitize brown trout *Salmo trutta* Linnaeus, 1758, as well as stickleback *Gasterosteus aculeatus* Linnaeus, 1758, roach *Rutilus rutilus* (Linnaeus, 1758), perch *Perca fluviatilis* Linnaeus, 1758, tench *Tinca tinca* (Linnaeus, 1758), pike *Esox lucius* Linnaeus, 1758 and bream *Abramis brama* (Linnaeus, 1758) (see Poly 2008). *Argulus* species have also been reported from marine fish farming facilities in Chile, Canada and Norway and can cause mortality in farmed salmonid stocks (Boxshall 2005; Schram *et al.* 2005).

Table 43. Parasitic crustaceans of *Arripis georgianus*, *A. trutta* and *A. truttaceus* from southern Australian waters, indicating microhabitat, host locality and museum accession number.

Parasite	Microhabitat	Host species	Locality	Accession no.
Branchiura				
Argulidae				
<i>Argulus diversicolor</i>	Skin	^b <i>A. georgianus</i>	^c SA	NHM 2009.261
		^b <i>A. truttaceus</i>	^c SA	SAMA C6823
Copepoda				
Caligidae				
<i>Caligus bonito</i>	Gills	^b <i>A. trutta</i>	NSW	SAMA C6821
		^b <i>A. truttaceus</i>	^c SA	SAMA C6819
<i>Caligus longipedis</i>	Gills	^b <i>A. truttaceus</i>	^c SA	SAMA C6815-16
^a <i>Caligus pelamydis</i>	Gills	<i>A. trutta</i>	^c NSW	SAMA C6822
^a <i>Caligus punctatus</i>	Skin	^b <i>A. georgianus</i>	^c SA	SAMA C6814
		^b <i>A. trutta</i>	^c NSW	SAMA C6820
		^b <i>A. truttaceus</i>	^c SA	SAMA C6817-18

^a=new Australian record; ^b=new host record; ^c=new state locality record; SA=South Australia; NSW=New South Wales.

Argulids attach to fish skin by means of suckers and spines and feed on blood and external tissues (Boxshall 2005). They are capable of changing their position on their host as well as changing their host individual (Pasternak *et al.* 2000; Pasternak *et al.* 2004), and may enhance severity of bacterial infections (Cusack and Cone 1986; Bandilla *et al.* 2006). Although there is no published record of *A. diversicolor* from farmed fishes in SA, a photograph of this species (or a similar species) is presented on the cover of an illustrated guide to the parasites of wild and captive southern bluefin tuna *Thunnus maccoyii* (Scombridae) from SA (Rough 2000). However, there is no further reference to the cover image or to an *Argulus* species in the publication. The presence of *A. diversicolor* on arripids is a cause for concern for farmed southern Australian fishes given the likely similarities in pathology to other *Argulus* spp. and its report from two fish families (i.e. Arripidae and Sparidae).

Of the four caligid copepod species identified here, three are recognised as being problematic in aquaculture: *Caligus longipedis*, *C. pelamydis* and *C. punctatus*. *Caligus longipedis*, which infected *A. truttaceus* in SA, can cause bruising to the body of farmed striped jack *Pseudocaranx dentex* (Bloch & Schneider, 1801) (Carangidae) in Japan and make it unmarketable (Ogawa 1992). Also, Madinabeitia *et al.* (2009) demonstrate that *C. longipedis*

could act as a potential vector for the transmission of the bacterium *Lactococcus garvieae*, which has caused severe mortalities to *Seriola* spp. (Carangidae) and *P. dentex* in Japan. Although *C. longipedis* primarily infects carangids, it has been reported previously from 18 fish species representing 10 fish families including acanthurids, carangids, haemulids, monacanthids, ostraciids, paralichthyids, pomacanthids, scarids, serranids and trichiurids (see Ho and Lin 2004). We provide the second record of this species in Australian waters. *Caligus longipedis* was documented previously by Heegaard (1962) in NSW (as *C. lucidus*; verified by Ho and Lin 2004) from the Chinaman-leatherjacket *Nelusetta ayraud* (Quoy & Gaimard, 1824) (Monacanthidae) (as *Cantherhines ayraud*).

Caligus pelamydis, documented on *A. trutta* in this study, has been associated with mass mortalities of cultured Japanese sea perch *Lateolabrax japonicus* (Cuvier, 1828) (Lateolabracidae) in South Korea (Choi *et al.* 1995). This widely distributed species has been reported from seven fish species in four families (gempylids, lateolabracids, sciaenids and scombrids) from New Zealand, the Mediterranean, the British Isles, the east coast of the United States and South Africa (see Hewitt 1963). This is the first time this species has been recorded in Australian waters.

Caligus punctatus, which we documented on all three arripid species, has caused mass mortality of numerous cultured fishes in Taiwan including carangids, charids, cichlids, lateolabracids, latids, mugilids, serranids, sparids, and terapontids (see Ho and Lin 2004). This parasite species is particularly problematic due to its low host-specificity (21 species in 14 families) and its ability to survive in the plankton (Ho and Lin 2004). This species is known from Taiwanese, Japanese and Korean waters and is reported here from Australian waters for the first time.

Caligus bonito is a cosmopolitan caligid that primarily infects scombrids (10 species) but has also been recorded from a carangid, coryphaenid, mugilid, pomatomid, serranid and two lutjanid species (see Ho and Lin 2004). In Australia, it has been previously reported from three wild scombrids including *Euthynnus affinis* (Cantor, 1849) and *Sarda australis* (Macleay, 1881) in NSW and *E. alleteratus* (Rafinesque, 1810) from Queensland (reported as *C. kuroshio* by Kabata (1965), verified by Ho and Lin 2004). There is no recorded pathology associated with *C. bonito* species in the literature.

Although the parasite species identified in this study have not been recorded on farmed Australian fishes to date, an epizootic of *Caligus chiastos* Lin & Ho, 2003 was recently documented on farmed southern bluefin tuna *Thunnus maccoyii* (Scombridae) in southern Spencer Gulf, SA (Hayward *et al.* 2008). Infestations by *C. chiastos* were associated with eye damage of farmed *T. maccoyii* and peaks in infection occurred in summer months (Hayward *et al.* 2009b). *Caligus chiastos* has also been detected on the gills of farmed *Argyrosomus japonicus* (Sciaenidae) and *Seriola lalandi* in the same region (Hayward *et al.* 2007a). As no attached chalimus stages of any caligids have been detected on *T. maccoyii*, Hayward *et al.* (2008) suggested that *C. chiastos* infections are transmitted to tuna from other species of infected wild fish that are attracted to tuna cages. Indeed, the only previous record of *C. chiastos* in Australia is on wild snapper *Chrysophrys auratus* (Forster 1801) (Sparidae) from eastern Australia (Ho and Lin 2004), a fish species that is known to associate with sea-cage sites in SA (KSH pers. obs.).

Given the low degree of host-specificity exhibited by parasitic crustaceans detected in this study and the tendency for arripids to aggregate at sea-cage farms, it is highly likely that, in suitable conditions, the argulid and caligid species reported here may establish and proliferate in farmed fish populations in southern Australia.

6.5 Conclusion

Discovery and documentation of parasite fauna of wild and farmed fish should be incorporated into an ongoing sampling program for effective parasite management and risk assessment. Effective mitigation of parasite species infecting fishes in sea-cage farms can only be achieved through reliable parasite identification, knowledge of their biology and assessment of appropriate management methods. Recognition of parasite species that may decrease profitability through mortality, morbidity and reduced marketability of stocks is crucial. Considering the previous history of *Argulus* spp., *Caligus longipedis*, *C. pelamydis* and *C. punctatus* in finfish farming overseas, these parasites are of immediate priority for research into their biological attributes. This will enable development of the most suitable management strategies to avoid outbreaks in southern Australian aquaculture.

7 ASSESSING PARASITE RISK IN FINFISH AQUACULTURE AND IDENTIFYING APPROPRIATE HUSBANDRY

7.0 Parasite risk assessment for sea-cage aquaculture of mullet (*Argyrosomus japonicus*) and barramundi (*Lates calcarifer*)

7.1 Abstract

Reliable parasite identification and assessment of the risk that parasite species present is a vital pre-requisite for effective management of parasites in sea-cage farms. A qualitative risk assessment for parasite species known to infect mullet (*Argyrosomus japonicus*) and barramundi (*Lates calcarifer*) was undertaken to recognise parasite species that present serious risks for fish farmed in sea-cages and their wild conspecifics. Risk was estimated by considering the likelihood and consequence of parasite establishment and proliferation in sea-caged stock. The monogenean *Benedenia sciaenae* was considered to present a high risk to *A. japonicus* while two monogenean species, *Neobenedenia melleni* and *B. epinepheli*, were considered a high risk to *L. calcarifer*. Future research on the life cycle parameters of moderate to high risk parasite species under various environmental conditions will enable identification of management strategies that can break life cycles and minimise the potential for outbreaks appropriate to the biology of the parasite species.

7.2 Introduction

The early 1990s marked the expansion of Australia's aquaculture sector. This was underpinned by innovation in ranching southern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyii*), growth in existing aquaculture industries and significant development of new finfish industries including mullet (*Argyrosomus japonicus*), barramundi (*Lates calcarifer*) and yellowtail kingfish (*Seriola lalandi*). These three species are grown from fertilised eggs in land-based hatcheries where, through standard biosecurity practices, fish are usually isolated from infection by parasites. Wild marine organisms and infected farmed fish may act as an initial source of parasite infection when fingerlings are transferred from the hatchery to sea-cages for grow out. The natural occurrence of wild conspecifics and other wild organisms at locations where fish are farmed provides an opportunity for transfer of host-specific and generalist parasites from wild to farmed populations. The detailed parasite survey and review

of published parasite records in Chapter 2 indicate that more than 26, 32 and 56 parasite species can infect *A. japonicus* (Table 12), *L. calcarifer* (Table 10) and *S. lalandi* (Table 6), respectively. While parasite surveys and risk assessments for sea-cage aquaculture of *S. lalandi* and *T. maccoyii* have been made previously (Nowak 2004; Deveney *et al.* 2005; Hutson *et al.* 2007a; Hutson *et al.* 2007b) parasite risks for sea-cage aquaculture of *A. japonicus* and *L. calcarifer* have not been assessed.

Aquaculture of *A. japonicus* in Australia began in 1992 with successful production of fish under hatchery conditions (Battaglione and Talbot 2004). In recent years, production has steadily increased. Sea-cage farms in South Australia and Western Australia (and until recently New South Wales) and pond culture on the east coast of Australia produce small commercial quantities, with production of over 600 t in 2006/07 (valued at ~AUD\$4.9 million) (O'Sullivan and Savage 2009). In a global context, production of sciaenids in 2007 was >115,000 t valued at over USD\$156 million. In terms of the volume and value of global production, Australia's sciaenid production is third behind China and the USA (FAO 2008; O'Sullivan and Savage 2009).

Aquaculture of *L. calcarifer* constitutes the third most valued finfish industry in Australia after Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) and southern bluefin tuna (*T. maccoyii*). *Lates calcarifer* aquaculture commenced in north Queensland with a hatchery operation in 1982 and spread to terrestrial ponds and/or tank operations in all mainland states. Sea-cage aquaculture occurs in Queensland, Northern Territory and Western Australia. In 2006/07 >3,000 t was produced, valued at approximately AUD\$26.8 million (O'Sullivan and Savage 2009).

Argyrosomus japonicus and *L. calcarifer* are susceptible to a number of parasite species which present considerable concerns for economical sea-cage farming (Deveney *et al.* 2001; Hayward *et al.* 2007b). Intensive sea-cage aquaculture may also amplify pathogens in the environment and is a concern for the health of wild fishes that may associate with, or move past, farm sites (e.g. McKibben and Hay 2004; Krkošek *et al.* 2005). According to the Standards Australia/Standard New Zealand (2004) for risk management, risk is 'the chance of something happening that will have an impact on objectives'. For aquaculture and wild fisheries, risk generally applies to the potential impact on long-term sustainability (Fletcher *et al.* 2004). Therefore, a risk assessment is needed that accommodates identification of

parasite species 1) that are likely to establish and proliferate in aquaculture and 2) that may decrease host profitability through reduced marketability, morbidity and mortality.

The aim of this study was to assess the risks to sea-cage aquaculture of *A. japonicus* and *L. calcarifer* posed by parasites reported from these species in Australia and from overseas. This risk assessment identifies: 1) the most likely parasite species to establish and proliferate in sea-cage aquaculture, 2) parasite species with potentially negative consequences and 3) the overall risk of the likelihood and consequence of parasite establishment. Husbandry practices that may be useful to manage infection by moderate and high risk parasite species are reviewed.

7.3 Materials and Methods

7.3.1 Fish and parasite collection

Table 44 indicates sample locations for wild and farmed fishes collected during this study. Methods for parasite sampling were described previously (Chapter 2, page 12).

Table 44. Sample locations for wild and farmed *Argyrosomus japonicus* and farmed *Lates calcarifer* surveyed in this study.

Species	Origin	Location	Latitude and longitude	<i>n</i>	<i>L_F</i> range (mm)
<i>A. japonicus</i>	wild	Port Adelaide, SA	34°45'49"S 138°29'45"E	2	990 & 1500
	sea-cage	Botany Bay, NSW	34°0'10"S 151°12'32"E	14	340 - 370
<i>L. calcarifer</i>	sea-cage	Port Hinchinbrook, QLD	18°23'31"S 146°8'12"E	26	515 - 650

7.3.2 Risk analyses

Several risk analyses provide frameworks to identify hazards and quantify risks posed by metazoan parasites in aquaculture (Diggles 2003; Fletcher *et al.* 2004; Nowak 2004; Murray and Peeler 2005; Hutson *et al.* 2007b). We devised an assessment to determine the likelihood of parasite establishment in farmed fish by considering the biological pathway necessary for parasite species to infect farmed fish species. A framework was developed to assess the potential negative consequence of establishment and proliferation of parasite species. This risk assessment estimated two parameters, likelihood and consequence, that were considered independently. Likelihood and consequence estimates were then combined in a risk matrix to determine overall risk. Risk was estimated for each parasite species identified from our

survey and for parasites from previously published records for these two fish species in the scientific literature.

7.3.3 Likelihood

The likelihood of parasite establishment and proliferation on or in *A. japonicus* and *L. calcarifer* cultured in sea-cages was estimated by reference to the biological pathways necessary for each parasite species to infect and reproduce on the farmed species. Five likelihood criteria were used to estimate the likelihood of parasite establishment and proliferation based on parasite biology. Likelihood definitions ranged from negligible to extreme (based on Fletcher *et al.* 2004; Table 45).

7.3.4 Consequence

Information was gathered from scientific literature on parasites (genus and/or species if available) to indicate any potential negative **consequence** of establishment and proliferation. Data are sought that directly related to four risk criteria including: 1) potential to cause mortality, 2) potential to cause pathology or disease, 3) potential impact on marketability and 4) potential impact on consumer health. Parasites were scored for each of these four criteria (Tables 47, 49). Parasites that met all four criteria were assigned an **extreme** consequence, parasites that met three criteria were assigned a **high** consequence, parasites that met two criteria were assigned a **moderate** consequence, parasites that met one criterion were assigned a **low** consequence and parasites that met no criteria were assigned a **negligible** consequence. Using this information, we determined the consequence of parasite establishment and proliferation as adapted from risk criteria by Fletcher *et al.* 2004 (Table 45). Note that risk criteria proposed by Fletcher *et al.* (2004) do not address human zoonoses.

7.3.5 Overall risk

In order to identify the most serious parasite risks for sea-cage aquaculture of *A. japonicus* and *L. calcarifer* (Table 48 & 50), total risk was estimated by considering the likelihood and consequence of parasite establishment and proliferation in sea-cage farming following the Australian Quarantine Inspection Service (AQIS) (AQIS 1999) risk estimation matrix (Table 46).

Table 45. Definitions for likelihood and consequence (based on Fletcher *et al.* 2004).

Likelihood level	Descriptor
Extreme	Parasites with direct infective stages that have been recorded on aquaculture fish
High	Parasites with direct life cycles not previously recorded in sea-cage culture
Moderate	Parasites with complex life cycles that exhibit direct infective stages
Low	Parasites with two or more host species in the life cycle, that require the primary host to consume an infected intermediate host
Negligible	Parasites that have not been previously recorded from the host fish species
Consequence and risk level	
Extreme	Catastrophic consequences to the entire industry, total mortality or eradication of fish is considered, trade implications at the national level
High	Establishment and proliferation of parasites could have serious biological consequences, prolonged high mortality rates, enterprise survival is questioned, significant economic concern to the industry
Moderate	Substantial seasonal morbidity and mortality rates with significant cost to the farmer to warrant intermittent concern by the industry
Low	Establishment and proliferation of parasite species is manageable with low economic significance to the industry
Negligible	Establishment and proliferation of parasite species could have no significant consequences, with low or no measurable economic effect at the enterprise level

Table 46. Risk estimation matrix following the Australian Quarantine and Inspection Service (AQIS 1999).

Likelihood (1)	Consequence (2)				
	Negligible	Low	Moderate	High	Extreme
Extreme	Negligible	Low	Moderate	High	Extreme
High	Negligible	Low	Moderate	High	High
Moderate	Negligible	Negligible	Low	Moderate	High
Low	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Low	Moderate
Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Low

7.4 Results

7.4.1 Parasite species

Parasites detected on wild and farmed *A. japonicus* and farmed *L. calcarifer* in this survey and from previously published records are shown in Chapter 2 (Tables 12 and 10, respectively). Care should be taken in the interpretation of these results where few fish were sampled. Low sample sizes can severely underestimate parasite diversity, especially for parasites that exhibit low prevalence. Nevertheless, this risk assessment is based on all current data available, not only from fish examined during this study but from the wider literature.

Some parasites we found could not be identified to species, a result of a combination of factors including limited number of parasite specimens, potentially undescribed parasite species and inability to identify larval parasite life stages definitively. We detected cysts in the muscle tissue of wild *A. japonicus* but they did not contain any cestode larva (Chapter 2, Table 12). It is likely that the cysts were produced by *Poecilancistrum caryophyllum* which has been recorded previously from this microhabitat in wild *A. japonicus* (see Robinson 1965).

7.4.2 Risk assessment for sea-cage farming of Argyrosomus japonicus

Most monogenean species, two copepod species and one isopod species presented an extreme likelihood of establishment and proliferation (Table 48). The consequence of parasite establishment and proliferation ranged from negligible to high (Table 47). No taxon was classed as an extreme risk. *Benedenia sciaenae* was determined to present the highest risk for *A. japonicus* farmed in sea-cages and for wild conspecifics nearby (Table 48).

7.4.3 Risk assessment for sea-cage farming of Lates calcarifer

All protozoan, monogenean, aporocotyloid trematodes, copepod and isopod species presented an extreme likelihood of establishment and proliferation (Table 50). The consequence of parasite establishment and proliferation ranged from negligible to high (Table 49). No taxon was classed as an extreme risk. Two species (*Neobenedenia melleni* and *Benedenia epinepheli*) were determined to present a high risk for sustainability of *L. calcarifer* sea-cage aquaculture (Table 50).

Table 47. Consequence of parasite establishment and proliferation in sea-cage aquaculture of *Argyrosomus japonicus*.

Parasite taxa	Mortality	Pathology	Marketability	Consumer health	Consequence
Cestoda					
Empty cysts	-	-	x	-	Low
<i>Dasyrhynchus pacificus</i>	-	-	-	-	Negligible
<i>Poecilancistrum calyophyllum</i>	-	-	x	-	Low
<i>Pterobothrium</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	Negligible
Digenea					
<i>Stephanostomum bicornatum</i>	-	x	-	-	Low
<i>Pleorchis sciaenae</i>	-	-	-	-	Negligible
Monogenea					
<i>Calceostoma glandulosum</i>	-	-	-	-	Negligible
<i>Benedenia sciaenae</i>	x	x	x	-	High
<i>Diplectanum</i> spp.	x	x	-	-	Moderate
<i>Sciaenacotyle sciaenicola</i>	x	x	-	-	Moderate
Nematoda					
<i>Philometra</i> sp.	-	x	-	-	Low
<i>Ascaris</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	Negligible
<i>Anisakis</i> sp.	-	-	-	x	Low
<i>Hysterothylacium marinum</i>	-	-	-	x	Low
<i>Terranova</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	Negligible
<i>Contracaecum legendrei</i>	-	-	-	x	Low
Acanthocephala					
<i>Neoechinorhynchus dorsovaginatus</i>	-	-	-	-	Negligible
Copepoda					
<i>Caligus</i> sp. (male)	x	x	-	-	Moderate
<i>Caligus</i> cf. <i>elongatus</i>	x	x	-	-	Moderate
<i>Caligus chiastos</i>	x	x	-	-	Moderate
<i>Isobranhia scianophila</i>	-	-	-	-	Negligible
<i>Lernanthropus gisleri</i>	x	x	-	-	Moderate
Isopoda					
<i>Ceratothoa</i> sp.	x	x	-	-	Moderate
<i>Nerocila sigani</i>	-	-	-	-	Negligible

Parasites were scored for four criteria, (denoted with an 'x') including: 1. previous mortality in aquaculture, 2. potential pathology or disease, 3. potential negative impact on marketability/consumer acceptance and 4. potential negative impact on consumer health. Four *Diplectanum* spp. (Chapter 2, Table 12) are represented as '*Diplectanum* spp.'

Table 48. Parasite risk analysis for sea-cage aquaculture of *Argyrosomus japonicus*.

Parasite taxa	Likelihood	Consequence	Risk
Cestoda			
Empty cysts	Low	Low	Negligible
<i>Dasyrhynchus pacificus</i>	Low	Negligible	Negligible
<i>Poecilancistrum calyophyllum</i>	Low	Low	Negligible
<i>Pterobothrium</i> sp.	Low	Negligible	Negligible
Digenea			
<i>Stephanostomum bicornatum</i>	Low	Low	Negligible
<i>Pleorchis sciaenae</i>	Low	Negligible	Negligible
Monogenea			
<i>Calceostoma glandulosum</i>	Extreme	Negligible	Negligible
<i>Benedenia sciaenae</i>	Extreme	High	High
<i>Diplectanum</i> sp.	Extreme	Moderate	Moderate
<i>Diplectanum</i> spp.	High	Moderate	Moderate
<i>Sciaenacotyle sciaenicola</i>	Extreme	Moderate	Moderate
Nematoda			
<i>Philometra</i> sp.	Low	Low	Negligible
<i>Ascaris</i> sp.	Low	Negligible	Negligible
<i>Anisakis</i> sp.	Low	Low	Negligible
<i>Hysterothylacium marinum</i>	Low	Low	Negligible
<i>Terranova</i> sp.	Low	Negligible	Negligible
<i>Contracaecum legendrei</i>	Low	Low	Negligible
Acanthocephala			
<i>Neoechinorhynchus dorsovaginatus</i>	Low	Negligible	Negligible
Copepoda			
<i>Caligus</i> sp. (male)	High	Moderate	Low
<i>Caligus</i> cf. <i>elongatus</i>	Extreme	Moderate	Moderate
<i>Caligus chiastos</i>	Extreme	Moderate	Moderate
<i>Isobranchia scianophila</i>	High	Negligible	Negligible
<i>Lernanthropus gisleri</i>	High	Moderate	Moderate
Isopoda			
<i>Ceratothoa</i> sp.	Extreme	Moderate	Moderate
<i>Nerocila sigani</i>	Moderate	Low	Negligible

Table 49. Consequence of parasite establishment and proliferation in sea-cage aquaculture of *Lates calcarifer*.

Parasite taxa	Mortality	Pathology	Marketability	Consumer health	Consequence
Myxozoa					
Myxozoa gen et. sp. indet	-	-	-	-	Negligible
Cestoda					
<i>Scolex pleuronectis</i>	-	-	-	-	Negligible
<i>Nybelinia indica</i>	-	-	-	-	Negligible
Digenea					
<i>Prosorhynchus</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	Negligible
<i>Pseudometadena celebesensis</i>	-	-	-	-	Negligible
<i>Erilepturus hamati</i>	-	-	-	-	Negligible
Metacercariae gen et. sp. indet	-	-	-	-	Negligible
<i>Cruoricola lates</i>	-*	-*	-	-	Moderate
<i>Parasanguinicola vastispina</i>	-*	-*	-	-	Moderate
Monogenea					
<i>Benedenia epinepheli</i>	x	x	x	-	High
Capsalid gen. et sp. Indet	-	-	-	-	Negligible
<i>Neobenedenia melleni</i>	x	x	x	-	High
<i>Diplectanum</i> spp.	x	x	-	-	Moderate
<i>Laticola</i> spp.	x	x	-	-	Moderate
<i>Pseudorhabdosynochus</i> spp.	x	x	-	-	Moderate
Nematoda					
<i>Hysterothylacium</i> sp.	-	-	-	x	Low
<i>Raphidascaris</i> sp.	-	-	-	x	Low
<i>Raphidascaris</i> sp. II	-	-	-	x	Low
<i>Terranova</i> sp.	-	-	-	x	Low
Acanthocephala					
<i>Serrasentis sagittifer</i>	-	-	-	-	Negligible
Copepoda					
<i>Caligus epidemicus</i>	x	x	-	-	Moderate
<i>Lernanthropus kroyeri</i>	x	x	-	-	Moderate
<i>Lernanthropus latis</i>	x	x	-	-	Moderate
Isopoda					
<i>Cymothoa indica</i>	x	x	-	-	Moderate

For scored criteria see footnote to Table 47. Four *Diplectanum* spp., 3 *Laticola* spp. and 3 *Pseudorhabdosynochus* spp. (Chapter 2, Table 10) are represented. *See Discussion for comment.

Table 50. Parasite risk analysis for sea-cage aquaculture of *Lates calcarifer*.

Parasite taxa	Likelihood	Consequence	Risk
Myxozoa			
Myxozoa gen. et. sp. indet	Moderate	Negligible	Negligible
Cestoda			
<i>Scolex pleuronectis</i>	Low	Negligible	Negligible
<i>Nybelinia indica</i>	Low	Negligible	Negligible
Digenea			
<i>Prosorhynchus</i> sp.	Low	Negligible	Negligible
<i>Pseudometadena celebesensis</i>	Low	Negligible	Negligible
<i>Erilepturus hamati</i>	Low	Negligible	Negligible
Metacercariae gen et. sp. indet	Extreme	Low	Low
<i>Cruoricola lates</i>	Extreme	Moderate	Moderate
<i>Parasanguinicola vastispina</i>	Extreme	Moderate	Moderate
Monogenea			
<i>Benedenia epinepheli</i>	Extreme	High	High
Capsalid gen. et sp. Indet	Extreme	Negligible	Negligible
<i>Neobenedenia melleni</i>	Extreme	High	High
<i>Diplectanum</i> spp.	Extreme	Moderate	Moderate
<i>Laticola</i> spp.	Extreme	Low	Low
<i>Pseudorhabdosynochus</i> spp.	Extreme	Low	Low
Nematoda			
<i>Hysterothylacium</i> sp.	Low	Low	Negligible
<i>Raphidascaris</i> sp.	Low	Low	Negligible
<i>Raphidascaris</i> sp. II	Low	Low	Negligible
<i>Terranova</i> sp.	Low	Low	Negligible
Acanthocephala			
<i>Serrasentis sagittifer</i>	Low	Negligible	Negligible
Copepoda			
<i>Caligus epidemicus</i>	Extreme	Moderate	Moderate
<i>Lernanthropus latis</i>	Extreme	Moderate	Moderate
Isopoda			
<i>Cymothoa indica</i>	Extreme	Moderate	Moderate

7.5 Discussion

This risk assessment determined the potential likelihood, consequence and risk for sea-cage aquaculture posed by parasite species known to infect wild and/or farmed mulloway (*A. japonicus*) and barramundi (*L. calcarifer*). When considering this risk assessment, it is important to note that the likelihood of parasite establishment is dependent upon the farm location and the distribution of parasite species (including geographic distribution and host-specificity). As new information on parasite distributions in wild and farmed fish populations becomes available, assessments of risks will be better informed. Information on parasite species infecting wild organisms that associate with sea-cages is largely unavailable. Consequently, this risk assessment is unable to account for risk presented by generalist parasite species, or species that may be capable of host switches. Information on host-

specificity changes rapidly and some parasite species previously considered host-specific have been found to attach to a wide range of hosts under experimental conditions (Bricknell *et al.* 2006). The presence of new parasite records on Australian sea-caged *A. japonicus* and *L. calcarifer* in this study highlights the need for ongoing surveillance of wild and captive fishes.

7.5.1 Parasite risks to sea-cage aquaculture of *Argyrosomus japonicus*

High risk

Monogeneans exhibit a direct life cycle and most have free swimming larval stages, enabling them to complete their life cycle in the sea-cage environment. *Benedenia sciaenae* is an epithelial-feeding monopisthocotylean that infects wild and sea-caged *A. japonicus* in Australia (Chapter 2, Table 12; Table 48; Whittington 1996). If left untreated, high numbers of *B. sciaenae* on the body surface cause scale loss, haemorrhage and localised lesions (Tokşen *et al.* 2007). High infections on the body surface may also render fish unappealing to consumers (Chapter 2, Table 12; Table 47). There are no published records of host mortality associated with *B. sciaenae* (Table 47), but other *Benedenia* spp. are associated with mortality in sea-cage aquaculture, largely through facilitating secondary infections by bacteria (Egusa 1983; Whittington *et al.* 2001a; Ernst *et al.* 2002).

Moderate risk

This study provides the first record of a *Diplectanum* sp. infecting farmed *A. japonicus* (Chapter 2, Table 12). Previously these monogeneans were only known from wild conspecifics (see Williams 1989; Hayward *et al.* 2007b). *Diplectanum* spp. are oviparous monogeneans likely to be associated with pathology (Table 47) because congeners are known to cause epithelial hyperplasia and haemorrhage (Oliver 1977). *Diplectanum* spp. are significant pathogens to sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) culture in the Mediterranean (Whittington and Chisholm 2008). Effects on fish health likely largely depend on the attachment mechanism possessed by different diplectanid species and whether their squamodisc acts as a friction pad that may provoke a host response (e.g. *Diplectanum aequans*, see Oliver 1977) or as a series of several overlapping sclerites that create suction (e.g. *Furnestinia echeensis*, see Desdevises *et al.* 2001).

High burdens of the blood-feeding polyopisthocotylean *Sciaenacotyle sciaenicola* have been associated with pale gills in sea-caged *A. japonicus* in South Australia (Hayward *et al.* 2007b; Table 47). An outbreak of a similar species, *Sciaenacotyle panceri* on sea-caged *A. regius* in the Mediterranean was associated with host lethargy, emaciation, gill anaemia and mortality (Merella *et al.* 2009; Table 47).

Caligid copepods have direct life cycles consisting of free-living, free-swimming stages and attached parasitic stages. Severe ectoparasitic copepod infestations in aquaculture have been associated with mortalities through host osmoregulatory failure, anaemia, ulcerations or through facilitating secondary infections (Finstad *et al.* 2000). Hayward *et al.* (2007b; 2008) proposed that *Caligus chiastos* infecting farmed *A. japonicus* in South Australia may cause damage in high numbers because similar species are well-known to be associated with pathology (damage to epidermal and dermal tissue) among cultured salmonids. Although *C. chiastos* is not known to cause mortalities in sciaenid aquaculture, this species which has low specificity has been associated with gross corneal damage and epizootics in sea-caged southern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyii*) in South Australia (see Hayward *et al.* 2008; Table 47).

Lernanthropus gisleri infects wild *A. japonicus* in South Australia and New South Wales, but has not been documented from sea-caged fish in these regions (Chapter 2, Table 12). A similar species, *L. kroyeri*, has been responsible for high mortalities of Mediterranean sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax* as a result of host asphyxia and anaemia (Manera and Dezfuli 2003; Henry *et al.* 2009; Table 47). Considering the high likelihood of establishment in sea-cages and disease-induced mortality of similar species, *L. gisleri* presents a moderate risk for sea-cage culture of *A. japonicus* (Table 48).

This study provides the first record of *Ceratothoa* sp. from the tongue of farmed *A. japonicus* in New South Wales (Chapter 2, Table 12). A similar species, *Ceratothoa gaudichaudii*, is an economically important isopod parasite of marine sea-caged fish in Chile (Sievers *et al.* 1996). The species has been reported from a wide range of native hosts and low host specificity has enabled it to successfully infect farmed coho (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) and Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Ceratothoa* spp. feed on host blood when attached to the inner mouth surfaces, and less frequently to the gills. Damage to the host includes ulcers on the

gill arch and inside the mouth. Sievers *et al.* (1996) reported reduced body weight of *S. salar* with increasing burdens of *C. gaudichaudii*.

Low risk

A single male *Caligus* sp. infected wild *A. japonicus* in South Australia (Chapter 2, Table 12). Species in *Caligus* should be treated with caution when assessing risk because host-specificity of *Caligus* spp. is not fully understood and some species may infect numerous host families (see Johnson *et al.* 2004a for review).

Negligible risk

Cestodes transfer to piscivorous fish when infected intermediate hosts are eaten.

Poecilancistrum caryophyllum causes large, white cysts in the muscle tissue of wild *A. japonicus* in New South Wales (Robinson 1965) but were not observed in farmed fish (Chapter 2, Table 12). While the cysts have implications for marketability, it is not clear whether they are associated with a pathological host response (Adjei *et al.* 1986; Table 47). In Japan, farmed *Seriola quinqueradiata* fed parasitised raw fish became infected with a larval cestode, *Callitetrarhynchus nipponica*, which altered the appearance and reduced the marketability of the flesh (Ogawa 1996). However, when raw fish was replaced with frozen food, the parasite disappeared from farm sites (Ogawa 1996).

Digenean infections, with the exception of aporocotyliids, generally do not appear to be significant in aquaculture (Williams and Jones 1994; Ogawa 1996). Most digeneans have complex life cycles involving two or more host species that are difficult to complete in culture systems. Digeneans that inhabit the digestive tract are generally considered less pathogenic and have received comparatively little attention in the literature (Williams and Jones 1994). Nonetheless, *Stephanostomum bicornatum* could cause some pathology to *A. japonicus* (Table 48) considering Grau *et al.* (1999) observed mucosal and submucosal lesions in *Seriola dumerili* infected with a similar species, *S. pristis*. Considering the low likelihood and negligible/low consequences, *S. bicornatum* and *Pleorchis sciaenae* pose a negligible risk to sea-cage aquaculture of *A. japonicus* (Table 48).

The monogenean *Calceostoma glandulosum* has been reported previously from farmed *A. japonicus* in South Australia (Hayward *et al.* 2007b) and were found to infect wild fish in South Australia in this study (Chapter 2, Table 12). There is no record of a *Calceostoma*

species causing mortality or disease in cultured fishes and Hayward *et al.* (2007b) found no evidence of gross pathology associated with low infection intensities on farmed *A. japonicus* in South Australia. It is interesting to note that other monogenean species are considered a high risk. This suggests that the biology of some species of monogeneans, presumably their attachment methods, fecundity, egg dispersal and/or larval behaviour, renders them more benign than others.

Farmed fish may become infected with nematodes if they are fed live foods containing infective parasite stages, or if infected intermediate hosts are able to be consumed by caged fish. Anisakid nematodes often cause the development of lesions (Dezfuli *et al.* 2000) and are potentially harmful to consumer health. Anisakiasis is a parasitic disease of the human gastrointestinal tract, caused by ingestion of live marine nematode larvae. *Anisakis simplex* and *Pseudoterranova decipiens* are nematode species known to be associated with this disease (Ugenti *et al.* 2004), and *Contracaecum* spp. and *Hysterothylacium* spp. are also potentially infective to consumers (Williams and Jones 1994; Table 48). Infection can occur when raw or undercooked fish that contain live parasites is consumed.

Philometrid nematodes have been detected in wild mullet in Western Australia (Bryn Farmer, personal communication) and South Australia (Chapter 2, Table 12), but have not been reported from farmed fish. Philometrid nematodes parasitise male and female fish, with infection usually associated with spawning individuals (Hesp *et al.* 2002). The testes of *Chrysophrys auratus* (as *Pagrus auratus*) infected with *Philometra lateolabracis* undergo extensive atrophy (Hine and Anderson 1981), while heavy infections of philometrid species in the ovaries of *Mugil cephalus* led to necrosis of the oocytes. Indeed, invasion of the gonads of sexually mature *Pomatomus saltatrix* by *Philometra saltatrix* reduced the reproductive potential of this species (Hesp *et al.* 2002). Consequently, philometrid nematodes may be a problem for wild mullet captured and maintained for brood stock, but are of less concern for sea-caged fish produced for the commercial market (Table 47).

7.5.2 Parasite risks to sea-cage aquaculture of *Lates calcarifer*

High risk

The monogeneans *Neobenedenia melleni* and *Benedenia epinepheli* are notorious, allegedly generalist pathogens of tropical and subtropical fishes in aquaria and aquaculture worldwide.

Both species attach to the skin of their host and graze on skin cells, continuously laying eggs into the water which hatch into ciliated larvae that directly re-infect fish. High infection intensities on fish lead to secondary infections by bacteria, ultimately resulting in emaciation and death. In 2000 an outbreak of *N. melleni* resulted in the loss of 200,000 farmed barramundi (50 t) in Hinchinbrook, Queensland (Deveney *et al.* 2001). Outbreaks of *B. epinepheli* have been recorded in aquaculture on at least six species of fish and on 18 species in aquaria (see Whittington *et al.* 2001b for review).

Whittington (2004; 2005) has hypothesised that *Neobenedenia* ‘*melleni*’ and *N. ‘girellae*’, the two species widely attributed globally to pathogenic infections in aquaria and aquaculture, may each be a complex of several species. Furthermore, the source of *Neobenedenia* outbreaks in sea-caged fish is mostly unknown (Whittington and Chisholm 2008) and this applies to the *N. ‘melleni*’ infection of barramundi in Hinchinbrook (Deveney *et al.* 2001). Moreover, *B. epinepheli* is only recorded in the wild from two host species (Whittington *et al.* 2001b). These reports emphasise the importance of broader studies to determine specific parasite identities and their host range because it may influence husbandry practices and farm location.

Moderate risk

At least 10 diplectanid monogenean species (including *Diplectanum* spp., *Laticola* spp. and *Pseudorhabdosynochus* spp.) infect sea-caged *Lates calcarifer* (Chapter 2, Table 10).

Infected fish exhibit a darkened body, rub against the net, pale gills, lethargy, loss of appetite and excess mucus production (Leong *et al.* 2006). Leong and Wong (1990) recorded that a large proportion of diseased *L. calcarifer* were infected with *Laticola latesi* (as *Pseudorhabdosynochus latesi*) and *Diplectanum* sp. Until more is known about the specifics of parasite attachment, any associated pathology and fecundity, these diplectanid genera are considered moderate risks.

Aporocotylics may be problematic in aquaculture because their intermediate invertebrate host may inhabit areas close to farmed fish, such as on cage structures or nearby sediment, and infection of the definitive host by emerging cercariae is direct. *Cruoricola lates* infect farmed *L. calcarifer* in Malaysia, Thailand and Australia (Herbert *et al.* 1994) and *Parasanguinicola vastispina* infects cultured fish in Malaysia (Herbert and Shaharom-Harrison 1995) (Chapter 2, Table 10). Although these genera have no known pathology,

apocotylids are associated with mortalities of farmed amberjacks, *S. dumerili*, in the Spanish Mediterranean (Crespo *et al.* 1992) and Japan (Ogawa and Fukudome 1994) and farmed *Thunnus maccoyii* in Australia (Hayward *et al.* 2010).

Caligus epidemicus presents a predominant threat to aquaculture in Australian and Asian waters due to its distribution and low host specificity (Ho *et al.* 2000; Hutson *et al.* 2007b). This species is also known to cause mass mortality of mullet (Mugilidae) and porgies (Sparidae) in Australia (Hewitt 1971) and Taiwan (Lin 1996) and is known from sea-caged *L. calcarifer* in Malaysia (Venmathi Maran *et al.* 2009). In view of the parasites' direct life cycle and potential for mortality and disease outbreaks, *C. epidemicus* presents a moderate risk for sea-cage aquaculture of *L. calcarifer* (Table 49).

Lernanthropus spp. infections are emerging in sea-cage barramundi industries in Queensland (Chapter 2, Table 10) and Western Australia (Hutson, unpublished data). Prior to this study, *L. latis* was known from wild *Lates calcarifer* from Celebes, India, Sri Lanka and Thailand (see Ho and Kim 2004b) and farmed *L. calcarifer* in the Northern Territory, Australia (Kuo and Humphrey 2008). *Lernanthropus latis* presents an extreme likelihood of establishment and proliferation in sea-cage aquaculture (Table 50). The consequences for culture may include disease problems (lacerated tissue, erosion and necrosis of secondary gill lamellae) and mortality (e.g. Manera and Dezfuli 2003; Henry *et al.* 2009). According to Kuo and Humphrey (2008) this species has not yet been associated with significant fish mortality events even though their presence is usually associated with poor fish health. Vinoth *et al.* (2010) document *L. kroyeri* from *Lates calcarifer* sampled in India, but did not accession any specimens for further study. Considering *Lernanthropus latis* has been documented previously from the same host in India (Tripathi 1957) and that *L. kroyeri* has only been previously recorded from *Dicentrarchus labrax*, validation of this record would be necessary prior to inclusion in these risk analyses.

Cymothoa indica (Isopoda) is known to infect hatchery reared *Lates calcarifer* larvae in India (Rajkumar *et al.* 2005). The parasite is believed to have been introduced to hatchery fish through wild zooplankton used as feed. Infections in the branchial and anterodorsal regions resulted in skin lesions and were associated with lowered growth rates and mortality (Rajkumar *et al.* 2005).

Low risk

Farmed *L. calcarifer* sampled from Port Hinchinbrook, Queensland, exhibited high burdens of metacercarial cysts in the gills (Chapter 2, Table 10). Assessment of risk is challenging with limited information available on species identification or potential to cause pathology. In view of the intensity of the infection and gross damage observed, further investigation is warranted.

Negligible risk

Myxozoa are now recognised as relatives of cnidarians and are believed to have a two-host life cycle involving fish and invertebrates (Moran *et al.* 1999). An undescribed species has been documented from the gall-bladder of farmed *L. calcarifer* (Table 50). *Myxobolus spirosulcatus* (see Maeno *et al.* 1995) is also known from the gall-bladder of farmed *Seriola quinqueradiata* in Japan (Yokoyama and Fukuda 2001), but there have been no apparent pathological changes or mortality associated with infection. Assessment of the risk of the unidentified myxozoan is challenging with limited information available on species identification or potential to cause pathology.

We found no literature concerning potential pathology of larval tetraphyllideans and the trypanorhynch *Nybelinia indica* documented from the digestive tract of *L. calcarifer* (Chapter I, Table 50).

Non-aporocotylid digenean parasites can be easily managed in farms by feeding fish with uninfected items. Some farmed fish may still become infected by trematodes, by feeding opportunistically on wild species harbouring infective stages moving through the netting, but it is unlikely that these parasite species will proliferate in the farmed population. Given the paucity of information available in the literature concerning the relative pathogenicity of trematodes that infect the digestive tract, they are considered to present negligible risk for *L. calcarifer* sea-cage farming (Table 50).

7.6 Husbandry practices to manage metazoan parasite infections

Accurate identification of parasites in wild organisms and in aquaculture is critical as knowledge of the specific biology of species can help to facilitate selection of the most

appropriate management methods (e.g. location of farm; fallowing of sites; sea-cage net changes; use of antifoulants; cage position with regard to current direction; use of therapeutic chemicals; timing of treatment to break life cycles). Parasite identification is also significant in biosecurity as some pathogenic species in aquaculture are known to have inadvertently translocated from one region to another, leading to the spread of disease. In some cases, parasites may establish in hatcheries or nursery facilities (e.g. *Cymothoa indica* in *L. calcarifer*) and subsequent stress associated with transportation of juveniles to sea-cages may facilitate parasite proliferation. Consequently husbandry must begin with brood stock and fingerlings on land based facilities.

Parasitic crustaceans (Copepoda and Isopoda), monogeneans and aporocotylid trematodes present the greatest likelihood of establishment and proliferation in sea-cage aquaculture because invasive stages directly infect their host. Suggested husbandry practices to manage outbreaks of these parasite groups are discussed.

7.6.1 Monogenean and crustacean infections

Current management of isopods, copepods and monogenean infections in Australia's sea-cage aquaculture industry relies on bathing cages of fish in fresh water or hydrogen peroxide solution (Rach *et al.* 2000; Mansell *et al.* 2005). Bath treatments of sea-caged fish are labour-intensive, time-consuming, weather dependent, costly and stressful to fish (fish cannot be fed on days prior and post treatment). Although the industry has developed considerable expertise in bath treatments, mortalities may still occur due to difficulties in calculating bath solution, physical damage to fish from crowding, or lack of oxygen (Williams *et al.* 2007). Ectoparasites can also be treated with approved, prescribed veterinary medications. However, prolonged use of chemical treatments may lead to the development of parasite resistance and reduced efficacy. Seven years of continued use of emamectin benzoate to manage *Caligus* infections in sea-caged *S. salar* in Chile has resulted in reduced efficacy (Bravo 2010). Alternatively, Chambers & Ernst (2005) demonstrated that hydrographic conditions should be taken into account to minimise infection within and between sea-cage farms by dispersing eggs and infective larvae.

Although there are no registered chemotherapeutants in Australia, ectoparasite species could be managed in the event of an outbreak using prescription or permit medication. Applications to seek exemptions from the need for registration for a number of chemicals used by the

aquaculture industry can be submitted to the National Registration Authority. A formal exemption means that the aquaculture industry can legally use a particular drug or chemical for certain specified uses without the need to get it formally registered or permitted. The following chemicals have been granted exemptions from the need for registration as a result of this process: calcium carbonate, calcium hydroxide, calcium oxide, calcium/magnesium carbonate, calcium sulphate, zeolite, aluminium sulphate, ferric chloride, inorganic fertilizers, and organic fertilizers. A Minor Use Permit (MUP) is a short term permit given for approximately 12 months on the basis that not enough information or resources are available to sustain a full application for registration and the quantities used are relatively small.

Cycles of reinfection can be prevented if treatments are coordinated strategically to break the life cycle (Ernst *et al.* 2005). A similar result can be achieved by fallowing farm sites (Bron *et al.* 1993). In order to effectively break parasite life cycles, fundamental biological information (e.g. species determination, epidemiology, fecundity, fate and embryonation time of eggs, time to reach sexual maturity, adult longevity) is required for a breadth of environmental conditions. Controlled experimental infections in the laboratory that examine the effect of temperature and salinity on 1) adult parasite survival; 2) adult parasite fecundity; 3) parasite egg hatching success; 4) parasite larval survival and 5) host infection rates will enable predictive models that assess parasite populations, infection dynamics and the timing and efficiency of control measures. Models determine effects of specific management strategies for specific environmental conditions (i.e. temperature and salinity) in order to break parasite life cycles.

Knowledge acquired from these models can be synthesised to create informed workable management practices to control parasites in different environmental scenarios and different aquaculture systems. This can include husbandry and intervention recommendations for brood stock and fish in grow-out. In addition, knowledge of what parasite species are locally abundant and/or the seasonality of wild host association with farm sites could be incorporated into a more complex model that accounts for reinfection from external sources. Minimising the number of escaped, infected fish that remain in the vicinity of the farm will also reduce reinfection of treated fish.

7.6.2 *Aporocotylid* infections

Blood flukes of fishes (Aporocotylidae) are an emerging problem in marine fish aquaculture worldwide. Recent studies of Australian marine fishes have revealed an unexpected, extremely diverse blood fluke fauna (Chapters 1, 2; Nolan and Cribb 2006a; Nolan and Cribb 2006b). Blood flukes are an important group for studies of diversity and host-parasite relationships because they infect some of the most commercially valued farmed marine fishes in the world and can be highly pathogenic to their intermediate and definitive hosts. Asexual stages castrate their intermediate host (Koie 1982) and eggs laid by adult parasites may cause asphyxiation and mortality in cultured fish (Ogawa and Fukudome 1994).

Control of blood fluke infections may only be achieved in semi-open aquaculture systems by separating intermediate and definitive hosts, as elimination of susceptible intermediate hosts in open water is impractical and cost-prohibitive (Bullard and Overstreet 2002). We are aware that some farms in Japan use orally delivered praziquantel to treat *Seriola* spp. infected with adult blood flukes, however, to our knowledge, the effectiveness of this treatment has not been quantified.

Identifying the intermediate host species for aporocotylid species may help to determine suitable sea-cage sites away from potential infection sources as the industry expands (Hutson and Whittington 2006; Chapter 2). The intermediate host species for nearly all marine aporocotylids are currently unknown. However, sequence data from adult aporocotylids in fish may be matched to parasite stages recovered from intermediate hosts. Unpublished sequence data from this study (Chapter 2) was provided to other researchers to facilitate the identification of intermediate blood fluke stages recovered in terebellid polychaetes in Port Lincoln, South Australia (personal communication, T. Cribb). Continued generation of adult and larval sequence data for a number of informative genes or gene fragments will facilitate our growing understanding that the role intermediate invertebrate hosts play in the environment and whether it is feasible to relocate sea-cages to areas where viable intermediate hosts are absent.

7.7 Conclusion

Parasites present major economic and environmental concerns for aquaculture and fisheries. In Australasia wild fish are widely introduced to farms for brood stock and may be reservoirs

of parasite infection in open and closed systems. The likelihood of parasite establishment and proliferation in aquaculture can be estimated by assessing and examining exposure of farmed fish to infective parasite stages. Parasites with single host life cycles and/or free swimming infective stages are most likely to establish and proliferate in aquaculture because they may reproduce rapidly and can directly infect their hosts. Amplification of parasite species in sea-cage culture may also present risks for aggregating conspecifics. The species most likely to threaten sustainability of the *A. japonicus* sea-cage aquaculture industry is *Benedenia sciaenae*, while *Neobenedenia melleni* and *B. epinepheli* present the highest risk for sea-cage culture of *L. calcarifer* sea-cage culture. Current ectoparasite control methods provide only short term solutions and substantially increase production costs. An understanding of wild sources and/or reservoirs of high risk parasite species at sea-cage farm locations (e.g. Catalano & Hutson 2010) and knowledge of their biology and life stages will help determine and refine proactive management strategies.

8 MARINEPARASITES.COM

8.1 Abstract

The website MarineParasites.com was developed to enable increased accessibility to information on parasites of marine fishes. More than 3,525 unique visitors from 114 countries have viewed the website to date. Positive feedback has been received from representatives of the target audience including recreational fishers, scientists and members of the aquaculture industry. The website has facilitated further communication and extension through national television and has made a major contribution to the availability of knowledge about parasites infecting recreational, commercial and farmed finfish in Australia.

8.2 Introduction

The Australian public and, indeed, the public globally, are poorly informed about parasite infections in wild fish species. When infections are noted, hysteria in the media may result as there is no factual information presented simply about marine finfish parasites that is readily accessible to the public. One of the most important objectives of this research project was to develop a comprehensive website that provides basic information on parasite appearance and biology to enable lay people to identify and understand the different types of parasites in fish. MarineParasites.com presents information on some of the most commonly cultured and recreationally captured fishes in southern Australian waters, as well as barramundi from tropical waters.

8.3 Materials and Methods

MarineParasites.com was designed by the PI together with Mr Simon Dibb of Elysium Design, to ensure a professional, user friendly layout. The site is now managed by the PI using Dreamweaver RCS4.

Six key menu items were created: Home, Parasites, Fish hosts, Research, Staff and Acknowledgements which include:

- 1) Background information on marine parasites and parasite diversity

- 2) Ten colour posters with detailed information on parasites of important fish species
- 3) Recent research news
- 4) Over 100 images of parasites, hosts species, laboratory and field work
- 5) Two media articles and a link to a televised feature about marine parasites that aired in September 2010 on Channel 10's *Scope*
- 6) A list of staff and collaborators
- 7) Acknowledgements of grants, scholarships, travel and commercial support

The website is image rich in an attempt to capture and engage audience interest (Figure 23). Where feasible, we have attempted to provide photographs of parasites attached to their hosts to assist identification. Most images of parasites *in situ* reflect how anglers would encounter marine parasites on their catch. For those parties interested in identifying parasites to species level, we recommend they contact us or an appropriate state government organisation. For those who contact us, we will provide appropriate publications that include drawings and, in some cases, keys to species that have resulted from this project.

8.4 Results and Discussion

There have been 2, 3,525 absolute unique visitors to the website from 114 countries. The top twelve visiting countries were: Australia, United States, United Kingdom, Chile, Canada, Malaysia, Philippines, Japan, India, New Zealand, Spain and Brazil. The website has been an excellent communication vehicle and the PI is contacted regularly by members of the public with questions and positive feedback. MarineParasites.com acts as a vital first stop for interested lay people and scientists. The PI will continue to maintain and regularly update the website. Ten colour posters (easily downloaded as pdf documents) highlight specific fish-parasite case studies (Figure 24, 25). In addition to the posters, more than 100 images can be viewed in the photo gallery.




Figure 23. A screen shot of the home page of MarineParasites.com. This attention-grabbing image of a tongue biter isopod, *Ceratothoa* sp. in the mouth of a trevally (*Pseudocaranx georgianus*), has been instrumental in attracting and engaging visitors to the website.

Parasites of mulloway (*Argyrosomus japonicus*)

Parasite species with potential to cause pathology in fish farms




Name: *Benedenia sciaenae*, a monogenean parasite commonly called 'skin fluke'
Microhabitat: Live on the surface of the fish and feed on skin cells
Appearance: Transparent when alive, but turn white when they die
Pathology: Heavy infections cause irritability, anorexia and mortality in aquaculture
Curiosity: Their circular attachment organ acts like a suction cap so they stick on the fish



Name: *Caligus* spp., copepod crustaceans commonly called 'sea-lice' or 'skin crawlers'
Microhabitat: Live on the surface of the fish including the skin and gills
Appearance: Often with elongate paired eggs strings, scuttling around on the fish skin
Pathology: May cause irritation and anaemia in heavy infections
Curiosity: These guys can hang on, despite the speed and distance their host travels!



Name: *Sciaenacotyle sciaenicola*, flatworm parasites commonly called 'gill fluke'
Microhabitat: Live on the gills and feed on blood
Appearance: Brown, long thin worms attached to gill lamellae
Pathology: Infections may cause emaciation, lethargy and lethal anaemia



Name: *Diplectanum* spp., flatworm parasites or 'flukes' called Diplectanids
Microhabitat: Live on the gills and attach
Appearance: Seen by eye as small white spots on the gills
Pathology: Epithelial hyperplasia at point of attachment



Name: *Calceostoma glandulosum*, flatworm parasites or 'flukes'
Microhabitat: Live on the gills
Appearance: Long, thick white worms on the gills
Pathology: Some evidence of anemia associated with infections in aquaculture



Name: *Lemanthropus gisleri*, copepod crustaceans
Microhabitat: Live on the gills
Appearance: Attach using hooks and hand-like appendages ~15mm
Pathology: Lacerated tissue, erosion, necrosis of gill lamellae
Curiosity: These parasites have only been recorded from wild mulloway to date



Name: Unidentified isopod, commonly called a 'tongue biter' or 'doctor'
Microhabitat: Live in the mouth, clutching on to the tongue with their claws
Appearance: Large, white flattened parasites with dark black eye spots
Pathology: Some species may eat the entire tongue of their fish host!
Curiosity: They tend to dine on the food that comes through their hosts' mouth!

Further contact:

Dr Kate S. Hutson
 School of Marine & Tropical Biology
 James Cook University
 Townsville
 Queensland 4811
 P: +61 7 4781 6216
 F: +61 7 4781 4585
 E: kate.hutson@jcu.edu.au

A research initiative supported by:

Australian Biological Resources Study
 Fisheries Research and Development Corporation
 The University of Adelaide
 James Cook University

Conditions of use:

Neither the author, nor the organisations listed here make any warranty, express or implied, or assume any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information. This is not an exhaustive list of all parasite species known to infect this host.

Prepared by Kate S. Hutson 2008
 Updated June 2010

Figure 24. Colour poster of problematic parasites for mulloway aquaculture. This poster is one of ten colour posters available through MarineParasites.com which examine the most commonly encountered parasites that infect recreational, commercial and cultured fish species.

Parasites of barramundi (*Lates calcarifer*)

Parasite species with potential to cause fish pathology



Name: *Neobenedenia* sp., flatworm parasites commonly called 'skin fluke'
Microhabitat: Live on the surface of the fish and feed on skin cells
Appearance: Transparent, mature around 2mm
Pathology: Irritability, anorexia and mortality in aquaculture
Curiosity: *Neobenedenia* are not host-specific (known from 30 fish families)



Name: *Cruoricola lates*, a digenean, commonly called a blood fluke
Microhabitat: Circulatory system
Appearance: Flat, tapered worms; white when alive
Pathology: Blood fluke can cause asphyxiation and mass mortality
Curiosity: Blood fluke have a two host life cycle with free swimming infective stages



Name: *Lernanthropus* sp., a parasitic crustacean or copepod
Microhabitat: Gills
Appearance: Attach using hooks and hand-like appendages ~15mm
Pathology: Lacerated tissue, erosion, necrosis of gill lamellae
Curiosity: May be diagnosed by long eggs strings on the gills

Further contact:

Dr Kate S. Hutson
School of Marine & Tropical Biology
James Cook University
Townsville
Queensland 4811
P: +61 7 4781 6216
F: +61 7 4781 4585
E: kate.hutson@jcu.edu.au

A research initiative supported by:

Australian Biological Resources Study
Fisheries Research and Development
Corporation
James Cook University
The University of Adelaide

Conditions of use:

Neither the author, nor the organisations listed here make any warranty, express or implied, or assume any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information. This is not an exhaustive list of all parasite species known to infect this host.

Prepared by Kate S. Hutson 2010

Figure 25. Colour poster of problematic parasites for barramundi aquaculture. This poster is one of ten colour posters available through MarineParasites.com which examine the most commonly encountered parasites that infect recreational, commercial and cultured fish species.

9 BENEFITS

This parasitological survey of selected important Australian fish species discovered a plethora of new parasite records and parasite species. This information has intrinsic value for understanding Australia's natural biodiversity (Chapters 2 and 4), geographic population structure of fisheries (Chapter 3) and for identifying threats to captive fishes (Chapters 5-7). Risk analyses provide a disciplined and consistent approach of the relative level of risk associated with individual parasite species. Our risk assessments can be used as a model to assess threats to sea-caged and wild fish species. Parasite species determined to pose the greatest threat to the sustainability and profitability of the mullock and barramundi industries were identified. Appropriate management measures have been suggested and may now be implemented to help control infections or outbreaks (Chapter 7). The results of this research have been disseminated through a website designed to be easy to navigate and interpret for lay people and scientists (Chapter 8).

To illustrate the direct and specific benefits of this research, we provide details for a *selection* of specific outcomes this research has had for the aquaculture industry, aquatic animal health service, the public, the scientific community and the media.

Aquaculture industry

Bluewater Barramundi. Information provided to personnel on parasites found infecting their sea-caged fish and recommendations for parasite management.

Clean Seas. Recommendation for parasite management was provided in response to a query from Health Manager Tom Bayley in regards to an isopod infection of farmed yellowtail kingfish and biosecurity of grow-out sites.

Clearwater Mulloway. Information provided to personnel on parasites found infecting their sea-caged fish and recommendations for parasite management.

Marine Produce Australia. Preliminary parasite identifications and recommendation for parasite management were provided for Marine Produce Australia for sea-cage aquaculture of barramundi.

Darwin Aquaculture Centre. Assisted in the identification of a problematic free-living platyhelminth in clam culture for Evan Needham, Senior Aquaculture Technician

Aquatic animal health services

ADL-Diagnostics – Chile. Frequent exchange with Dr Ricardo Ildefonso, veterinarian in Chile and assistance with *Paradeontacylix* identification in jacks (*Seriola* spp.).

Batavia Coast Marine Institute. A request was granted to Dr Colin Johnson, Research and Development Officer, Batavia Coast Marine Institute, Geraldton, Western Australia for information on likely parasite issues for yellowtail kingfish (*Seriola lalandi*) sea-cage trials.

Berrimah Veterinary Laboratories. Identifications of parasite species were made for Dr Kitman Dyrting Veterinary Officer/Pathologist, Department of Resources, Darwin.

Digsfish Services. Information on parasite assemblages of southern garfish (*Hyporhamphus melanochir*) was provided to Dr Ben Diggles for incorporation in a risk analysis for bait.

Members of the public

Responses were provided for all electronic mail sent to the PI from members of the public in relation to marineparasites.com. This usually involved addressing specific questions on parasites that recreational fishers had found on and in their catch.

Identifications were provided for parasites infecting southern garfish (*Hyporhamphus melanochir*) and King George whiting (*Sillaginodes punctatus*) from photos and specimens supplied by Mr Alan Slater of Whyalla.

A selection of specimens, images and videos about marine parasites collected during our project are displayed permanently in the Biodiversity Gallery at the South Australian Museum (opened: February 2010). In addition to MarineParasites.com, this museum gallery is another way to inform the public about marine parasite biodiversity and their biology. In 2009, the SA Museum received >800,000 visitors. Joint Investigator (JI), Ian Whittington, has engaged with the public on several occasions in the Biodiversity Gallery about parasites. He has also trained about 25 volunteer guides.

Members of the scientific community

For a list of all presentations arising, see Appendix 4

Centro de Ciencias do Mar, France. A request from Dr Christophe Haond was granted for specimens of marine *Argulus* for his work on osmoregulation.

Flinders University. *Seriola* spp. tissue from over 150 individuals sampled by the PI were provided for an Honours research project (Penny Miller, Flinders University). The PI also contributed to writing a manuscript that developed from this research (see Appendix 3).

Institute of Parasitology, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic. New cestodes were provided to Dr Roman Kuchta for description: Kuchta, R., Scholz, T. and Justine, J.L. 2009. Two new species of *Bothriocephalus* Rudolphi, 1808 (Cestoda: Bothriocephalidae) from marine fish off Australia and New Caledonia. *Systematic Parasitology* **73**, 229-238.

CSIRO. Unpublished data was provided to Catherine Seytre, Marine Ecologist, CSIRO Marine & Atmospheric Research for a quantitative review of fish movements.

Murdoch University. Parasite images were provided to Michael Klunzinger, Fish Health Unit, Murdoch University, Western Australia, for seminars delivered to veterinary students.

New South Wales Department of Primary Industries. Aided Dr John Stewart, Research Scientist with the identification of nematode worms infecting garfish.

Department of Primary Industries, Victoria. Aided with identification of a parasite of recreational fishes as per a request made by Troy Duthie, Recreational Fisheries Officer, Warrnambool.

Australian Museums. The majority of parasite specimens and also some host specimens and fish tissue samples obtained in this study were accessioned to collections (Australian Biological Tissue Collection, Helminths, Ichthyology and Marine Invertebrates) at the South Australian Museum. Specimens were also accessioned to Museum of Tropical Queensland, Museum Victoria and Natural History Museum, London.

University of Adelaide. Parasite and some host material collected was provided to Lizzie Perkins and was sequenced as part of an independent study on parasite phylogeny. This has resulted so far in two published papers and one PhD thesis (see Appendix 3).

Teaching. The PI and the JI contribute teaching to undergraduate courses at James Cook University and the University of Adelaide, respectively. Tomorrow's professional marine biologists are today's students and Hutson and Whittington each use their experiences, discoveries and publications from projects as examples in their teaching.

Training: Three Honours students, Sarah Catalano and Emma Brock (University of Adelaide) and Penny Miller (Flinders University) have benefited directly from research training during the course of this project.

Members of the media

For a list of all media arising, see Appendix 5

The Age. Comment was provided to Ian McIlwraith, business section, The Age, on parasites infecting yellowtail kingfish (*Seriola lalandi*).

Network Ten. Following contact made by Network Ten, the PI participated in filming a marine parasitology segment for the children's science programme, *Scope*, broadcast 25 September 2010.

Whyalla News. Comment was provided to Seema Sharma - Whyalla News on parasites infecting local fishes.

10 FURTHER DEVELOPMENT

Results from this project have been widely disseminated to the Australian public, fishing industry and aquaculture industry through direct communication, the website (MarineParasites.com) and conference presentations. Presentations were delivered at the 7th International Symposium on Fish Parasites (ISFP), the 10th International Congress for Parasitology (ICOPA), Australasian Aquaculture and Australian Society for Parasitology conferences (Appendix 4). This has resulted in a better understanding of parasite diversity, identification and potentially problematic species. In combination with other studies on marine parasitology, the research could be used to attempt an industry-wide approach to parasite biosecurity and animal husbandry but we are far from a comprehensive picture. The results can be applied commercially by aquaculture companies if they choose to adopt any of the suggested strategies (Chapter 7).

We plan to meet one of our extension objectives ‘*Dissemination of information to industry in a workshop*’ in 2011. It is our intention to run this workshop at James Cook University in Cairns on the 10th of July, 2011. This is timely considering the workshop will immediately follow the First Australasian Scientific Conference on Aquatic Animal Health (5th – 8th July) and is prior to The Australian Society for Parasitology annual conference (11th – 13th July), both of which will be held in Cairns. Consequently the workshop will raise broader interest for industry and other stakeholders, aquatic animal health professionals and students.

11 PLANNED OUTCOMES

Parasite species identification and knowledge of parasite biology is critical for effective parasite management in aquaculture. New knowledge of the parasite species infecting farmed fish will facilitate the development of appropriate parasite treatments and husbandry practices in the Australian sea-cage aquaculture industry (Chapter 7). In addition, identification of naturally occurring parasite species in Australia and an appreciation of what may be endemic and what may be shared with neighbours throughout the Indo-Pacific and more broadly is vital for rapid identification of invasive fish parasites and diseases (Chapter 2 and 6). We redescribed three parasites species, describe one new species and document numerous species previously not known to occur in Australian waters. We have identified a plethora of species that will be described or redescribed and published in scientific journals because material and sequence data will continue to be analysed following the official completion of this research project. Specimens have been accessioned to museums and will continue to prove valuable to other taxonomists and systematists worldwide.

MarineParasites.com (see Chapter 8) delivers information to recreational fishers and the general public about parasites in fish. Initially, identification keys were to be posted on the website, but given that specialised optical equipment and terminology is required for definitive identifications, we deemed it more appropriate to provide informative photographs of frequently encountered parasites on fish. Detailed identification keys are provided for blood flukes in *Paradeontacylix* (see Chapter 5). Given that it is often difficult to identify parasite species in this group definitively, we encourage industry to email photographs and send specimens for identification. Furthermore, this course of action contributes significantly to our ongoing studies on parasites of finfish.

Aporocotylid blood flukes threaten the sustainability of *Seriola* aquaculture in Australia. Sequences for aporocotylid blood flukes from this study will be provided to GenBank™ when Chapter 5 is developed for scientific publication. This will enable DNA-based molecular techniques for the identification of blood fluke species in farmed *Seriola* hosts. Blood fluke adults are notoriously difficult to collect from the circulatory system of their fish hosts and the parasites die and degenerate rapidly following host death. There is also increasing evidence that some blood fluke species may exhibit site specificity (Chapter 5; Sho Shirakashi, pers. comm.). Consequently, current routine sampling of only a select number of organs or parts of

the circulatory system may not necessarily reveal an infection when present. Blood fluke eggs that lodge in gill lamellae of *Seriola* spp. can now be isolated from the gills of an infected fish and matched to the sequences we have generated. Matching of these sequences to life stages in intermediate hosts may reveal blood fluke life cycles. Unpublished sequence data from this project were provided to other Australian researchers (University of Queensland, SARDI Aquatic Sciences and the University of Tasmania) for this specific purpose. These investigators found intermediate blood fluke stages in invertebrates and required a 'bank' of adult aporocotyloid DNA sequences from fish hosts in order to have any success in obtaining a match. In future, this baseline work may be used to provide diagnostic testing capabilities to the aquaculture industry and may also enable positive identification of candidate intermediate hosts and contribute to life cycle knowledge. Such studies are important to determine the specificity of intermediate host species to infective stages to inform sea-cage location away from potential infection sources.

We gratefully recognise the contribution that this project has had for the career development of one early career researcher and two junior scientists. This project was central to initiating the career of the PI, who successfully secured an academic teaching and research position at James Cook University during the period of the project. It has also initiated the career of three Honours students: Emma Brock BSc Hons (completed in November 2010), Sarah Catalano BSc Hons, now a PhD candidate in molecular genetics and parasitology at the University of Adelaide and Penny Miller Bsc Hons, now a PhD candidate with the Australian Seafood Cooperative Research Centre, Deakin University.

This project developed a financial and scientific partnership between the FRDC and ABRS for the first time. The mission and goals of these two funding agencies differ. FRDC maintains an applied focus to the fishing industry whereas ABRS provides grants for taxonomic research and to build the taxonomic workforce of Australia. In this co-funded project, we have succeeded in balancing these different objectives. It has also involved national collaboration with James Cook University, the South Australian Museum and the University of Adelaide. International collaboration has been enhanced with the Natural History Museum, London and the University of Valencia.

12 CONCLUSIONS

This research project met ten original objectives (See 1.3 Objectives, pg 19).

Discovery and documentation of parasite fauna of wild and farmed fish should be incorporated into any ongoing sampling programs for effective parasite management and risk assessment (Chapters 2, 4-7). Effective mitigation of parasite species infecting fishes in sea-cage farms can only be achieved through reliable parasite identification (Chapters 2-5), knowledge of their biology (Chapter 6) and assessment of appropriate management methods (Chapter 7).

Recognition of parasite species that may decrease profitability through reduced marketability, morbidity and/or mortality of stocks is crucial (Chapters 5-7). Parasites also enable further insight into the geographic population structure of fish stocks (Chapter 6) which is critical for fisheries management. This research delivered on its objective to identify parasites of potential threat to the sustainability of the Australian sea-cage aquaculture industry (Chapters 2, 5-7). Husbandry practices were identified that will enable development of the most appropriate management strategies to avoid outbreaks in Australian aquaculture (Chapter 7). Knowledge gained from this project is accessible to the wider public through the development of a professional, user friendly website (Chapter 8).

This research has generated biological material (including new and poorly known parasite species) that could involve several more years of further taxonomic work to produce several more publications - far beyond the means of this three year research project. Our research has emphasised the diversity of parasites that occur in the marine ecosystem and how scant our knowledge is. We plan to continue to work on this material and will continue to publish the results (Appendix 5). This identifies and underscores the need to continue to fund similar projects and continue the partnership with FRDC and ABRS, in order to generate the information required to manage wild fisheries sustainably, ensure the welfare of farmed fishes and also to train marine parasitologists of the future.

13 REFERENCES

ABARE (2009) Australian fisheries statistics 2008. Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics (ABARE), Canberra, July.

Adams, D.H. (2009) Consistently low mercury concentrations in dolphinfish, *Corphaena hippurus*, an oceanic pelagic predator. *Environmental Research* **109**, 697-701.

Adjei, E.L., Barnes, A., and Lester, R.J.G. (1986) A method for estimating possible parasite-related host mortality illustrated using data from *Callitetrarhynchus gracilis* (Cestoda: Trypanorhyncha) in lizardfish (*Saurida* spp.). *Parasitology* **92**, 227-243.

Adlard, R.D., and Lester, R.J.G. (1994) Dynamics of the interaction between the parasitic isopod, *Anilocra pomacentri*, and the coral reef fish, *Chromis nitida*. *Parasitology* **109**, 311–324.

Aiken, H.M., Bott, N.J., Mladineo, I., Montero, F.E., Nowak, B.F., and Hayward, C.J. (2007) Molecular evidence for cosmopolitan distribution of platyhelminth parasites of tunas (*Thunnus* spp.). *Fish and Fisheries* **8**, 167-180.

Akaike H (1985) Prediction and entropy. In 'A celebration of statistics'. (Eds AC Atkinson and SE Fienberg) pp. 1–24. (Springer-Verlag: Berlin)

Aken'Ova, T.O., Cribb, T.H., and Bray, R.A. (2008) Eight new species of *Macvicaria* Gibson and Bray, 1982 (Digenea: Opecoelidae) mainly from endemic temperate marine fishes of Australia. *ZooKeys* **1**, 23-58.

Aken'Ova, T.O., Cribb, T.H., and Bray, R.A. (2009) Seven species of *Pseudopecoeloides* Yamaguti, 1940 (Digenea, Opecoelidae) from temperate marine fishes of Australia, including five new species. *ZooKeys* **5**, 1-32.

Akther, M., Alam, A., D'Silva, J., Bhuiyan, A.I., Bristow, G.A., and Berland, B. (2004) *Goezia bangladeshi* n. sp. (Nematoda: Anisakidae) from an anadromous fish *Tenualosa ilisha* (Clupeidae). *Journal of Helminthology* **78**, 105-113.

Amin, O.M., and Christison, K.W. (2005) *Neoechinorhynchus* (*Neoechinorhynchus*) *dorsovaginatus* n. sp. (Acanthocephala: Neoechinorhynchidae) from the dusky kob *Argyrosomus japonicus* (Sciaenidae) on the southern coast of South Africa. *Systematic Parasitology* **61**, 173-179.

Anon. (2005) Australian Fisheries Statistics 2004. In '!' ([WWW document]. URL <http://abareonlineshop.com/product.asp?prodid=12973>)

AQIS (1999) Australian Quarantine and Inspection Service. Final reports of import risk analyses on non-viable salmonid products, non-viable marine finfish products and live ornamental finfish and adoption of new policies. AusInfo, Canberra.

Arthur, J.R., and Te, B.Q. (2006) Checklist of the parasites of fishes of Vietnam. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper. No 369/2, Rome, FAO. 133p.

Baker, A.N. (1971) Food and feeding of kahawai (Teleostei: Arripidae). *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **5**(2), 291-299.

Ball, A.O., Sedberry, G.R., Zatzoff, M.S., Chapman, R.W., and Carlin, J.L. (2000) Population structure of the wreckfish *Polyprion americanus* determined with microsatellite genetic markers. *Marine Biology* **137**, 1077-1090.

Ballagh, D.A., Fielder, D.S., and Pankhurst, P.M. (2010) Weaning requirements of larval mulloway, *Argyrosomus japonicus*. *Aquaculture Research*, 1-12.

Bandilla, M., Valtonen, E.T., Suomalainen, L.R., Aphalo, P.J., and Hakalahti, T. (2006) A link between ectoparasite infection and susceptibility to bacterial disease in rainbow trout. *International Journal for Parasitology* **36**, 987–991.

Barber, I., Hoare, D., and Krause, J. (2000) Effects of parasites on fish behaviour: a review and evolutionary perspective. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* **10**, 131-165.

- Barlow, C., Williams, K., and Rimmer, M. (1996) Sea bass culture in Australia. *Infofish International*. Vol 2/96. pp26-33.
- Battaglione, S., and Fielder, S. (1997) The status of marine fish larvalrearing technology in Australia. *Hydrobiologia* **358**, 1-5.
- Battaglione, S., and Talbot, R.B. (1992) Induced spawning and larval rearing of snapper, *Pagrus auratus* (Pisces: Sparidae), from Australian waters. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **26**, 179-185.
- Battaglione, S.C., and Talbot, R.B. (2004) Hormone induction and larval rearing of mullet, *Argyrosomus hololepidotus* (Pisces, Sciaenidae). *Aquaculture* **126**, 73-81.
- Baylis, H.A. (1944) Three new Acanthocephala from marine fishes of Australasia. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History* **11**, 462-472.
- Beentjes, M.P., and Francis, M.P. (1999) Movement of hapuku (*Polyprion oxygeneios*) determined from tagging studies. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **33**(1), 1-12.
- Begg, G.A., and Waldman, J.R. (1999) An holistic approach to fish stock identification. *Fisheries Research* **43**, 35-44.
- Benetti, D.D., O'Hanlon, B., Rivera, J.A., Welch, A.W., Maxey, C., and Orhun, M.R. (2010) Growth rates of cobia (*Rachycentron canadum*) cultured in open ocean submerged cages in the Caribbean. *Aquaculture* **302**, 195-201.
- Berry, F.H. (1969) *Elagatis bipinnulata* (Pisces: Carangidae): morphology of the fins and other characters. *American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists* **3**, 454-463.
- Beumer, J.P., Ashburner, L.D., Burbury, M.E., Jetté, E., and Latham, D.J (1983) 'A checklist of the parasites of fishes from Australia and its adjacent Antarctic territories.' (Eyre and Spottiswoode Ltd).

- Beveridge, I., and Campbell, R.A. (1993) A revision of *Dasyrhynchus* Pintner (Cestoda: Trypanorhyncha), parasitic in elasmobranch and teleost fishes. *Systematic Parasitology* **24**, 129-157.
- Beveridge, I., and Campbell, R.A. (1996) New records and descriptions of trypanorhynch cestodes from Australian fishes. *Records of the South Australian Museum* **29**(1), 1-22.
- Boje, J., Riget, F., and Koie, M. (1997) Helminth parasites as biological tags in population studies of Greenland halibut (*Reinhardtius hippoglossoides* (Walbaum)), in the northwest Atlantic. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* **54**, 886–895.
- Bolton, T.F., Hayward, C.J., and Turner, A.J. (2005) The piscicolid leech *Austrobdella translucens*, Badham 1916: a new host record from Australian salmon, *Arripis trutta* (forster, 1801), and a new locality record for Yellowfin whiting, *Sillago schomburgkii*, Peters 1864, in South Australia. *Australian Zoologist* **33**(3), 385-387.
- Boxshall, G.A. (2005) Crustacean parasites: Branchiura (fish lice). In 'Marine Parasitology.' (Ed. K Rohde) pp. pp. 145-147. (CSIRO Publishing: Collingwood, Australia).
- Boxshall, G.A., Lin, C., Ho, J., Ohtsuka, S., Maran, B.A.V., and Justine, J.L. (2008) A revision of the family Dissonidae Kurtz, 1924 (Copepoda: Siphonostomatoidae). *Systematic Parasitology* **70**, 81-106.
- Braicovich, P.E., and Timi, J.T. (2008) Parasites as biological tags for stock discrimination of the Brazilian flathead *Percophis brasiliensis* in the south-west Atlantic. *Journal of Fish Biology* **73**(3), 557-571.
- Bravo, S. (2010) The reproductive output of sea lice *Caligus rogercresseyi* under controlled conditions. *Experimental Parasitology* **125**, 51-54.
- Bray, R.A. (1986) Some helminth parasites of marine fishes of South Africa: families Enenteridae, Opistholebetidae and Pleorchiidae (Digenea). *Journal of Natural History* **20**(2), 471-488.

- Bray, R.A. (1990) Hemiuridae (Digenea) from marine fishes of the southern Indian Ocean: Dinurinae, Elytrophallinae, Glomericirrinae and Plerurinae. *Systematic Parasitology* **17**, 183-217.
- Bray, R.A., and Cribb, T.H. (2002) *Monostephanostomum georgianum* n. sp. (Digenea: Acanthocolpidae) from *Arripis georgianus* (Valenciennes) (Perciformes: Arripidae) off Kangaroo Island, South Australia, with comments on *Monostephanostomum* Kruse, 1979 and *Stephanostomum* Looss, 1899. *Systematic Parasitology* **53**, 61-68.
- Bray, R.A., and Cribb, T.H. (2003a) New species of *Opechona* Looss, 1907 and *Cephalolepidapedon* Yamaguti, 1970 (digenea: Lepocreadiidae) from fishes off northern Tasmania. *Papers and Proceedings of the Royal Society of Tasmania* **137**, 1-5.
- Bray, R.A., and Cribb, T.H. (2003b) Species of *Stephanostomum* Looss, 1899 (Digenea: Acanthocolpidae) from fishes of Australian and South Pacific waters, including five new species. *Systematic Parasitology* **55**, 159-197.
- Bray, R.A., Cribb, T.H., and Barker, S.C. (1993a) Hemiuridae (Digenea) from marine fishes of the Great Barrier Reef, Queensland, Australia. *Systematic Parasitology* **25**, 37-62.
- Bray, R.A., Cribb, T.H., and Barker, S.C. (1993b) The Hemiuroidea (Digenea) of pomacentrid fishes (Perciformes) from Heron Island, Queensland, Australia. *Systematic Parasitology* **24**, 159-184.
- Bray, R.A., Cribb, T.H., and Pichelin, S.P. (1999) Two new species of lepidapedines (Digenea, Lepocreadiidae) from the King George whiting *Sillaginodes punctata* (Perciformes, Sallaginidae) from off Kangaroo Island, South Australia. *Acta Parasitologica* **44**(2), 108-114.
- Bray, R.A., and Gibson, D.I. (1990) The Lepocreadiidae (Digenea) of fishes of the north-east Atlantic: review of the genera *Opechona* Looss, 1907 and *Prodistomum* Linton, 1910. *Systematic Parasitology* **15**, 159-202.
- Bricknell, I.R., Bron, J.E., and Bowden, T.J. (2006) Diseases of gadoid fish in cultivation: a review. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* **63**(2), 253-266.

- Bron, J.E., Sommerville, C., Wooten, R., and Rae, G.H. (1993) Following of marine Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L. farms as a method for the control of sea lice, *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* (Kroyer, 1837). *Journal of Fish Diseases* **16**, 487-493.
- Bruce, N.L. (1986) Revision of the isopod crustacean genus *Mothocya* Costa, in Hope, 1851 (Cymothoidae: Flabellifera), parasitic on marine fishes. *Journal of Natural History* **20**, 1089–1192.
- Bruce, N.L., and Harrison-Nelson, E.B. (1988) New records of fish parasitic marine isopod crustaceans (Cymothoidae, subfamily Anilocrinae) from the indo-west Pacific. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* **101**(3), 585-602.
- Bryars, S. (2003) An Inventory of Important Coastal Fisheries Habitats in South Australia. Fish Habitat Program, Primary Industries and Resources South Australia, Adelaide.
- Buchmann, K. (2009) 'Fish Diseases - An Introduction.' (Biofolia: Frederiksberg, Denmark) 131
- Bullard, S.A. (2009) Historical account of the two family-group names in use for the single accepted family comprising the "fish blood flukes". *Acta Parasitologica* **54**(1), 1230-2821.
- Bullard, S.A. (2010) A new species of *Cardicola* Short, 1953 (Digenea: Aporocotylidae) from the heart and branchial vessels of two surfperches (Perciformes: Embiotocidae) in the eastern Pacific Ocean off California. *Journal of Parasitology* **96**(2), 382-388.
- Bullard, S.A., and Overstreet, R.M. (2002) Potential pathological effects of blood flukes (Digenea: Sanguinicolidae) on pen-reared marine fishes. *Proceedings of the Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute* **53**, 10–25.
- Bullard, S.A., and Overstreet, R.M. (2004) Two new species of *Cardicola* (Digenea: Sanguinicolidae) in drums (Sciaenidae) from Mississippi and Louisiana. *Journal of Parasitology* **90**(1), 128-136.
- Bullard, S.A., and Overstreet, R.M. (2008) Digeneans as enemies of fishes. In 'Fish Diseases.' (Eds. J Eiras, H Segner, T Wahil and BG Kapoor) pp. 817-976 (Science Publishers: US).

Bunkley-Williams, L., and Williams, E.H. (2006) New records of parasites for culture Cobia, *Rachycentron canadum* (Perciformes: Rachycentridae) in Puerto Rico. *International Journal of Tropical Biology* **54**, 1-7.

Burnett-Herkes, J. (1974) Parasites of the Gills and Buccal Cavity of the Dolphin, *Coryphaena hippurus*, from the Straits of Florida. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* **103**, 101-106.

Bush, A.O., Aho, J.M., and Kennedy, C.R. (1990) Ecological versus phylogenetic determinants of helminths parasite community richness. *Evolutionary Ecology* **4**, 1–20.

Bush, A.O., Lafferty, K.D., Lotz, J.M., and Shostak, A.W. (1997) Parasitology meets ecology on its own terms: Margolis et al. revisited. *Journal of Parasitology* **83**(4), 575–583.

Byrnes, T. (1985) Two new *Argulus* species (Branchiura: Argulidae) found on Australian Bream (*Acanthopagrus* spp.). *Australian Zoologist* **21**, 579–586.

Cannon, L.R.G. (1977) Some larval ascaridoids from south-eastern Queensland marine fishes. *International Journal for Parasitology* **7**, 223-243.

Carbonell, E., Castro, J.J., and Massuti, E. (1998) *Floriceps saccatus* Plerocerci (Trypanorhyncha, Lacistorhynchidae) as parasites of dolphin fish (*Coryphaena hippurus* L.) and pompano dolphin (*Coryphaena equiselis* L.) in western Mediterranean and Eastern Atlantic waters. Ecological and biological aspects. *The Journal of Parasitology* **84**(5), 1035-1039.

Carbonell, E., Massuti, E., Castro, J.J., and Garcia, R.M. (1999) Parasitism of dolphinfishes, *Coryphaena hippurus* and *Coryphaena equiselis*, in the western Mediterranean (Balearic Islands) and central-eastern Atlantic (Canary Islands). *Scientia Marina* **63**, 343-354.

Castro, J.J., Santiago, J.A., and Santana-Ortega, A.T. (2002) A general theory on fish aggregation to floating objects: An alternative to the meeting point hypothesis. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* **11**, 255–277.

Catalano, S.R., and Hutson, K.S. (2010) Harmful parasitic crustaceans infecting wild arripids: a potential threat to southern Australian finfish aquaculture. *Aquaculture* **303**, 101-104.

Chambers, C.B., and Ernst, I. (2005) Dispersal of the skin fluke *Benedenia seriola* (Monogenea: Capsalidae) by tidal currents and implications for sea-cage farming of *Seriola* spp. *Aquaculture* **250**(1-2), 60-69.

Chen, S.C., Kou, R.J., Wang, P.C., and Su, F.Z. (2001) Mass mortality associated with a *Sphaerospora*-like myxosporidean infestation in juvenile cobia, *Rachycentron canadum* (L.), marine cage cultured in Taiwan. *Journal of Fish Diseases* **24**, 189-195.

Choi, S.D., Hong, S.Y., and Lee, J.M. (1995) Two species of *Caligus* (Siphonostomatoida, Copepoda) parasites on marine cultured fishes from Kamak Bay in Korea. *Bulletin of the Natural Fisheries Research and Development Agency* **49**, 157–166.

Chubb, C.F., Potter, I.C., Grant, C.J., Lenanton, R.C.J., and Wallace, J. (1981) Age structure, growth rates and movements of sea mullet, *Mugil cephalus* L., and yellow-eye mullet, *Aldrichetta forsteri* (Valenciennes), in the Swan-Avon river system, Western Australia. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **32**, 605-628.

Cleary, J.J., and Pankhurst, N.W. (2000) The effect of capture and handling stress on plasma steroid levels and gonadal condition in wild and farmed snapper *Pagrus auratus* (Sparidae). *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* **31**(4), 558-569.

Cobcroft, J.M., Pankhurst, P.M., Sadler, J., and Hart, P.R. (2001) Jaw development and malformation in cultured striped trumpeter *Lateolabrax lineatus*. *Aquaculture* **199**, 267-282.

Coetzee, D.J. (1981) Analysis of the gut contents of the needlefish, *Hyporhamphus knysnaensis* (Smith), from Rondevlei, southern Cape. *South African Journal of Zoology* **16**, 14–20.

Collette, B.B. (1974) The garfishes (Hemiramphidae) of Australia and New Zealand. *Records of the Australian Museum* **29**, 11–105.

Colorni, A. (1994) Hyperparasitism of *Amyloodinium ocellatum* (Dinoflagellida: Oodiniidae) on *Neobenedenia melleni* (Monogenea: Capsalidae). *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **19**, 157-159.

Coulson, P.G., Hesp, S.A., Potter, I.C., and Hall, N.G. (2010) Life cycle characteristics of the blue morwong *Nemadactylus valenciennesi*, compared with those of other species of Cheilodactylidae. *Marine and Freshwater Research* **61**, 104-118.

Crespo, S., Grau, A., and Padrós, F. (1992) Sanguinicoliasis in the cultured amberjack *Seriola dumerili* Risso, from the Spanish Mediterranean area. *Bulletin of the European Association of Fish Pathologists* **12**, 157–159.

Cribb, T.H., Anderson, G.R., and Dove, A.D.M. (2000) *Pomphorhynchus heronensis* and restricted movement of *Lutjanus carponotatus* on the Great Barrier Reef. *Journal of Helminthology* **74**, 53–56.

Cribb, T. H., Bray, R.A., and Littlewood, D.T.J. (2001). The nature and evolution of the association among digeneans, molluscs and fishes. *International Journal for Parasitology* **31**, 997-1011.

Cribb, T. H., Bray, R. A., Olsen, P.D, Littlewood, D.T.J. (2003). Life cycle evolution in the Digenea: a new perspective from phylogeny. *Advances in Parasitology* **54**: 197-254.

Crowcroft, P.W. (1948) The anatomy of two new digenetic trematodes from Tasmanian food fishes. *Proceedings of the Linnaean Society of New South Wales* **71**, 108-118.

Cusack, R., and Cone, D.K. (1986) A review of parasites as vectors of viral and bacterial diseases of fish. *Journal of Fish Diseases* **9**, 169–171.

Deardorff, T.L., Kliks, M.M., Rosenfeld, M.E., Rychlinski, R.A., and Desowitz, R.S. (1982) Larval ascaridoid nematodes from fishes near the Hawaiian Islands, with comments on pathogenicity experiments. *Pacific Science* **36**(2), 187-201.

Deets, G.B., and Dojiri, M. (1989) Three species of *Trebius* Krøyer, 1838 (Copepoda: Siphonostomatoida) parasitic on Pacific elasmobranchs. *Systematic Parasitology* **13**, 81–101.

Dempster, T., and Kingsford, M. (2004a) Drifting objects as habitats for pelagic juvenile fish off New South Wales, Australia. *Marine and Freshwater Research* **55**, 675–687.

Dempster, T., Sanchez-Jerez, P., Bayle-Sempere, J.T., Gimenez-Casalduero, F., and Valle, C. (2002) Attraction of wild fish to sea-cage fish farms in the south-western Mediterranean Sea: spatial and short-term temporal variability. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **242**, 237–252.

Dempster, T., Uglem, I., Sanchez-Jerez, P., Fernandez-Jover, D., Bayle-Sempere, J., Nilsen, R., and Bjørn, P. (2009) Coastal salmon farms attract large and persistent aggregations of wild fish: an ecosystem effect. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **385**, 1–14.

Desdevises, Y., Morand, S., and Oliver, G. (2001) Linking specialisation to diversification in the Diplectanidae Bychowsky 1957 (Monogenea, Platyhelminthes). *Parasitology Research* **87**, 223-230.

Deveney, M.R., Bayly, T.J., Johnston, C.J., and Nowak, B.F. (2005) A parasite survey of farmed southern bluefin tuna, *Thunnus maccoyii* (Castelnau). *Journal of Fish Diseases* **28**, 279-284.

Deveney, M.R., Chishom, L.A., and Whittington, I.D. (2001) First published record of the pathogenic monogenean parasite *Neobenedenia melleni* (Capsalidae) from Australia. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **46**, 79-82.

Dezfuli, B.S., Simoni, E., Rossi, R., and Manera, M. (2000) Rodlet cells and other inflammatory cells of *Phoxinus phoxinus* infected with *Raphidascaris acus* (Nematoda). *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **43**, 61-69.

Diggles, B.K., 2003. Important risk assessment: juvenile yellowtail kingfish (*Seriola lalandi*) from Spencer Gulf Aquaculture, South Australia. National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research, Wellington. 54 pp.

Dillon, W.A., and Hargis, W.J. (1965a) Monogenean trematodes from the southern Pacific Ocean. 2. Polyopisthocotyleids from New Zealand fishes: the families Discocotylidae,

Microcotylidae, Axinidae, and Gastrocotylidae. *Biology of the Antarctic Seas II, Antarctic Research Series* **5**, 251-280.

Dillon, W.A., and Hargis, W.J. (1965b) Monogenetic trematodes from the southern Pacific ocean 2. Polyopisthocotyleids from New Zealand fishes: The families Discocotylidae, Microcotylidae, Axinidae, and Gastrocotylidae. *Biology of the Antarctic Seas II. Antarctic Research Series* **5**, 251–280.

Dillon, W.A., Hargis, W.J., and Hargis, A.E. (1984) Monogeneans from the southern Pacific Ocean. Polypisthocotyleids from Australian fishes. The subfamily Microcotylinae (English version). *Zoologicheskii Zhurnal* **63**(3), 348-359.

Ditty, J.G., Shaw, R.F., and Cope, J.S. (2004) Distribution of carangid larvae (Teleostei: Carangidae) and concentrations of zooplankton in the northern Gulf of Mexico, with illustrations of early *Hemicaranx amblyrhynchus* and *Caranx* spp. larvae. *Marine Biology* **145**, 1001-1014.

Dojiri, M., and Cressey, R.F. (1987) Revision of the Taeniacanthidae (Copepoda: Poecilostomatoida) parasitic on fishes and sea urchins. *Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology* **447**, 1–250.

Donnellan, S., Haigh, L., Elphinstone, M., McGlennon, D. & Ye, Q. (2001). Genetic discrimination between southern sea garfish (*Hyporhamphus melanochir*) stocks of Western Australia, South Australia, Victoria and Tasmania. In Fisheries Biology and Habitat Ecology of Southern Sea Garfish *Hyporhamphus melanochir* in Southern Australia, FRDC Final Draft Report 97/133 (Jones, G. K., Ye, Q., Ayvazian, S. & Coutin, P., eds). Canberra: Fisheries Research and Development Corporation. Available at <http://www.frdc.com.au/documentlibrary/finalreports/1997-133-DLD.doc>

Doolan, B.J., Allan, G.L., Booth, M.A. and Jones, P.L. (2008) Effects of cage netting colour and density on the skin pigmentation and stress response of Australian snapper *Pagrus auratus* (Bloch & Schneider, 1801). *Aquaculture Research* **39**, 1360-1368.

- Duffy, C.A.J., Stewart, A.L., and Yarrall, R. (2000) First record of pre-settlement juvenile bluenose, *Hyperoglyphe antarctica*, from New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **34**(2), 353-358.
- Dulvy, N.K., and Reynolds, J.D. (2009a) Skates on thin ice. *Nature* **462**, 417.
- Dyer, W.G., Bunkley-Williams, L., and Williams, E.H. (1997) Parasites of the dolphinfish (*Coryphaena hippurus*) in Puerto Rico. *Journal of Helminthology* **64**(2), 188-194.
- Dyer, W.G., Williams, E.H., and Bunkley-Williams, L. (1992) *Homalometron dowgialloi* sp. n. (Homalometridae) from *Haemulon flavolineatum* and additional records of digenetic trematodes of marine fishes in the West Indies. *Journal of Helminthology* **59**(2), 182-189.
- Earl, J., Fowler, A. J. & Dittman, S. (2011) Temporal variation in feeding behaviour and trophic ecology of the temperate hemiramphid *Hyporhamphus melanochir*. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* **90**, 71-83.
- Edmonds, J.S., Lenanton, R.C.J., Caputi, N., and Morita, M. (1992) Trace elements in the otoliths of yellow-eye mullet (*Aldrichetta forsteri*) as an aid to stock identification. *Fisheries Research* **13**, 39-51.
- Egusa, S. (1983) Disease problems in Japanese yellowtail, *Seriola quinqueradiata*, culture: a review. *Rapports et proces-verbaux des Reunions conseil International pour l'exploration de la mer* **182**, 10-18.
- Ernst, I., Whittington, I.D., Corneillie, S., and Talbot, C. (2002) Monogenean parasites in sea-cage aquaculture. *Austasia Aquaculture*, February/March, 46-48.
- Ernst, I., Whittington, I.D., Corneillie, S., and Talbot, C. (2005) Effects of temperature, salinity, desiccation and chemical treatments on egg embryonation and hatching success of *Benedenia seriolae* (Monogenea: Capsalidae), a parasite of farmed *Seriola* spp. *Journal of Fish Diseases* **28**, 157-164.

Fairclough, D.V., Dimmlich, W.F., and Potter, I.C. (2000) Reproductive biology of the Australian herring *Arripis georgiana*. *Marine and Freshwater Research* **51**, 619-630.

FAO (2008) The state of world fisheries and aquaculture (SOFIA). In: Department, F.F.a.A. (Ed.) Rome, p. 176

Fauvel, C., Suquet, M., Severe, A., Mylonas, C.C., and Papandroulakis, N. (2008) Slow-release GnRHa treatment prevented atresia during vitellogenesis and induced ovulation of captive wreckfish (*Polyprion americanus*). *Cybium* **32**(2), 1.

Ferguson, G., and Ye, Q. (2008) Black bream (*Acanthopagrus butcheri*). Fishery Stock Assessment Report for PIRSA Fisheries. South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide, F2008/000810-1. SARDI Research Report Series No. 310. 32pp.

Fernandez-Jover, D., Sanchez-Jerez, P., Bayle-Sempere, J.T., Valle, C., and Dempster, T. (2008) Seasonal patterns and diets of wild fish assemblages associated with Mediterranean coastal fish farms. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* **65**, 1153–1160.

Fielder, D.S., and Bardsley, W. (1999) A preliminary study on the effects of salinity on growth and survival of mulloway *Argyrosomus japonicus* larvae and juveniles. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* **30**(3), 380-387.

Finstad, B., Bjørn, P.A., Grimnes, A., and Hvidsten, N.A. (2000) Laboratory and field investigations of salmon lice (*Lepeoptheirus salmonis* (Krøyer)) infestation on Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) post-smolts. *Aquaculture Research* **31**, 795-803.

Fletcher, A.S., and Whittington, I.D. (1998) A parasite-host checklist for Monogenea from freshwater fishes in Australia, with comments on biodiversity. *Systematic Parasitology* **41**, 159-168.

Fletcher, W.J., Chesson, J., Fisher, M., Sainsbury, K.J., and Hundloe, T.J. (2004) National ESD reporting framework: the 'how to' guide for aquaculture. FRDC, No. Version 1.1, Canberra, Australia.

- Foscarini, R. (1988) A review: intensive farming procedure for red sea bream (*Pagrus major*) in Japan. *Aquaculture* **72**, 191-246.
- Fowler, A.J., Jones, G.K., and McGarvey, R. (2002) Characteristics and consequences of movement patterns of King George whiting (Perciformes: *Sillaginodes punctata*) in South Australia. *Marine and Freshwater Research* **53**, 1055-1069.
- Fowler, A.J., McLeay, L., and Short, D.A. (2000) Spatial variation in size and age structures and reproductive characteristics of the King George whiting (Percoidei: Sillaginidae) in South Australian waters. *Marine and Freshwater Research* **51**, 11-22.
- Fowler, A.J., Steer, M.A., Jackson, W.B., and Lloyd, M.T. (2008) Population characteristics of southern sea garfish (*Hyporhamphus melanochir*, Hemiramphidae) in South Australia. *Marine and Freshwater Research* **59**, 429-443.
- Francis, M.P., Mulligan, K.P., Davies, N.M., and Beentjes, M.P. (1999) Age and growth estimates for New Zealand hapuku, *Polyprion oxygeneios* *Fishery Bulletin* **97**(2), 227-242.
- Froese, R., and Pauly, D. (2010) FishBase. In '. Vol. 2010.' ([WWW document]. URL <http://www.fishbase.org>)
- Fry, G.C., and Griffiths, S.P. (2010) Population dynamics and stock status of cobia, *Rachycentron canadum*, caught in Australian recreational and commercial coastal fisheries. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* **17**, 231-239.
- Gardner, M.G., and Ward, R.D. (1998) Population structure of the Australian gummy shark (*Mustelus antarcticus* Günther) inferred from allozymes, mitochondrial DNA and vertebrae counts. *Marine and Freshwater Research* **49**, 733-745.
- George, P.V., and Nadakal, A.M. (1981) Observations on the intestinal pathology of the marine fish, *Rachycentron canadus* (Gunther) infected with the Acanthocephalid worm, *Serrasentis nadakali* (George & Nadakal, 1978). *Hydrobiologia* **78**, 59-62.

Gomon, M., Bray, D., and Kuiter, R. (2008) 'Fishes of Australia's southern coast.' (Reed New Holland: Sydney)

Grau, A., Riera, F., and Carbonell, E. (1999) Some protozoan and metazoan parasites of the amberjack from the Balearic Sea (western Mediterranean). *Aquaculture International* **7**(5), 307-317.

Gray, C.A., Ives, M.C., MacBeth, W.G., and Kendall, B.W. (2010) Variation in growth, mortality, length and age compositions of harvested populations of the herbivorous fish *Girella tricuspidata*. *Journal of Fish Biology* **76**, 880-899.

Grutter, A.S. (1998) Habitat-related differences in the abundance of parasites from a coral reef fish: an indication of the movement patterns of *Hemigymnus melapterus*. *Journal of Fish Biology* **53**, 49–57.

Hakalahti-Siren, T., Mikheev, V.N., and Valtonen, E.T. (2008) Control of freshwater fish louse *Argulus coregoni*: a step towards an integrated management strategy. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **82**(1), 67–77.

Hartl, D.L., and Clark, A.G. (1989) 'Principles of population genetics.' (Sinauer Ass. Inc.: Sunderland, Massachusetts)

Hattori, M., Sawada, Y., Kurata, M., Yamamoto, S., Kato, K., and Kumai, H. (2004) Oxygen deficiency during somitogenesis causes centrum defects in red sea bream, *Pagrus major* (Temminck et Schlegel). *Aquaculture Research* **35**, 850-858.

Hayward, C.J. (1996) Revision of the Monogenean genus *Polylabris* (Microcotylidae). *Invertebrate Taxonomy* **10**, 995-1039.

Hayward, C.J., Aiken, H.M., and Nowak, B.F. (2007a) Metazoan parasites on gills of southern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyii*) do not rapidly proliferate after transfer to sea cages. *Aquaculture* **262**, 10-16.

Hayward, C.J., Bott, N.J., Itoh, N., Iwashita, M., Okihiro, M., and Nowak, B. (2007b) Three species of parasites emerging on the gills of mullet, *Argyrosomus japonicus* (Temminck and Schlegel, 1843), cultured in Australia. *Aquaculture* **265**, 27-40.

Hayward, C.J., Aiken, H.M., and Nowak, B.F. (2008) An epizootic of *Caligus chiastos* on farmed southern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyii*) off South Australia. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **79**, 57–63.

Hayward, C.J., Bott, N.J., and Nowak, B.F. (2009a) Seasonal epizootics of sea lice, *Caligus* spp., on southern bluefin tuna, *Thunnus maccoyii* (Castelnau), in a long-term farming trial. *Journal of Fish Disease* **32**, 101-106.

Hayward, C.J., Ellis, D., Foote, D., Wilkinson, R.J., Crosbie, P.B.B., Bott, N.J., and Nowak, B.F. (2010) Concurrent epizootic hyperinfections of sea lice (predominantly *Caligus chiastos*) and blood flukes (*Cardicola forsteri*) in ranched Southern Bluefin tuna. *Veterinary Parasitology* **173**, 107-115.

Heegaard, P. (1940) Some new parasitic Copepods (Chondracanthidae and Lernaepodidae) from Western Australia. *Videnskabelige Meddelser fra Dansk Naturhistorisk Forening i Kjobenhavn* **104**, 87-101.

Heegaard, P.E. (1962) Parasitic Copepoda from Australian waters. *Records of the Australian Museum* **25**(9), 149–234.

Henry, M.A., Alexis, M.N., Fountoulaki, E., Nengas, I., and Rigos, G. (2009) Effects of a natural parasitological infection (*Lernanthropus kroyeri*) on the immune system of European sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax* L. *Parasite Immunology* **31**, 729-740.

Herbert, B.W., and Shaharom-Harrison, F.M. (1995) A new blood fluke, *Parasanguinicola vastispina* gen. nov., sp. nov. (Trematoda: Sanguinicolidae), from sea bass *Lates calcarifer* (Centropomidae) cultured in Malaysia. *Parasitological Research* **81**, 349-354.

Herbert, B.W., Shaharom-Harrison, F.M., and Overstreet, R.M. (1994) Description of a new blood fluke, *Cruoricola lates* n. g., n. sp. (Digenea: Sanguinicolidae), from sea-bass *Lates calcarifer* (Bloch, 1790) (Centropomidae). *Systematic Parasitology* **29**, 51-60.

Hesp, S.A., Hobbs, R.P., and Potter, I.C. (2002) Infection of the gonads of *Glaucosoma hebraicum* by the nematode *Philometra lateolabracis*: occurrence and host response. *Journal of Fish Biology* **60**, 663-673.

Hewitt, G.C. (1963) Some New Zealand parasitic copepoda of the family Caligidae. *Transactions of the Royal Society of New Zealand* **4**(3), 61-115.

Hewitt, G.C. (1971) Two species of *Caligus* (Copepoda, Caligidae) from Australian waters, with a description of some developmental stages. *Pacific Science* **25**, 145-164.

Hewitt, G.C. (1978) *Abergasilus amplexus* gen. et sp. nov. (Ergasilidae; parasitic Copepoda) from fishes in Lake Ellesmere, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **12**(2), 173-177.

Hewitt, G.C., and Hine, P.M. (1972) Checklist of parasites of New Zealand fishes and of their hosts. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **6**, 69-114.

Hewlett, N.R., Snow, J., and Britton, J.R. (2009) The role of management practices in fish kills in recreational lake fisheries in England and Wales. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* **16**, 248-254.

Hine, P.M., and Anderson, D.P. (1981) Diseases of the gonads and kidneys of New Zealand snapper, *Chrysophrys auratus* Forster (Sparidae). In 'Wildlife disease of the Pacific Basin and other countries.' (Ed. ME Fowler) pp. 166-170. (Academic Press: London)

Ho, J., Do, T.T., and Kasahara, S. (1983) Copepods of the family Bomolochidae parasitic on fishes of Kojima Bay, Okayama Prefecture. *Journal of the Faculty of Applied Biological Science* **22**, 1-41.

Ho, J., and Kim, I. (2004a) Lernanthropid copepods (Siphonostomatoida) parasitic on fishes of the Gulf of Thailand. *Systematic Parasitology* **58**, 17-21.

Ho, J.S. (1991) Two species of chondracanthid copepods parasitic on commercial fishes in the Pacific. *Publications of the Seto Marine Biological Laboratory* **31**, 1-10.

Ho, J.S., Cheng, S.H., Chang, W.C., and Lin, C.L. (2000) Two species of Siphonostomatoid copepods parasitic on marine fishes of Taiwan. *Journal of the Fisheries Society of Taiwan* **35**(1), 87-99.

Ho, J.S., Ilhoi, K., Cruz-Lacierda, E.R., and Nagasawa, K. (2004) Sea lice (Copepoda, Caligidae) parasitic on marine cultured and wild fishes of the Philippines. *Journal of the Fisheries Society of Taiwan* **31**, 235-249.

Ho, J.S., and Kim, I.H. (2004b) Lernanthropid copepods (Siphonostomatoida) parasitic on fishes of the Gulf of Thailand. *Systematic Parasitology* **58**, 17-21.

Ho, J.S., and Lin, C. (2004) 'Sea lice of Taiwan (Copepoda: Siphonostomatoida: Caligidae).' (The Sueichan Press: Taiwan) pp388.

Holzer, A.S., Montero, F.E., Repullés-Albelda, A., Nolan, M.J., Sitja-Bobdilla, A., Alvarez-Pellitero, P., Zarza, C., and Raga, J.A. (2008) *Cardicola aurata* sp. n. (Digenea: Sanguinicolidae) from Mediterranean *Sparus aurata* L. (Teleostei: Sparidae) and its unexpected phylogenetic relationship with *Paradeontacylix* McIntosh, 1934. *Parasitology International* **57**(4), 472-482.

Horn, P.L. (1988) Age and growth of bluenose, *Hyperoglyphe antarctica* (Pisces: Stromateoidei) from the lower east coast, North Island, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **22**(3), 369-378.

Horn, P.L. (2003) Stock structure of bluenose (*Hyperoglyphe antarctica*) off the north-east coast of New Zealand based on the results of a detachable hook tagging programme. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **37**(3), 623-631.

- Huelsenbeck, J.P. and Ronquist, F. 2001. MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics* **17**, 754-755.
- Hutchins, B., and Swainston, R. (1986) 'Sea fishes of Southern Australia.' (Swainston publishing: New South Wales) 180
- Hutson, K.S., Ernst, I., Mooney, A.J., and Whittington, I.D. (2007a) Metazoan parasite assemblages of wild *Seriola lalandi* (Carangidae) from eastern and southern Australia. *Parasitology International* **56**, 95-105.
- Hutson, K.S., Ernst, I., and Whittington, I.D. (2007b) Risk assessment for metazoan parasites of yellowtail kingfish *Seriola lalandi* (Perciformes: Carangidae) in South Australian sea-cage aquaculture. *Aquaculture* **271**, 85-99.
- Hutson, K.S., and Whittington, I.D. (2006) *Paradeontacylix godfreyi* n. sp. (Digenea: Sanguinicolidae) from the heart of wild *Seriola lalandi* (Perciformes: Carangidae) in southern Australia. *Zootaxa* **1151**, 55-68.
- Huys, R., and Boxshall, G.A. (1991) 'Copepod Evolution.' (The Ray Society: London) 468
- Hyndes, G.A., Platell, M.E., and Potter, I.C. (1998) Age composition, growth, reproductive biology, and recruitment of King George whiting, *Sillaginodes punctata*, in coastal waters of southwestern Australia. *Fisheries Bulletin* **96**, 258-270.
- Imamura, H., and Knapp, L.W. (2009) *Platycephalus orbitalis*, a new species of flathead (Teleostei: Platycephalidae) collected from western Australia. *Zootaxa* **2271**, 57-63.
- Jenkins, G.P. (2005) Influence of climate on the fishery recruitment of a temperate, seagrass-associated fish, the King George whiting *Sillaginodes punctata*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **288**, 263-271.
- Jenkins, G.P., and May, H.M.A. (1994) Variation in settlement and larval duration of King George Whiting, *Sillaginodes punctata* (Sillaginidae), in Swan Bay, Victoria, Australia. *Bulletin of Marine Science* **54**(1), 281-296.

Jenkins, G.P., and Welsford, D.C. (2002) The swimming abilities of recently settled post-larvae of *Sillaginodes punctata*. *Journal of Fish Biology* **60**, 1043-1050.

Jerez, S., Samper, M., Santamaria, F.J., Villamandos, J.E., Cejas, J.R., and Felipe, B.C. (2006) Natural spawning of greater amberjack (*Seriola dumerili*) kept in captivity in the Canary Islands. *Aquaculture* **252**, 199-207.

Johnson, S.C., Treasurer, J.W., Bravo, S., Nagasawa, K., and Kabata, Z. (2004a) A review of the impact of parasitic copepods on marine aquaculture. *Zoological Studies* **43**(2), 229–243.

Johnson, S.C., Treasurer, J.W., Bravo, S., Nagasawa, K., and Kabata, Z. (2004b) A review of the impact of parasitic copepods on marine aquaculture. *Zoological Studies* **43**(2), 229-243.

Johnston, T.H., and Mawson, P.M. (1943) Some ascarid neamtodes from Australian marine fish. *Transactions of the Royal Society of South Australia* **67**(2), 187-190.

Johnston, T.H., and Mawson, P.M. (1945) Some parasitic nematodes from South Australian marine fish. *Transactions of the Royal Society of South Australia* **69**(1), 114-117.

Johnston, T.H., and Mawson, P.M. (1951) Additional nematodes from Australian fish. *Transactions of the Royal Society of South Australia* **74**, 18-24.

Jones, J.B. (1988) New Zealand parasitic Copepoda; genus *Caligus* Muller, 1785 (Siphonostomatoida: Caligidae). *New Zealand Journal of Zoology* **15**, 397-413.

Jones, K. (2008) Australian salmon and herring. In 'The natural history of Gulf of Saint Vincent. Vol. 8.' pp. 415-428. (Publication of the Royal Society of South Australia).

Jordan, A.R. (2001a) Reproductive biology, early life-history and settlement distribution of sand flathead (*Platycephalus bassensis*) in Tasmania. *Marine and Freshwater Research* **52**, 589-601.

Jordan, A.R. (2001b) Spatial and temporal variations in abundance and distribution of juvenile and adult jackass morwong, *Nemadactylus macropterus*, in south-eastern Tasmania. *Marine and Freshwater Research* **52**, 661-670.

Kabata, Z. (1979) 'Parasitic Copepoda of British Fishes.' (Ray Society: London)

Kazachenko, V.N., Korotaeva, V.D., and Kurochkin, Y.V. (1972) Parasitic Crustacea of some fishes of the Pacific Ocean. . *Izv. tikhookean. naucho issled. Inst. ryb. Khoz. Okeanogr.* **81**, 224-238 [In Russian].

Keenan, C.P. (1991) Phylogeny of Australian species of flatheads (Teleostei, Platycephalidae) as determined by allozyme electrophoresis. *Journal of Fish Biology* **39**, 237-249.

Keenan, C.P. (1994) Recent evolution of population structure in Australian barramundi, *Lates calcarifer* (Bloch): an example of isolation by distance in one dimension. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **45**, 1123-1148.

Kingsford, M.J., and Defries, A. (1999) The ecology of and fishery for *Corphaena* spp. in the waters around Australia and New Zealand. *Scientia Marina* **63**, 267-275.

Knight, M.A., Doonan, A.M., and Tsolos, A. (2007) South Australian wild fisheries information and statistics report. South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Volume F2007/000571-1, Adelaide.

Koie, M. (1982) The redia, cercaria and early stages of *Aporocotyle simplex* Odhner, 1900 (Sanguinicolidae)-a digenetic trematode which has a polychaete annelid as the only intermediate host. *Ophelia* **21**, 115-145.

Krkošek, M., Ford, J.S., Morton, A., Lele, S., Myers, R.A., and Lewis, M.A. (2007) Declining wild salmon populations in relation to parasites from farm salmon. *Science* **318**(5857), 1772–1775.

Krkošek, M., Lerwis, M.A., and Volpe, J.P. (2005) Transmission dynamics of parasitic sea lice from farm to wild salmon. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B.* **272**, 689–696.

- Kruse, G.O.W. (1979) Trematodes of marine fishes from South Australia. 6. *Monostephanostomum manteri* gen. et sp. n. (Acanthocolpidae). *Journal of Parasitology* **65**(6), 921-923.
- Kuo, C. and Humphrey, J. 2008. Monitoring the health of prawns, barramundi and mud crabs on aquaculture farms in the Northern Territory. Fishnote, Darwin Aquaculture Centre, Fisheries, Northern Territory Government No. 43, 13pp.
- Kutschera, U. (2006) The infamous blood suckers from *Lacus Verbanus*. *Lauterbornia* **56**, 1-4.
- Lakshmi, T.T., and Madhavi, R. (2007) *Paradeontacylix megalaspium* s. np. (Digenea: Sanguinicolidae) from the carangid fish, *Megalaspis cordyla* of Bay of Bengal. *Zootaxa* **1512**, 65-68.
- Langdon, J.S. (1991a) Diseases of mahi mahi or common dolphin fish, *Coryphaena hippurus*, in Australia. *Fisheries Research Bulletin of the Fisheries Department of Western Australia* **29**, 1-17.
- Langdon, J.S. (1991b) Myoliquefaction post-mortem ('milky flesh') due to *Kudoa thyrsites* (Gilchrist) (Myxosporea: Multivalvulisa) in mahi mahi, *Coryphaena hippurus* L. *Journal of Fish Diseases* **14**, 45-54.
- Layman, E.M. (1930) Parasitic worms from the fishes of Peter the Great Bay. *Izvestiya Tikookeanskoi Nauchno-Promyslovoi Stantsii, Vladivostok* **3**, 1-120.
- Lebedev, B.I. (1967) *Australorhynchus tetramorphacanthus* gen et sp. n. found in fish of the New Zealand-Australian shelf (Acanthocephala, Rhadinorhynchidae Travassos, 1923) (in Russian). *Zoologicheskyy Zhurnal* **46**, 279-282.
- Lebedev, B.I. (1968) Trematodes of the family Bucephalidae from commercially important marine fish of New Zealand and Australia [In Russian]. *Parasites of Animals and Plants* **4**, 156-167.

Lebedev, B.I. (1969) The substantiation of a new genus *Kahawaia* gen. n. for *Gonoplasius truttae* Dillon and Hargis, 1965 (Monogenoidea: Microcotylidae) [In Russian]. *Parazitologiya* **3**(1), 69-73.

Lebedev, B.I. (1971) Helminths of fishes from the Tasman Sea. In 'Parasites of animals and plants of the Soviet far east.' (Ed. YL Mamaev) pp. 96-97 [In Russian]

Leong, T.S., Tan, Z., and Enright, W.J. (2006) Important parasitic disease in cultured marine fish in the Asia-Pacific region. In 'AQUA Culture AsiaPacific Magazine. Vol. January/February.'

Leong, T.S., and Wong, S.Y. (1990) Parasites of healthy and disease juvenile grouper (*Epinephelus malabaricus* (Bloch and Schneider)) and seabass (*Lates calcarifer* (Bloch)) in floating cages in Penang, Malaysia. *Asian Fisheries Science* **3**, 319-327.

Lester, R.J.G. (1990) Reappraisal of the use of parasites for fish stock identification. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **41**, 855–864.

Lester, R.J.G., and MacKenzie, K. (2009) The use and abuse of parasites as stock markers for fish. *Fisheries Research* **97**, 1–2.

Li, L., An, R., and Zhang, L. (2007) A new species of *Hysterothylacium* (Nematoda: Anisakidae) from marine fishes from Yellow Sea, China, with a key to the species of the genus *Hysterothylacium*. *Zootaxa* **1614**, 43-52.

Liao, I.C., Huang, T., Tsai, W., Hsueh, C., Chang, S., and Leano, E.M. (2004) Cobia culture in Taiwan: current status and problems. *Aquaculture* **237**, 155-165.

Lin, C.L. (1996) Studies on the parasitic caligids (Copepoda:Caligidae) of cultured marine fish in Taiwan. PhD dissertation, National Taiwan Univ., Taipei, Taiwan. (in Chinese with English abstract). In: Venmathi Maran *et al.* (2009). Records of *Caligus* (Crustacea: Copepoda: Caligidae) from marine fish cultured in floating cages in Malaysia with a redescription of the male of *Caligus longipedis* Bassett-Smith, 1898. *Zoological Studies* **48**, 797-807.

Littlewood, D.T.J., Rohde, K and Clough, K.A. (1997). Parasite speciation within or between host species? Phylogenetic evidence from site-specific polystome monogeneans. *International Journal for Parasitology* **27**, 1289-1297.

Lucifora, L.O., Garcia, V.B., Menni, R.C., and Escalante, A.H. (2006) Food habits, selectivity, and foraging modes of the school shark *Galeorhinus galeus*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **315**, 259-270.

Lucifora, L.O., Menni, R.C., and Escalante, A.H. (2004) Reproductive biology of the school shark, *Galeorhinus galeus*, off Argentina: support for a single south western Atlantic population with synchronized migratory movements. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* **71**, 199-209.

Lymbery, A.J., Doupe, R.G., and Wong, M.A.M. (2002) Larvae of *Contracaecum* sp. among inshore fish species of southwestern Australia. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **51**, 157-159.

MacDonald, C.M. (1988) Genetic variation, breeding structure and taxonomic status of the gummy shark *Mustelus antarcticus* in southern Australian waters. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **39**, 641-648.

Machias, A., Somarakis, S., Papandroulakis, N., Spedicato, M.T., Suquet, M., Lembo, G., and Divanach, P. (2003) Settlement of the wreckfish (*Polyprion americanus*). *Marine Biology* **142**, 45-52.

MacKenzie, K. (1987) Long-term changes in the prevalence of 2 helminth parasites (Cestoda, Trypanorhyncha) infecting marine fish. *Journal of Fish Biology* **31**, 83-87.

MacKenzie, K. (1999) Parasites as pollution indicators in marine ecosystems: a proposed early warning system. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **38**(11), 955-959.

MacKenzie, K. (2002) Parasites as biological tags in population studies of marine organisms: an update. *Parasitology* **124**, 153-163.

MacKenzie, K., and Abaunza, P. (1998) Parasites as biological tags for stock discrimination of marine fish: a guide to procedures and methods. *Fisheries Research* **38**, 45–56.

Madinabeitia, I., Ohtsuka, S., Okuda, J., Iwamoto, E., Yoshida, T., Furukawa, M., Nakaoka, N., and Nakai, T. (2009) Homogeneity among *Lactococcus garvieae* isolates from striped jack, *Pseudocaranx dentex* (Bloch & Schneider), and its ectoparasites. *Journal of Fish Diseases* **32**, 901–905.

Maeno, Y., Sorimachi, M., Ogawa, K., and Kearns, G.C. (1995) *Myxobolus spirosulcatus* n. sp. (Myxosporea, Bivalvulida) infecting the bile duct of the yellowtail *Seriola quinqueradiata* from Japan. *Systematic Parasitology* **31**, 189–193.

Manera, M., and Dezfouli, B.S. (2003) *Lernanthropus kroyeri* infections in farmed sea bass *Dicentrarchus labrax*: pathological features. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **57**(1-2), 177–180.

Mansell, B., Powell, M.D., Ernst, I., and Nowak, B.F. (2005) Effects of the gill monogenean *Zeuxapta seriolae* (Meserve, 1938) and treatment with hydrogen peroxide on pathophysiology of kingfish, *Seriola lalandi* Valenciennes, 1833. *Journal of Fish Diseases* **28**, 253–262.

Manter, H.W. (1954) Some digenetic trematodes from fishes of New Zealand. *Transactions of the Royal Society of New Zealand* **82**, 475–568.

Mattiucci, S., Paggi, L., Nascetti, G., Santos, C.P., Costa, G., Di Benedetto, A.P., Ramos, R., Argyrou, M., Cianchi, R., and Bullini, L. (2002) Genetic markers in the study of *Anisakis typica* (Diesing, 1860): larval identification and genetic relationships with other species of *Anisakis* Dujardin, 1845 (Nematoda: Anisakidae). *Systematic Parasitology* **51**, 159–170.

McGarvey, R., Feenstra, J.E., and Ye, Q. (2007) Modeling fish numbers dynamically by age and length: partitioning cohorts into “slices”. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries & Aquatic Sciences* **64**, 1157–1173.

McGarvey, R., Fowler, A.J., Feenstra, J.E., Jackson, W.B., and Jennings, P.R. (2005) King George Whiting (*Sillaginodes punctata*) Fishery. Fishery Assessment Report to PIRSA

Fisheries for the Marine Scalefish Fishery Management Committee. South Australian Research and Development Institute, Adelaide, RD03/0152-2.

McIntosh, A. (1934) A new blood trematode, *Paradeontacylix sanguinicoloides* n. g., n. sp., from *Seriola lalandi* with a key to the species of the family Aporocotylidae. *Parasitology* **26**, 463–467.

McKibben, M.A., and Hay, D.W. (2004) Distributions of planktonic sea lice larvae *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* in the inter-tidal zone in Loch Torridon, Western Scotland in relation to salmon farm production cycles. *Aquaculture Research* **35**, 742-750.

McLean, E., Salze, G., and Craig, S.R. (2008) Parasites, diseases and deformities of cobia. *Ribarstvo* **66**, 1-16.

McManus, D.P., and Bowles, J. (1996) Molecular genetic approaches to parasite identification: their value in diagnostic parasitology and systematics. *International Journal for Parasitology* **26**(7), 687-704.

Meglitsch, P.A. (1960) Some Coelozoic Myxosporidia from New Zealand fishes I.-General, and family Ceratomyxidae. *Transactions of the Royal Society of New Zealand* **88**, 265-356.

Menezes, J., Ramos, M.A., Pereira, T.G., and da Silva, A.M. (1990) Rainbow trout culture failure in a small lake as a result of massive parasitosis related to careless fish introductions. *Aquaculture* **89**, 123–126.

Merella, P., Cherchi, S., Garippa, G., Fioravanti, M.L., Gustinelli, A., and Salati, F. (2009) Outbreak of *Sciaenacotyle panceri* (Monogenea) on cage-reared meagre *Argyrosomus regius* (Osteichthyes) from the western Mediterranean Sea. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **86**, 169-173.

Montero, F.E., Kostadinova, A., and Raga, J.A. (2009) Development and habitat selection of a new sanguinicolid parasite of cultured greater amberjack, *Seriola dumerili*, in the Mediterranean. *Aquaculture* **288**, 132-139.

- Moran, J.D.W., Whitaker, D.J., and Kent, M.L. (1999) A review of the myxosporean genus *Kudoa* Meglitsch, 1947, and its impact on the international aquaculture industry and commercial fisheries. *Aquaculture* **172**(1-2), 163-196.
- Moravec, F., and Garibaldi, F. (2003) First record of *Huffmanella schouteni* (Nematoda: Trichosomoididae), a histozoic parasite of flyingfishes, in Europe. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **57**, 173-175.
- Morehead, D.T., Hart, P.R., Dunstan, G.A., Brown, M., and Pankhurst, N.W. (2001) Differences in egg quality between wild striped trumpeter (*Latris lineata*) and captive striped trumpeter that were fed different diets. *Aquaculture* **192**, 39-53.
- Morison, A.K., Coutin, P.C., and Robertson, S.G. (1998) Age determination of black bream, *Acanthopagrus butcheri* (Sparidae), from the Gippsland Lakes of south-eastern Australia indicates slow growth and episodic recruitment. *Marine and Freshwater Research* **49**, 491-498.
- Morton, A., Routledge, R., and Williams, R. (2005) Temporal patterns of sea louse infestation on wild Pacific salmon in relation to the fallowing of Atlantic salmon farms. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* **25**(3), 811-821.
- Murray, A.G., and Peeler, E.J. (2005) A framework for understanding the potential for emerging diseases in aquaculture. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* **67**(2-3), 223-235.
- Nagasawa, K., Tanaka, S., and Benz, G.W. (1998) *Trebius shiinoi* n. sp. (Trebiidae: Siphonostomatoida: Copepoda) from uteri and embryos of the Japanese angelshark (*Squatina japonica*) and the clouded angelshark (*Squatina nebulosa*) and redescription of *Trebius longicaudatus*. *Journal of Parasitology* **84**, 1218-1230.
- Neira, F.J. (2005a) Summer and winter plankton fish assemblages around offshore oil and gas platforms in south-eastern Australia. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* **63**, 589-604.
- Neira, F.J. (2005b) Summer and winter plankton fish assemblages around offshore oil and gas platforms in south-eastern Australia. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* **63**, 589-604.

Nolan, M.J., and Cribb, T.H. (2006a) *Cardicola* Short, 1953 and *Braya* n. gen. (Digenea: Sanguinicolidae) from five families of tropical Indo-Pacific fishes. *Zootaxa* **1265**, 1-80.

Nolan, M.J., and Cribb, T.H. (2006b) An exceptionally rich complex of Sanguinicolidae von Graff, 1907 (Platyhelminthes : Trematoda) from Siganidae, Labridae and Mullidae (Teleostei : Perciformes) from the Indo-West Pacific Region. *Zootaxa* **1218**, 1-80.

Nowak, B.F. (2004) Assessment of health risks to southern bluefin tuna under current culture conditions. *Bulletin of the European Association for Fish Pathology* **24**(1), 45-51.

NSWDPI (2007) Status of Fisheries Resources in NSW 2006/07: Mahi mahi (*Coryphaena hippurus*). New South Wales Department of Primary Industries, Wild Fisheries Research Program.

NSWDPI (2008a) Status of Fisheries Resources in NSW, 2006/07, Bass Groper. New South Wales Department of Primary Industries, Wild Fisheries Research Program.

NSWDPI (2008b) Status of Fisheries Resources in NSW, 2006/07, Jackass Morwong. New South Wales Department of Primary Industries, Wild Fisheries Program.

O'Sullivan, D., and Savage, J. (2009) Status of Australian Aquaculture in 2006/2007. In: *Austasia Aquaculture Trade Directory 2009*, Turtle press, Hobart, Tasmania, pp. 6-25.

Ogawa, K. (1992) *Caligus longipedis* infection of cultured striped jack, *Pseudocaranx dentex* (Teleostei: Carangidae) in Japan. *Fish Pathology* **27**, 197-205.

Ogawa, K. (1996) Marine parasitology with special reference to Japanese fisheries and mariculture. *Veterinary Parasitology* **64**, 95-105.

Ogawa, K., Andoh, H., and Yamaguchi, M. (1993) Some biological aspects of *Paradeontacylix* (Trematoda: Sanguinicolidae) infection in cultured marine fish *Seriola dumerili*. *Gyobyo Kenkyu* **28**(4), 177-180.

Ogawa, K., and Fukudome, M. (1994) Mass mortality caused by blood fluke (*Paradeontacylix*) among amberjack (*Seriola dumerili*) imported to Japan. *Fish Pathology* **29**, 265–269.

Ogawa, K., Miyamoto, J., Wang, H.C., Lo, C.F., and Kou, G.H. (2006) *Neobenedeniagirellae* (Monogenea) infection of cultured cobia *Rachycentron canadum* in Taiwan. *Fish Pathology* **41**(2), 51-56.

Oliver, G. (1977) Pathogenic effect of fixation of *Diplectanum aeguans* (Wagener, 1857) Diesing 1858 (Monogenea, Monopistocotylea, Diplectanidae) on the gills of *Dicentrarchus zabrax* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Pisces, Serranidae). *Z. Parasitenkd* **53**, 7-11.

Olson, P.D., and Littlewood, D.T.J. (2002) Phylogenetics of the Monogenea-evidence from a medley of molecules. *International Journal for Parasitology* **32**, 233-244.

Overstreet, R.M., Jovonovich, J., and Ma, H. (2009) Parasitic crustaceans as vectors of viruses, with an emphasis on three penaeid viruses. *Integrated and Comparative Biology* **49**, 127-141.

Olson, P. D., Cribb, T. H., Tkach, W., Bray, R.A., and Littlewood, D.T.J. (2003). Phylogeny and classification of the Digenea (Platyhelminthes: Trematoda). *International Journal for Parasitology* **33**, 733-755.

Palm, H.W., and Overstreet, R.M. (2000) New records of trypanorhynch cestodes from the Gulf of Mexico, including *Kotorella pronosoma* (Stossich, 1901) and *Heteronybelinia palliata* (Linton, 1924) comb. n. *Folia Parasitologica* **47**, 293-302.

Papandroulakis, N., Suquet, M., Spedicato, M.T., Machias, A., Fauvel, C., and Divanach, P. (2004) Feeding rates, growth performance and gametogenesis of wreckfish (*Polyprion americanus*) kept in captivity. *Aquaculture International* **12**, 395-407.

Partridge, G.J., and Jenkins, G.I. (2002) The effect of salinity on growth and survival of juvenile black bream (*Acanthopagrus butcheri*). *Aquaculture* **210**, 219-230.

Pasternak, A.F., Mikheev, V.N., and Valtonen, E.T. (2000) Life history characteristics of *Argulus foliaceus* L. (Crustacea: Branchiura) populations in Central Finland. *Annales Zoologicae Fennicae* **37**, 25–35.

Pasternak, A.F., Mikheev, V.N., and Valtonen, E.T. (2004) Growth and development of *Argulus coregoni* (Crustacea: Branchiura) on salmonid and cyprinid hosts. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **58**, 203–207.

Paulin, C. (1993) Review of the Australasian fish family Arripidae (Percomorpha), with the description of a new species. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **44**, 459-471.

Paulin, C.D. (1990) *Pagrus auratus*, a new combination for the species known as "snapper" in Australasian waters (Pisces: Sparidae). *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **24**, 259-265.

Peres, M.B., and Haimovici, M. (2004) Age and growth of southwestern Atlantic wreckfish *Polyprion americanus*. *Fisheries Research* **66**, 157-169.

Peres, M.B., and Klippel, S. (2003) Reproductive biology of southwestern Atlantic wreckfish, *Polyprion americanus* (Teleostei: Polyprionidae). *Environmental Biology of Fishes* **68**, 163-173.

Perkins, E.M. (2010) Family ties: molecular phylogenetics, evolution and radiation of flatworm parasites (Monogenea: Capsalidae). PhD thesis, School of Earth & Environmental Sciences. The University of Adelaide, Adelaide

Perkins, E.M., Donnellan, S.C., Bertozzi, T., Chisholm, L.A., and Whittington, I.D. (2009) Looks can deceive: Molecular phylogeny of a family of flatworm ectoparasites (Monogenea: Capsalidae) does not reflect current morphological classification. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **52**, 705-714.

Pichelin, S. (1997) *Pomphorhynchus heronensis* sp. nov. (Acanthocephala: Pomphorhynchidae) from *Lutjanus carponotatus* (Lutjanidae) from Heron Island, Australia. *Records of the South Australian Museum* **30**, 19–27.

- Pollock, B.R. (1981) Age determination and growth of luderick, *Girella tricuspidata* (Quoy and Gaimard), taken from Moreton Bay, Australia. *Journal of Fish Biology* **19**, 475-485.
- Poly, W.J. (2008) Global diversity of fishlice (Crustacea: Branchiura: Argulidae) in freshwater. *Hydrobiologia* **595**, 209–212.
- Posada, D. and Crandall, K.A. 1998. MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution. *Bioinformatics* **14**, 817-818.
- Post, R.J., and Millest, A.L. (1991) Sample size in parasitological and vector surveys. *Parasitology Today* **7**(6), 141.
- Poulin, R., and Morand, S. (2000) The diversity of parasites. *The Quarterly Review of Biology* **75**(3), 277-293.
- Poulin, R. (2007) Evolutionary ecology of parasites. Princeton University Press 332pp.
- Punt, A.E., and Walker, T.I. (1998) Stock assessment and risk analysis for the school shark (*Galeorhinus galeus*) off southern Australia. *Marine and Freshwater Research* **49**, 719-731.
- Rach, J.J., Gaikowshi, M.P., and Ramsay, R.T. (2000) Efficacy of hydrogen peroxide to control parasitic infestations on hatchery-reared fish. *Journal of Aquatic Animal Health* **12**, 267-247.
- Rajkumar, M., Perumal, P., and Trilles, J.P. (2005) *Cymothoa indica* (Crustacea, Isopoda, Cymothoidae) parasitizes the cultured larvae of the Asian seabass *Lates calcarifer* under laboratory conditions. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **66**, 87-90.
- Rambaut A (1996) Se-AL: Sequence Alignment Editor. Available at <http://evolve.zoo.ox.ac.uk/>. Institute of Evolutionary Biology, University of Edinburgh.
- Rambaut A, Drummond AJ (2007) Tracer v1.4, Available from <http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer>.

- Rapaportopoulou, F.A., and Lambertsen, R.H. (1987) Parasite-associated pathology of the dolphin fish, *Coryphaena hippurus* L., from Florida waters. *Journal of Fish Disease* **10**, 379-384.
- Rekha, R.Y., and John, M.V. (2004) *Dinurus ivanosi* sp. nov. (Digenea: Hemiuridae) from the stomach of dolphin fish, *Coryphaena hippurus*, caught off the Kerala Coast, India. *Parasitological Research* **92**, 380-383.
- Repullés-Albelda, A., Montero, F.E., Holzer, A.S., Ogawa, K., Hutson, K.S., and Raga, J.A. (2008) Speciation of *Paradeontacylix* spp. (Sanguinicolidae) of *Seriola dumerili*. Two new species of the genus *Paradeontacylix* from the Mediterranean. *Parasitology International* **57**, 405-414.
- Robertson, A.I., and Klump, D.W. (1983) Feeding habits of the southern Australian garfish *Hyporhamphus melanochir*: a diurnal herbivore and nocturnal carnivore *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **10**, 197-201.
- Robinson, E.S. (1965) Cestoda (*Tetraphyllidae* and *Trypanorhyncha*) from marine fishes of New South Wales. *Records of the Australian Museum* **26**(15), 341-348.
- Roff, J.C., and Hopcroft, R.R. (1986) High precision microcomputer based measuring system for ecological research. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **43**, 2044-2048.
- Rohde, K. (1979) A critical evaluation of intrinsic and extrinsic factors responsible for niche restriction in parasites. *The American Naturalist* **114**(5), 648-671.
- Rohde, K., Roubal, F., and Hewitt, G.C. (1980) Ectoparasitic Monogenea, Digenea, and Copepoda from the gills of some marine fishes of New Caledonia and New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **14**(1), 1-13.
- Roubal, F.R., Armitage, J., and Rohde, K. (1983) Taxonomy of metazoan ectoparasites of snapper, *Chrysophrys auratus* (Family Sparidae), from southern Australia, eastern Australia and New Zealand. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **94**, 1-68.

Roubal, F.R., Quartararo, N., and West, A. (1992) Infection of captive *Pagrus auratus* (Bloch & Schneider) by the monogenean, *Anoplodiscus cirrusspiralis* Roubal, Armitage & Rohde (Anoplodiscidae) in Australia. *Journal of Fish Disease* **15**, 409-415.

Rough, K.M. (2000) An illustrated guide to the parasites of southern bluefin tuna, *Thunnus maccoyii*. Tuna Boat Owners Association of South Australia, ISBN 0-9751396-0-6, Australia. 74pp.

Rowland, A.J. (2009) The biology of Samson fish *Seriola hippos* with emphasis on the sportfishery in Western Australia. Murdoch University, Western Australia

Rückert, S., Palm, H.W., and Klimpel, S. (2008) Parasite fauna of seabass (*Lates calcarifer*) under mariculture conditions in Lampung Bay, Indonesia. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **24**, 321-327.

Russell, D.J., and Garrett, R.N. (1985) Early life history of barramundi, *Lates calcarifer* (Bloch), in north-eastern Queensland. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **36**, 191-201.

Sandars, D.F. (1945) Five new Microcotylids from fish from Western Australian waters. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia* **29**, 107-135.

Schram, T.A., Iversen, L., Heuch, P.A., and Sterud, E. (2005) *Argulus* sp. (Crustacea: Branchiura) on cod, *Gadus morhua* from Finnmark, northern Norway. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **85**, 81-86.

Secor, D.H. (1999) Specifying divergent migrations in the concept of stock: the contingent hypothesis. *Fisheries Research* **43**, 13-34.

Sharp, N.J., Poortenaar, C.W., Diggles, B.K., and Willis, T.J. (2003) Metazoan parasites of yellowtail kingfish, *Seriola lalandi lalandi*, in New Zealand: Prevalence, intensity, and site preference. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **37**(2), 273-282.

Sharples, A.D., and Evans, C.W. (1995a) Metazoan parasites of the snapper, *Pagrus auratus* (Bloch & Schneider, 1801), in New Zealand. 1. Prevalence and abundance. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **29**(2), 195-201.

Sharples, A.D., and Evans, C.W. (1995b) Metazoan parasites of the snapper, *Pagrus auratus* (Bloch & Schneider, 1801), in New Zealand. 2. Site-specificity. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **29**(2), 203-211.

Sharples, A.D., and Evans, C.W. (1995c) Taxonomy of the metazoan parasites of the snapper *Pagrus auratus* in New Zealand 2. Endoparasites. *New Zealand Journal of Zoology* **22**, 163-174.

Siddall, M.E., Trontelj, P., Utevsky, S.Y., Nkamany, M., and Macdonald, K.S.I. (2007) Diverse molecular data demonstrate that commercially available medicinal leeches are not *Hirudo medicinalis*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Biological Sciences* **274**, 1481-1487.

Sievers, G., Lobos, C., Inostroza, R., and Ernst, S. (1996) The effect of the isopod parasite *Ceratothoa gaudichaudii* on the body weight of farmed *Salmo salar* in southern Chile. *Aquaculture* **143**, 1-6.

Silberschneider, V., and Gray, C.A. (2008) Synopsis of biological, fisheries and aquaculture-related information on mulloway *Argyrosomus japonicus* (Pisces: Sciaenidae), with particular reference to Australia. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* **24**, 7-17.

Silberschneider, V., Gray, C.A., and Stewart, J. (2009) Age, growth, maturity and the overfishing of the iconic sciaenid, *Argyrosomus japonicus*, in south-eastern, Australia. *Fisheries Research* **95**, 220-229.

Smith, J.W. (2002) Family Sanguinicolidae von Graff, 1907. In 'Keys to the Trematoda Volume 1. Vol. 1.' (Eds. DI Gibson, A Jones and RA Bray) pp. 433–452. (CABI Publishing: London).

Smith, K.A., and Sinerchia, M. (2004) Timing of recruitment events, residence periods and post-settlement growth of juvenile fish in a seagrass nursery area, south-eastern Australia. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* **71**, 73-84.

Smith, P.J., Hartill, B., Hamer, P., and McKenzie, A. (2008) Stock structure of kahawai, *Arripis trutta*. New Zealand Fisheries Assessment Report 2008/20, Wellington.

Smith, P.J., Struthers, C.D., Paulin, C.D., McVeagh, S.M., and Daley, R.K. (2009) Shallow genetic and morphological divergence among seaperches in the South Pacific (family Scorpaenidae; genus *Helicolenus*). *Journal of Fish Biology* **74**, 1104-1128.

Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand, 2004. AS/NZS 4360 Risk management. Standards Australia, Sydney.

Stanley, C.A., and Malcolm, W.B. (1977) Reproductive cycles in the eastern subspecies of the Australian salmon, *Arripis trutta marginata* (Cuvier & Valenciennes). *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **28**, 287-301.

Stead, D.G. (1914) Notes and exhibits. *Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales* **39**, 20.

Steer, M.A., Fowler, A.J., and Gillanders, B.M. (2009) Age-related movement patterns and population structuring in southern garfish, *Hyporhamphus melanochir*, inferred from otolith chemistry. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* **16**, 265–278.

Steer, M.A., Halverson, G.P., Fowler, A.J., and Gillanders, B.M. (2010) Stock discrimination of southern garfish (*Hyporhamphus melanochir*) by stable isotope ratio analysis of otolith aragonite. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 1-13.

Su, X., and White, R.W.G. (1994) New myxosporeans (Myxozoa: Myxosporidia) from marine fishes of Tasmania, Australia. *Acta Parasitologica* **33**, 251-259.

Sun, L., Chen, H., and Huang, L. (2006) Effect of temperature on growth and energy budget of juvenile cobia (*Rachycentron canadum*). *Aquaculture* **261**, 872-878.

- Taylor, M.D., Fielder, D.S., and Suthers, I.M. (2009) Growth and viability of hatchery-reared *Argyrosomus japonicus* released into open and semi-closed systems. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* **16**, 478-483.
- Taylor, M.D., Laffan, S.D., Fielder, D.S., and Suthers, I.M. (2006) Key habitat and home range of mulloway *Argyrosomus japonicus* in a south-east Australian estuary: finding the estuarine niche to optimise stocking. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **328**, 237-247.
- Thresher, R.E. (1999) Elemental composition of otoliths as a stock delineator in fishes. *Fisheries Research* **43**, 165–204.
- Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG (1997) The ClustalX windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Research* **24**, 4876–4882.
- Timi, J.T. (2003) Parasites of Argentine anchovy in the south-west Atlantic: latitudinal patterns and their use for discrimination of host populations. *Journal of Fish Biology* **63**, 90–107.
- Tingbao, Y., Kritsky, D.C., Yuan, S., Jianying, Z., Suhua, S., and Agrawal, N. (2006) Diplectanids infesting the gills of the barramundi *Lates calcarifer* (Bloch) (Perciformes: Centropomidae), with the proposal of *Laticola* n. g. (Monogeneoidea: Diplectanidae). *Systematic Parasitology* **63**, 127-141.
- Tokşen, E., Gamsız, K., and Nemli, E. (2007) Infestation of *Benedenia sciaenae* van Beneden, 1856 (Monogenea: Capsalidae) of cultured meagre (*Argyrosomus regius*). *Turkiye Parazitoloj Derg* **31**, 75-78.
- Tripathi, Y.R. (1957) Studies on the parasites of Indian fishes.II. Monogenea, Family: Dactylogyridae. *Indian Journal of Helminthology (1955)* **7**, 5-24.
- Trotter, A.J., Pankhurst, P.M., and Hart, P.R. (2001) Swim bladder malformation in hatchery-reared striped trumpeter *Latriss lineata* (Latridae). *Aquaculture* **198**, 41-54.
- Ugenti, I., De Ceglie, A., Ferrarese, F., Rizzo, C., Manta, R., and Fabiano, G. (2004) Gastric anisakidosis: personal experience [Article in Italian]. *Chirurgia Italiana* **56**(2), 301-5.

Velde, T.D., Griffiths, S.P., and Fry, G.C. (2010) Reproductive biology of the commercially and recreationally important cobia *Rachycentron canadum* in northeastern Australia. *Fish Science* **76**, 33-43.

Venmathi Maran, B.A., Seng, L.T., Ohtsuka, S., and Nagasawa, K. (2009) Records of *Caligus* (Crustacea: Copepoda: Caligidae) from marine fish cultured in floating cages in Malaysia with a redescription of the male of *Caligus longipedis* Bassett-Smith, 1898. *Zoological Studies* **48**(6), 797-807.

Vignon, M., Morat, F., Galzin, R., and Sasal, P. (2008) Evidence for spatial limitation of the bluespotted snapper *Lutjanus kasmira* in French Polynesia from parasite and otolith shape analysis. *Journal of Fish Biology* **73**, 2305–2320.

Vinoth, R., Kumar, T.T.A., Ravichandran, S., Gopi, M., and Rameshkumar, G. (2010) Infestation of copepod parasites in food fishes of Vellar estuary, southeast coast of India. *Acta Parasitologica Globalis* **1**(1), 1-5.

Webb, T.J., Berghe, E.V., and O'Dor, R. (2010) Biodiversity's big wet secret: the global distribution of marine biological records reveals chronic under-exploration of the deep pelagic ocean. *Plos one* **5**, 1-6.

Whittington, I.D. (1996) Benedeniine capsalid monogeneans from Australian fishes: pathogenic species, site-specificity and camouflage. *Journal of Helminthology* **70**, 177-184.

Whittington, I.D. (2004) The Capsalidae (Monogenea: Monopisthocotylea): a review of diversity, classification and phylogeny with a note about species complexes. *Folia Parasitologica* **51**, 109-122.

Whittington, I.D. (2005) Monogenea Monopisthocotylea (ectoparasitic flukes). In 'Marine Parasitology.' (Ed. K Rohde) pp. 63-72. (CSIRO publishing: Collingswood, Victoria)

Whittington, I.D., and Chisholm, L.A. (2008) Diseases caused by Monogenea. In 'Fish Diseases. Vol. 2.' (Eds. J Eiras, H Segner, T Wahli and BG Kapoor) pp. 683-816. (Science Publishers: Enfield, Jersey and Plymouth)

Whittington, I.D., Corneillie, S., Talbot, C., Morgan, J.A.T., and Adlard, R.D. (2001a) Infections of *Seriola quinqueradiata* Temminck & Schlegel and *S. dumerili* (Risso) in Japan by *Benedenia seriolae* (Monogenea) confirmed by morphology and 28S ribosomal DNA analysis. *Journal of Fish Diseases* **24**, 421-425.

Whittington, I.D., Deveney, M.R., and S.J., W. (2001b) A revision of *Benedenia* Diesing, 1858 including a redescription of *B. sciaenae* (van Beneden, 1856) Odhner, 1905 and recognition of *Menziesia* Gibson, 1976 (Monogenea: Capsalidae). *Journal of Natural History* **35**, 663-777.

Whittington, I.D., Cribb, B.W., Hamwood, T.E., and Halliday, A. (2000) Host-specificity of monogenean (platyhelminth) parasites: a role for anterior adhesive areas? *International Journal for Parasitology* **30**, 305-320.

Williams, A. (1989) Some monogenean parasites of the genera *Calceostoma* van Beneden, 1852 and *Diplectanum* Diesing, 1858 from *Argyrosomus hololepidotus* (Lacepède, 1802) (Sciaenidae: Teleostei) in Western Australia. *Systematic Parasitology* **14**, 187-201.

Williams, A. (1991) Monogeneans of the families Microcotylidae Taschenberg, 1879 and Heteraxinidae Price, 1962 from Western Australia, including the description of *Polylabris sandarsae* n. sp. (Microcotylidae). *Systematic Parasitology* **18**, 17-43.

Williams, A., Moran, M., Caputi, N., and Walters, C. (1993) Didymozoid trematode infection of snapper, *Pagrus auratus* (Sparidae), off Western Australia: parasite population biology and fishery implications. *Fisheries Research* **16**, 113-129.

Williams, E.H., and Bunkley-Williams, L. (1996) Parasites of offshore big game fishes of Puerto Rico and the Western Atlantic. Puerto Rico Department of Natural and Environmental Resources, San Juan, PR, and the University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, PR, p 382.

- Williams, E.H., and Bunkley-Williams, L. (2010) Checklists of the parasites of dolphin, *Coryphaena hippurus*, and pompano dolphin, *C. equiselis* with new records, corrections, and comments on the literature. *Reviews in Fisheries Science* **18**(1), 73-93.
- Williams, H., and Jones, A. (1994) 'Parasitic worms of fish.' (Taylor and Francis: London) 593pp.
- Williams, R.E., Ernst, I., Chambers, C.B., and Whittington, I.D. (2007) Efficacy of orally administered praziquantel against *Zeuxapta seriolae* and *Benedenia seriolae* (Monogenea) in yellowtail kingfish *Seriola lalandi*. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **77**, 199-205.
- Willis, T.J., Parsons, D.M., and Babcock, R.C. (2001) Evidence for long-term site fidelity of snapper (*Pagrus auratus*) within a marine reserve. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* **35**(3), 581-590.
- Yamaguti, S. (1958) 'Systema Helminthum.' (Interscience Publishers, Inc: New York)
- Yamaguti, S. (1961) 'Systema Helminthum: The cestodes of vertebrates.' (Interscience Publishers: New York) 679
- Yamaguti, S. (1963) 'Systema Helminthum: Monogenea and Aspidocotylea.' (Interscience publishers: New York) 699
- Yamauchi, T., Susumu, O., and Kazuya, N. (2005) Ectoparasitic isopod, *Norileca indica* (Crustacea, Isopoda, Cymothoidae), obtained from the stomach of *Coryphaena hippurus* (Perciformes, Coryphaenidae) in the Philippines. *Biogeography* **7**, 25-27.
- Ye, Q., Short, D.A., Green, C., and Coutin, P. (2002) Age and growth rate determination. Final Report to Fisheries Research and Development Corporation for Project 91/133.
- Yokoyama, H., and Fukuda, Y. (2001) *Ceratomyxa seriolae* n. sp. and *C. buri* n. sp. (Myxozoa: Myxosporidia) from the gall-bladder of cultured yellowtail *Seriola quinqueradiata*. *Systematic Parasitology* **48**, 125-130.

Young, M.R. (1939) Helminth parasites of Australia. A bibliography with alphabetical lists of authors, hosts and parasites. *Technical Communication. Commonwealth. Bureau of Helminthology, St Alban's* **23**, 1-145.

Young, N.D., Crosbie, P.B.B., Adams, M.D., Nowak, B.F., and Morrison, R.N. (2007) *Neoparamoeba perurans* n. sp., an agent of amoebic gill disease of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *International Journal for Parasitology* **37**, 1469-1481.

Young, P.C. (1970) The species of Monogenoidea recorded from Australian fishes and notes on their zoogeography. *Anales del Instituto de Biologia, Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, Serie Zoologia* **41**(1), 163-176.

Zischke, M.T., Cribb, T.H., Welch, D.J., Sawynok, W., and Lester, R.J.G. (2009) Stock structure of blue threadfin *Eleutheronema tetradactylum* on the Queensland east coast, as determined by parasites and conventional tagging. *Journal of Fish Biology* **75**, 156–171.

14 APPENDICES

14.1 Appendix 1: Intellectual property

There are no intellectual property issues associated with this project.

14.2 Appendix 2: Staff list

Principal Investigator: Kate S. Hutson^{1,2}

Joint Investigator: Ian D. Whittington^{1,3,4}

Research Scientist: Elizabeth M. Perkins¹

Honours students/Research Assistants: Sarah R. Catalano¹ and Emma L. Brock¹

1. Marine Parasitology Laboratory, School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, DX 650 418, The University of Adelaide, South Australia 5005, Australia

2. Discipline of Aquaculture, School of Marine and Tropical Biology, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland, 4811, Australia

3. Parasitology Section, The South Australian Museum, North Terrace, Adelaide, South Australia 5000, Australia

4. Australian Centre for Evolutionary Biology and Biodiversity, The University of Adelaide, North Terrace, Adelaide, South Australia 5005, Australia

Email addresses:

Kate Hutson: kate.hutson@jcu.edu.au

Ian Whittington: ian.whittington@samuseum.sa.gov.au

Elizabeth Perkins: lizzie.perkins@gmail.com

Sarah Catalano: sarah.catalano@adelaide.edu.au

Emma Brock: emma.brock@adelaide.edu.au

14.3 Appendix 3: Publications

1. Catalano, S.R., Hutson, K.S., Ratcliff, R.M. & Whittington, I.D. 2011. The value of parasite and host identification for arripid fish. *Marine and Freshwater Research* **62**, 72-82.
2. Hutson, K.S., Brock, E.L. & Steer, M.A. 2011. Spatial variation in parasite abundance: evidence of geographic population structuring in *Hyporhamphus melanochir*. *Journal of Fish Biology* **78**, 166-182.
3. Catalano, S.R., Hutson, K.S., Ratcliff, R. & Whittington, I.D. 2010. Redescription of two species of microcotylid monogeneans from three arripid hosts in southern Australian waters. *Systematic Parasitology* **76**, 211-222.
4. Catalano, S.R. & Hutson K.S. 2010. Harmful parasitic crustaceans infecting wild arripids: a potential threat to southern Australian finfish aquaculture. *Aquaculture* **303**, 101-104.
5. Perkins E.M., Donnellan S.C., Bertozzi T. & Whittington I.D. 2010. Closing the mitochondrial circle on parphyly of the Monogenea (Platyhelminthes) infers evolution of diet in parasitic flatworms. *International Journal for Parasitology* 40: 1237-1245. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2010.02.017
6. Hutson, K.S. 2009. Marine Parasites. URL: www.marineparasites.com
7. Kuchta, R., Scholz, T. & Justine, J.L. 2009. Two new species of *Bothriocephalus* Rudolphi, 1808 (Cestoda: Bothriocephalidae) from marine fish off Australia and New Caledonia. *Systematic Parasitology* **73**, 229-238.
8. Perkins E.M., Donnellan S.C., Bertozzi T., Chisholm L.A. & Whittington I.D. 2009. Looks can deceive: molecular phylogeny of a family of flatworm ectoparasites (Monogenea: Capsalidae) does not reflect current morphological classification. *Molecular Phylogenetics & Evolution* 52: 705-714. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2009.05.008.

9. Repulles-Albelda A., Montero F.E., Holzer A.S., Ogawa K., Hutson K.S. & Raga J.A. 2008. Speciation of *Paradeontacylix* spp. (Sanguinicolidae) in *Seriola dumerili*. Two new species of the genus *Paradeontacylix* from the Mediterranean. *Parasitology International* **57**, 405-414.

Up and coming...

10. Miller, P., Fitch, A., Gardner, M., Hutson, K.S., & Mair, G. (submitted, 28th Feb 2011). Genetic population structure of Yellowtail Kingfish (*Seriola lalandi*) in temperate Australasian waters inferred from microsatellite markers. (*Aquaculture*).

In preparation...

11. Hutson, K.S., Perkins, E.M., Holzer, A.S. & Whittington, I.D. (in prep). *Paradeontacylix* n. sp. (Digenea: Aporocotylidae) infects three *Seriola* spp. (Perciformes: Carangidae) in three oceans.
12. Hutson, K.S. & Boxshall, G.A. (in prep). Redescription of an atypical species of Trebiidae, *Kabataia ostorhinchi* Kazatchenko, Korotaeva & Kurochkin, 1972 from *Oplegnathus woodwardi* (Waite) (Perciformes: Oplegnathidae) off Port MacDonnell, South Australia.
13. Hutson, K.S., Bott, N.J., Hayward, C.J., Bray, R.A, Whittington, I.D. (in prep). Three new aporocotylids (*Cardicola* spp.) from southern Australia with a redescription of *Cardicola whitteni*.
14. Hutson, K.S., Perkins, E., Boxshall, G. and Whittington I.D. (in prep). Ectoparasites (Monogenea and Copepoda) infecting fishes off Port MacDonnell, southern Australia.
15. Hutson, K.S. and Whittington, I.D. (in prep). Parasite risk assessment for sea-cage aquaculture of mulloway (*Argyrosomus japonicus*) and barramundi (*Lates calcarifer*).

Theses

16. Perkins E.M. 2010. Family ties: molecular phylogenetics, evolution and radiation of flatworm parasites (Monogenea: Capsalidae). PhD thesis, School of Earth & Environmental Sciences, The University of Adelaide, 198 pp.

17. Catalano, S.R. 2009. Parasite assemblages of the Arripidae in southern Australian waters. School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Adelaide, Honours thesis. 69 pp.

18. Brock, E.L. (2010). Metazoan parasite diversity of King George whiting (*Sillaginodes punctatus*): examination of host ontogenic and spatial variation. School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Adelaide, Honours thesis, 52 pp.

14.4 Appendix 4: Presentations

14.4.1 International conference presentations

1. Hutson, K.S., Catalano, S.R. & Whittington, I.D. 2010. Aquaculture in hot water: emerging parasitic threats to the Australasian finfish industry. 10th International Congress for Parasitology, 16-20th August, Melbourne, Australia.
2. Catalano, S.R., Hutson, K.S. & Whittington, I.D. 2010. Back to basics: rigorous host and parasite identification in commercially and recreationally important arripid fish. 10th International Congress for Parasitology, 16-20th August, Melbourne, Australia.
3. Brock, E.L., Whittington, I.D., Hutson, K.S. & Steer, M.A. 2010. Metazoan parasite diversity of King George whiting (*Sillaginodes punctatus*): examination of host ontogenic and spatial variation. 10th International Congress for Parasitology, 16-20th August, Melbourne, Australia.
4. Hutson, K.S. & Whittington, I.D. 2007. Blood flukes infecting wild yellowtail kingfish (*Seriola lalandi*) and Samson fish (*S. hippos*): implications for *Seriola* aquaculture in Australasia. *Parassitologia* **49**, p 61 (presented at the 7th International Symposium on Fish Parasites, 24-28th September, Viterbo, Italy).
5. Repulles-Albelda, A., Montero, F.E., Holzer, A., Ogawa, K., Hutson K.S., Raga, J.A. 2007. Speciation of *Paradeontacylix* spp. (Trematoda, Sanguinicolidae) from *Seriola dumerili*. Phylogeny of the *Paradeontacylix* genus. *Parassitologia* **49**, p 324 (presented at the 7th International Symposium on Fish Parasites, 24-28th September, Viterbo, Italy)..

14.4.2 National conference presentations

1. Hutson, K.S., Catalano, S.R. & Whittington, I.D. (2010). Attract, aggregate and accumulate: wild fishes as potential parasite reservoirs for sea-caged stocks. Australasian Aquaculture 25th May, Hobart.

2. Hutson, K.S. and Whittington I.D. 2009. Where the wild things are: predicting which parasites from wild fish may be problematic in sea-cage aquaculture. FRDC Aquatic Animal Health Subprogram (AAHS) meeting, Cairns, July 2009.
3. Brock, E.L, Hutson, K.S. and Steer, M.A. 2009. Parasite assemblages as indicators of population structure of southern garfish (*Hyporhamphus melanochir*). FRDC Aquatic Animal Health Subprogram (AAHS) meeting, Cairns, July 2009.
4. Hutson, K.S, Brock, E.L, and Steer, M.A. 2009. Parasite assemblages as potential population indicators for southern garfish *Hyporhamphus melanochir*. Australian Society for Parasitology, Sydney, July 2009.
5. Catalano, S.C., Hutson, K.S. and Whittington, I.D. 2009. Picky parasites: patterns of infection in three important endemic fishes (*Arripis* spp.) Australian Society for Parasitology, Sydney, July 2009.
6. Hutson, K.S. and Whittington I.D. 2008. Potentially pathogenic parasites for finfish sea-cage aquaculture. Australian Society for Fish Biology, Bondi Beach, New South Wales, 15 September 2008.
7. Hutson, K.S. and Whittington I.D. 2008. Potentially pathogenic parasites for finfish sea-cage aquaculture. Australasian Aquaculture, Brisbane, 5th August, 2008.
8. Hutson, K.S. and Whittington I.D. 2008. Risk assessment for parasites in South Australian sea-cage aquaculture. Australian Society for Parasitology, Adelaide, 7th July 2008.
9. Hutson, K.S. and Whittington I.D. 2008. Potentially pathogenic parasite for finfish sea-cage aquaculture' Australian Society for Parasitology and the ARC/NHMRC network for parasitology, Glenelg, South Australia, 7th July 2008.
10. Hutson, K.S. & Whittington, I.D. 2007. 'Why little guys matter! A new species of blood fluke infecting wild yellowtail kingfish (*Seriola lalandi*) in southern Australia'.

ARC/NHMRC Network for Parasitology and Australian Society for Parasitology
Annual Conference, Canberra, 8-11th July, 2007

14.5 Appendix 5: Public outreach

1. Hutson K.S. 2010. 'Marine Parasitology' *Scope*, Channel Ten. 25 September 2010.
2. Biodiversity Gallery, South Australian Museum, 2010. Contribution of specimens, photographs and video footage to the 'Biodiversity Gallery' a new, permanent exhibit at the South Australian Museum.
3. Whittington I.D. 2010. Discussions with members of the public over the opening weekend of the 'Biodiversity Gallery', South Australian Museum, 13-14th February 2010.
4. Hutson K.S & Whittington I.D. 2009. 'How to be a parasitologist' Junior Field Naturalists Society, Adelaide, 28th May 2009.
5. Hutson K.S. & Hutson G.D. 2009. 'In search of Charles Darwin' The South Australian Museum and Royal Society of South Australia, 12th March 2009.
6. Hutson, K.S. 2008. 'Problematic parasites for sea-cage aquaculture of yellowtail kingfish (*Seriola lalandi*) and mulloway (*Argyrosomus japonicus*).' New South Wales Fisheries, February 14th 2008, Port Stephens, New South Wales, Australia.
7. Hutson, K.S. 2008. 'Potentially problematic parasites.' Recfishing Research Steering Committee Meeting 7, Adelaide, 27-28th March 2008, Adelaide, Australia.
8. Hutson K.S. 2008. 'Parasites of recreational and aquaculture fishes' South Australian Research and Development Institute, 22nd May 2008, Adelaide, Australia.
9. National Science Week. 2008. Faces of Science: Dr Kate Hutson, University of Adelaide, The South Australian Museum.
10. Peddie, C. 2008. The Advertiser Review. Gone Fishing: Dr Kate Hutson (marine biologist). Saturday, 9th August 2008.