Dr John Taylor's Technical Visits to Tasmania

David Mitchell



Project No. 2012/755



PETUNA AQUACULTURE | 134 TARLETON ST, EAST DEVONPORT, TASMANIA, 7310 AUSTRALIA www.petuna.com

PROUDLY TASMANIAN SINCE 1949

Dr. John Taylor Reproduction & Genetics Department, Institute of Aquaculture, University of Stirling Stirling FK9 4LA.

David Mitchell - Fish Performance Manager | Huon Aquaculture Group Pty Ltd PO BOX 42, Dover, TAS 7117 | <u>www.huonaqua.com.au</u> T: 03 6295 8109 M: 0427 353 334 | E: dmitchell@huonaqua.com.au

Richard Miller - General Manager – Farming, Petuna Aquaculture Mob:0427039021 - Email: <u>Richard.Miller@petuna.com</u>

Copyright, 2014: The Seafood CRC Company Ltd, the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation and Huon Aquaculture.

This work is copyright. Except as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968 (Cth), no part of this publication may be reproduced by any process, electronic or otherwise, without the specific written permission of the copyright owners. Neither may information be stored electronically in any form whatsoever without such permission.

The Australian Seafood CRC is established and supported under the Australian Government's Cooperative Research Centres Program. Other investors in the CRC are the Fisheries Research and Development Corporation, Seafood CRC company members, and supporting participants.

> Office Mark Oliphant Building, Laffer Drive, Bedford Park SA 5042 Postal Box 26, Mark Oliphant Building, Laffer Drive, Bedford Park SA 5042 Tollfree 1300 732 213 Phone 08 8201 7650 Facsimile 08 8201 7659 Website www.seafoodcrc.com ABN 51 126 074 048

Important Notice

Although the Australian Seafood CRC has taken all reasonable care in preparing this report, neither the Seafood CRC nor its officers accept any liability from the interpretation or use of the information set out in this document. Information contained in this document is subject to change without notice.

Australian Government Fisheries Research and Development Corporation An Australian Government Initiative



ISBN: 978-0-9756044-0-3

NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY

PROJECT NO: Dr John Taylor's Technical Visits to Tasmania

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR:

Dr. John Taylor Reproduction & Genetics Department Institute of Aquaculture University of Stirling Stirling FK9 4LA. e-mail: <u>ift2@stir.ac.uk</u> Tel: +44 (0) 1786 467929 Fax: +44 (0) 1786 472133

Web: <u>http://www.aqua.stir.ac.uk/rep-gen/</u>

(PROJECT) OBJECTIVES OF RESEARCH TRAVEL GRANT/ INDUSTRY BURSARY

To discuss the latest findings in the areas of:-

- 1. Performance improvement of Atlantic Salmon Triploids
- 2. Lighting regimes for Atlantic Salmon
- 3. Trout production
- 4. Rearing of Atlantic Salmon in sub optimal conditions

NON TECHNICAL SUMMARY:

Dr Taylor spent a week visiting marine sites and hatcheries where John presented his presentation and took questions followed by discussion. It provided a rare opportunity to have a lead researcher present and interact with on-site staff and was highly appreciated.

See attached itinerary and presentation.

OUTCOMES ACHIEVED TO DATE

- Growers have reviewed their early rearing temperatures and revised these downwards.
- Some growers have changed to a minerally enhanced diet.
- Current LED light development has moved away from single colour wavelengths back to white light
- Triploid deformity rates reduced by approximately a third

(PROJECT) OUTPUTS DEVELOPED AS RESULT OF TRAVEL GRANT/ INDUSTRY BURSARY:

- Changes to diet regimes
- Changes to hatchery manuals and SOPs
- Changes to lighting SOPs

ABOUT THE PROJECT/ACTIVITY

BACKGROUND AND NEED

It was hoped that the visit would lead to an exchange of information to between Europe and Tasmania on triploids with long term benefits in the outcomes. Tasmanian growers were keen to access the latest European research on triploidy in salmon. There is currently no research on triploidy in salmon being carried out in Australia

For Tasmanian growers triploids are one of the poorer performing stock types but a necessary part of the strategy for producing the right sized harvest fish all year round. The growers hope to achieve improved performance and quality of triploids through to harvest – lower FCR, faster growth, higher quality, lower deformities, higher survival, possibly enhanced breeding within the SBP.

In addition John's experience of lighting regimes of several species to maximise production will help to make sure Tasmanian growers are doing all they can to keep our fish healthy and productive. Tasmanian companies are currently looking at the use of LED lighting in sea cages which is one of John's areas of expertise.

His experience with the trout industry in Scotland will also be of benefit to Tasmanian companies who grow trout in Macquarie Harbour. This will be particularly important with a view to looking at female production as the industry is almost solely triploid trout. He has a long experience with feeding and lighting regimes with regard to Trout which Tasmanian growers can benefit from. It seems that the UK industry are experiencing similar problems with growth and survival of large trout, market acceptance of small trout and high maturation of females.

RESULTS

John presented a review of results from a variety of studies over the last few years, many of which are as yet unpublished. This has resulted in early access to research findings. In addition the question and answer sessions with staff were very wide ranging and gave the companies an overview of recent results of trials concerning improved salmon and trout production.

INDUSTRY IMPACT

PROJECT OUTCOMES (THAT INITIATED CHANGE IN INDUSTRY)

For improved triploidy production John highlighted the importance of lower rearing temperatures in the hatchery phase and the use of minerally enhanced diets as a way to help reduce triploid deformity rates. A reduction in deformity then gives better growth, conversion and quality.

For photoperiod control John highlighted the dangers of relying on specific wavelengths of light to have the desired affect.

SUMMARY OF CHANGE IN INDUSTRY

- The growers have reviewed their early rearing temperatures and revised these downwards.
- Some of the growers have changed to a minerally enhanced diet.
- Current LED light development has moved away from single colour wavelengths back to white light

WHAT FUTURE AND ONGOING CHANGES ARE EXPECTED?

Since John's visit we have implemented the above changes and there looks to be a reduction in triploid deformity rates by approximately a third. This will be economically significant in reducing the down grade % in triploids.

New powerful white light LED's have been developed this year between the growers and light manufacturers and initial results look promising.

WHAT BARRIERS ARE THERE FOR CHANGES TO OCCUR?

Reduction in early rearing temperatures also slows down growth so it needs to be trialled and senior management need convincing that it will reduce deformity rate. If not then the fish just end up smaller!!

The diet development has been with Biomar who do not have a mill in Tasmania. This means the feed needs to be imported which is no so easy but not insurmountable.

IF NOT ALREADY HAPPENING, WHEN WILL THE CHANGES OCCUR?

- Rearing temperatures have been changed by the growers in different cohorts and awaiting results but looks promising.
- One of the companies is already importing the feed so an avenue exists.

WHAT IS THE LIKELIHOOD THAT THESE CHANGES WILL OCCUR?

(e.g. 50% chance that four businesses will adopt project findings)?

Very high

WHAT BARRIERS ARE THERE TO ADOPTION OF THESE CHANGES AND WHAT ACTION COULD BE TAKEN TO OVERCOME THESE?

No insurmountable barriers

COMMUNICATION OF PROJECT/EXTENSION ACTIVITIES

WHAT IS THE OUTPUT THAT NEEDS TO BE COMMUNICATED?

The presentation was given to site staff and circulated to senior managers.

WHO IS/ARE THE TARGET AUDIENCE/S?

All site staff from husbandry personnel to technical and senior managers

WHAT ARE THE KEY MESSAGES?

How through husbandry can triploid performance be improved.

What direction show LED light technology follow top be most safe and effective

WHAT IS THE CALL TO ACTION?

Implement the recommendations, these have happened.

COMMUNICATION CHANNELS

(How can these messages be communicated and by who?):

Channel	Who by	When
Direct to Huon and Petuna	Dave Mitchell	June 2014
Staff		

LESSONS LEARNED AND RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS

WHAT IS YOUR FEEDBACK?

This was a great visit much appreciated by all involved. It is very rare for an overseas researcher to openly present a review of their findings to site staff on Tasmanian salmon farms.

FURTHER ACTION REQUIRED IN REGARDS TO COMMERCIALISATION?

It has set up a good link between Tasmanian farmers and an applied research group at the Institute of Aquaculture, University of Stirling in Scotland. This is allowing exchange of information which helps both groups progress their knowledge at a faster pace.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- Dr J Taylor for being so generous with his precious time and information and his willingness to be so open to all for discussion
- Prof H Migaud from Stirling for allowing John to make the visit
- Dr M Porter from Petuna for facilitating contact
- Emily Mantilla for helping to make this happen

APPENDIX

See attached itinerary, presentation and triploid induction protocols

Date	Activity	Where	Time	Other Information	Accommodation
Sun Mar 2	Arrive Hobart – HAC SE Open Day at	Pt Huon	10.00	Ross B (Taxi) - Arrive Pt Huon 12.00	Kermandie Hotel
	Huon/Channel				
Mon Mar 3	Presentation	Hideaway Boardroom	8:00 - 9:00	HB staff	
	Hatchery - Presentation	Lonnavale	11:00 - 13:00	Nath/Linz	
	Travel to Strahan(via Meadowbank)		13.30 - 18.30	Mike	Strahan Village
Tue Mar 4	MH Farm 1 - Presentation	Strahan	7:00 - 11.00	Rick	Devonport Gateway
	MH Farm 2 - Presentation	Strahan	11:00 - 15:00	R Miller/ M O Malley	Dinner with M Thomsen
	Travel to Launceston	Launceston	15:00 - 18:30		
Wed Mar 5	Factory 1	Devonport	8:30 - 10:30	Mike Thomsen	Launie country Club
	Factory 2	Paramatta Creek	11:00 - 13:00	Simon, Jeremiah	Dinner with M Porter, R Miller
	Hatchery - Presentation	Cressy	14:30 - 16:30	Richard, Shaun	
Thu Mar 6	Hatchery - Presentation	Bridport	10:00 - 11:00	Duncan	Woolstore
	Hatchery - Presentation	Springfield	11:30 - 13:00	lan	Dinner DC, Ross B, Jonno
	Hatchery - Presentation	Millybrook	14:30 - 16:00	Matt	
Fri Mar 7	Hobart Offices	Hobart Offices	9:00 - 11:00	Review, prep,	ТВА
	Presentation and discussion	Hobart Meetings Room	12:00 - 16:30	Senior management and Technical staff	

Overview: Ongoing Research at Institute of Aquaculture



Dr John Taylor jft2@stir.ac.uk





A bit about me

- Completed PhD in Dec 2004: "Photoperiod regulation of growth and reproduction in rainbow trout"
- Since 2005 I have held the position of **Research Fellow** in Genetics & Reproduction group

FP7: ARRAINA: Advanced Research Initiatives for Nutrition & Aquaculture
FP7: SALMOTRIP: Triploid salmon feasibility study
FP6: Cod-Light Tech: Lighting technology for mariculture
FP5: Pubertiming: Regulation of puberty in farmed fish

- **12 years direct working contact with academia and industry**, conducting research in the main farmed fish species in Europe and Internationally
- My research expertise is in the field of
 - Environmental control of fish physiology
 - Nutritional regulation of deformity



 My research is industry driven and practically orientated to ensure outputs are timely, current and with the highest industrial application and impact.





UNIVERSITY OF STIRLING

- Environmental entrainment: principally photoperiod and temperature
- **Biomarkers** of growth and reproductive function: somatotropic reproductive axis cross-talk
- Manipulation of farmed stocks:
 - out-of season production
 - enhanced broodstock management
 - arrest pre-harvest maturation
- Relevant for culture requirements in face of climatic change





A sterile animal directs energy towards muscle growth and not reproduction



FP7 SALMOTRIP: Feasibility study of commercial triploid Atlantic salmon production (€1.2 M) An international RTD & industrial collaboration

Key Results:

- Growth enhancement of 25-30%
- More efficient resource utilisation
- "Green" farming credentials
- Knowledge based consumer acceptance
- Application in other species



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect Aquaculture journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/aqua-online





Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part A



Comparative seawater performance and deformity prevalence in out-of-season diploid and triploid Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) post-smolts

E. Leclercq^a, J.F. Taylor^a, D. Fison^a, P.G. Fjelldal^b, M. Diez-Padrisa^a, T. Hansen^b, H. Migaud^{a,*} ^{*}tratime of Agacature, University of Strifting. Strifting: Storiked UK ^b Institute of Marchine Research, Marke Research Station, Norway







UNIVERSITY OF

STIRLING

• Understanding **nutritional regulation of deformity**



Integrated Life Cycle Management : Interactions between life stage & micronutrients

"Because we see the problem in one place does not mean it did not start elsewhere"



- **Complementarity** between research disciplines
- Central management role of long-term feeding trials





Translation of Research into Application

Application of technology innovation to support industrial evolution







BCIENCE DIRECT*



Photoperiod can be used to enhance growth and improve feeding efficiency in farmed rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*

Available online at www.sciencedirect.con

J.F. Taylor ^{a,*}, B.P. North ^a, M.J.R. Porter ^b, N.R. Bromage ^a, H. Migaud ^a ^{*} builtet of Aquesiliare, University of Striling, Storling, Scotland, FK9 4LA, UK ^b Taxonation Aquesiliance and Fisherics Instinue, School of Aquesiliant, Tamania, Automatia

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect Aquacultural Engineering journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/aqua-online

The potential of alternative lighting-systems to suppress pre-harvest sexual maturation of 1+ Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) post-smolts reared in commercial sea-cages

E. Leclercq, J.F. Taylor, M. Sprague, H. Migaud*

• Anti-malformation diets

UNIVERSITY OF

STIRLING







Study of Triploid Atlantic Salmon Production

Triploid salmon: What did we learn?



<u>John Taylor</u>*, P.G. Fjelldal, T. Hansen, A. Kole, A. Storset, D.R. Guy, O. Breck, T. Danielson, G. Moss, D. Hunter, P. Campbell, J. Walton & H. Migaud^{**}

ift2@stir.ac.uk, Institute of Aquaculture, University of Stirling, Scotland
 hm7@stir.ac.uk, Institute of Aquaculture, University of Stirling, Scotland







This project was supported by the EUROPEAN UNION (FP7, project 222115)

June 2008 to June 2011













AquáGen



Research has since continued within Scotland





Improved Survival

- Assumption: Triploids have poorer survival
 - Higher between eyeing to hatch, thereafter 3N = 2N
 - Timing of stripping crucial
 - 50% reduction in yield if inferior quality eggs are used
 - Handling procedures majorly affect yield
 - Commercial up-scaling









INSTITUTE OF

Time of stripping



Spawning season

ALSO IMPORTANT TO CONDISER TIME OF OVULATION-INSPECTION-STRIPPING



Degree Days



Degree Days



INSTITUTE OF AQUACULTURE

Pressure Vessels



MegaPascal – France (10L capacity)



Air driven: custom build



TRC-APV – Canada (3L capacity)



Handling – Survival?







Robotic Induction



Horizontal Chamber





Smoltification

- Assumption: Triploids poorer survival at sea transfer
- Clear demonstration:
 - **Response to photoperiod the same** = **Out-of-season smolt**
 - 20-30% larger triploid smolt (in 12 trials: expt. & comm.)
 - SW transfer survival comparable
 - Pattern of smoltification may be different ~ growth & adiposity
 - Possible implications for vaccination and sea transfer

Same Stage of Development



Final Smolt





Growth

- Assumption: Triploids have lower growth
- Triploids grow faster than diploids in FW (+30% FW)
- Generally, lost growth at sea (-10%)
- Reasons: Environment Nutrition-deformity



• 2012-2013, +10% in 3N using specialist diets





Selection

- Assumption: Triploids perform differently to diploids
- Growth traits 3N = 2N
- Selection for deformity more challenging ~ low prevalence in 2N
- May be more fundamentally linked to nutrition than ploidy alone



• Heritability estimates? - Maternal vs. Paternal inheritance

Growth Rate & Deformity

- Siblings groups grown Isolated vs. Mixed ploidy
- Triploid growth compromised by mixed ploidy rearing
- HOWEVER, slower growth = less deformity

Salmotrip

Study of Triploid Atlantic Salmon Production



DIPLOID DIETS ARE

NUTRITIONALLY DEFICIENT FOR TRIPLOIDS?

Taylor et al 2013 (in review)



Cataract & Nutrition

- Assumption: Triploids are more prone to cataracts
 - Risk periods: Increasing Temp & High SGR in SW
 - Histidine requirement is higher in triploids (2011-2013)
 - Strong genotype effect on cataract prevalence





Taylor et al 2014. Aquaculture Nutrition





- Assumption: Triploids are more prone to deformity
- But, Risk Factors identified: Nutrition – Triploid Specific Saltwater diets (вюмая 2012-2013)
 - **Rearing Temperature** Lower egg incubation temp – Periods fast growth



Incubation Temperature Effect on Deformity



Figure 1 Lower jaw deformity in triploid Atlantic salmon as seen by (a) eye and (b) radiograph.



Figure 2 Interleaved scatterplot with mean (SE) percentage of diploid (cirde) and triploid (square) Atlantic salmon part incubated at one of three temperatures with externally detectable (a) spinal deformities and (b) jaw deformities. n = 3 per ploidy per incubation temperature.

Fraser et al (2013)

INSTITUTE OF MARINE RESEARCH

AVFORSKNINGSINSTITUTTE

Deformity – Life Stage Specific?

Study of Triploid Atlantic Salmon Production

Salmotrip

- Assumption: deformity is a saltwater problem
- Not Necessarily
 - Externally visible in SW
 - Radiologically detectable in FW



• Deformity in SW in part related to FW dietary history



FW Diet Effect on Deformity





Nutrient Package



Smedley et al (in prep)

10









Imaging Fry

















Imaging Fry



Craniofacial structure in fish



MicroCT - (Skyscan) (45mins + processing)







Environmental Tolerance

Assumption: Triploids are less tolerant of sub-optimal environments

Triploids are:

Sensitive to higher temp. When O_2 saturation is low Reduced SGR Increased mortality Reduced feed intake

Consideration for:

Site selection Grading & handling/crowding

Growth & Temp





Fjelldal & Hansen



UNIVERSITY OF STIRLING

Incubation Temp Effect on Heart Function





Aplasia of *septum transevrsum* @ 10°C (Fraser et al 2013)

linked to high levels of mortality, especially during stressful procedures that put an increased demand on the heart



Health & Disease

- Assumption: Triploids are less disease tolerant
- On Farm Challenges faced during SALMOTRIP

Furunculosis in FW Sea Lice AGD IPN in SW





• No differences in survival between ploidy

Frenzl et al., 2014



Harvest Quality

- Quality Grading
 - Increased discard/rebate ~ deformity
 - Screamers reduce harvest weight
 - Excluding deformities $3N \ge 2N$ weight



- Texture properties similar
- May have higher DHA:EPA in 3N
- Lower Pigment retention







Conclusions

Triploids:

Superior growth Selection according to diploids Deformity can be tackled with diet Risk factors identified Disease tolerance comparable Flesh quality comparable



Knowledge Gaps:

Specific nutritional requirements Immune function Environmental tolerance



Nutritional trials (2013-2016)

Nutrient packages to combat deformity Feeding windows FM/FO replacement (FP7 EC ARRAINA)

Harvest Quality Pigment retention Reducing rebate





Current Research Scotland

Health & Robustness (2013-2016) Disease challenges Innate & Adaptive responses Vaccination





Genetics Selection criteria Gene regulation





Study of Triploid Atlantic Salmon Production



UNIVERSITY OF STIRLING

Thank you for your attention







Landcatch **













Triploid Induction Protocols

Pressure (PSI / BAR)	Temperature (°C)	Time Post-Fertilisation (min)	Duration of Pressure (min)
9500 / 655	10	30min	5min
9500 / 655	9.5	31min 35sec	5min 16sec
9500 / 655	9	33min 20sec	5min 33sec
9500 / 655	8.5	35min 18sec	5min 53 sec
9500 / 655	8	37min 30sec	6min 15 sec
9500 / 655	7.5	40min	6min 40sec
9500 / 655	7	42min 51sec	7min 9sec
9500 / 655	6.5	46min 9sec	7min 42sec
9500 / 655	6	50min	8min 20sec

Table 1. Shock timings for different temperature regimes.

Table 2. Procedure for triploid induction at 8°C

Time (min)	Process
0	dry fertilise eggs (bucket placed in water bath at appropriate temp)
+1	add water of appropriate temp to eggs
+2	rinse off eggs in appropriate temp water, add clean water of appropriate temp.
+3	Leave to water harden in water bath
+35	fill pressure vessel with water of 8 degrees
+36	load eggs into pressure vessel & screw down lid
+37	begin raising pressure over 30secs
+37m 30sec	full pressure achieved (9500 PSI/655 BAR)
+43m 45sec	begin raising releasing pressure
+44	remove eggs & water harden eggs for 1 hour

Table 3. Procedure for triploid induction at 10° C

Time (min)	Process
0	dry fertilise eggs (bucket placed in water bath at appropriate temp)
+1	add water of appropriate temp to eggs
+2	rinse off eggs in appropriate temp water, add clean water of appropriate temp.
+3	Leave to water harden in water bath
+27m 30sec	fill pressure vessel with water of 10 degrees
+28	load eggs into pressure vessel & screw down lid
+29m 30sec	begin raising pressure over 30secs
+30	full pressure achieved (9500 PSI/655 BAR)
+35	begin raising releasing pressure
+36	remove eggs & water harden eggs for 1 hour

Example of water bath set up using a trough for egg incubation prior to triploid shock. Appropriate temperature dialled into digital thermostat on heater (Model: Grant T100 Heating Circulator)



Eggs in incubation prior to triploid induction

